

100. General Crossnorms

By Kazô TSUJI

Kyushu Institute of Technology

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., July 12, 1960)

In the present note we shall generalize the construction of "general" crossnorm given by R. Schatten in Appendix II in his book: A theory of cross-spaces, Ann. of Math. Studies, no. 26, Princeton (1950) to which we shall refer as [TCS] in this note. And we shall prove some properties of our general crossnorms.

Our construction of general crossnorms is a slight modification of R. Schatten's method. Consequently our proofs of Lemmas 1, 2, 3 and Theorem 1 are almost analogous to those of his Theorems, but for the benefit of readers we shall prove them. Moreover we shall make use of notations, terminologies and results shown in [TCS] without reservation.

Throughout the present note we shall assume that B_1 and B_2 represent perfectly general Banach spaces while B_1^* and B_2^* stand for their conjugate spaces respectively.

LEMMA 1. *If p, q are positive and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then for positive numbers a, b , we have*

$$\frac{1}{\frac{a}{p} + \frac{b}{q}} \leq \frac{1}{pa} + \frac{1}{qb}.$$

Proof. Immediately.

LEMMA 2. *If p, q are positive and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then for any two norms α, β , we have*

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{p} + \frac{\beta}{q}\right)' \leq \frac{\alpha'}{p} + \frac{\beta'}{q}.$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{F} \in B_1^* \odot B_2^*$ be fixed. By Lemma 1, for any non-zero $\tilde{f} \in B_1 \odot B_2$ we have

$$\frac{|\tilde{F}(\tilde{f})|}{\frac{\alpha(\tilde{f})}{p} + \frac{\beta(\tilde{f})}{q}} \leq \frac{\tilde{F}(\tilde{f})}{p\alpha(\tilde{f})} + \frac{\tilde{F}(\tilde{f})}{q\beta(\tilde{f})}.$$

Thus, definition of "associated" norm furnishes the proof.

We proceed with our construction:

Put $\alpha_{p,1} = \frac{\gamma}{p} + \frac{\gamma'}{q}$, $\alpha_{q,1} = \frac{\gamma}{q} + \frac{\gamma'}{p}$ and $\alpha_{p,n} = \frac{\alpha_{p,n-1}}{p} + \frac{\alpha'_{q,n-1}}{q}$,

$\alpha_{q,n} = \frac{\alpha_{q,n-1}}{q} + \frac{\alpha'_{p,n-1}}{p}$ for $n=2, 3, \dots$, where γ is the greatest crossnorm which is uniquely defined on $\mathbf{B}_1 \odot \mathbf{B}_2$ and p, q are positive and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then by Lemma 2 we have

$$\alpha'_{p,n} = \left(\frac{\alpha_{p,n-1}}{p} + \frac{\alpha'_{q,n-1}}{q} \right)' \leq \frac{\alpha'_{p,n-1}}{p} + \frac{\alpha''_{q,n-1}}{q} \leq \frac{\alpha'_{p,n-1}}{p} + \frac{\alpha_{q,n-1}}{q} = \alpha_{q,n}$$

therefore $\alpha'_{p,n} \leq \alpha_{q,n}$. Similarly we have $\alpha'_{q,n} \leq \alpha_{p,n}$. Then

$$\alpha_{p,n} = \frac{\alpha_{p,n-1}}{p} + \frac{\alpha'_{q,n-1}}{q} \leq \frac{\alpha_{p,n-1}}{p} + \frac{\alpha_{p,n-1}}{q} = \alpha_{p,n-1},$$

therefore, $\alpha_{p,n} \leq \alpha_{p,n-1}$, $\alpha_{q,n} \leq \alpha_{q,n-1}$, $\alpha'_{p,n} \geq \alpha'_{p,n-1}$ and $\alpha'_{q,n} \geq \alpha'_{q,n-1}$. Thus we have the following Lemma:

LEMMA 3.

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &\geq \alpha_{p,1} \geq \alpha_{p,2} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_{p,n} \geq \dots \geq \alpha'_{q,n} \geq \dots \geq \alpha'_{q,2} \geq \alpha'_{q,1} \geq \gamma', \\ \gamma &\geq \alpha_{q,1} \geq \alpha_{q,2} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_{q,n} \geq \dots \geq \alpha'_{p,n} \geq \dots \geq \alpha'_{p,2} \geq \alpha'_{p,1} \geq \gamma'. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

THEOREM 1. Put $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{p,n} = \alpha_p$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{q,n} = \alpha_q$, then we have $\alpha'_p = \alpha_q$ and $\alpha_p = \alpha'_p = \alpha'_q$ (therefore, crossnorms α_p, α_q are reflexive).

