

133. Criteria for Oscillation of Solutions of Differential Equations of Arbitrary Order¹⁾

By Athanassios G. KARTSATOS

Department of Mathematics, Wayne State University,
Detroit, Michigan, U. S. A.

(Comm. by Zyoiti SUTUNA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1968)

H. Onose extending a result of the author [2], gave in [7] a sufficient condition for all solutions of the equation

$$(*) \quad x^{(n)} + p(t)g(x, x', \dots, x^{(n-1)}) = 0$$

to oscillate, provided that n is even and g homogeneous of degree $2s+1$.

Here we improve Onose's result considerably, by assuming quite weaker conditions which guarantee the oscillation of all solutions of $(*)$, and moreover, we consider the case n =odd. Thus, we also improve a result due to Howard ([1], Theorem 2), and generalize results of Ličko and Švec [5], and Mikusiński [6].

All functions considered are supposed to be continuous on their domains, and such that they guarantee the existence of solutions of $(*)$ for all large t (n will always be supposed to be >1). In what follows, we consider only such solutions which are nontrivial for all large t . By an oscillatory solution of $(*)$, we mean a solution with arbitrarily large zeros.

1. The following theorem has been proved in [4]:

Theorem 1. *For n even, let $(*)$ satisfy the following assumptions:*

$$(i) \quad p: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+ = (0, +\infty), \quad I = [t_0, +\infty), \quad t_0 \geq 0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(S) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^{n-1}p(t)dt = +\infty;$$

$$(ii) \quad g: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R} = (-\infty, +\infty), \quad x_1 g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) > 0 \\ \text{for every } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n \\ \text{with } x_1 \neq 0;$$

then every bounded solution of $()$ is oscillatory.*

Now we show that an analogous result holds for the case n =odd. In fact, we establish the following

Lemma. *Suppose that n is odd, and that the functions p, g satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1; then every bounded solution of $(*)$ is oscillatory, or tends to zero monotonically as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.*

1) This research was partially supported by a NATO grant.

Proof. Since $x^{(n)}(t) = -p(t)g(x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(t))$, it follows that if $x(t)$ is positive and bounded for all large t , we must have $(-1)^k x^{(k)}(t) > 0$, for every $k=1, 2, \dots, n-1$, and every $t \geq$ (some fixed) $T \geq t_0$. In fact, due to the boundedness of $x(t)$, no two consecutive derivatives of $x(t)$ can be of the same sign for all large t . Thus moreover, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x^{(i)}(t) = 0, i=1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Let us now suppose that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = \alpha > 0$. Then by use of the continuity of the function g , we obtain

$$(1) \quad g(\alpha, 0, 0, \dots, 0) - \varepsilon < g(x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(t)) < g(\alpha, 0, 0, \dots, 0) + \varepsilon$$

for some fixed $\varepsilon < g(\alpha, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$, and every $t \geq T_1 \geq T$. Consequently, we must have (Švec [8], p. 11)

$$(2) \quad x(t) = \alpha + \int_t^\infty \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s)g(x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(s)) ds \geq \alpha + [g(\alpha, 0, 0, \dots, 0) - \varepsilon] \int_t^\infty \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s) ds = +\infty,$$

a contradiction.

Q.E.D.

2. Let the differential equation (*) be such that $p(t)$ is positive on I , and the function g satisfies the following Condition (G):

$x_1 g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) > 0$ for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ with $x_1 \neq 0$, and for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$, and every $\lambda \geq K$ (=fixed positive constant), $g(-x_1, -x_2, \dots, -x_n) = -g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, and $g(\lambda, \lambda x_2, \lambda x_3, \dots, \lambda x_n) = \lambda^\gamma g(1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, where $\gamma = q/r$, q, r odd positive integers relatively prime.

Then, if for a solution $x(t)$ of (*) we have $x(t) \geq K$ for $t \in [T, +\infty)$, $x(t)$ must satisfy the equation

$$(E) \quad z^{(n)} + p(t)g(1, x'(t)/x(t), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(t)/x(t))z^\gamma = 0, t \in [T, +\infty).$$

Now we are ready for the following

Theorem 2. Consider (*) with n even, and moreover,

- (i) $p: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$;
 - (ii) $g: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, and such that Condition (G) is satisfied;
- then under any one of the following conditions, all solutions of (*) are oscillatory:

- a) $\gamma < 1, \int_{t_0}^\infty t^{\gamma(n-1)} p(t) dt = +\infty$;
- b) $\gamma = 1, \int_{t_0}^\infty t^{n-1-\varepsilon} p(t) dt = +\infty, \text{ for some } \varepsilon \text{ with } 0 < \varepsilon < 1$;
- c) $\gamma > 1, \int_{t_0}^\infty t^{n-1} p(t) dt = +\infty$.

