

148. The Completion of a Convergence Space in the Sense of H. R. Fisher

By Suketaka MITANI
University of Osaka Prefecture

(Comm. by Kinjirō KUNUGI, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1968)

In this paper we shall make a study of the completion of a space: here by a space we mean a set in which there is defined a closure operation satisfying three conditions $A \subseteq \bar{A}$, $\overline{\bar{\phi}} = \bar{\phi}$, and $\overline{A \cup B} = \bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$.

Such a space was introduced by Tukey [8] and studied also by Fisher [4] under the name of a convergence space.¹⁾

In this paper we shall describe a space by assigning a neighborhood system to each point of it.

Thus we get a generalization of the results of the author's paper [7].²⁾

§ 1. Let φ be a mapping of a set X into a set Y . Then for a family \mathfrak{A} consisting of subsets of X , we will denote by $\varphi(\mathfrak{A})$ the family $\{\varphi(A) \mid A \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ and for a family \mathfrak{B} consisting of subsets of Y , let's denote by $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{B})$ the family $\{\varphi^{-1}(B) \mid B \in \mathfrak{B}\}$.

Let X be a subset of a set X^* , then for a filter \mathfrak{f} in X , the filter in X^* generated by \mathfrak{f} is denoted by \mathfrak{f}^* .

We consider a set X together with a family N of filters in X satisfying the following three conditions:

- N1) to every $x \in X$ there corresponds uniquely a filter $\mathfrak{N}(x)$ each member of which contains x ,
- N2) a filter in X containing an element of N also belongs to N ,
- N3) for every $x \in X$, $\mathfrak{N}(x) \in N$.

We will denote such a space X with N by $(X; N)$ and call it a space simply.

A filter base \mathfrak{f} in X converges to x in X if and only if the filter generated by \mathfrak{f} contains $\mathfrak{N}(x)$.³⁾

A filter $\mathfrak{N}(x)$ and each of its members are called the neighborhood system of x and a neighborhood of x respectively.

A mapping φ of a space $(X; N)$ into a space $(Y; M)$ is continuous if and only if for every $x \in X$ a filter generated by $\varphi(\mathfrak{N}(x))$ contains

1) In this paper spaces are all \mathcal{T}_1 convergence spaces. See [4].

2) In that paper [7] the condition C6) is stated erroneously. It must be read as C6) of this paper and \mathfrak{f} in the last two lines on page 464 must be a leg.

3) N1) with this definition of convergence is called \mathcal{T}_1 convergence structure of a space by Fisher.

$\mathfrak{N}(\varphi(x))$; furthermore, if φ is one-to-one and onto and if φ^{-1} is also continuous, φ is called a *homeomorphism*.

The completeness is defined as follows: $(X; N)$ is *complete* if and only if every filter belonging to N converges to some point of X in X .

If $(X; N)$ is complete then N is uniquely determined by the neighborhood systems of all the points of X .

A filter $\mathfrak{f} \in N$ is *minimal* if there is no filter belonging to N that is contained properly in \mathfrak{f} .

A filter $\mathfrak{f} \in N$ is a *leg* in X if it converges to no point of X in X .

A *completion* $(X^*; N^*)$ of a space $(X; N)$ is such a space that satisfies, in addition to the conditions N1), N2), and N3), the conditions C1) to C6) below:

C1) $X^* \supseteq X$,

C2) $(X^*; N^*)$ is complete,

C3) to every subset V of X , there corresponds a subset V^* of X^* such that $V^* \cap X = V$, and for every $x \in X$, $\{S \mid S \supseteq V^*, V \in \mathfrak{N}(x)\}$ is the neighborhood system of x in X^* ,

C4) for a point $x \in X^* \sim X$ and a subset V of X , if every leg $\mathfrak{f} \in N$ converging to x in X^* contains V then $x \in V^*$, and $\{S \mid S \supseteq V^* \ni x, V \subseteq X\}$ is the neighborhood system of $x \in X^* \sim X$ in X^* ,

C5) if $g \in N^*$ then $\{V \mid V^* \in g, V \subseteq X\} \in N$,

C6) any leg in X converges to only one point in X^* , and for every $x \in X^* \sim X$, there exists at least one leg in X converging to x in X^* .

Let's denote by $\mathfrak{N}^*(x)$ the neighborhood system of a point $x \in X^*$ in X^* .