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{p,1} - \alpha'_{q,1} &\leq \frac{\gamma}{p} + \frac{\gamma'}{q} - \gamma' = \frac{\gamma}{p} - \frac{\gamma'}{p} = \frac{1}{p}(\gamma - \gamma'), \\ \alpha_{p,2} - \alpha'_{q,2} &\leq \frac{\alpha_{p,1}}{p} + \frac{\alpha'_{q,1}}{q} - \alpha'_{q,1} = \frac{1}{p}(\alpha_{p,1} - \alpha'_{q,1}) \leq \frac{1}{p^2}(\gamma - \gamma'), \end{aligned}$$

and in general, $\alpha_{p,n} - \alpha'_{q,n} \leq \frac{1}{p^n}(\gamma - \gamma')$. Similarly we have $\alpha_{q,n} - \alpha'_{p,n} \leq \frac{1}{q^n}(\gamma - \gamma')$. Put $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha'_{q,n} = \beta_1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha'_{p,n} = \beta_2$. Since $p > 1$ and $q > 1$, we have $\alpha_p = \beta_1$ and $\alpha_q = \beta_2$. Since $\alpha_{p,n} \geq \alpha_p$ and consequently $\alpha'_{p,n} \leq \alpha'_p$ for all n , we have $\alpha'_p \geq \beta_2 = \alpha_q$. Similarly, $\alpha'_q \geq \alpha_p$. On the other hand, since $\alpha_q = \beta_2 \geq \alpha'_{p,n}$ and hence $\alpha'_q \leq \alpha''_{p,n} \leq \alpha_{p,n}$ for all n , we have $\alpha'_q \leq \alpha_p$. Thus we have $\alpha_p = \alpha'_q$. Therefore similarly we have $\alpha'_p = \alpha_q = \alpha'_q$.

LEMMA 4. If we assume that $p > q > 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then we have $\alpha_p \leq \alpha_q$.

Proof. Since

$$\alpha_{q,1} - \alpha_{p,1} = \left(\frac{\gamma}{q} + \frac{\gamma'}{p} \right) - \left(\frac{\gamma}{p} + \frac{\gamma'}{q} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right) (\gamma - \gamma') \geq 0,$$

we have $\alpha_{q,1} \geq \alpha_{p,1}$. We shall apply mathematical induction for n . If we assume that $\alpha_{q,n} \geq \alpha_{p,n}$ and consequently $\alpha'_{q,n} \leq \alpha'_{p,n}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{q,n+1} - \alpha_{p,n+1} &= \left(\frac{\alpha_{q,n}}{q} + \frac{\alpha'_{p,n}}{p} \right) - \left(\frac{\alpha_{p,n}}{p} + \frac{\alpha'_{q,n}}{q} \right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\alpha_{q,n}}{q} + \frac{\alpha'_{q,n}}{p} \right) - \left(\frac{\alpha_{q,n}}{p} + \frac{\alpha'_{q,n}}{q} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right) (\alpha_{q,n} - \alpha'_{q,n}) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right) (\alpha_{q,n} - \alpha_{p,n}) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $\alpha_{q,n} \geq \alpha_{p,n}$ for all n . Thus we get $\alpha_q \geq \alpha_p$.

In more general we have

THEOREM 2. *If $p > r > 1$, then we have $\alpha_r \geq \alpha_p$.*

Proof. If $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, then $s > q > 1$ and $\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{s}$. Now since

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{r,1} - \alpha_{p,1} &= \left(\frac{\gamma}{r} + \frac{\gamma'}{s} \right) - \left(\frac{\gamma}{p} + \frac{\gamma'}{q} \right) \\ &= \gamma \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p} \right) - \gamma' \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{s} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p} \right) (\gamma - \gamma') \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have $\alpha_{r,1} \geq \alpha_{p,1}$ and similarly $\alpha_{s,1} \leq \alpha_{q,1}$. Assume that $\alpha_{r,k} \geq \alpha_{p,k}$, $\alpha_{s,k} \leq \alpha_{q,k}$ and consequently $\alpha'_{r,k} \leq \alpha'_{p,k}$, $\alpha'_{s,k} \geq \alpha'_{q,k}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{r,k+1} - \alpha_{p,k+1} &= \left(\frac{\alpha_{r,k}}{r} + \frac{\alpha'_{s,k}}{s} \right) - \left(\frac{\alpha_{p,k}}{p} + \frac{\alpha'_{q,k}}{q} \right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\alpha_{r,k}}{r} + \frac{\alpha'_{q,k}}{s} \right) - \left(\frac{\alpha_{p,k}}{p} + \frac{\alpha'_{q,k}}{q} \right) \\ &= \alpha_{r,k} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p} \right) - \alpha'_{q,k} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{s} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p} \right) (\alpha_{r,k} - \alpha'_{q,k}) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p} \right) (\alpha_{r,k} - \alpha'_{s,k}) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $\alpha_{r,k+1} \geq \alpha_{p,k+1}$ and similarly $\alpha_{s,k+1} \leq \alpha_{q,k+1}$. Thus, we have $\alpha_{r,n} \geq \alpha_{p,n}$ for all n . Therefore we get $\alpha_r \geq \alpha_p$.

REMARK. We shall discuss elsewhere what position our crossnorms take among unitarily invariant crossnorms in the special case where B_1 and B_2 are Hilbert spaces H and \bar{H} respectively.