Proof. Suppose that $x(t), t \in [t_1, +\infty), t_1 \geq t_0$, is a solution of (*) which is non-oscillatory; then by Theorem 1, $x(t)$ must be unbounded

on $[t_1, +\infty)$. Without any loss of generality, we suppose that $x(t) > 0$ on $[t_1, +\infty)$, and moreover, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = +\infty$ (cf. [7], Corollary). Thus, there exists a $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that $x(t) \geq K$ (K as in Condition (G)) for every $t \in [t_2, +\infty)$. It follows that $x(t)$ satisfies the equation (E) for $t \in [t_2, +\infty)$. However, since $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x^{(k)}/x = 0$ ([7] Lemma), $k=1, 2, \dots, n-1$, there is a $t_3 \geq t_2$ and an $\varepsilon < g(1, 0, \dots, 0)$, such that

$$(3) \quad g(1, 0, \dots, 0) - \varepsilon < g(1, x'(t)/x(t), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(t)/x(t)) < g(1, 0, \dots, 0) + \varepsilon$$

for every $t \geq t_3$. Consequently, if

$$Q(t) = p(t)g(1, x'(t)/x(t), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(t)/x(t))$$

$t \in [t_3, +\infty)$, then for the equation

$$(E_1) \quad z^{(n)} + Q(t)z^\gamma = 0, \quad \gamma < 1$$

we have :

$$(4) \quad \int_{t_3}^{\infty} t^{r(n-1)} Q(t) dt \geq [g(1, 0, \dots, 0) - \varepsilon] \int_{t_3}^{\infty} t^{r(n-1)} p(t) dt = +\infty,$$

which implies (cf. [5], Theorem 1) that all solutions of (E₁) are oscillatory, contradicting the fact that $x(t)$ is a solution of (E₁). Thus, in case a), all solutions of (*) are oscillatory. The cases b), c) can be shown similarly by using the result of Ličko and Švec ([5], Theorem 2) for c), and the corresponding result of Mikusiński ([6], p. 35) for the case b).

3. Now it is natural to expect analogous results to hold when n is odd. The following theorem covers this case, and we omit the proof which is very similar to that of Theorem 2, in the presence of the fact that Onose's Lemma ([7], p. 110) also holds for n odd.

Theorem 3. *Let the differential equation (*) with n odd be such that the functions p, g are as in (i), (ii) of Theorem 2 respectively. Then under any one of the following conditions, every solution of (*) is oscillatory or tending monotonically to zero as $t \rightarrow +\infty$:*

- a) $\gamma < 1, \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^{r(n-1)} p(t) dt = +\infty ;$
- b) $\gamma = 1, \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^{n-1-\varepsilon} p(t) dt = +\infty, \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0 ;$
- c) $\gamma > 1, \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^{n-1} p(t) dt = +\infty.$

Remark 1. Theorem 2 has been proved in the case $g \equiv x^r$ by Ličko and Švec [5] for $r \geq 1$, and by Mikusinski [6] for $\gamma = 1$. The corresponding cases with n odd are also studied in the same papers. Onose proved Theorem 2 under the assumptions: $\gamma = 2s + 1, s$ non-negative integer, the condition (G) is satisfied for any λ , and the function p satisfies

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} p(t) dt = +\infty.$$

Remark 2. The homogeneity assumption on g can be replaced by inequalities of the form $g(\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \dots, \lambda x_n) \geq \lambda' g_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, with appropriate conditions on the function g_1 . Thus, we can slightly weaken our assumptions so that we include Howard's Theorem 2 in [1], as a less sharp special case.

Remark 3. It would be very interesting to know under what additional assumptions on the function g , the conditions of Theorems 2, 3 are also necessary for these theorems to hold. For results in this direction see Švec [8], [9] who has used functional-analytic methods in order to obtain monotone solutions of n th-order equations.

References

- [1] H. C. Howard: Oscillation criteria for even order differential equations. *Ann. Mat. pura appl.*, **66**, 221-231 (1964).
- [2] A. G. Kartsatos: Some theorems on oscillations of certain non-linear second order differential equations. *Archiv der Math.*, **18**, 425-429 (1967).
- [3] —: On oscillations of nonlinear equations of second order. *J. Math. Analysis Appl.* (in press).
- [4] —: On oscillation of solutions of even order nonlinear differential equations. *J. Differential Equations* (in press).
- [5] L. Ličko and M. Švec: Le caractère oscillatoire des solutions de l'équation $y^{(n)} + f(t)y^\alpha = 0$, $n > 1$. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **13** (88), 481-491 (1963).
- [6] J. K. Mikusiński: On Fite's oscillation theorems. *Colloquium Math.*, **2**, 34-39 (1949).
- [7] H. Onose: Oscillatory property of certain non-linear ordinary differential equations. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **44**, 110-113 (1968).
- [8] M. Švec: Monotone solutions of some differential equations. *Colloquium Math.*, **18**, 7-21 (1967).
- [9] —: Fixpunktsatz und monotone Lösungen der Differentialgleichung $y^{(n)} + B(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})y = 0$. *Archivum Mathematicum (Brno)*, **2**, 43-55 (1966).