

73. On Infinitesimal Automorphisms of Siegel Domains

By Noboru TANAKA

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto

(Comm. by Zyoiti SUETUNA, M. J. A., May 12, 1969)

The aim of this note is to announce some theorems (Theorem 1–Theorem 4) concerning the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of all infinitesimal automorphisms of a Siegel domain D of second kind. Theorems 3 and 4 enable us to calculate, in an algebraic manner, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on the basis of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_a of all infinitesimal affine automorphisms of D .

1. Let W^{-2} (resp. W^{-1}) be a real (resp. complex) vector space of finite dimension. We say that an open set V of W^{-2} is a convex cone in W^{-2} if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) $x+x'$, $\lambda x \in V$ for any $x, x' \in V$ and any real number $\lambda > 0$,
- 2) V contains no entire straight lines.

Given a convex cone V in W^{-2} , we say that a mapping F of $W^{-1} \times W^{-1}$ to W_c^{-2} (=the complexification of W^{-2}) is a V -hermitian form on W^{-1} if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) F is hermitian, i.e., $F(u, u')$ is complex linear with respect to the variable u , and $\overline{F(u, u')} = F(u', u)$,
- 2) F is V -positive definite, i.e., $F(u, u) \in \bar{V}$ for any u , and $F(u, u) \neq 0$ for any $u \neq 0$, where \bar{V} denotes the closure of V in W^{-2} .

Suppose that we are given a convex cone V in W^{-2} and a V -hermitian form F on W^{-1} . We put $\tilde{W} = W_c^{-2} + W^{-1}$ and denote by z^{-2} (resp. z^{-1}) the projection of \tilde{W} onto W_c^{-2} (resp. onto W^{-1}). Furthermore we define a mapping Φ of \tilde{W} to W^{-2} by

$$\Phi(p) = \text{Im } z^{-2}(p) - F(z^{-1}(p), z^{-1}(p)) \quad (p \in \tilde{W}).$$

Then the domain $D = \Phi^{-1}(V)$ (=the inverse image of V by Φ) of \tilde{W} is called the Siegel domain of second kind associated with the cone V and the V -hermitian form F (Pyatetski-Shapiro [2]). Let S be the real submanifold of \tilde{W} defined by $\Phi = 0$, i.e., $S = \Phi^{-1}(0)$. Then [2] has asserted that S is just the Silov boundary of the domain D with respect to an appropriate ring of holomorphic functions on D .

2. Hereafter we assume that D is affine homogeneous, that is, the group of all affine transformations of \tilde{W} leaving D invariant acts transitively on D . A holomorphic vector field on D is called an infinitesimal automorphism of D if it generates a one parameter group of automorphisms of D or equivalently if it is complete as a vector field.

An infinitesimal automorphism of D is called linear (resp. affine) if it is (extended to) an infinitesimal linear (resp. affine) transformation of \tilde{W} . (Under the identification that $\tilde{W} = T_p(\tilde{W})$ (=the tangent space to \tilde{W} at any $p \in \tilde{W}$), an infinitesimal affine transformation of \tilde{W} may be described as a mapping of the form: $\tilde{W} \ni p \rightarrow a + Ap \in \tilde{W}$, where $a \in \tilde{W}$ and A is an endomorphism of \tilde{W} .)

Theorem 1. *Every infinitesimal automorphism of D is extended to a holomorphic vector field which is defined on the whole \tilde{W} and which is tangent to the Silov boundary S of D .*

Let E denote the infinitesimal linear transformation of \tilde{W} defined by

$$E(p) = -2z^{-2}(p) - z^{-1}(p) \quad (p \in \tilde{W})$$

Then we see that E is an infinitesimal linear automorphism of D .

Theorem 2. *Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of all infinitesimal automorphisms of D and, for any integer p , let \mathfrak{g}^p be the subspace of \mathfrak{g} consisting of all the elements X such that $[E, X] = pX$. Then we have:*

(1) $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_p \mathfrak{g}^p$ (direct sum) and it is a graded Lie algebra.

