No. 4] 339

77. On the So-called Fundamental Theorem of Integration

By Kumiko FUJITA

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., April 12, 1966)

Hobson [1] called fundamental theorem of the integration the following property of the integral that the indefinite Denjoy integral (in the restricted sense) has finite differential coefficient, equal to the integrand, almost everywhere in the interval of integration.

Concerning this theorem, we shall give a more general condition. For this purpose, consider in the first place A-integral introduced by E.C. Titchmarsh [2] and A. Kolgomoroff [3] which enables us to integrate every function conjugate with a summable function. It seems that A-integral is not only too general to have the unicity but also too special to integrate every $\mathfrak D$ -integrable [4] function and the function $\frac{1}{x}$.

On the other hand (E.R.)-integral was defined by K. Kunugi [5]. Although it is equivalent to A-integral [6], the generalized (E.R.)-integral, named (E.R. ν)-integrals by H. Okano [7], enables us to integrate the function $\frac{1}{x}$, and also enables us, for every \mathfrak{D} -integrable function f(x), to find an (E.R. ν)-integral which gives the indefinite (E.R. ν)-integral of f(x) identical with the indefinite \mathfrak{D} -integral of f(x) [8].

Let us begin to consider the following condition (Q^*) of Cauchy sequence which may be regarded as a modification of the condition (Q) introduced by H. Okano;

 (Q^*) i) A_n is a non-decreasing sequence of closed sets,

ii)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{I_n^i} f_m(t) dt \right| < \varepsilon_n$$
 for $m \ge n$,

where $\{I_n^i\}$ be the sequence of intervals contiguous to A_n .

Theorem 1.2 If a function f(x) has a Cauchy sequence $(V(\mathcal{E}_n, A_n; f_n)) \in \mathfrak{C}(f; \nu)$ which satisfies the condition (Q^*) , then $F(x) = (E.R.\nu) \int_{-\infty}^x f(t)dt$ exists and is finite for every point $x \in A_n$, and we have

¹⁾ We say that a closed interval I is contiguous to a closed set F when the interior of I is a connected component of CF.

²⁾ For the notations see [7].

$$(E.R.
u)\int_{-\infty}^x f(t)dt = \int_{A_n\cap(-\infty,x)} f(t)dt + \sum_{\left\{i:\ I_n^i\subset(-\infty,\,x)
ight\}} (E.R.
u)\int_{I_n^i} f(t)dt$$

for every $x \in A_n$ and

$$\sum_{i}\left|\left(E.R.
u
ight)\int_{I_{m}^{i}}f(t)dt\left|$$

where $\{I_n^i\}$ be the sequence of intervals contiguous to A_n .

Proof. Let $x \in A_n$, then we have

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{x} f_n(t) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{x} f_m(t) dt \right| \\ & \leq \int_{A_n} \left| f_n(t) - f_m(t) \right| dt + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{I_n^i} f_n(t) dt \right| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{I_n^i} f_m(t) dt \right| \leq 3\varepsilon_n \end{split}$$

for every integer $m \ge n$. Hence we have

$$\left| (E.R.\nu) \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(t)dt - \int_{-\infty}^{x} f_n(t)dt \right| \leq 3\varepsilon_n. \tag{1}$$

Next, let $x \in A_n$ and let $\{I_n^i\}$ be the sequence of intervals contiguous to A_n , then we have, for every pair m, m' of integers $(n \le m \le m')$,

$$\sum_{i} \left| \int_{I_{n}^{i}} f_{m}(t) dt - \int_{I_{n}^{i}} f_{m'}(t) dt \right| \\
\leq \sum_{i} \left| \int_{A_{m} \cap I_{n}^{i}} (f_{m}(t) - f_{m'}(t)) dt + \sum_{\left\{j: I_{m}^{j} \subset I_{n}^{i}\right\}} \int_{I_{m}^{j}} (f_{m}(t) - f_{m'}(t)) dt \right| \\
\leq \int_{A_{m}} \left| f_{m}(t) - f_{m'}(t) \right| dt + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{I_{m}^{j}} f_{m}(t) dt \right| + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{I_{m}^{j}} f_{m'}(t) dt \right| \\
\leq 3\varepsilon \tag{2}$$

Hence, we have, for every integer $m \ge n$,

$$\sum_{i} \left| (E.R.\nu) \int_{I_{m}^{i}} f(t)dt - \int_{I_{m}^{i}} f_{m}(t)dt \right| \leq 3\varepsilon_{m}. \tag{3}$$

It follows from the relations (1) and (2), that

$$\begin{split} &(E.R.\nu) \! \int_{-\infty}^x \! f(t) dt - \! \int_{A_n \cap (-\infty,x)} \! f(t) dt - \sum_i \left(E.R.\nu \right) \! \int_{I_n^i} \! f(t) dt \, \Big| \\ & \leq \Big| \left(E.R.\nu \right) \! \int_{-\infty}^x \! f(t) dt - \! \int_{-\infty}^x \! f_m(t) dt \, \Big| + \! \int_{A_n} \! |f(t) - \! f_m(t)| \, dt \\ & + \sum_i \Big| \left(E.R.\nu \right) \! \int_{I_n^i} \! f(t) dt - \! \int_{I_n^i} \! f_m(t) dt \, \Big| \leq 7 \varepsilon_m \end{split}$$

for every point $x \in A_n$ and every integer $m \ge n$. Hence we have

$$(E.R.
u) \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(t) dt = \int_{A_{n} \cap (-\infty,x)} f(t) dt + \sum_{\{i: \ I_{n}^{i} \subset (-\infty,x)\}} (E.R.
u) \int_{I_{n}^{i}} f(t) dt$$
 (4)

for every point $x \in A_n$.

