

111. On Transcendental Numbers.

By Shin-ichi IZUMI.

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku Imp. University.

(Rec. June 23, 1927. Comm. by M. FUJIWARA, M.I.A., July 12, 1927.)

The following theorem was proved by Kempner.¹⁾

Let a be an integer greater than 1; a_n ($n=0, 1, 2, \dots$) any positive or negative integer smaller in absolute value than a fixed arbitrary number M , but only a finite number of the a_n equal to 0, then

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{a^n} x^n, \quad a_n = a^{2^n},$$

represents a transcendental number for any rational number x .

As Blumberg²⁾ has shown, the condition that only a finite number of coefficients a_n shall be zero may be removed, so that

$$f_1\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \sum \frac{a_{\sigma_n}}{a'^{\sigma_n}} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{\sigma_n}, \quad a'^{\sigma_n} = a^{2^{\sigma_n}}$$

represents a transcendental number, when $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \dots < \sigma_n \rightarrow \infty$.

He proved this theorem by distinguishing between two cases, where

(1) for every n there are two consecutive σ_n 's greater than n and differing by more than k ,

(2) after a certain point, the difference between two consecutive σ_n 's is less than or equal to k .

In the following lines I will give a generalization of Kempner-Blumberg's theorem, which can be proved without distinction of the two cases.

Our theorem runs as follows:

The integral transcendental function

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{a^{\sigma_n}} x^n,$$

1) Trans. American Math. Soc., **17** (1916).

2) Bulletin American Math. Soc., **32** (1926).

where a denotes an integer greater than 1 and α_n an integer $< a^n$ in absolute value, represents a transcendental number for any rational x , when the following conditions (A) are satisfied for every k :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_n}{n} = \infty ,$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{m_1} + \sigma_{m_2} + \dots + \sigma_{m_i}}{\sigma_{n_1} + \sigma_{n_2} + \dots + \sigma_{n_j}} > 1 + \delta_k , \quad (\delta_k > 0),$$

for $\sigma_{m_1} + \sigma_{m_2} + \dots + \sigma_{m_i} > \sigma_{n_1} + \sigma_{n_2} + \dots + \sigma_{n_j}$ where some σ_m 's (and also σ_n 's) may be equal and $\sigma_m \neq \sigma_n$ ($i, j \leq k$), and there is only one set $(\sigma_{n_1}, \sigma_{n_2}, \dots, \sigma_{n_i})$ whose sum is largest, but less than σ_n .

To prove this we suppose that $f(p/q)$ is not transcendental, then $z = f(p/q)$ satisfies an algebraic equation with integral coefficients of the form

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{\mu=0}^k A_\mu Z_\mu = 0.$$

We can show that this leads to a contradiction.

The conditions (A) are satisfied for $\sigma_n = 2^n$, so that Kempner-Blumberg's theorem follows immediately.

For $\sigma_n = [r^n]$, $r > 1$, where $[x]$ represents the greatest integer contained in x , the conditions (A) are satisfied for $k=1$. Therefore

$$f_2\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \sum \frac{\alpha_n}{a^{[r^n]}} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^n$$

represents an irrational number.

When $r > \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$, the conditions (A) are satisfied for $k=2$.

Therefore $f_2(p/q)$ is neither rational, nor a quadratic irrational.

For $r \geq 2$, $f_2(p/q)$ represents a transcendental number.

