

152. The Lattice of Congruences of Locally Cyclic Semigroups

By Takayuki TAMURA and Wallace ETTERBEEK

University of California, Davis, California

(Comm. by Kenjiro SHODA, M.J.A., Sept. 12, 1966)

In [2] Dean and Oehmke proved Theorem 1. Using Theorem 2 proved by Tamura and Levin [4] we will give another proof for Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. *The lattice of congruences on a locally cyclic semigroup is a distributive lattice.*

Theorem 2. *Let S be a locally cyclic semigroup, then $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$ where $S_i \subseteq S_{i+1}$ and S_i is a cyclic semigroup.*

Let C be a cyclic semigroup. Denote C by $C = (n, m)$ where 1 generates C and n, m are non-negative integers or $n = m = \infty$. C is finite if and only if n, m are finite. See p. 19-20 [1].

Any congruence ρ on a cyclic semigroup C is determined uniquely by its induced homomorphic image C' a cyclic semigroup. We denote $\rho = \rho(n', m')$ where $C' = (n', m')$ and

$$(1) \quad a \rho b \text{ if and only if } \begin{cases} a = b & a < n', b < n' \\ m' \mid (a - b) & a \geq n', b \geq n'. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 1. *Let $C = (n, m)$ be a cyclic semigroup $\rho = \rho(n_1, m_1)$ is a congruence on C if and only if $n_1 \leq n, m_1 \mid m$.*

Proposition 2. *Let S_1, S_2 be cyclic semigroups such that $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ and 1 generates S_2, k generates S_1 . $\rho_1 = \rho_1(n_1, m_1)$ and $\rho_2 = \rho_2(n_2, m_2)$ are congruences on S_1 and S_2 respectively with $\rho_1 = \rho_2 \mid S_1$ if and only if $n_2 \leq n_1$ and $n_1 - r \leq n_2 - 1$ where $n_1 \equiv r \pmod{k}, 1 \leq r \leq k$, and $m = \text{lcm}(k, m_2)$.*

Definition 1. Let σ, ρ be congruences on a groupoid G . Then $\sigma \vee \rho$ is the smallest congruence containing σ and ρ and $\sigma \wedge \rho$ is the largest congruence contained in σ and ρ .

Since the identity relation is contained in all congruences and the universal relation contains all congruences and intersection preserves congruences for any congruences, σ, ρ on a groupoid G both $\sigma \vee \rho$ and $\sigma \wedge \rho$ exist.

In [5] Tamura proved the following.

Proposition 3. *Let C be a cyclic semigroup; let $\sigma = \sigma(n_1, m_1), \rho = \rho(n_2, m_2)$ be congruences on C then*

- (i) $\sigma \vee \rho = (\min(n_1, n_2), \text{gcd}(m_1, m_2))$
- (ii) $\sigma \wedge \rho = (\max(n_1, n_2), \text{lcm}(m_1, m_2))$.

As a consequence of Proposition 3 we have:

Proposition 4. *Let σ, ρ, δ be congruences on a cyclic semigroup C . Then $\sigma \wedge (\rho \vee \delta) = (\sigma \wedge \rho) \vee (\sigma \wedge \delta)$.*

Definition 2. Let S be a locally cyclic semigroup and σ a congruence on S . Then $\sigma_i = \sigma | S_i$ where $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$ and S_i is a cyclic semigroup.

Since the representation of S is not unique, σ_i depends upon the S_i 's.

Proposition 5. *Let S, σ be as defined above. Then*

- (i) σ_i is a congruence, $1 \leq i < \infty$
- (ii) $\sigma_i \subseteq \sigma_{i+j}$, $0 \leq j < \infty$
- (iii) $\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+j} | S_i$, $0 \leq j < \infty$
- (iv) $\sigma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_i$.

By [3] we have the following two propositions.

Proposition 6. *Let σ, ρ be congruences on a groupoid G . Then $\sigma \vee \rho = (\sigma \cup \rho)T$ where $T = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_2^n$, $(\delta)T_2 = \delta \cup \delta^2$, $\delta T_2^n = ((\delta)T_2)T^{n-1}$, and " \cup " is the set union. (See [3].)*

Proposition 7. *Let $\delta \subseteq G \times G$ for some groupoid G , and $a, b \in G$. Then $a(\delta)Tb$ if and only if there exists $x_1, \dots, x_n \in G$ such that $a = x_1\delta x_2, x_2\delta x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}\delta x_n = b$.*

Proposition 8. *Let S be a locally cyclic semigroup with congruences σ, δ and let $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$, S_i a cyclic semigroup. Then*

- (i) $\sigma_i \vee \rho_i = (\sigma \vee \rho)_i$
- (ii) $\sigma_i \wedge \rho_i = (\sigma \wedge \rho)_i$.

We will prove only (i) since the proof of (ii) is an obvious result of the definition of " \wedge ".