Now, assume that there exists a completion $(X^*; N^*)$ of a space $(X; N)$.

Then for any two legs \mathfrak{f} and g , if $\mathfrak{f} \supseteq g$ and \mathfrak{f} converges to x in X^* , then by C6), g also converges to x in X^* . So the filter $\bigcap_{g \subseteq \mathfrak{f}, g \in N} g$ converges to x in X^* . Clearly it must belong to N by N3) and C5), and so it is a leg.

Denote $\bigcap_{g \subseteq \mathfrak{f}, g \in N} g$ by $[\mathfrak{f}]$. Thus the following holds.

E) If \mathfrak{f} is a leg then $[\mathfrak{f}]$ is also a leg.

Next, suppose that a space $(X; N)$ satisfies the above Condition E).

A leg \mathfrak{f} is minimal if and only if $\mathfrak{f} = [\mathfrak{f}]$.

Put, for every subset V of X ,

$$V^* = V \cup \{\mathfrak{f} \mid \mathfrak{f} \text{ is a minimal leg such that } V \in \mathfrak{f}\}.$$

For every minimal leg \mathfrak{f} , let $\mathfrak{N}^*(\mathfrak{f})$ be the filter in X^* generated by the filter base $\{V^* \mid V \in \mathfrak{f}\}$. On the other hand, for all $x \in X$, put

$$\mathfrak{N}^*(x) = \{S \mid S \supseteq V^*, V \in \mathfrak{N}(x)\} \text{ and}$$

$N^* = \{\mathfrak{f} \mid \mathfrak{f} \text{ is a filter in } X^* \text{ such that } \{V \mid V^* \in \mathfrak{f}, V \subseteq X\} \in N\}$.

Thus we get a space $(X^*; N^*)$.

Then, an arbitrary $\mathfrak{f} \in N^*$ converges to some point $x \in X^*$ in X^* . Because, if $\{V | V^* \in \mathfrak{f}\}$ converges to some point $y \in X$ in X then we can take $x=y$; on the other hand, if $\{V | V^* \in \mathfrak{f}\}$ is a leg then we have $x = [\{V | V^* \in \mathfrak{f}\}]$.

Thus $(X^*; N^*)$ is complete.

Suppose that a leg $\mathfrak{f} \in N$ in X converges to $g \in N$ in X^* . Then \mathfrak{f}^* contains the filter in X^* generated by $\{V^* | V \in g\}$. So $\mathfrak{f} \supseteq g$, hence $[\mathfrak{f}] = g$. And no leg converges to any point of X in X^* .

This result shows that $(X^*; N^*)$ satisfies the former part of C6).

The other conditions for the completion are satisfied almost clearly. Thus we get,

Theorem. *There exists a completion $(X^*; N^*)$ of a space $(X; N)$ if and only if for every leg \mathfrak{f} in X , $[\mathfrak{f}]$ is also a leg.*

Let $(X^*; N^*)$ and $(X^+; N^+)$ be two completions of a space $(X; N)$.

For every $x \in X^* \sim X$, there exists a leg $\mathfrak{f} \in N$ that converges to x in X^* , and \mathfrak{f} converges to some point y in X^+ . Let us put $\varphi(x) = y$. Furthermore we put $\varphi(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$. Then we get a one-to-one mapping φ of X^* onto X^+ .

From Condition C4) it follows that for every subset V of X , $\varphi(V^*) = V^+$.

Thus we get

Theorem. *A completion of a space $(X; N)$ is uniquely determined by $(X; N)$.*

§ 2. A product $(X; N)$ of spaces $(X_\lambda; N_\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Delta$ is defined to be a space satisfying Conditions P1) to P3) below :

P1) $X = \prod_{\lambda \in \Delta} X_\lambda$, i.e., X is the Cartesian product of X_λ ,

P2) a filter \mathfrak{f} in X belongs to N if and only if $P_\lambda(\mathfrak{f}) \in N_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Delta$, where P_λ is the projection of X onto its λ -component X_λ ,

P3) for all $x_\lambda \in X_\lambda$, the neighborhood system $\mathfrak{N}(x)$ of $x = \prod_{\lambda \in \Delta} x_\lambda \in X$ in X is the least filter containing $\cup_{\lambda \in \Delta} P_\lambda^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}(x_\lambda))$.

