

73. On the Product of Some Quasi-Hausdorff and Logarithmic Methods of Summability

By KAZUO ISHIGURO

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., July 12, 1962)

1. O. Szász [11] discussed the following problem concerning the product of two summability methods for sequences: If a sequence $\{s_n\}$ is summable by a regular T_1 method then is the T_2 transform of $\{s_n\}$, where T_2 is a regular sequence-to-sequence method, also summable by the T_1 method to the same sum as before? In what follows we denote $T_1 \cdot T_2$ as the iteration product of these two methods, that is the T_1 transform of the T_2 transform of a sequence. He answered this problem in the affirmative in the cases when

- (a) Abel and Hausdorff summability,
- (b) Laplace and Riesz summability,
- (c) Borel and Hausdorff summability,
- (d) Abel summability and the circle method,
- (e) Abel summability and the S_α method.

He also gave an example of two regular methods, where T_1 does not imply $T_1 \cdot T_2$. (See [11, 12].) Here we denote "method A implies method B", when any sequence summable A is summable B to the same sum.

M. S. Ramanujan [9, 10] also answered this problem in the affirmative in the cases when

- (f) Abel and quasi-Hausdorff summability for a bounded sequence.
- (g) Borel and quasi-Hausdorff summability for a bounded sequence.
- (h) Abel summability and the (S^*, μ) method for the sequence which satisfies some condition.

M. R. Parameswaran [4] answered this problem in the affirmative in the case when

- (i) Nörlund summability and a method of the Nörlund type.

C. T. Rajagopal [5] and T. Pati [3] also proved several theorems concerning this problem.

D. Borwein [1] answered this problem in the affirmative in the case when

- (j) logarithmic and Hausdorff summability.

The purpose of this note is to prove a theorem in the case when

- (k) logarithmic and quasi-Hausdorff summability with some con-

dition for a bounded sequence.

When a sequence $\{s_n\}$ is given we define the logarithmic method of summability as follows: If

$$\frac{-1}{\log(1-x)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_n}{n+1} x^{n+1}$$

tends to a finite limit s as $x \rightarrow 1$ in the open interval $(0, 1)$, we say that $\{s_n\}$ is L -summable to s and write $\lim s_n = s(L)$. It is well known that the Abel method implies the L method. (See [2].) D. Borwein [1] studied this method in connection with the generalized Abel method.

On the other hand if

$$(1) \quad h_n^* = \sum_{\nu \geq n} \binom{\nu}{n} s_\nu \int_0^1 t^{n+1} (1-t)^{\nu-n} d\psi(t) \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

tend to a finite limit s as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we say that $\{s_n\}$ is quasi-Hausdorff summable to s and write $\lim s_n = s(H^*, \psi)$, where $\psi(t)$ is a function of bounded variation in the closed interval $[0, 1]$. M. S. Ramanujan [6, 7, 8] investigated this method in complete detail. He proved that the (H^*, ψ) method is regular if and only if

$$(2) \quad \psi(1) - \psi(0) = 1. \quad (\text{See also [2].})$$

In the paper [9] he proved the following

Theorem 1. *If $\{s_n\}$ is a bounded sequence and (H^*, ψ) is a regular quasi-Hausdorff method, then the Abel method A implies the $A \cdot (H^*, \psi)$ method.*

Here we prove the following

Theorem 2. *If we assume the same conditions as Theorem 1 and moreover*

$$(3) \quad \int_0^\sigma \log t |d\psi(t)| \text{ is finite}$$

for a positive σ , then the L method implies the $L \cdot (H^*, \psi)$ method.

2. Proof of Theorem 2. For the proof we use the method of M. S. Ramanujan [9]. Since the quasi-Hausdorff transforms of $\{s_n\}$ are given by (1), we have

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_n^*}{n+1} x^{n+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{\nu \geq n} \int_0^1 s_\nu \binom{\nu}{n} (1-t)^{\nu-n} t^{n+1} d\psi(t)$$

provided the right-hand member exists. To prove this existence we consider the right-hand member with s_ν replaced by $|s_\nu|$ and $\psi(t)$, supposed to be monotonic increasing (as is permissible). The right-hand member with these changes, is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{\nu \geq n} \int_0^1 |s_\nu| \binom{\nu}{n} (1-t)^{\nu-n} t^{n+1} d\psi(t) \\ &= \sum_n \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} \int_0^1 \sum_{\nu \geq n} |s_\nu| \binom{\nu}{n} (1-t)^{\nu-n} t^{n+1} d\psi(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^1 \sum_n \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{\nu \geq n} |s_\nu| \binom{\nu}{n} (1-t)^{\nu-n} t^{n+1} d\psi(t) \\
&= \int_0^1 \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} |s_\nu| \sum_{n=0}^{\nu} \binom{\nu}{n} (1-t)^{\nu-n} \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} t^{n+1} d\psi(t) \\
&= \int_0^1 \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} |s_\nu| t \int_0^x (1-t+ut)^\nu du d\psi(t) \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_0^x \sum_{\nu} |s_\nu| (1-t+ut)^\nu du \cdot t d\psi(t)
\end{aligned}$$

every inversion of operations being justified by the fact that we have only positive integrands or terms. The last integral is finite from the boundedness of $\{s_n\}$ and the condition (2).