It follows from the property (Q*) and the relation (3) that $\sum_{i} \left| (E.R.\nu) \int_{r^{i}} f(t) dt \right|$

$$\leq \sum_{i} \left\{ \left| (E.R.\nu) \int_{I_{n}^{i}} f(t)dt - \int_{I_{n}^{i}} f_{m}(t)dt \, \right| + \left| \int_{I_{n}^{i}} f_{m}(t)dt \, \right| \right\} \leq 3\varepsilon_{m} + \varepsilon_{n}$$

for every integer $m \ge n$. Hence we have

$$\sum_{i} \left| (E.R.\nu) \int_{I_{n}^{i}} f(t) dt \right| \leq \varepsilon_{n}$$
 (5)

for every integer n. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2. If a function f(x) has a Cauchy sequence $(V(\varepsilon_n)A_n; f_n)) \in \mathbb{C}(f; \nu)$ which satisfies the condition (Q^*) , then $F(x) = (E.R.\nu)\int_{-\infty}^x f(t)dt$ is AC on every A_n and has the approximate derivative $F'_{ap}(x) = f(x)$ almost all points x of the whole interval of integration.

Proof. First, let n be a fixed integer and let $\{I_n^i\}$ be the sequence of intervals contiguous to A_n , then, being f(x) summable on A_n , there exists $\eta' = \eta'(\varepsilon, n) > 0$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{mes}\left(E\right) < \eta' \quad \operatorname{implies} \int_{E \cap A_n} |f(x)| \, dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$
 (6)

Writing $F(I)=(E.R.\nu)\int_I f(t)dt$, there exists, for every $\varepsilon>0$, an integer $k=k(\varepsilon,n)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} |F(I_n^i)| < \frac{arepsilon}{2}$$
 .

Let $\{J_j\}$ be a sequence of intervals whose end points belong to A_n , then the inequality $\sum_i |J_j| < \eta = \min(\eta', |I_n^1|, |I_n^2|, \cdots, |I_n^k|)$ implies

$$\sum_{j} |F(J_{j})| = \sum_{j} \left| \int_{A_{n} \cap J_{j}} f(t) + \sum_{\left\{i: I_{n}^{i} \subset J_{j}\right\}} F(I_{n}^{i}) \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{A_{n} \cap \left\{\bigcup J_{j}\right\}} |f(t)| dt + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} |F(I_{n}^{i})| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence F(x) is AC on A_n .

Next, let

$$g_{\scriptscriptstyle n}(x) \! = \! \begin{cases} \! f(x) & \text{for } x \in A_{\scriptscriptstyle n} \\ \! F(I_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle i}) / | \ I_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle i} | & \text{for } x \in I_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle i}, \end{cases}$$

then $g_n(x)$ is summable. Writing $G_n(x) = \int_{c_n}^x g_n(t) dt + (E.R.\nu) \int_{-\infty}^{c_n} f(t) dt$ where c_n is the greatest lower bound of A_n , we have $G_n(x) = F(x)$ and $G'_n(x) = g_n(x)$ for almost all points x of A_n . Hence, we have $f(x) = g_n(x) = G'_n(x) = F'_{ap}(x)$ for almost all points x of A_n and $F'_{ap}(x) = f(x)$ almost everywhere. This completes the proof.

Corollary. (1) If the function f(x) has a Cauchy sequence $(V(\varepsilon_n, A_n; f_n)) \in \mathbb{C}(f; \nu)$ which satisfies in addition to the condition (Q^*) , the conditions iii) $(a, b) - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \phi$, iv) $\left| \int_a^x (f_n(t) - f_m(t)) dt \right| < \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_m$ for every point of the interval (a, b), then f(x) is \mathfrak{D} -integrable on (a, b) and we have

$$(\mathfrak{D})\int_a^x f(t)dt = (E, R, \nu)\int_a^x f(t)dt$$

for every point x of the interval (a, b).

(2) Conversely, if a function f(x) is \mathfrak{D} -integrable on the interval (a, b), there exists a $(E.R.\nu)$ -integral and a Cauchy sequence $(V(\varepsilon_n, A_n; f_n)) \in \mathfrak{C}(f; \nu)$ which satisfies the conditions iii) and iv) in addition to (Q^*) .

