

65. Adjoint Space and Dual Space

By Toshio SHIBATA

Tokyo University of Education

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., May 19, 1960)

1. Introduction. Concerning the relation between a locally convex space E and its dual space E' (the totality of continuous linear functionals), the conditions of the semi-reflexivity, the reflexivity, etc. are well known, under the foundation of Mackey's theorem (for ex. [1]). On the other hand, as a space of linear functionals on E , there is a method to consider its *adjoint space* \bar{E} (the totality of linear functionals which are bounded on each bounded set in E) and the condition of reflexivity is known [2].

In this paper, we consider the relation between the adjoint space \bar{E} and the dual space E' of a locally convex separative space E , especially, we give a theorem of Mackey's type with respect to the adjoint space (THEOREM 1).

For a locally convex separative topology T of a linear space E , its adjoint space and its dual space are denoted by $(\bar{E}; T)$ and $(E; T)'$, respectively. Two locally convex topologies T_1 and T_2 of E are said to be *equivalent with respect to bounded set*, when the concepts of the boundedness under T_1 and T_2 are identical, and this equivalence is denoted by $T_1 \overset{b}{\sim} T_2$.

2. A theorem of Mackey's type. Let (E, F) be a separative dual system of two linear spaces. The necessary and sufficient condition that a locally convex separative topology T on E is compatible with the dual system (E, F) , that is, $(E; T)' = F$, is that T is stronger than the topology $\sigma(E, F)$ and weaker than the Mackey's topology $\tau(E, F)$ (theorem of Mackey). The following theorem is one of this type concerning the adjoint space.

THEOREM 1. *Let (E, F) be a separative dual system of two linear spaces. The necessary and sufficient condition that F is the adjoint space of E with a locally convex separative topology T on E , or $(\bar{E}; T) = F$ symbolically, is that:*

1. $T \overset{b}{\sim} \sigma(E, F)$
2. *the Mackey's topology $\tau(E, F)$ is bornologic.*

PROOF. Necessity. We denote by \mathfrak{A} , the totality of bounded sets under the topology T , and consider a topology T_0 on E defined by all disks which absorb each bounded set under the topology T . T_0 is

called \mathfrak{U} -topology.*⁾ This topology T_0 is bornologic and the totality of bounded sets under T_0 is also \mathfrak{U} , or $T_0 \stackrel{b}{\sim} T$. Then $(E; T_0)' = (\overline{E}; \overline{T_0}) = F$ under our assumption. Therefore, \mathfrak{U} is the totality of bounded sets under the topology $\sigma(E, F)$, or $T \stackrel{b}{\sim} \sigma(E, F)$ and T_0 coincides with the topology $\tau(E, F)$, or $\tau(E, F)$ is bornologic.

Sufficiency. The adjoint space of E with the topology $\tau(E, F)$ coincides with F on account of our assumption 2. Then $(\overline{E}; \overline{T}) = F$ because of the condition 1.

REMARK 1. When the adjoint space of E with a topology T is F , the topology T is weaker than the topology $\tau(E, F)$. But T is not necessarily comparable with the topology $\sigma(E, F)$. In other words, the dual space of E with the topology T is not necessarily the space F .

REMARK 2. For a separative dual system (E, F) , there exists, always, a topology T on E by which the dual space is F . However, there exists not necessarily a topology of E by which the adjoint space is F . For example, when the topology of E is "tonnelé" and is not bornologic, there is no topology T on E by which the adjoint space is E' for the dual system (E, E') , because the topology "tonnelé" is not else than the topology $\tau(E, E')$. Whence, the adjoint space is not E' .

3. **Semi-reflexivity with respect to adjoint space.** Let E be a locally convex separative space. We denote by T its topology and by \mathfrak{U} the totality of bounded sets under the topology T . On the adjoint space \overline{E} , the topology of \mathfrak{U} -convergence is denoted by $\beta(\overline{E}, E)$ and the totality of bounded sets under $\beta(\overline{E}, E)$ is denoted by $\overline{\mathfrak{U}}$. In the same way, from the space \overline{E} with the topology $\beta(\overline{E}, E)$, its adjoint space $\overline{\overline{E}}$ and the topology $\beta(\overline{\overline{E}}, \overline{E})$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{U}}$ -convergence are defined. The topology on E induced by $\beta(\overline{\overline{E}}, \overline{E})$ is the \mathfrak{U} -topology used in the above paragraph, and it coincides with the initial topology T , if and only if T is bornologic. When $\overline{\overline{E}}$ coincides with E algebraically, that is, $(\overline{\overline{E}}; \beta(\overline{\overline{E}}, \overline{E})) = E$, E is said to be *adjoint semi-reflexive*.

