

76. On Symbols of Fundamental Solutions of Parabolic Systems

By Kenzo SHINKAI

University of Osaka Prefecture

(Comm. by Kôsaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., June 11, 1974)

Introduction. The calculus of multiple symbols which has been developed in Kumano-go [1] enables us to construct the fundamental solution of parabolic equations only by symbol calculus (see C. Tsutsumi [4]). The purpose of the present paper is to show that a formal fundamental solution of a parabolic system has an asymptotic expansion in a class of pseudo-differential operators (§ 2) and to construct a fundamental solution with the same expansion (§ 3). The method of construction is the same as one used in C. Tsutsumi [4] for single equations.

1. Notations and a lemma. We shall denote by $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ where $-\infty < m < +\infty$ and $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$, the set of all $M \times M$ matrices $p(x, \xi)$ with components $p_{ij}(x, \xi) \in C^\infty(R_x^n \times R_\xi^n)$ which satisfy the inequality

$$|p_{ij(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-m - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}$$

where $\langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$ and $p_{ij(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) = \partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta p_{ij}(x, \xi)$. We denote by $|p(x, \xi)|$ the norm of the matrix, that is,

$$|p(x, \xi)| = \sup_{0 \neq y \in C^M} |p(x, \xi)y|/|y|$$

and define semi-norms $|p|_{m, k}$ by

$$|p|_{m, k} = \max_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq k} \sup_{(x, \xi)} |p_{ij(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^{-m + \rho|\alpha| - \delta|\beta|}.$$

Then $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ is a Fréchet space with these semi-norms. By $\mathcal{C}_t^0(S_{\rho, \delta}^m)$ we denote a set of all matrices $p(t; x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ which are continuous with respect to parameter t for $0 \leq t \leq T$. By $w\text{-}\mathcal{C}_{t, s}^0(S_{\rho, \delta}^m)$ we denote a set of all matrices $p(t, s; x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ which are continuous with respect to parameter t and s for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ with weak topology of $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ defined as follows (see H. Kumano-go and C. Tsutsumi [2]): we say $\{p_j(x, \xi)\}_{j=0}^\infty \subset S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ converges weakly to $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$, if $\{p_j(x, \xi)\}_{j=0}^\infty$ is a bounded set of $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ and $p_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \rightarrow p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on $R_x^m \times K$ for every α, β and compact set $K \subset R_\xi^n$.

When $p_\nu(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_\nu}$, $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, j$, we denote by $p_1(x, \xi) \circ p_2(x, \xi) \circ \dots \circ p_j(x, \xi)$ the symbol of the product $P_1 P_2 \dots P_j$ of pseudo-differential operators $P_\nu = p_\nu(x, D_x)$ which has the form (see Kumano-go [1])

$$\begin{aligned} & p_1(x, \xi) \circ p_2(x, \xi) \circ \dots \circ p_j(x, \xi) \\ (1.1) \quad &= Os \int \dots \int e^{-i(y^1 \eta^1 + \dots + y^j \eta^j)} p_1(x, \xi + \eta^1) p_2(x + y^1, \xi + \eta^2) \dots \\ & \dots p_j(x + y^1 + \dots + y^{j-1}, \xi) dy^1 \dots dy^{j-1} d\eta^1 \dots d\eta^{j-1} \end{aligned}$$

and we also use the following notation :

$$(1.2) \quad [p_1(x, \xi) \circ p_2(x, \xi) \circ \dots \circ p_j(x, \xi)]_k \\ = \sum_{|\alpha_2^1 + \alpha_3^1 + \dots + \alpha_j^1| = k} \frac{1}{\alpha_2^1! \alpha_3^1! \dots \alpha_j^1!} p_1^{(\alpha_2^1 + \alpha_3^1 + \dots + \alpha_j^1)}(x, \xi) p_{2, (\alpha_2^1)}^{(\alpha_3^1 + \dots + \alpha_j^1)}(x, \xi) \\ \dots p_{j-1, (\alpha_{j-1}^1 + \dots + \alpha_j^1)}^{(\alpha_j^1)}(x, \xi) p_{j, (\alpha_j^1 + \dots + \alpha_j^1)}(x, \xi)$$

(cf. Nagase-Shinkai [3]). Then we have the following

Lemma. When $p_\nu(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_\nu}$, $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j$, we have

- (i) $p_1(x, \xi) \circ p_2(x, \xi) \circ \dots \circ p_j(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_j}$,
- (ii) for every N

$$(1.3) \quad p_1(x, \xi) \circ p_2(x, \xi) \circ \dots \circ p_j(x, \xi) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} [p_1(x, \xi) \circ p_2(x, \xi) \circ \dots \circ p_j(x, \xi)]_k \\ \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_j - (\rho - \delta)N}$$

and

(iii) (a formula which plays a fundamental role in the proof of Theorem 1)

$$(1.4) \quad [p_0(x, \xi) \circ p_1(x, \xi) \circ \dots \circ p_j(x, \xi)]_k \\ = \sum_{\mu=0}^k \sum_{|\alpha|=\mu} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p_0^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) [p_1(x, \xi) \circ \dots \circ p_j(x, \xi)]_{k-\mu, (\alpha)}$$

For the proof of (i) and (ii), see Kumango-go [1]. The formula (1.4) is derived from (1.2).

