

## PAPERS COMMUNICATED

**27. Notes on Banach Space (I): Differentiation of Abstract Functions.**

By Shin-ichi IZUMI.

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku Imperial University, Sendai.

(Comm. by M. FUJIWARA, M.I.A., March 12, 1942.)

The object of this paper is to generalize the key theorem due to Pettis<sup>1)</sup>, from which almost all theorems concerning differentiation of the Banach space-valued functions of bounded variation are derived.

1. We will begin by some known definitions.

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a Banach space<sup>2)</sup>.

[1.1]<sup>1)</sup> If  $(\gamma_n)$  is a sequence in  $\bar{\mathfrak{X}}$  (conjugate space of  $\mathfrak{X}$ ) and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  is a subset of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , then  $(\gamma_n)$  is called to have property  $N(\mathfrak{Y})$  provided that  $\|\gamma_n\| \leq 1$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $\|y\| = \limsup_n |\gamma_n(y)|$  for every  $y \in \mathfrak{Y}$ . In this case we write symbolically  $(\gamma_n) \in N(\mathfrak{Y})$ .

[1.2]<sup>1)</sup> If  $X_R$  is an interval function on a Euclidean cube  $R_0$  to  $\mathfrak{X}$ , then  $(\pi_n \equiv (R_n, 1, \dots, R_n, k_n))$  is called a  $X_R$ -maximal sequence provided that  $\pi_n$  is a partition of  $R_0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \|X_{R_n, i}\|$  tends to the total variation of  $X_R$  on  $R_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

[1.3]<sup>1)</sup> If  $\pi_n = (R_n, 1, \dots, R_n, k_n)$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) is a sequence of partitions of  $R_0$ , then the linear closure of the set  $(X_{R_n, i}; i=1, 2, \dots, k_n; n=1, 2, \dots)$  is called the  $X_R$ -span of  $(\pi_n)$ .

[1.4]<sup>3)</sup> If  $x_s$  is a point function on  $R_0$  to  $\mathfrak{X}$ , then  $x_s$  is called to be restricted provided that 1°  $x_s$  is bounded, 2° there exists a positive number  $M$  such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there corresponds a sequence of disjoint measurable sets  $(e_1, e_2, \dots)$  with  $|e_i| < \varepsilon$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |e_i| = |R_0|$  and  $\|\sum (x_{\xi_{i_k}} - x_{\eta_{i_k}})\| < R$  for any subset  $(i_k)$  and  $\xi_{i_k}$  and  $\eta_{i_k}$  in  $e_{i_k}$ .

[1.5]<sup>3)</sup>  $x_s$  is called to be measurable if there exists a sequence of restricted functions which tends to  $x_s$ . Symbolically we write  $x_s \in M$ .

[1.6] If  $x_s$  is integrable in the Birkhoff sense, then we write  $x_s \in L$ .

Concerning differentiation, we define

[1.7]<sup>1)</sup> If  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is a subset of  $\bar{\mathfrak{X}}$ , then  $X_R$  is said to be  $\mathfrak{Z}$ -pseudo-differentiable to  $x_s$  almost everywhere provided that  $\zeta(X_R)$  is differentiable (in the ordinary sense) to  $\zeta(x_s)$  almost everywhere for all  $\zeta$  in  $\mathfrak{Z}$ .

[1.8]  $X_R$  is (strongly) differentiable to  $x_s$  almost everywhere if for almost all  $s$  in  $R_0$   $X_R/|I|$  tends to  $x_s$  when  $I$  is a cube containing  $s$  and  $|I|$  tends to zero.

1) Pettis, Duke Math. Journ., **5** (1938).

2) [ ] denotes definition and ( ) theorem.

3) Jeffery, Duke Math. Journ., **9** (1941).

If  $x_s$  is a strong derivative, then  $x_s$  is essentially separably valued. We define derivatives which need not be separably valued and is near to the strong derivative.

## 2.

(2.1) Let  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be the linear closure of the set  $(x_s; s \in R_0)$ . If there exists a sequence  $(\gamma_m) \in N(\mathfrak{Y})$  such that  $\gamma_m(x_s)$  is measurable (in the ordinary sense) for all  $m$ , then  $x_s \in M$ .

*Proof.* We can suppose that  $x_s$  is bounded. Otherwise we put  $E_m^n = (s; |\gamma_m(x_s)| > n)$  and  $x_s^n = 0$  in  $E_m^n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m^n$  and  $= x_s$  otherwise. Since  $\|x_s^n\| \leq \limsup_m |\gamma_m(x_s^n)| \leq n$ , and  $E_m^n$  tends to zero monotonously as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $x_s^n$  tends to  $x_s$  almost everywhere by the property  $N(\mathfrak{Y})$ .

