

43. A Problem Concerning the Second Fundamental Theorem of Lie.

By Kôzaku YOSIDA.

Mathematical Institute, Faculty of Science, Osaka Imperial University.

(Comm. by T. YOSIE, M.I.A., May 12, 1937.)

§ 1. The problem and the theorem.

Let \mathfrak{R} denote the set of all the matrices of a fixed degree, say n , with complex numbers as coefficients. We introduce a topology in \mathfrak{R} by the *absolute value*

$$|A| = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2}, \quad A = \|a_{ij}\|.$$

If \mathfrak{G} , a subset of non-singular matrices $\in \mathfrak{R}$, is a group with respect to the matrix-multiplication, it is a topological group by the distance $|A-B|$.

The topological group \mathfrak{G} is called a *Lie group*, if there exist a finite number, say m , of elements $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m \in \mathfrak{R}$ which satisfy the conditions:

1). X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m are linearly independent with real coefficients.

2). $\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^m t_i X_i\right) \in \mathfrak{G}$, t real.¹⁾

3). There exists a positive ϵ such that any element $A \in \mathfrak{G}$ may be represented uniquely in the form

$$A = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^m t_i X_i\right), \quad t \text{ real,}$$

if $|A-E| \leq \epsilon$ (E the unit-matrix of \mathfrak{R}).

By a theorem of J. von Neumann²⁾ \mathfrak{G} is a Lie group if and only if it is locally compact. Here, for convention, a discrete group is also called a Lie group. If \mathfrak{G} is a Lie group, the set \mathfrak{J} of all the elements $\sum_{i=1}^m t_i X_i$, t real, satisfies:

(a). \mathfrak{J} is a real linear space which has a finite base with real coefficients, viz, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m .

(β). $[X, Y] = XY - YX \in \mathfrak{J}$ with $X, Y \in \mathfrak{J}$.

\mathfrak{J} is called the *Lie ring* of the Lie group \mathfrak{G} , the two ring-operations being the vector-addition and the *commutator-multiplication* $[X, Y]$. It is the set of all the *differential quotients* of \mathfrak{G} at E .³⁾ The differential quotient of \mathfrak{G} at E is defined by $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} ((A_i - E)/\epsilon_i)$, where $A_i (\neq E) \in \mathfrak{G}$ and real $\epsilon_i (\neq 0)$ are such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_i = E$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_i = 0$.

1) $\exp(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (X^n/n!)$.

2) See K. Yosida: Jap. J. of Math. **13** (1936), p. 7. Neumann's original statement (M. Z. **30** (1929), p. 3) reads as follows:

\mathfrak{G} is a Lie group if \mathfrak{G} is closed in the group of all the non-singular matrices $\in \mathfrak{R}$.

3) Cf. K. Yosida: loc. cit.

Conversely let $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ denote a subset of \mathfrak{R} which satisfies (α) and (β) . Then, by the second fundamental theorem of Lie, the set $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ of all the elements of the form

$$\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^m t_i X_i\right), \quad t \text{ real and } \sum_{i=1}^m |t_i| < \epsilon, \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

constitutes a *Lie group-germ*. That is, if $X, Y \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ are sufficiently near E, X^{-1} and YX also $\in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$. $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ is called the Lie ring of the Lie group-germ $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$.

Then the set $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ of all the products of a finite number of elements $\in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ and of the limit matrices of such products, so long as they are non-singular, forms a locally compact group. Hence $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ is a Lie group. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ be the Lie ring of this Lie group $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$, then $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \supseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$. However, $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ does not necessarily coincide with $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$, as the following example shows us:

$$\text{the base of } \tilde{\mathfrak{F}} = \left\| \begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right\|, \quad \tau/2\pi \text{ irrational.}$$

Hence the Lie group-germ $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ is not necessarily a vicinity of the identity of the topological group $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$.

Thus it may be of some interest to obtain the conditions by which $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ coincides with $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$. As an answer to this problem, I intend to prove the following

Theorem. *The Lie group-germ $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ is a vicinity of the identity of the Lie group $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$, if the ring $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ is irreducible.*

Here $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ is called *irreducible* if the group $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ is irreducible, that is, if all the matrices of $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ are not simultaneously similar to the matrices of the form

$$\left\| \begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ * & B \end{array} \right\|$$

§ 2. The proof of the theorem.

Lemma 1. $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ is a Lie invariant subgroup-germ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$, viz. $BAB^{-1} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ for any $B \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ if $A \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ is sufficiently near E .

Proof. Let $A = \exp(X), X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$. Then $BAB^{-1} = \exp(BXB^{-1})$ and BXB^{-1} tends to 0 as X tends to 0. Thus it is sufficient to prove

$$(*) \quad BXB^{-1} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \text{ with } X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}, \quad \text{if } B \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}.$$

(*) is evident in the special case $B \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$, for then the transformation $X \rightarrow BXB^{-1}$ is induced by the so-called linear adjoint Lie group-germ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$. The general case $B \in \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ may be obtained from this special case, by limiting process.

Lemma 2 (due to E. Cartan¹⁾). The vicinity of the identity of the irreducible Lie group $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ is a direct product of a *semi-simple* Lie

1) E. Cartan: Ann. Ec. Norm. Sup. (3) 26 (1909), p. 148. For the proof see H. Freudenthal: Ann. of Math. 37, 1 (1936), p. 63. In the course of the proof of our theorem, $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_i$ ($i=1, 2$) will be proved to be not only Lie group-germ but also a vicinity of the identity of the Lie group.

group-germ $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}_1$ and an abelian Lie group-germ $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}_2$, where $\det. (A)=1$ for any $A \in \bar{\mathfrak{G}}_1$ and the matrices of $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}_2$ are all of the form aE , a denoting complex numbers.

