

## 26. On a Characterisation of Join Homomorphic Transformation-lattice

By Atuo KOMATU.

Mathematical Institute, Osaka Imperial University.

(Comm. by T. TAKAGI, M.I.A., March 12, 1943.)

**1. Introduction.** A mapping  $f$  of a lattice  $L_1$  into a lattice  $L_2$  is called join homomorphic, when for any elements  $a, b$  of  $L_1$  there exists the relation

$$f(a \cup b) = f(a) \cup f(b).$$

This mapping is order preserving, for, if  $a > b$  in  $L_1$ , it follows  $f(a) = f(a \cup b) = f(a) \cup f(b)$ , i. e.  $f(a) > f(b)$  in  $L_2$ .

If we define  $f_1 > f_2$ , when for any element  $a$  of  $L_1$   $f_1(a) > f_2(a)$  is satisfied, then the set of all join homomorphic transformations forms a partially ordered set  $\{f\}$ . If  $L_2$  is complete and completely distributive, then  $\{f\}$  is a complete lattice. For there exist the following relations for any element  $a$  of  $L_1$

$$(f_1 \cup f_2)(a) = f_1(a) \cup f_2(a),$$

$$\left(\bigvee_X (f_x | X)\right)(a) = \bigvee_X (f_x(a) | X),$$

$$(f_1 \cap f_2)(a) = \bigvee_X (g_x(a) | X),$$

$$\left(\bigwedge_X (f_x | X)\right)(a) = \bigvee_Y (h_y(a) | Y),$$

where  $\{g_x | x \in X\}$  is the set of all transformations such that  $g_x < f_1, f_2$ , and  $\{h_y | y \in Y\}$  is the set of all transformations such that  $h_y < f_x$  for all  $x$  of  $X$ . This join  $f_1 \cup f_2$ , meet  $f_1 \cap f_2$ , complete join  $\bigvee_X f_x$  and complete meet  $\bigwedge_X f_x$  are again clearly join homomorphic transformations.

In this paper we are concerned with the problem of a lattice-theoretic characterisation of this join homomorphic transformation-lattice for the case, when  $L_2$  is the two-element lattice  $\{0, 1\}$ .

*Lemma 1.* All ideals in  $L$  form a lattice, which is dual isomorphic with the join homomorphic transformation-lattice  $\{f\}$  of  $L$  into  $\{0, 1\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a join homomorphic mapping of  $L$  into  $\{0, 1\}$ . Then the set  $f^{-1}(0)$  is an ideal in  $L$ . For if  $a, b \in f^{-1}(0)$ , then  $f(a \cup b) = f(a) \cup f(b) = 0$ ; therefore  $a \cup b \in f^{-1}(0)$ . And if  $a \in f^{-1}(0)$ ,  $b < a$ , then clearly  $f(b) < f(a) = 0$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(0)$  includes  $b$ .

Conversely, let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an ideal in  $L$ , then the transformation  $f$  such that

$$f(a) = 0, \quad a \in \mathfrak{A},$$

$$f(a) = 1, \quad a \notin \mathfrak{A},$$

---

1) Cf. A. Komatu. On a Characterisation of Order Preserving Transformation-lattice. Proc. **19** (1943), 27.

is clearly join homomorphic. Hence the correspondence between an ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  in  $L$  and a join homomorphic transformation of  $L$  into  $\{0, 1\}$  is one to one.

Furthermore this correspondence is a dual lattice isomorphism. Let  $f_1, f_2$  be any two such transformations, and let  $\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2$  be respectively the ideals  $f_1^{-1}(0), f_2^{-1}(0)$ . Now if  $(f_1 \cup f_2)(a) = f_1(a) \cup f_2(a) = 0$ , then  $a$  is included in the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \cap \mathfrak{A}_2$ . Conversely, if  $a \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \cap \mathfrak{A}_2$ , then  $f_1(a) = 0$  and  $f_2(a) = 0$ ; therefore

$$(f_1 \cup f_2)(a) = 0.$$

Hence 
$$(f_1 \cup f_2)^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{A}_1 \cap \mathfrak{A}_2.$$

And if  $(f_1 \cap f_2)(a) = 0$ , then  $a$  is included in all such ideals  $\mathfrak{B}_x$  that  $\mathfrak{B}_x \supset \mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2$ , i. e.  $\mathfrak{B}_x \supset \mathfrak{A}_1 \cup \mathfrak{A}_2$ . When we denote by  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \vee \mathfrak{A}_2$  the least ideal  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\mathfrak{B} \supset \mathfrak{A}_1 \cup \mathfrak{A}_2$ , i. e.  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \vee \mathfrak{A}_2 = \bigwedge_X \mathfrak{B}_x$ , then  $a \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \vee \mathfrak{A}_2$ . Conversely if  $a \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \vee \mathfrak{A}_2$ , then  $a \in \mathfrak{B}_x$  for any ideal  $\mathfrak{B}_x$ . Hence for any transformation  $g_x$  such that  $g_x < f_1, f_2$ , we have  $g_x(a) = 0$ ,

i. e. 
$$(f_1 \cap f_2)(a) = \bigvee_X (g_x(a)) = 0.$$

Therefore we conclude

$$(f_1 \cap f_2)^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{A}_1 \vee \mathfrak{A}_2.$$

## 2. Transformation-lattice.

*Lemma 2.* Every element  $f$  of  $\{f\}$  has at least one expression as the meet of some meet-irreducible<sup>2)</sup> elements.