Hence we get from (4)

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_n^*}{n+1} x^{n+1} = \int_0^1 \int_0^x \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} s_\nu (1-t+ut)^\nu du \cdot t d\psi(t).$$

Here we put

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_n}{n+1} x^{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_n^*}{n+1} x^{n+1}.$$

Since

$$\int_0^x \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} s_\nu (1-t+ut)^\nu du = \int_0^x f'(1-t+ut) du = \frac{1}{t} \{f(1-t+xt) - f(1-t)\},$$

we get from (5)

$$(6) \quad F(x) = \int_0^1 \{f(1-t+xt) - f(1-t)\} d\psi(t).$$

Substituting $x = 1 - \frac{1}{y}$, we have

$$g(y) = f\left(1 - \frac{1}{y}\right) = f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad G(y) = F\left(1 - \frac{1}{y}\right) = F(x)$$

and from the assumption

$$\frac{-1}{\log(1-x)} f(x) \rightarrow s \quad \text{as} \quad x \rightarrow 1-0$$

or

$$\frac{g(y)}{\log y} \rightarrow s \quad \text{as} \quad y \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (6) we have

$$(7) \quad \frac{G(y)}{\log y} = \int_0^1 \frac{g\left(\frac{y}{t}\right)}{\log y} d\psi(t) - \frac{1}{\log y} \int_0^1 f(1-t) d\psi(t) \\ = I - J, \text{ say.}$$

Since $\frac{g(y)}{\log y} \rightarrow s$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$, the same is true of $\frac{g\left(\frac{y}{t}\right)}{\log y/t}$ also, since,

for $0 < t \leq 1$, $\frac{y}{t} \geq y$. Hence

$$g\left(\frac{y}{t}\right) = \{s + o(1)\} \log \frac{y}{t} \quad \text{as } \frac{y}{t} \rightarrow \infty.$$

We put

$$I = \int_0^1 \frac{s \log \frac{y}{t}}{\log y} d\psi(t) + o\left(\int_0^1 \frac{\log \frac{y}{t}}{\log y} d\psi(t)\right) = I_1 + I_2.$$

From (2) and (3)

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= s \int_0^1 \frac{\log y - \log t}{\log y} d\psi(t) = s - \int_0^1 \frac{\log t}{\log y} d\psi(t) \\ &= s + o(1) \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we get $I_2 = o(1)$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$. Next we have

$$|J| \leq \frac{1}{\log y} \int_0^1 |f(1-t)| |d\psi(t)| \leq \frac{M}{\log y} \int_0^1 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-t)^{n+1}}{n+1} |d\psi(t)|,$$

where $|s_n| \leq M$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-t)^{n+1}}{n+1} = -\log t \quad \text{for } 0 < t \leq 1,$$

we get from (3)

$$|J| \leq \frac{M}{\log y} \int_0^1 (-\log t) |d\psi(t)| = o(1) \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty.$$

Collecting above estimations we have

$$\frac{G(y)}{\log y} \rightarrow s \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty,$$

which proves the theorem.

3. Remark. In the special case when

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &= 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < r \\ &= 1 \quad \text{for } r \leq t \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies the conditions of our theorem, we have the circle method of summability (γ, r) for $0 < r < 1$. Then (4) and (5) become respectively

$$(4') \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{\nu \geq n} s_{\nu} \binom{\nu}{n} (1-r)^{\nu-n} r^{n+1}$$

and

$$(5') \quad r \int_0^x \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} s_{\nu} (1-r+ur)^{\nu} du.$$

From the L summability of $\{s_n\}$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_n}{n+1} x^{n+1}$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n x^n$ converge absolutely in the interval $0 \leq x < 1$. So we can interchange the order of two summations in (4') and get the equality (4') = (5') irrespective of whether $\{s_n\}$ is bounded or not. Thus (7) reduces to the following

expression

$$\frac{G(y)}{\log y} = \frac{1}{\log y} \left\{ g\left(\frac{y}{r}\right) - f(1-r) \right\} \rightarrow s \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence we have the following

Corollary. *The L method implies the $L(\gamma, r)$ method for $0 < r < 1$.*

References

- [1] D. Borwein: A logarithmic method of summability, *Jour. London Math. Soc.*, **33**, 212-220 (1958).
- [2] G. B. Hardy: *Divergent series*, Oxford (1949).
- [3] T. Pati: Products of summability methods, *Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India*, **20**, 348-351 (1954).
- [4] M. R. Parameswaran: Some product theorems in summability, *Math. Zeitschr.*, **68**, 19-26 (1957).
- [5] C. T. Rajagopal: Theorems on the product of two summability methods with applications, *Jour. Ind. Math. Soc. (New Ser.)*, **18**, 89-105 (1954).
- [6] M. S. Ramanujan: Series-to-series quasi-Hausdorff transformations, *Jour. Ind. Math. Soc. (New Ser.)*, **17**, 47-53 (1953).
- [7] —: A note on quasi-Hausdorff series-to-series transformations, *Jour. Ind. Math. Soc.*, **32**, 27-32 (1957).
- [8] —: On Hausdorff and quasi-Hausdorff methods of summability, *Quart. Jour. Math.*, **8**, 197-213 (1957).
- [9] —: Theorems on the product of quasi-Hausdorff and Abel transforms, *Math. Zeitschr.*, **64**, 442-447 (1956).
- [10] —: On products of summability methods, *Math. Zeitschr.*, **69**, 423-428 (1958).
- [11] O. Szász: On products of summability methods, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **3**, 257-263 (1952).
- [12] —: On the product of two summability methods, *Ann. Soc. Polonaise Math.*, **25**, 75-84 (1952).