P3) is equivalent to :

P3)' the neighborhood system of $\prod_{\lambda \in \Delta} x_\lambda \in \prod_{\lambda \in \Delta} X_\lambda$ in $\prod_{\lambda \in \Delta} X_\lambda$ is the least filter of which the projection into the λ -component X_λ agrees with the neighborhood system $\mathfrak{N}(x_\lambda)$ of x_λ in X_λ for all $\lambda \in \Delta$.⁴⁾

A filter \mathfrak{f} in X converges to a point $x = \prod_{\lambda \in \Delta} x_\lambda$ if and only if the filter $P_\lambda(\mathfrak{f})$ in X_λ converges to x_λ in X_λ for all $\lambda \in \Delta$.

Hence we have

Theorem. *A product $\prod(X_\lambda; N_\lambda)$ is complete if and only if each factor space $(X_\lambda; N_\lambda)$ is complete.*

4) Furthermore it is equivalent to: P3)'' a product of spaces $(X_\lambda; N_\lambda)$ has the weakest \mathcal{T}_1 convergence structure such that each projection onto a component is continuous.

The following Condition T_2) is known as the Hausdorff separation axiom :

T_2) for any distinct points x and y there exist disjoint neighborhoods of x and y .

If a space $(X; N)$ satisfies the above Condition T_2) then $(X; N)$ is said to be a T_2 space.⁵⁾

A product $\Pi(X_\lambda; N_\lambda)$ is a T_2 space if and only if each factor space $(X_\lambda; N_\lambda)$ is a T_2 space.

Suppose that T_2 spaces $(X_\lambda; N_\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$ have completions $(X_\lambda^*; N_\lambda^*)$ which are also T_2 .

Then, the product $\Pi(X_\lambda; N_\lambda)$ of $(X_\lambda; N_\lambda)$ satisfies our Condition E) and so has the completion.

Denote $\Pi_{\lambda \in \mathcal{A}}(X_\lambda; N_\lambda)$ by $(X; N)$.

A filter $\mathfrak{f} \in N$ is a leg if and only if $P_\lambda(\mathfrak{f})$ is a leg for at least one $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let us define a mapping φ of $(X^*; N^*)$ into $\Pi(X_\lambda^*; N_\lambda^*)$ such that $\varphi|X$ is the identity and for every $x \in X^* \sim X$, $\varphi(x)$ is a point to which a leg $\mathfrak{f} \in N$ converging to x in X^* converges in $\Pi(X_\lambda^*; N_\lambda^*)$. Then φ is clearly one-to-one and onto. We have $\varphi((P_\lambda^{-1}(K))^*) \subseteq P_\lambda^{*-1}(K^*)$ for any subset K of X_λ , where P_λ^* is the projection of $\Pi(X_\lambda^*; N_\lambda^*)$ onto the λ -component $(X_\lambda^*; N_\lambda^*)$.

Thus φ is continuous.

Let \mathfrak{f}_λ be any minimal filter belonging to N_λ and K be any subset of X_λ belonging to \mathfrak{f}_λ .

If φ^{-1} is continuous then $\varphi((P_\lambda^{-1}(K))^*) \supseteq P_\lambda^*(M^*)$ for some $M \in \mathfrak{f}_\lambda$.

In view of the proposition below, we see that $(X_\lambda^*; N_\lambda^*)$ for $\lambda \neq \mu$ are all Hausdorff spaces if a non-complete factor space $(X_\mu; N_\mu)$, $\mu \in \mathcal{A}$ exists.

Proposition. *Let $(X^*; N^*)$ be the completion of a topological space $(X; N)$. Then $(X^*; N^*)$ is also a topological space if and only if for every minimal leg $\mathfrak{f} \in N$ and for any element V of \mathfrak{f} , there exists some element $W \in \mathfrak{f}$ such that $V \in \mathfrak{N}(x)$ for any $x \in W$.⁶⁾*

Conversely, for these $(X_\lambda; N_\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$, if $(X_\lambda^*; N_\lambda^*)$ is a Hausdorff space whenever there is a non-complete space $(X_\mu; N_\mu)$, $\mu \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \neq \mu$, then for every finite subset $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and for every open set $K_\lambda \subseteq X_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\varphi((\Pi_{\lambda \in \Gamma} K_\lambda \cdot \Pi_{\lambda \in \Gamma} X_\lambda)^*) = \Pi_{\lambda \in \Gamma} K_\lambda^* \cdot \Pi_{\lambda \in \Gamma} X_\lambda^*.$$
⁷⁾

5) It is to be noted that the condition $\overline{\overline{A}} = \overline{A}$ is not assumed in this paper.