THEOREM 2. *The necessary and sufficient condition that a locally convex separative space E is adjoint semi-reflexive, is that:*

1. every bounded disk in E is $\sigma(E, \overline{E})$ relatively compact,
2. the topology $\beta(\overline{E}, E)$ is bornologic.

PROOF. When we apply THEOREM 1 to the dual system (\overline{E}, E) , the condition of adjoint semi-reflexivity is that:

- 1'. $\beta(\overline{E}, E) \stackrel{b}{\sim} \sigma(\overline{E}, E)$,

*⁾ Let $\overline{\mathfrak{U}}$ be the totality of bounded sets under the topology of \mathfrak{U} -convergence on $\overline{E} = (\overline{E}; \overline{T})$, then \mathfrak{U} -topology is not else than the topology of $\overline{\mathfrak{U}}$ -convergence on E with respect to the dual system (E, \overline{E}) .

2'. $\tau(\bar{E}, E)$ is bornologic.

Now, we assume the conditions 1', 2'. Generally, the topology $\beta(\bar{E}, E)$ is stronger than the topology $\tau(\bar{E}, E)$. On account of the condition 1' the topology $\beta(\bar{E}, E)$ is equivalent to the topology $\tau(\bar{E}, E)$ with respect to bounded set. Then $\beta(\bar{E}, E)$ coincides with $\tau(\bar{E}, E)$ because of the assumption 2'. Therefore the topology $\beta(\bar{E}, E)$ is bornologic and every bounded disk in E is $\sigma(E, \bar{E})$ relatively compact.

Next, we assume the conditions 1, 2. On account of the condition 1, the topology $\tau(\bar{E}, E)$ coincides with the topology $\beta(\bar{E}, E)$. Then the topology $\tau(\bar{E}, E)$ is bornologic and $\beta(\bar{E}, E) \stackrel{b}{\sim} \sigma(\bar{E}, E)$.

COROLLARY 1. *If a locally convex separative space E is adjoint semi-reflexive, then E is semi-reflexive in the ordinary sense.*

Because the topology $\sigma(E, \bar{E})$ is stronger than the topology $\sigma(E, E')$.

COROLLARY 2. *If a locally convex separative space E is adjoint semi-reflexive, then its adjoint space \bar{E} with the topology $\beta(\bar{E}, E)$ is adjoint reflexive.*

Because, the topologies $\beta(\bar{E}, E)$ and $\beta(E, \bar{E})$ are both bornologic.

COROLLARY 3. *A locally convex separative space E is adjoint reflexive when and only when the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. *the initial topology on E is bornologic,*
2. *the topology $\beta(\bar{E}, E)$ on \bar{E} is bornologic,*
3. *every bounded disk in E is $\sigma(E, \bar{E})$ relatively compact [2].*

COROLLARY 4. *If a locally convex separative space E is adjoint reflexive, then E is reflexive in the ordinary sense.*

REMARK 1. If A' is a $\sigma(E', E)$ relatively compact disk in the dual space E' of a locally convex space E , then A' is bounded under the topology $\beta(E', E)$. But the converse is not necessarily true. However, for a disk \bar{A} in the adjoint space \bar{E} , the concepts of $\sigma(\bar{E}, E)$ relative compactness and $\beta(\bar{E}, E)$ boundedness are identical, because the topology $\beta(E, \bar{E})$ coincides with the topology $\tau(E, \bar{E})$ in E .

REMARK 2. The condition 1 in THEOREM 2 means that the dual space of the space \bar{E} with the topology $\beta(\bar{E}, E)$ is the space E . In the same method in the proof of THEOREM 2, we obtain that the adjoint space of the dual space E' with the topology $\beta(E', E)$ is the space E if and only if

1. E is semi-reflexive,
2. $\beta(E', E)$ is bornologic.

4. **A condition for $E' = \bar{E}$.** We apply THEOREM 1 to the dual system composed of a locally convex separative space E and its dual space E' . Then we obtain:

THEOREM 3. *The adjoint space \overline{E} coincides with the dual space E' for a locally convex separative space E if and only if the topology $\tau(E, E')$ is bornologic.*

That \overline{E} coincides with E' means that the initial topology in E is stronger than the topology $\sigma(E, \overline{E})$. In this direction, we obtain the following condition for $E' = \overline{E}$.