Clearly $\sigma_i \vee \rho_i \subseteq (\sigma \vee \rho)_i$; therefore assume $a, b \in S_i$ and $a(\sigma \vee \rho)_i b$ and $a \neq b$. Since $\sigma_i \vee \rho_i$ is symmetric without loss of generality assume $a < b$. By Proposition 6 $a(\sigma \vee \rho)Tb$ so by Proposition 7 there exists x_1, \dots, x_n such that $a = x_1(\sigma \vee \rho)x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}(\sigma \vee \rho)x_n = b$ with $x_j \in S_{i_j}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $i_* = \max [\{i_j\} \cup \{i\}]$. We have $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S_{i_*}$ since $S_i \subseteq S_{i_*}$ and $S_{i_j} \subseteq S_{i_*}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $x_j(\sigma \vee \rho)x_{j+1}$ implies $x_j\sigma_{i_*}x_{j+1}$ or $x_j\rho_{i_*}x_{j+1}$. Let $\sigma_{i_*} = \sigma_{i_*}(\bar{m}_*, \bar{m}_*)$ and $\rho_{i_*} = \rho_{i_*}(m_*, m_*)$. Using (1) we have $\bar{m}_* | x_j - x_{j+1}$ or $m_* | x_j - x_{j+1}$ so $\gcd(\bar{m}_*, m_*) | x_i - x_{i+1}$ giving us

$$(2) \quad \gcd(\bar{m}_*, m_*) | a - b \quad \text{since} \quad a - b = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (x_j - x_{j+1}).$$

Now since $a, b \in S_i, k | a - b$ where k generates S_i as a subsemigroup of S_{i_*} . Therefore by (2) $\text{lcm}(k, \gcd(\bar{m}_*, m_*)) | a - b$. But $\text{lcm}(k, \gcd(\bar{m}_*, m_*)) = \gcd(\text{lcm}(k, \bar{m}_*), \text{lcm}(k, m_*)) = \gcd(\bar{m}_i, m_i)$ where $\sigma_i = (\bar{m}_i, \bar{m}_i)$ and $\rho_i = (m_i, m_i)$ by Proposition 2. This gives

$$(3) \quad \gcd(\bar{m}_i, m_i) | a - b.$$

By Proposition 2 and (1) either $\bar{n}_i - \bar{r} \leq \bar{n}_{i*} - 1 < a$ or $n_i - r \leq n_{i*} - 1 < a$ since $a \neq b$. Now $k | \bar{n}_i - \bar{r}$, $k | n_i - r$, and $k | a$ so $\bar{n}_i \leq a$ or $n_i \leq a$ since $1 \leq \bar{r} \leq k$ and $1 \leq r \leq k$ therefore

$$(4) \quad \min(\bar{n}_i, n_i) \leq a < b.$$

From Proposition 3, $\sigma_i \vee \rho_i = (\min(\bar{n}_i, n_i), \text{gcd}(\bar{m}_i, m_i))$ so (3) and (4) give us

$$(5) \quad a(\sigma_i \vee \rho_i)b.$$

Therefore $(\sigma \vee \rho)_i \subseteq \sigma_i \vee \rho_i$ which gives

$$\sigma_i \vee \rho_i = (\sigma \vee \rho)_i.$$

Now using Theorem 2 and Propositions 4, 5, and 8 we will give another proof for Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. *Let S be a locally cyclic semigroup and S the lattice of congruences on S . Then S is a distributive lattice.*

Let $\sigma, \rho, \delta \in S$. By Theorem 2 and Proposition 5, $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$, $S_i \subseteq S_{i+1}$, S_i a cyclic semigroup $1 \leq i < \infty$ and $\sigma_i, \rho_i, \delta_i$ are all well defined congruences with respect to $\{S_i\}_{1 \leq i < \infty}$ for $1 \leq i < \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore } \sigma \wedge (\rho \vee \delta) &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} [\sigma \wedge (\rho \vee \delta)]_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} [\sigma_i \wedge (\rho \vee \delta)_i] \\ &\text{by Prop. 5} \quad \text{by Prop. 8} \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} [\sigma_i \wedge (\rho_i \vee \delta_i)] = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} [(\sigma_i \wedge \rho_i) \vee (\sigma_i \wedge \delta_i)] \\ &\text{by Prop. 8} \quad \text{by Prop. 4} \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} [(\sigma \wedge \rho)_i \vee (\sigma \wedge \delta)_i] = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} [(\sigma \wedge \rho) \vee (\sigma \wedge \delta)]_i \\ &\text{by Prop. 8} \quad \text{by Prop. 8} \\ &= (\sigma \wedge \rho) \vee (\sigma \wedge \delta) \\ &\text{by Prop. 5.} \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston: The algebraic theory of semigroups. I. Surveys 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I. (1961).
- [2] R. A. Dean and R. H. Oehmke: Idempotent semigroups with distributive right congruence lattices. Pacific J. Math., **14**, 1187-1209 (1964).
- [3] T. Tamura: The theory of operations on binary relations. Tran. Amer. Math. Soc., **120**, 343-358 (1965).
- [4] T. Tamura and R. Levin: On locally cyclic semigroups. Proc. Japan Acad., **42**, 376-379 (1966).
- [5] T. Tamura: Attainability of systems of identities on semigroups. Jour. of Alg., **3**, 261-276 (1966).