Proof. It follows from iv) and theorem 1 that there exists finite $F(x) = (E.R.\nu) \int_a^x f(t)dt$ for every point x of (a, b). And it follows from iv) that F(x) is continuous on the interval (a, b). From this and theorem 1 F(x) is ACG on the interval (a, b) and we have $F'_{ap}(x) = f(x)$ almost all points x of (a, b). Hence we have

$$(\mathfrak{D})\int_a^x f(t)dt = F(x) = (E.R.\nu)\int_a^x f(t)dt.$$

The converse is clear by the corollary which we have given in the paper "On indifinite (E.R)-integrals. II."

Example 1. Let

$$f(x) = egin{cases} rac{1}{x} & ext{for } x \in \llbracket -1, 1
brace \\ 0 & ext{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
 $u(E) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} rac{e^{-|x|}}{x^2} dx,$ $arepsilon_n = rac{2}{n}$ $A_n = \left(-\infty, -rac{1}{n}
ight] \cup \left[rac{1}{n}, +\infty
ight)$ $f_n(x) = egin{cases} f(x) & ext{for } x \in A_n \\ 0 & ext{otherwise,} \end{cases}$

then the Cauchy sequence $(V(\varepsilon_n, A_n; f_n)) \in \mathfrak{C}(f; \nu)$ satisfies the condition (Q^*) . And we have $F(x) = (E.R.\nu) \int_{-\infty}^x f(t) dt = \log|x|, F'_{ap}(x) = F'(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ for every point x of $(-1, 1) = \{0\}$.

Example 2. Let us begin to define a sequence $\{H_n\}$ of closed sets in $I_0 = [0, 1]$. Let H(I) be the Harnack set in I = [a, b] whose measure is equal to $\frac{|I|}{2}$, and let $H^+(I)$ and $H^-(I)$ be the set of all

points x of H(I) such that $x \le \frac{a+b}{2}$ and $x > \frac{a+b}{2}$ respectively. Let

 $H_1=H(I_0)$ and $H_{n+1}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}H(I_n^i)^{\cup}H_n$, where $\{I_n^i\}$ be the sequence of the intervals in [0,1] contiguous to H_n . Then $\{H_n\}$ is the non-decreasing sequence of closed sets.

Let

$$f(x) = egin{cases} rac{2^n}{n} & ext{for } x \in H^\pm(I_n^i) \ 0 & ext{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
 $u(E) = \sum\limits_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-n} \operatorname{mes} (E \cap H_n), \ A_n = H_n \cup (-\infty, 0]^\cup \llbracket 1, \infty), \ arepsilon_n = rac{1}{n}, \ f_n = egin{cases} f(x) & ext{for } x \in A_n \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

 $f_n = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{for } x \in A_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$ then the Cauchy sequence $(V(\varepsilon_n, A_n; f_n)) \in \mathfrak{C}(f; \nu)$ satisfies the condition (Q^*) . Hence there exists $F(x) = (E.R.\nu) \int_{-\infty}^x f(t) dt$ and it has the approximate derivative $F'_{ap}(x) = f(x)$ for almost all points x of the whole interval.

On the other hand, every interval $I \subset [0, 1]$ contains, for sufficient large n, a interval I_n^i contiguous to H_n and we have

$$egin{aligned} \int_{I_n^i} |f(t)| \, dt &= \sum\limits_{k=n+1}^\infty rac{2^k}{k} \, \operatorname{mes} \left(I_n^i \cap H_k
ight) = \sum\limits_{k=n+1}^\infty rac{2^k}{k} \, rac{\operatorname{mes} \left(I_n^i
ight)}{2^{k-n}} \ &= 2^n \, \operatorname{mes} \left(I_n^i
ight) \sum\limits_{k=n+1}^\infty rac{1}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence f(x) is not summable on any interval and therefore f(x) is not \mathfrak{D} -integrable on [0,1].

References

- [1] E. W. Hobson: The Theory of Functions of a Real Variable and the Theory of Fourier's Series. Cambridge (1921).
- [2] E. C. Titchmarsh: On conjugate functions. Proc. London Math. Soc., 29, 49-80 (1929).
- [3] A. Kolgomoroff: Grundbegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung. Berlin (1933).
- [4] S. Saks: Theory of the Integral. Warszawa (1937).
- [5] K. Kunugi: Application de la méthode des espaces rangés a la théorie de l'intégration. I. Proc. Japan Acad., 32, 215-220 (1956); Sur une généralisation de l'integrale. Fundamental and Applied Aspects of Math. Mon. Ser. Res. Inst. App. El., Hokkaido University, 7, 1-30 (1959).
- [6] I. Amemiya and T. Ando: Measure theoretic singular integral. Bull. Res. Inst. App. El., Hokkaido University, 13, 33-50 (1961).
- [7] H. Okano: Sur une généralisation de l'integrale (E.R.) et un théorème général de l'integration par parties. Jour. Math. Soc. Japan, 14, 430-442 (1962).
- [8] K. Fujita: On indefinite (E.R.)-integrals. I, II. Proc. Japan Acad., 41, 686-695 (1965).