THEOREM 4. *The adjoint space \overline{E} coincides with the dual space E' for a locally convex separative space E if and only if every disk in E satisfying the following conditions is a neighbourhood of 0 in E .*

1. V absorbs each bounded set in E ,
2. the quotient space E/F by $F = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \epsilon V$ is one dimensional.

PROOF. We assume that $\overline{E} = E'$. Let V be a disk satisfying the conditions 1, 2. If G is an algebraic supplement of F , then G is one dimensional. The projection V_1 of V into G is a disk in G . Let f be a linear functional on G such that $V_1 \subset \{z \in G: |\langle z, f \rangle| \leq 1\} \subset \lambda V_1$ for some $\lambda > 1$. Every element $x \in E$ is uniquely decomposed into $x = y + z$, $y \in F$, $z \in G$. Then we define a linear functional \bar{x} on E by the relation $\langle x, \bar{x} \rangle = \langle z, f \rangle$. If $x \in V$, $|\langle x, \bar{x} \rangle| = |\langle z, f \rangle| \leq 1$. Therefore, \bar{x} is bounded linear functional on E because of the condition 1 and so \bar{x} is continuous by our assumption. If $|\langle x, \bar{x} \rangle| \leq 1$, $x = y + z$, then $y \in F \subset V$, $z \in \lambda V_1 \subset \lambda V$ and so $x \in (\lambda + 1)V$. Thus V is a neighbourhood of 0 in E .

Conversely, if \bar{x} is a non zero bounded linear functional on E , then $V = \{x: |\langle x, \bar{x} \rangle| \leq 1\}$ is a disk satisfying the conditions 1, 2, and so V is a neighbourhood of 0 in E or \bar{x} is continuous.

REMARK. In the same way as the above proof, we obtain the conditions for $E' = E^+$ and $\overline{E} = E^+$ where E^+ is the algebraic dual of E . For an absorbant disk V in E , the former is that if E/F is one dimensional then V is a neighbourhood of 0 and the latter is that if E/F is one dimensional, then V absorbs each bounded set, where $F = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \epsilon V$ in both cases.

5. An example of $E' \neq \overline{E}$. If the topology $\tau(E, E')$ is not bornologic, then $E' \neq \overline{E}$ (REMARK 2 in the paragraph 2). Here, we give a simple example of such E .

Let R be the segment $[0, 1]$ and \mathfrak{R} be the totality at most countable sets in R . Or more generally, let R be an abstract set, and \mathfrak{R} be a family of subsets in R which satisfy the following three conditions:

1. $R \notin \mathfrak{R}$;
2. if $K_i \in \mathfrak{R}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i \in \mathfrak{R}$;
3. for each point $t \in R$ there is a set $K \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that $t \in K$.

Let E be the linear space composed of all functions $x = x(t)$ on R

such that each $x=x(t)$ is constant outside of some $K: x(t)=x_K=\text{const.}$ for $t \notin K$. Such K may be called a *supporting set* of x . The topology of E is the topology of simple convergence at each point of R . Let L be a linear functional on E such that $\langle x, L \rangle = x_K$ for $x \in E$.

L is not continuous. If it is continuous, there exists $t_i \in R, i=1, 2, \dots, k$, and a positive number δ such that $x \in E \mid x(t_i) \leq \delta, i=1, 2, \dots, k$, implies $|\langle x, L \rangle| \leq 1$. On the other hand, a function $x=x(t)$ such that $x(t_i)=0, i=1, 2, \dots, k$ and $x(t)=2$ otherwise, belongs to E . Then $\langle x, L \rangle = 2$. This is a contradiction.

L is bounded on each bounded set. If not so, there is a bounded set A in E such that $|\langle x_n, L \rangle| > n, x_n \in A, n=1, 2, \dots$. Let K_n be a supporting set of x_n , and put $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$. Because of $K \neq R, x_n(t_0) = \langle x_n, L \rangle$ for $t_0 \notin K$, and $|x_n(t_0)| > n$. This contradicts the boundedness of A .

