

203. On the Product of  $M$ -Spaces. I

By Tadashi ISHII, Mitsuru TSUDA, and Shin-ichi KUNUGI  
Utsunomiya University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1968)

**1. Introduction.** In the present paper all spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. In his previous paper [2], K. Morita has introduced the notion of  $M$ -spaces. A space  $X$  is called an  $M$ -space if there exists a normal sequence  $\{\mathfrak{U}_i \mid i=1, 2, \dots\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying the condition (M) below :

$$(M) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{If } \{K_i\} \text{ is a sequence of non-empty subsets of } X \text{ such that } K_{i+1} \\ \subset K_i, K_i \subset \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_i) \text{ for each } i \text{ and for some fixed point } x_0 \text{ of } X, \\ \text{then } \bigcap K_i \neq \phi. \end{array} \right.$$

As is easily verified, Condition (M) is equivalent to the condition  $(M_0^*)$  below :

$$(M_0) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{If } \{x_i\} \text{ is a sequence of points of } X \text{ such that } x_i \in \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_i) \text{ for} \\ \text{each } i \text{ and for some fixed point } x_0 \text{ of } X, \text{ then } \{x_i\} \text{ has an ac-} \\ \text{cumulation point.} \end{array} \right.$$

Hereafter we use Condition  $(M_0)$  in place of Condition (M).

As for the product  $X \times Y$  of two  $M$ -spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , it seems to be unknown whether  $X \times Y$  is also an  $M$ -space or not. We can give an affirmative answer for this problem in the following cases :

- (a)  $X$  satisfies the first axiom of countability.
- (b)  $X$  is locally compact.
- (c)  $X$  is paracompact.

The purpose of our papers I and II is to introduce the notion of the spaces belonging to the class  $\mathfrak{C}$  and to prove a more general theorem (cf. Theorem 1.1 in II) as follows: If a space  $X$  belongs to the class  $\mathfrak{C}$ , then the product  $X \times Y$  is also an  $M$ -space for any  $M$ -space  $Y$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{C}$  the class of all spaces  $X$  such that there exists a normal sequence  $\{\mathfrak{U}_i\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying the condition  $(*)$  below :

$$(*) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{If } \{x_i\} \text{ is a sequence of points of } X \text{ such that } x_i \in \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_i) \text{ for} \\ \text{each } i \text{ and for some fixed point } x_0 \text{ of } X, \text{ then there exist a sub-} \\ \text{sequence } \{x_{i(n)} \mid n=1, 2, \dots\} \text{ of } \{x_i\} \text{ which has the compact} \\ \text{closure.} \end{array} \right.$$

The class  $\mathfrak{C}$  contains all  $M$ -spaces satisfying one of conditions (a), (b), and (c), and further the spaces belonging to  $\mathfrak{C}$  have the following properties.

- (i) If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a quasi-perfect map (i.e., a continuous closed

surjective map such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is countably compact) of a space  $X$  belonging to  $\mathfrak{C}$  onto a normal space  $Y$ , then  $Y$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$  (cf. Theorem 2.4).

(ii) If  $X_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots$ , belong to  $\mathfrak{C}$ , then the product  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$  (cf. Theorem 1.3 in II).

## 2. The spaces belonging to $\mathfrak{C}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *If a space  $X$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$ , then  $X$  is an  $M$ -space.*

**Proof.** Since  $X$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$ , there exists a normal sequence  $\{\mathfrak{U}_i\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying Condition (\*). Let  $\{x_i\}$  be a sequence of points of  $X$  such that  $x_i \in \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_i)$  for each  $i$  and for some fixed point  $x_0$  of  $X$ . We shall prove that  $\{x_i\}$  has an accumulation point. For this purpose we can assume without loss of generality that  $\{x_i\}$  contains a subsequence  $\{x_{i(n)}\}$  consisting of distinct points. Since  $x_{i(n)} \in \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_n)$  for every  $n$ , by Condition (\*) there exists a subsequence  $\{x'_n\}$  of  $\{x_{i(n)}\}$  which has the compact closure. Consequently,  $\{x'_n\}$  has an accumulation point. If otherwise,  $\{x'_n\}$  must be discrete and closed. Since  $\{x'_n\}$  consists of distinct points, it cannot be compact. Therefore  $\{\mathfrak{U}_i\}$  satisfies Condition  $(M_0)$ . This completes the proof.