(2) $\mathfrak{g}^p = \{0\}$ ($p < -2$), and $\mathfrak{g}_a = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^{-1} + \mathfrak{g}^0$ is the Lie algebra of all infinitesimal affine automorphisms of D . More precisely, \mathfrak{g}^0 is the Lie algebra of all infinitesimal linear automorphisms of D , and $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$ is the Lie algebra of all infinitesimal "parallel translations" of D .

(3) \mathfrak{g} being identified with a Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields on \tilde{W} , the direct sum $\sum_{p \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}^p$ is characterized as the isotropy algebra of \mathfrak{g} at the origin 0 of \tilde{W} .

(4) Let p be any integer ≥ 0 . Then the condition " $X \in \mathfrak{g}^p$, $[X, \mathfrak{m}] = \{0\}$ " implies $X = 0$.

Remark. We first remark that the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^0 consists of all endomorphisms X of \tilde{W} satisfying the following conditions (cf. [2]):

- 1) $XW^p \subset W^p$ ($p = -2, -1$),
- 2) $XF(u, u') = F(Xu, u') + F(u, Xu')$,
- 3) X restricted to W^{-2} is an infinitesimal automorphism of the cone V .

Let $w^p \in W^p$ ($p = -2, -1$) and put $w = w^{-2} + w^{-1}$. Define an infinitesimal affine transformation $s(w)$ of \tilde{W} by

$$s(w)(p) = w^{-2} + 2\sqrt{-1}F(z^{-1}(p), w^{-1}) + w^{-1} \quad (p \in \tilde{W}).$$

Then we see that $s(w)$ is an infinitesimal affine automorphism of D , which has been called an infinitesimal parallel translation of D (cf. [2]). We remark that \mathfrak{g}^p ($p = -2, -1$) consists of all $s(w)$ ($w \in W^p$) and that

$$\begin{aligned} [s(w), s(w')] &= 4s(\operatorname{Im}F(w, w')) \quad (w, w' \in W^{-1}), \\ [X, s(w)] &= s(Xw) \quad (w \in W^{-2} + W^{-1}, X \in \mathfrak{g}^0). \end{aligned}$$

3. Let us now construct a graded Lie algebra $\hat{g} = \sum_p \hat{g}^p$ satisfying the following conditions (cf. N. Tanaka [3], § 5):

- 1) $\sum_{p \leq 0} \hat{g}^p = \sum_{p \leq 0} g^p$ as graded Lie algebras,
- 2) Let p be any integer ≥ 0 . Then the condition “ $X \in \hat{g}^p, [X, m] = \{0\}$ ” implies $X = 0$,
- 3) \hat{g} is maximum among the graded Lie algebras satisfying conditions 1) and 2). More precisely, let $\mathfrak{f} = \sum_p \mathfrak{f}^p$ be any graded Lie algebra satisfying conditions 1) and 2). Then \mathfrak{f} is imbedded in \hat{g} as a graded subalgebra.

We put $\hat{g}^p = \hat{g}^p$ ($p < 0$). Since the condition “ $X \in g^0, [X, m] = \{0\}$ ” implies $X = 0$, we see that g^0 may be identified with a subspace of $q^0 = \sum_{r < 0} \text{Hom}(g^r, g^r) \subset \text{Hom}(m, m)$. This being said, we have

$$[X^0(Y^r), Z^s] - [X^0(Z^s), Y^r] = X^0([Y^r, Z^s])$$

for all $Y^r \in g^r, Z^s \in g^s$ ($r, s < 0$). Let us define vector spaces \hat{g}^p ($p \geq 0$) inductively as follows: First of all we define \hat{g}^0 as g^0 . Suppose now that we have defined \hat{g}^p ($0 \leq p < k$) in such a way that \hat{g}^p is a subspace of $q^p = \sum_{r < 0} \text{Hom}(g^r, \hat{g}^{r+p}) \subset \text{Hom}(m, \sum_{r < 0} \hat{g}^{r+p})$. Then we define \hat{g}^k to be the subspace of $q^k = \sum_{r < 0} \text{Hom}(g^r, \hat{g}^{r+k})$ which consists of all $X^k \in q^k$ satisfying the following equalities:

$$X^k(Y^r)(Z^s) - X^k(Z^s)(Y^r) = X^k([Y^r, Z^s])$$

for all $Y^r \in g^r, Z^s \in g^s$ ($r, s < 0$), where we put $X^k(Y^r)(Z^s) = [X^k(Y^r), Z^s]$ (if $r+k < 0$) and $X^k(Z^s)(Y^r) = [X^k(Z^s), Y^r]$ (if $s+k < 0$). Thus we have completed our inductive definition. We put $\hat{g} = \sum_p \hat{g}^p$. Then we see easily that there is a unique bracket operation $[\ , \]$ in \hat{g} such that \hat{g} becomes a graded Lie algebra satisfying conditions 1) and 2) with respect to this bracket operation and such that $[X^k, Y^r] = X^k(Y^r)$ for all $X^k \in \hat{g}^k, Y^r \in g^r$ ($k \geq 0, r < 0$). Moreover it is easy to see that the graded Lie algebra \hat{g} thus obtained satisfies condition 3). This graded Lie algebra is called the prolongation of $g_a = g^{-2} + g^{-1} + g^0$.

By Theorem 2, (4), we know that g is a graded subalgebra of \hat{g} in a natural manner.

Theorem 3. Let $g = \sum_p g^p$ be the graded Lie algebra in Theorem 2 and let $\hat{g} = \sum_p \hat{g}^p$ be the prolongation of $g_a = g^{-2} + g^{-1} + g^0$. For each $X \in g^0$, denote by $\text{Tr}(X)$ the trace of X as an endomorphism of \tilde{W} . Then g is a graded subalgebra of \hat{g} and the subspaces $g^p \subset \hat{g}^p$ ($p > 0$) are inductively determined as follows:

- (1) $g^1 = \hat{g}^1$.
- (2) g^2 consists of all $X \in \hat{g}^2$ such that $\text{Im Tr}([X, Y]) = 0$ for all $Y \in g^{-2}$.
- (3) g^3 consists of all $X \in \hat{g}^3$ such that $[X, g^{-1}] \subset g^2$.

(4) \mathfrak{g}^4 consists of all $X \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^4$ such that $[X, \mathfrak{g}^{-1}] \subset \mathfrak{g}^3$ and $\text{Tr}([X, Y], Y) = 0$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}^{-2}$.

(5) For each $k > 4$, \mathfrak{g}^k consists of all $X \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^k$ such that $[X, \mathfrak{g}^{-2}] \subset \mathfrak{g}^{k-2}$ and $[X, \mathfrak{g}^{-1}] \subset \mathfrak{g}^{k-1}$.

Theorem 4. *Assume that W^{-2} is generated by the elements of the form $F(u, u)$ ($u \in W^{-1}$), or equivalently $\mathfrak{g}^{-2} = [\mathfrak{g}^{-1}, \mathfrak{g}^{-1}]$. Then we have $\mathfrak{g} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.*

Kaneyuki-Sudo [1] has shown that the assumption in Theorem 4 is always satisfied if the Siegel domain D is symmetric and if each irreducible component of D is not of tube type.

References

- [1] S. Kaneyuki and M. Sudo: On Silov boundaries of Siegel domains. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. of Tokyo, **15**, 131-146 (1968).
- [2] I. I. Pyatetski-Shapiro: Geometry of classical domains and theory of automorphic functions, fizmatgiz (1961).
- [3] N. Tanaka: On differential systems, graded Lie algebras and pseudo-groups (to appear).