The space is a dense subspace of the space $E_0 = \prod_{t \in R} C_t$, where C_t is the scalar field and the topology of E_0 is the product topology of $\{C_t; t \in R\}$. Let F be the space $\sum_{t \in R} C_t$, that is, the direct sum of $\{C_t; t \in R\}$, then (E, F) is a separative dual system and the topology of E is not else than the topology $\sigma(E, F)$. Now, we show that *each bounded set B in F with the topology $\sigma(F, E)$ is a finite dimensional bounded set*, that is, there exists a finite set $t_i \in R, i=1, 2, \dots, k$ such that

$$\sup_{y \in B} |y(t_i)| < M, i=1, 2, \dots, k; y(t) = 0 \quad (t \neq t_i, y \in B).$$

If not so, we can select a sequence $t_n \in R$ and $y_n \in B, n=1, 2, \dots$ such that:

$$y_n(t_n) = \eta_n \neq 0; \quad y_n(t_m) = 0 \quad (m > n).$$

We put $k_m = \sup_n |y_n(t_m)|$ ($m=1, 2, \dots$) which is finite because of the $\sigma(F, E)$ boundedness of B and define an element $x=x(t) \in E$ by $x(t_n) = \xi_n; x(t) = 0$ otherwise, where $\xi_1 = 1/|\eta_1|, \xi_n = \{n + \xi_1 k_1 + \dots + \xi_{n-1} k_{n-1}\} / |\eta_n|$. Then $|\langle x, y_n \rangle| > n$. This is a contradiction.

Thus, the weakly bounded set B in $E' = F$ is equi-continuous, and the topology of E coincides with the topology $\tau(E, F)$. Therefore the topology of E is "tonnelé" but not bornologic and not complete.

6. A disk on which bounded linear functionals are continuous.

We characterize a subset A of a locally convex space E such that every linear functional bounded on A is continuous. This characterization is a generalization of the result known for the metrizable case [3]. If a linear functional f is bounded on A , f is also bounded on the disk envelope of A , so we consider only a disk A .

THEOREM 5. *Let A be a disk in a locally convex space E . The following conditions are equivalent each other.*

1. *For any locally convex space E_1 and a linear operator u from E into E_1 , if $u(A)$ is bounded in E_1 , then u is continuous.*

2. *There is a linear subspace F including A such that the quotient topology in E/F is the strongest convex topology and A is a neighbourhood of 0 in F by the relative topology.*

PROOF. $1 \rightarrow 2$. Let F be a linear subspace of E generated by A , and v be a linear operator from E/F with the quotient topology into E/F with any other locally convex topology. Then $v \circ u$ is a linear operator from E into E/F where u is the canonical operator from E onto E/F , and $v \circ u(A) = 0$. Thus $v \circ u$ and so also v is continuous on account of the assumption 1. Therefore the quotient topology of E/F is the strongest convex topology. Hence, F is a topological direct factor of E . Let w be the projection from E onto F . We apply our assumption 1 to the space F with the topology defined by the system of the neighbourhood $\lambda A (\lambda > 0)$ of 0 and the linear operator w . Then $w(A)$ is bounded in F . Therefore w is continuous, or there is a neighbourhood U of 0 in E such that $w(U) \subset A$.

$2 \rightarrow 1$. Because of the strongest convexity of E/F , E is decomposed into $F + G$, where G is a topological supplementary of F . For a linear operator u from E into another E_1 , the restriction $u|_F$ is continuous because it is bounded on A and the restriction $u|_G$ is continuous on account of the strongest convexity of $G (\cong E/F)$. Then u is continuous.

COROLLARY. *When the topology of E is $\tau(E, E')$, the conditions 1, 2 in the above Theorem are also equivalent to:*

3. *every linear functional bounded on A is continuous.*

PROOF. $1 \rightarrow 3$. This is obvious.

$3 \rightarrow 1$. In this case, the operator u mentioned in the condition 1, is weakly continuous and then u is continuous because the topology is $\tau(E, E')$.

REMARK. When E/F with the quotient topology is metrizable and infinite dimensional, there is a linear functional which is not continuous. In the metrizable case, the strongest convexity means that the space is finite dimensional, and this is the case considered in [3].

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki: *Espaces Vectoriels Topologiques*, Chaps. I, II, Paris, Hermann (1953); *Espaces Vectoriels Topologiques*, Chaps. III, IV, Paris, Hermann (1955).
- [2] H. Nakano: *Topology and Linear Topological Spaces*, Maruzen, Tokyo (1951).
- [3] V. L. Klee, Jr.: Boundedness and continuity of linear functionals, *Duke Math. Jour.*, **22**, no. 2 (1955).