2. Asymptotic expansion of formal fundamental solutions. We shall consider the following Cauchy problem

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + p(t; x, D_x)u = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times R_x^n \\ u|_{t=0} = u. \end{cases}$$

under two conditions :

- (i) $p(t; x, \xi) \in \mathcal{C}_t^0(S_{\rho, \delta}^m)$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$.
- (ii) There exist a continuous function $\lambda(t; x, \xi) \geq c > 0$ and positive constants C and $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ which satisfy

$$(2.2) \quad |e_0(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C \exp \left[- \int_s^t \lambda(\sigma; x, \xi) d\sigma \right] \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

$$(2.3) \quad |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t; x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|} \lambda(t; x, \xi) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Here $e_0(t, s; x, \xi)$ is the resolvent matrix of (2.1), that is,

$$(2.4) \quad e_0(t, s; x, \xi) \\ = I + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j \int_s^t ds_1 \int_s^{s_1} ds_2 \dots \int_s^{s_{j-1}} p(s_1; x, \xi) \dots p(s_j; x, \xi) ds_j.$$

The convergence of the right hand side of (2.4) and the estimate

$$|e_0(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C_1 \exp [C_2(t-s) \langle \xi \rangle^m] \quad C_1 > 0, C_2 > 0$$

are easily verified.

The symbol $w(t, 0; x, \xi)$ of a formal fundamental solution of (2.1) is given "formally" by

$$(2.5) \quad w(t, s; x, \xi) \\ = I + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j \int_s^t ds_1 \int_s^{s_1} ds_2 \dots \int_s^{s_{j-1}} p(s_1; x, \xi) \circ \dots \circ p(s_j; x, \xi) ds_j,$$

and we have the following

Theorem 1. *There exists an asymptotic expansion*

$$w(t, s; x, \xi) \sim e_0(t, s; x, \xi) + e_1(t, s; x, \xi) + \dots$$

where $e_0(t, s; x, \xi)$ is given by (2.4) and for $k \geq 1$

$$(2.6) \quad e_k(t, s; x, \xi) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (-1)^j \int_s^t ds_1 \int_s^{s_1} ds_2 \cdots \int_s^{s_{j-1}} [p(s_1; x, \xi) \circ \cdots \circ p(s_j; x, \xi)]_k ds_j.$$

For every $k \geq 0$ and α, β we have

$$(2.7) \quad |e_k^{(\alpha)}(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, k} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta| - (\rho - \delta)k} \omega_{k, \alpha, \beta} \exp \left[- \int_s^t \lambda(\sigma; x, \xi) d\sigma \right].$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{k, \alpha, \beta} &= \max \{ \omega^2, \omega^{2k + |\alpha| + |\beta|} \} && \text{for } k \geq 1, \\ \omega_{0, 0, 0} &= 1, \\ \omega_{0, \alpha, \beta} &= \max \{ \omega, \omega^{|\alpha| + |\beta|} \} && \text{for } |\alpha| + |\beta| \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\omega = \int_s^t \lambda(\sigma; x, \xi) d\sigma.$$

Thus $e_k(t, s; x, \xi) \in w\text{-}\mathcal{E}_{t, s}^0(S_{\rho, \delta}^{-k(\rho - \delta)})$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. Since $e_0(t, s; x, \xi)$ is the solution of the following ordinary differential equation

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{d}{dt} e_0(t, s; x, \xi) + p(t; x, \xi) e_0(t, s; x, \xi) = 0$$

with initial condition $e_0(s, s; x, \xi) = I$, differentiating (2.8) with respect to x and ξ , we have (2.7) for the case $k = 0$.