If  $x_s$  is bounded, it is sufficient to prove that  $x_s$  is restricted. Let  $(e_1, e_2, \dots)$  be any sequence of disjoint measurable sets such that  $|e_i| < \varepsilon$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |e_i| = |R_0|$ , and  $\xi_i, \eta_i$  be points in  $e_i$ .  $(\gamma_m) \in N(\mathfrak{Y})$  implies

$$\left\| \sum_k (x_{\xi_{i_k}} - x_{\eta_{i_k}}) \right\| \leq \limsup_m \left| \gamma_m \left( \sum_k (x_{\xi_{i_k}} - x_{\eta_{i_k}}) \right) \right|.$$

Now

$$\gamma_m \left( \sum_k (x_{\xi_{i_k}} - x_{\eta_{i_k}}) \right) = \sum_k (\gamma_m(x_{\xi_{i_k}}) - \gamma_m(x_{\eta_{i_k}}))$$

is bounded, for  $\gamma_m(x_s) \equiv f_m(s)$  is a measurable (in the ordinary sense) function of  $s$  and is uniformly bounded. Thus  $\limsup \gamma_m(x_s) = \limsup f_m(s)$  is also. This proves that  $x_s$  is restricted.

(2.2) Let  $\mathfrak{Z} = (\gamma_j) \in N(\mathfrak{Y})$  for  $\mathfrak{Y}$  in (2.1). If  $x_s$  is almost everywhere the  $\mathfrak{Z}$ -pseudo-derivative of  $X(R)$ , then  $x_s$  is measurable. Furthermore if  $X_R$  is of bounded variation,  $x_s \in L$ .

*Proof.*  $\gamma_j(x_s)$  is a derivative (in the ordinary sense), and then it is measurable. This implies  $x_s$  is measurable by (2.1). As Pettis has proved,  $\int \|x_s\| ds$  exists, which implies  $x_s \in L$ .

(2.3) If  $X_R$  is additive and of bounded variation and  $X_R$  is  $(\gamma_j)$ -pseudo-differentiable to  $x_s$  with  $(\gamma_j) \in N(\mathfrak{Y})$ , then  $x_s \in L$ . Further let  $Z_R = X_R - \int_R x_s ds$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the  $Z_R$ -span of a  $Z_R$ -maximal sequence  $(\pi_n)$ . If we suppose that  $X_R$  is  $(\delta_j)$ -pseudo-differentiable to  $x_s$  for some  $(\delta_j) \in N(\mathfrak{B})$ , then that  $X_R$  is differentiable to  $x_s$  almost everywhere is equivalent to  $\int_R x_s ds$  is differentiable to  $x_s$  almost everywhere.

Proof is done by (2.2) and the theorem (2.3) in the Pettis paper. As a particular case we get

(2.4) If  $X_R$  is additive and of bounded variation, and there exists  $(\gamma_j) \in N(\mathfrak{X})$  such that  $X_R$  is  $(\gamma_j)$ -pseudo-differentiable to  $x_s$ , then  $x_s \in L$  and that  $X_R$  is differentiable to  $x_s$  almost everywhere is equivalent to

$\int_R x_s ds$  is differentiable to  $x_s$  almost everywhere.

(2.5) If  $X_R$  is additive and of bounded variation, and  $X_R$  is  $\bar{\mathfrak{X}}$ -pseudo-differentiable to  $x_s$ , then the conclusion of (2.4) holds.

**3.** We will now turn to the differentiation of point functions on the interval  $(0, 1)$  to Banach space  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

[3.1] If the integral  $\int_0^s \frac{x_s - x_t}{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} dt$  exists, we put

$$D^{\frac{1}{2}}x_s = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^s \frac{x_s - x_t}{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} dt$$

integral being in the Birkhoff sense.

This is the definition of the fractional differentiation due to Riemann-Liouville in the real case.

[3.2] If  $D^{\frac{1}{2}}(D^{\frac{1}{2}}x_s)$  exists, then we call it the  $(*)$ -derivative of  $x_s$  and we say that  $x_s$  is  $(*)$ -differentiable.

This derivative need not be essentially separably valued.

(3.3) If  $x_s$  is  $(*)$ -differentiable almost everywhere, then  $x_s$  is pseudo-differentiable almost everywhere to the same value.