**Remark.** In the proof of Theorem 2.1, it is sufficient to assume that the closure of the subsequence  $\{x'_n\}$  of  $\{x_{i(n)}\}$  is countably compact.

The converse of Theorem 2.1 is not valid in general, as is shown in Theorem 2.3.

**Theorem 2.2.** *If an  $M$ -space  $X$  satisfies one of the following conditions, then  $X$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$ :*

- (a)  $X$  satisfies the first axiom of countability.
- (b)  $X$  is locally compact.
- (c)  $X$  is paracompact.

**Proof.** Since  $X$  is an  $M$ -space, there exists a normal sequence  $\{\mathfrak{U}_i\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying Condition  $(M_0)$ . Let  $\{x_i\}$  be a sequence of points of  $X$  such that  $x_i \in \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_i)$  for each  $i$  and for some fixed point  $x_0$  of  $X$ . Then, by Condition  $(M_0)$ ,  $\{x_i\}$  has an accumulation point  $x'$ .

(i) If  $X$  satisfies the first axiom of countability, then  $\{x_i\}$  contains a subsequence  $\{x_{i(n)}\}$  which converges to  $x'$ . Clearly the closure of  $\{x_{i(n)}\}$  is compact. Thus  $X$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

(ii) If  $X$  is locally compact, then there exists a neighborhood  $U(x')$  of  $x'$  which has the compact closure. Since  $U(x')$  contains infinite number of elements of  $\{x_i\}$ , we denote them by  $\{x_{i(n)}\}$ . Then  $\{x_{i(n)}\}$  has clearly the compact closure. Thus  $X$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

(iii) If  $X$  is paracompact, any countably compact subset is compact. Since  $\{x_i\}$  has accumulation points in  $\cap \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_i)$  and nowhere

else, the closure of  $\{x_i\}$  is countably compact, and hence it is compact. Thus  $X$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

**Remark.** A space  $X$  belonging to  $\mathfrak{C}$  does not necessarily satisfy the first axiom of countability, because there is a compact space which does not satisfy the first axiom of countability. Further a space belonging to  $\mathfrak{C}$  is not necessarily locally compact, because there is a metric space which is not locally compact.

**Theorem 2.3.** *There exists an  $M$ -space which does not belong to  $\mathfrak{C}$ , and further there exists a space which belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$  but is not a paracompact  $M$ -space.*

To prove Theorem 2.3, we mention two examples of the spaces satisfying the required properties.

**Example 1.** (*An  $M$ -space which does not belong to  $\mathfrak{C}$* ). We show that a countably compact space  $A_1$ , which was constructed by J. Novák [4], satisfies the required conditions. Let  $\beta(N)$  be the Čech-compactification of the set  $N$  of natural numbers. Then by [4] there exist two subsets  $P$  and  $Q$  of  $\beta(N)$  such that  $P \cup Q = \beta(N) - N$ ,  $P \cap Q = \emptyset$  and that  $\bar{S} \cap P \neq \emptyset$  and  $\bar{S} \cap Q \neq \emptyset$  for any countable infinite subset  $S$  of  $\beta(N)$ . Let us put  $A_1 = P \cup N$ . Then the subspace  $A_1$  of  $\beta(N)$  is countably compact, and hence it is an  $M$ -space.

Now we shall prove that the space  $A_1$  does not belong to  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Let  $S$  be any countable infinite subset of  $A_1$ . Then the set  $S$  has no compact closure in  $A_1$ . If otherwise, then the closure of  $S$  in  $A_1$  is compact, and hence it is compact in  $\beta(N)$ , too. But, as the construction of the sets  $P$  and  $Q$  shows, the closure of  $S$  in  $\beta(N)$  contains a point of the set  $Q = \beta(N) - A_1$ . This is a contradiction. Let  $\{\mathfrak{U}_i\}$  be any normal sequence of open coverings of  $A_1$ , and let  $x_0 \in P$ . Then  $\text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_i)$  contains infinite points of  $N$  for each  $i$ , and hence we can choose a sequence  $\{n_i\}$  of distinct points of  $N$  such that  $n_i \in \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_i)$ . As is shown above, the closure of  $\{n_i\}$  in  $A_1$  is not compact. Thus the space  $A_1$  does not belong to  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