As a special case of this Lemma we have

Lemma 2'. $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}$ is a semi-simple Lie group if $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ is irreducible and

$$(**) \quad \text{trace}(X)=0 \quad \text{for } X \in \bar{\mathfrak{F}}.$$

Proof. For then the matrices of $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}$ and hence of $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}$ are all of determinant 1.¹⁾

The above condition (**) is surely satisfied if the Lie ring $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ is semi-simple. For a semi-simple Lie ring $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ coincides with its *commutator-ring*,²⁾ that is, any element of $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ may be obtained as the commutator-product $[X, Y]$, where X and $Y \in \bar{\mathfrak{F}}$.

Proof of the theorem. By Lemma 1 the sub-ring $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ is an *ideal* in $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$, viz. $[X, Y] \in \bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ for $X \in \bar{\mathfrak{F}}, Y \in \bar{\mathfrak{F}}$. We will prove that this ideal $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a direct summand of the Lie ring $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$.

By Lemma 2 the Lie ring $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a direct sum of the semi-simple Lie ring $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_1$ of the Lie group-germ $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}_1$ and the abelian Lie ring $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_2$ of the Lie group-germ $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}_2$. Thus $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_1$ is commutative with $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_2: [X, Y]=0$ for $X \in \bar{\mathfrak{F}}_1, Y \in \bar{\mathfrak{F}}_2$.

The semi-simple Lie ring $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_1$ is a direct sum of simple and semi-simple ideals, by a theorem of E. Cartan.³⁾ Hence any ideal of $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_1$ is semi-simple. As $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}_2$ consists of the matrices of the form aE , the base of the abelian Lie ring $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_2$ is either

i). aE , where a denotes a real or complex number ($a=0$ if $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_2=0$), or

ii). E and $\sqrt{-1}E$.

Thus, in any case, $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a direct sum of simple ideals. Hence the ideal $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a direct summand of $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$. We next prove that $\bar{\mathfrak{F}} \supseteq \bar{\mathfrak{F}}_1$.

Let $\bar{\mathfrak{F}} = \bar{\mathfrak{F}} + \bar{\mathfrak{F}}'$ be a direct decomposition of $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$. Then, as $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}'$ are ideals in $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$, $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ is commutative with $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}'$:

$$(***) \quad [X, Y]=0 \quad \text{for } X \in \bar{\mathfrak{F}}, \quad Y \in \bar{\mathfrak{F}}'.$$

Hence, if $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ does not contain $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_1$, there must exist a semi-simple ideal $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}'_1 \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{F}}_1$, commutative with $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ by (***) . Thus the matrices $\in \bar{\mathfrak{G}}$ of the form $\exp(X)$, $X \in \bar{\mathfrak{F}}'_1$, are permutable with every matrix of the irreducible group-germ $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}$. Hence, by Schur's Lemma, $\exp(X)$ ($X \in \bar{\mathfrak{F}}'_1$) and consequently every matrix $\in \bar{\mathfrak{F}}'_1$ must be of the form aE . $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}'_1$ is thus an abelian Lie ring and hence is not semi-simple. This is a contradiction, and so we must have $\bar{\mathfrak{F}} \supseteq \bar{\mathfrak{F}}_1$.

The same reasoning shows that, if $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ is irreducible and semi-simple, we must have $\bar{\mathfrak{F}} = \bar{\mathfrak{F}}$. For, then $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ is semi-simple by Lemma 2'. Hence, in the above Lemma 2, $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}_2$ are not only Lie group-germ but also the vicinities of the identities of Lie groups.

Next we will prove that $\bar{\mathfrak{F}} \supseteq \bar{\mathfrak{F}}_2$. There are two cases.

1) $\det. (\exp(X)) = \exp(\text{trace}(X))$.

2) See, for example, H. Freudenthal: loc. cit.

3) E. Cartan: Thésés (1894), p. 53.

Case 1. Base of $\mathfrak{S}_2 = aE$ ($a=0$ if $\mathfrak{S}_2=0$).

Assume that $\mathfrak{S}_2 \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}_1$. Then the group-germ \mathfrak{G} is a vicinity of the identity of the Lie group \mathfrak{G}_1 whose Lie ring are $\mathfrak{S}_1 = \mathfrak{S}$. Thus $\mathfrak{S}_2 = 0$, contrary to the hypothesis. This proves $\mathfrak{S} \supseteq \mathfrak{S}_2$.

Case 2. Base of $\mathfrak{S}_2 = E$ and $\sqrt{-1}E$.

If both E and $\sqrt{-1}E$ do not belong to \mathfrak{S} , we obtain $\mathfrak{S}_2 = 0$ as above, contrary to the hypothesis $\mathfrak{S}_2 \neq 0$. Next let either one of E and $\sqrt{-1}E$, E for example, belong to \mathfrak{S} . Then, as E is permutable with every matrix, any matrix $\epsilon \mathfrak{G}$ must be of the form

$$A_1 A_2 \dots A_k Y, \text{ where } \begin{cases} A_i \in \text{the intersection } (\mathfrak{G} \cdot \mathfrak{G}_1), \\ Y = \exp(tE), t \text{ real,} \end{cases}$$

or the limit matrix of such matrices. Thus, by Lemma 2, $\det.(X) = \exp(t)$, t real, for $X \in \mathfrak{G}$, and hence X is not of the form $\exp(s \cdot \sqrt{-1}E)$, s real. Then $\sqrt{-1}E$ does not belong to the Lie ring \mathfrak{S} . This is a contradiction, and so we must have $\mathfrak{S} \supseteq \mathfrak{S}_2$.

Thus, in any case, $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}$.

Q. E. D.