For the case $k \geq 1$, by the formula (1.4) and the relation

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_s^t ds_1 \int_s^{s_1} ds_2 \cdots \int_s^{s_j} [p(s_1) \circ p(s_2) \circ \cdots \circ p(s_{j+1})]_k ds_{j+1} \\ = \int_s^t ds_1 \int_s^{s_1} ds_2 \cdots \int_s^{s_{j-1}} [p(t) \circ p(s_1) \circ \cdots \circ p(s_j)]_k ds_j \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, we see $e_k(t, s; x, \xi)$ is the solution of the following ordinary differential equation

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} e_k(t, s; x, \xi) + p(t; x, \xi) e_k(t, s; x, \xi) \\ = - \sum_{\nu=1}^k \sum_{|\alpha|=\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p^{(\alpha)}(t; x, \xi) e_{k-\nu, (\alpha)}(t, s; x, \xi) \end{aligned}$$

with the initial condition $e_k(s, s; x, \xi) = 0$. Differentiating (2.10) with respect to x and ξ , and using (2.2) we have (2.7) for the case $k \geq 1$.

Remark 1. For a scalar operator, the above conditions (2.2), (2.3) coincide with assumption (0.2), (0.3) in C. Tsutsumi [4].

Remark 2. When (2.1) is a Petrovskii-parabolic system, the above conditions are satisfied with

$$\lambda(t; x, \xi) = c \langle \xi \rangle^m.$$

3. Construction of fundamental solutions. Theorem 2. *Under*

the assumption (i), (ii) in § 2, we can construct a symbol $e(t, s; x, \xi) \in w\mathcal{E}_{t,s}^0(S_{\rho,\delta}^0)$ which satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $e(t, 0; x, D_x)$ is the fundamental solution of (2.1), i.e. $e(t, 0; x, \xi)$ satisfies the equation

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} e(t, 0; x, \xi) + p(t; x, \xi) \circ e(t, 0; x, \xi) = 0 & 0 < t < T. \\ e(0, 0; x, \xi) = I. \end{cases}$$

(ii) For sufficiently large N , let

$$(3.2) \quad r_N(t, s; x, \xi) = e(t, s; x, \xi) - \sum_{k=0}^N e_k(t, s; x, \xi).$$

Then

$$(3.3) \quad (t-s)^{-1} r_N(t, s; x, \xi) \in w\mathcal{E}_{t,s}^0(S_{\rho,\delta}^{m-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)}).$$

Proof. Let

$$f_N(t, s; x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^N e_k(t, s; x, \xi)$$

and let

$$q_N(t, s; x, \xi) = - \left(\frac{d}{dt} f_N(t, s; x, \xi) + p(t; x, \xi) \circ f_N(t, s; x, \xi) \right).$$

Then (2.7), (2.8) and (2.10) yield the estimate

$$(3.4) \quad |q_N^{(\alpha)}(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}.$$

Take N such that $m-(\rho-\delta)(N+1) < -n$ and let $\varphi_1(t, s; x, \xi) = q_N(t, s; x, \xi)$ and for $j=2, 3, \dots$ let

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_j(t, s; x, \xi) &= \int_s^t ds_1 \int_s^{s_1} ds_2 \cdots \int_s^{s_{j-2}} q_N(t, s_1; x, \xi) \circ q_N(s_1, s_2; x, \xi) \circ \\ &\quad \cdots \circ q_N(s_{j-1}, s; x, \xi) ds_{j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then as the proof of Proposition 3 in C. Tsutsumi [4], where the calculus of multiple symbols plays an important role, we have

$$(3.6) \quad |\varphi_j^{(\alpha)}(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta}^j \frac{(t-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)}.$$

Thus we can define $\varphi(t, s; x, \xi)$ by

$$(3.7) \quad \varphi(t, s; x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_j(t, s; x, \xi).$$

Since $\varphi(t, s; x, \xi)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$(3.8) \quad \varphi(t, s; x, \xi) = q_N(t, s; x, \xi) + \int_s^t q_N(t, \sigma; x, \xi) \circ \varphi(\sigma, s; x, \xi) d\sigma$$

and has the estimate

$$(3.9) \quad |\varphi^{(\alpha)}(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|-(\rho-\delta)(N+1)},$$

we have

$$(3.10) \quad r_N(t, s; x, \xi) = \int_s^t f_N(t, \sigma; x, \xi) \circ \varphi(\sigma, s; x, \xi) d\sigma$$

and (3.3).

References

- [1] Kumano-go, H.: Pseudo-differential operators of multiple symbol and the Calderon-Vaillancourt theorem (to appear).
- [2] Kumano-go, H., and Tsutsumi, C.: Complex powers of hypoelliptic pseudo-differential operators with applications. *Osaka J. Math.*, **10**, 147-174 (1973).
- [3] Nagase, M., and Shinkai, K.: Complex powers of non-elliptic operators. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **46**, 779-783 (1970).
- [4] Tsutsumi, C.: The fundamental solution for a degenerate parabolic pseudo-differential operator. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **50**, 11-15 (1974).