**Example 2.** (*A space  $X$  which belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$  but is not a paracompact  $M$ -space*). Let  $X$  be the space  $\{\alpha \mid \alpha < \Omega\}$  of ordinals with the order topology, where  $\Omega$  is the first uncountable ordinal. Since  $X$  is countably compact and satisfies the first axiom of countability, it belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$ . But it is not paracompact.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a quasi-perfect map. If  $X$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$  and if  $X$  or  $Y$  is normal, then  $Y$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$ . If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is perfect and if  $Y$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$ , then  $X$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$ .*

The proof of Theorem 2.4 is performed by the similar way as in the proof of the first part of [3, Theorem 2.2]. For this purpose we introduce a class  $\mathfrak{C}^*$  of the spaces. We denote by  $\mathfrak{C}^*$  the class of

all spaces  $X$  such that there exists a sequence  $\{\mathfrak{F}_i | i=1, 2, \dots\}$  of locally finite closed coverings of  $X$  satisfying Condition (\*). As for the spaces belonging to  $\mathfrak{C}^*$ , the following lemmas are valid.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a quasi-perfect map. If  $X$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}^*$ , then  $Y$  also belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}^*$ .*

**Lemma 2.6.** *If  $X$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$ , then  $X$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}^*$  and satisfies the property (C) below:*

(C)  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For any locally finite collection } \{F_\lambda\} \text{ of closed sets of } X \text{ there} \\ \text{exists a locally finite collection } \{G_\lambda\} \text{ of open sets of } X \text{ such that} \\ F_\lambda \subset G_\lambda \text{ for each } \lambda. \end{array} \right.$

*In case  $X$  is normal, the converse is true.*

Since Lemma 2.5 can be proved by the similar way as in the proof of [1, Theorem 2.3] and Lemma 2.6 can be proved by the similar way as in the proof of [3, Theorem 1.1], we omit the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 2.4.** If  $X$  is normal, so is  $Y$ . Further by [3, Lemma 2.1], if  $X$  has Property (C), so has  $Y$ . Hence the first part follows from Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6. The second part follows from the fact that, if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is perfect, then  $f^{-1}(C)$  is compact for every compact set  $C$  of  $Y$ . Thus the proof is completed.

By the same way as in the proof of [3, Theorem 3.1], it follows from Theorem 2.4 that, if  $\{A_\lambda | \lambda \in A\}$  is a locally finite closed covering of a space  $X$  and if each  $A_\lambda$  is a normal space belonging to  $\mathfrak{C}$ , then  $X$  is a normal space belonging to  $\mathfrak{C}$ . In [3], K. Morita shows by an example that a space  $Y$  which is the union of closed subspaces  $C_i$ ,  $i=1, 2$ , each of which is an  $M$ -space, is not an  $M$ -space in general. In this example, each  $C_i$  is a locally compact  $M$ -space, and hence belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}$ , while  $Y$  does not belong to  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

Finally we note that a space belonging to  $\mathfrak{C}^*$  does not belong to  $\mathfrak{C}$  in general. This is an immediate consequence of the following result obtained by K. Morita [3]: There is a perfect map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $X$  is a locally compact  $M$ -space but  $Y$  is not an  $M$ -space. In fact,  $Y$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}^*$  by Lemma 2.5 but does not belong to  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

## References

- [1] T. Ishii: On closed mappings and  $M$ -spaces. I. Proc. Japan Acad., **43**, 752-756 (1967).
- [2] K. Morita: Products of normal spaces with metric spaces. Math. Ann., **154**, 365-382 (1964).
- [3] —: Some properties of  $M$ -spaces. Proc. Japan Acad., **43**, 869-872 (1967).
- [4] J. Novák: On the cartesian product of two compact spaces. Fund. Math., **40**, 106-112 (1953).