(3.5) If  $x_s$  is the indefinite integral, that is  $x_s = \int_0^s y_t dt$  such that  $\int_0^1 \|y_t\| dt$  exists, then  $x_s$  is  $(*)$ -differentiable at  $s$  such that  $\int_0^s \|y_t\| \frac{dt}{s-t}$  exists.

If the  $(*)$ -derivative of singular function is equal to zero almost everywhere, then  $x_s$  is almost everywhere differentiable to  $y_s$  in the set  $\int_0^s \|y_t\| \frac{dt}{s-t}$  exists. This assumption is not true in general, but if we modify the kernel a little this holds. In the next section we will give a more general and better definition.

**4.** We will now replace  $(0, 1)$  by  $(-\infty, \infty)$  for convenience.

[4.1]  $x_s$  is called to be  $(\#)$ -differentiable at  $t$  if the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_n(t-s)x_s ds,$$

exists, where the kernel satisfies the following conditions:

1°. For any real integrable (in the Lebesgue sense) function  $g(t)$  and its integral  $G(t) = \int_0^t g(s) ds$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_n(x-s)G(s) ds$  tends to  $g(t)$  almost everywhere.

2°. For any real singular function  $h(t)$   $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_n(t-s)h(s) ds = 0$  almost everywhere.

Such kernel exists clearly. This derivative is not also separably valued in general.

From (2.3) we can prove that

(4.2) If  $y_s$  is of bounded variation and is  $(\gamma_j)$ -pseudo-differentiable to  $x_s$  almost everywhere with  $(\gamma_j) \in N(\mathfrak{Y})$ , then  $x_s \in L$ . Further if we put  $z_s = y_s - \int^s x_t dt$  and  $Z_R = z_b - z_a$  for  $R = (a, b)$  and then  $\mathfrak{B}$  the  $Z_R$ -span of a  $Z_R$ -maximal sequence  $(\pi_n)$  and if we suppose that  $y_s$  is  $(\delta_j)$ -pseudo-differentiable to  $x_s$  for some  $(\delta_j) \in N(\mathfrak{Y})$ , then  $y_s$  is  $(\#)$ -differentiable to  $x_s$  almost everywhere.

(4.3) If  $y_s$  is of bounded variation and there exists  $(\gamma_j) \in N(\mathfrak{X})$  such that  $y_s$  is  $(\gamma_j)$ -pseudo-differentiable to  $x_s$  almost everywhere, then  $x_s \in L$  and  $y_s$  is  $(\#)$ -differentiable to  $x_s$  almost everywhere.

As an application we define

[4.4] If  $\{x_a\}$  is a (denumerable or not) set in  $\mathfrak{X}$ , then  $\{x_a\}$  is called the base if

1°. There exists a  $\{\zeta_a\} \subset \bar{\mathfrak{X}}$  such that  $\{x_a\}$  and  $\{\zeta_a\}$  are the biorthogonal system.

2°. For any  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$  there are  $(\zeta_{a_i}) \subset \{\zeta_a\}$  and  $(x_{a_i}) \subset \{x_a\}$  such that  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \zeta_{a_i}(x)x_{a_i}$  uniquely.

Set of almost periodic functions has such a base.

(4.5) Suppose that  $\mathfrak{X}$  has the base such that

1°.  $\|x\| = \limsup |\gamma(x)|$  where  $\limsup$  is taken for  $\gamma$  such as  $\|\gamma\| \leq 1$ ,  $\gamma$  belongs to the linear closure of  $(\zeta_{a_i}; i=1, 2, \dots)$ .

2°. When  $x_s = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \zeta_{a(s)_i}(x_s)x_{a(s)_i}$ , there exists  $\frac{d}{ds} \zeta_{a(s)}(x) = \zeta_{\beta(s)}(x)$  almost everywhere and  $\dot{x}_s = \sum \zeta_{\beta(s)_i}(x_s)x_{\beta(s)_i}$  almost everywhere. Then if  $y_s$  is of bounded variation, then there exists  $(\#)$ -derivative of  $y_s$  almost everywhere and is equal to  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \zeta_{\beta(s)_i}(x_s)x_{\beta(s)_i}$ .

**5.** We will conclude the paper by the theorem:

(5.1) If  $X_R$  is the  $(\mathfrak{X})$ -integral (in the Pettis sense) of  $x_s$  and  $X_R$  is of bounded variation, then  $x_s$  is integrable in the Birkhoff sense and  $X_R$  is the Birkhoff integral of  $x_s$ .