6) This proposition is obtained directly from the following well known remark.
Remark. A space $(X; N)$ is a topological space if and only if for every $x \in X$ and its any neighborhood $V \in \mathfrak{N}(x)$ there exists a neighborhood $W \in \mathfrak{N}(x)$ such that for all points $y \in W$, $V \in \mathfrak{N}(y)$.

7) When a non-complete factor space is only one $(X_\mu; N_\mu)$, K_μ may be arbitrary subset of X_μ .

Thus, the following holds.

Theorem. Let $(X_\lambda; N_\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Delta$ be T_2 spaces such that they have the completions $(X_\lambda^*; N_\lambda^*)$ which are also T_2 . Then there exists a completion of the product $\Pi(X_\lambda; N_\lambda)$. And it agrees with $\Pi(X_\lambda^*; N_\lambda^*)$ if and only if $(X_\lambda^*; N_\lambda^*)$ is a Hausdorff space whenever there exists a non-complete space $(X_\mu; N_\mu)$, $\mu \in \Delta$ and $\mu \neq \lambda$.

Let $(X^*; N^*)$ be the completion of $(X; N)$.

In general, for every subsets S and U , if $S \cap U = \phi$ then $S^* \cap U^* = \phi$.

If there are two legs \mathfrak{f} and \mathfrak{g} such as for any $V \in \mathfrak{f}$ and for any $W \in \mathfrak{g}$, $V \cap W \neq \phi$, then $\{S \mid S \supseteq V \cap W, V \in \mathfrak{f}, W \in \mathfrak{g}\}$ is also in N . Either this filter converges in X or it is a leg, If it is a leg, then $[\mathfrak{f}] = [\{S \mid S \supseteq V \cap W, V \in \mathfrak{f}, W \in \mathfrak{g}\}] = [\mathfrak{g}]$.

So we get

Proposition. A completion $(X^*; N^*)$ of a T_2 space $(X; N)$ is T_2 if and only if for every leg \mathfrak{f} and for all points $x \in X$, $V \cap W = \phi$ for some subset $V \in [\mathfrak{f}]$ of X and some neighborhood W of x .

§ 3. A continuous mapping φ of $(X; N)$ into $(Y; M)$ is **-continuous* if a filter generated by $\varphi(\mathfrak{f})$ belongs to M for every filter $\mathfrak{f} \in N$.

Let φ be a **-continuous* mapping of a space $(X; N)$ into a space $(Y; M)$.

If $(X; N)$ and $(Y; M)$ have the completions $(X^*; N^*)$ and $(Y^*; M^*)$, then there exists a mapping F of $(X^*; N^*)$ into $(Y^*; M^*)$ such that for every $x \in X^* \sim X$, $F(x)$ is a point of Y^* to which an image $\varphi(\mathfrak{f})$ of a minimal leg \mathfrak{f} converging to x in X^* converges and $F|_X = \varphi$. We will call this mapping F an *extention* of φ .

Proposition. Let a mapping F of a completion $(X^*; N^*)$ of a spaces $(X; N)$ into a complete spaces $(Y; M)$ be an extention of a **-continuous* mapping φ of $(X; N)$ into $(Y; M)$. Then F is continuous if for any $y \in F(X^*)$, $\{S \mid S \supseteq \bar{V} \cap F(X^* \sim X), V \in \mathfrak{R}(y)\} \supseteq \mathfrak{R}(y)$.

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki: Topologie générale. Paris (1940), 3 ed. (1960).
- [2] L. W. Cohen: Uniformity property in topological space satisfying the first denumerable postulate. Duke Math. Jour., **3** (1937).
- [3] —: On imbedding a space in a complete space. Duke Math. Jour., **5** (1939).
- [4] H. R. Fisher: Limesräume. Math. Annalen, **137** (1959).
- [5] F. Hausdorff: Mengenlehre. Berlin (1914).
- [6] T. Inagaki: Point Set Theory (in Japanese). Tokyo (1949).
- [7] S. Mitani: A generalization of Cauchy filters and the completion. Proc. Japan Acad., **42** (1966).
- [8] J. W. Tukey: Convergence and Uniformity in Topology. Princeton (1940).