*Proof.* Let  $f^{-1}(0) = \{a_x | X\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_x = a_x \cap L$ , and let  $f_x$  be the join homomorphic transformation such that

$$f_x^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{A}_x.$$

Then  $f = \bigwedge_X f_x$ . For from  $f^{-1}(0) \supset f_x^{-1}(0)$  it follows  $f < f_x$ , i. e.  $f < \bigwedge_X f_x$ . And if  $g < \bigwedge_X f_x$ , then  $g^{-1}(0) \supset f_x^{-1}(0)$ , i. e.  $g^{-1}(0) \supset \bigvee_X \mathfrak{A}_x = f^{-1}(0)$ . Hence  $g < f$ . Therefore it must be  $f = \bigwedge_X f_x$ .

Every  $f_x$  is meet-irreducible or finite-meet-reducible into some meet-irreducible elements<sup>3)</sup>. For if

$$f_x = \bigwedge_Y \{g_y | Y\}, \quad g_y^{-1}(0) : \text{principal ideal,}$$

then  $f_x < g_y$ ; hence  $f_x^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{A}_x \supset g_y^{-1}(0)$ . If  $\mathfrak{A}_x \neq g_y^{-1}(0)$  for all  $y$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_x \neq \bigvee_Y (g_y^{-1}(0))$ . But  $\mathfrak{A}_x = (\bigwedge_Y g_y)^{-1}(0)$  is the least ideal, which includes all the ideal  $g_y^{-1}(0)$ . Whence for some finite elements  $b_{y_j} \in g_{y_j}^{-1}(0)$

1)  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \cup \mathfrak{A}_2$  means the set sum of  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_2$ .

2)  $a$  is said meet-irreducible, when, if  $a = \bigwedge \{a_x | X\}$ , then necessarily  $a = a_x$  for some  $x$ . See. A. Komatu: On a Characterisation of Order Preserving Transformation-lattice. Proc. **19** (1943), 27.

3)  $a$  is said finite-meet-reducible or finite-meet-reducible into meet-irreducible elements, when, if  $a = \bigwedge \{a_x | X\}$  with meet-irreducible elements  $a_x$ , then  $a = a_{x_1} \cap \dots \cap a_{x_n}$  for some finite subset  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of  $X$ .

( $j=1, 2, \dots, n$ ) it must be  $a_x < b_{y_1} \cup \dots \cup b_{y_n}$ .

Therefore  $\mathfrak{A}_x < (\bigwedge_j g_{y_j})^{-1}(0)$ , i. e.  $\mathfrak{A}_x = \bigvee_j g_{y_j}^{-1}(0)$ .

This shows easily that  $f_x$  is finite-meet-reducible into some meet-irreducible elements.

*Lemma 3.* The subset  $L'$  of all meet-irreducible elements and all meet-finite-reducible elements in  $\{f\}$  forms a lattice, which is dual isomorphic with  $L$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a meet-irreducible element or a finite-meet-reducible element, i. e.  $f \in L'$ , and let  $f^{-1}(0) = \{a_x | X\}$  and  $a_x \cap L = \mathfrak{A}_x$ . Let  $f_x$  be the transformation such that  $f_x^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{A}_x$ , then  $f = \bigwedge_X f_x$  as in lemma 2.

From the finite-meet-reducibility of  $f$  we can prove easily

$$f = f_{x_1} \cap \dots \cap f_{x_n}$$

Whence  $f^{-1}(0)$  is the least ideal which includes  $f_{x_i}^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{A}_{x_i}$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Therefore  $f^{-1}(0)$  is the principal ideal

$$(a_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup a_{x_n}) \cap L.$$

From lemma 1 and 2 we conclude that  $L$  is dually lattice isomorphic with  $L$ .

*Lemma 4.* Join in  $\{f\}$  is continuous with respect to the generalised (o) topology<sup>1)</sup> of  $\{f\}$ . Meet is not necessarily continuous.

*Proof.* Let a directed set of elements  $\{f_x | X\}$  converge to  $f$ . Then there exist two directed sets of elements  $\{\varphi_x | X\}$ ,  $\{\psi_x | X\}$  such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \varphi_{x_1} < \varphi_{x_2}, \\ \psi_{x_1} > \psi_{x_2}, \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ for } x_1 < x_2 \text{ in } X,$$

$$\varphi_x < f_x < \psi_x \text{ for any } x \in X,$$

and  $\bigvee_X \{\varphi_x | x \in X\} = \lim f_x = \bigwedge_X \{\psi_x | x \in X\}$ .

Hence for any element  $g$  of  $\{f\}$

$$(1) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} \varphi_{x_1} \cup g < \varphi_{x_2} \cup g \\ \psi_{x_1} \cup g > \psi_{x_2} \cup g \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ for any } x_1 < x_2 \text{ in } X,$$

$$\varphi_x \cup g < f_x \cup g < \psi_x \cup g \text{ for any } x \in X, \text{ and}$$

$$(2) \quad \left( \bigvee_X (\varphi_x | X) \right) \cup g = (\lim f_x) \cup g = \left( \bigwedge_X (\psi_x | X) \right) \cup g.$$

It is clear that  $(\bigvee_X \varphi_x) \cup g = \bigvee_X (\varphi_x \cup g)$ . Furthermore we can prove easily  $(\bigwedge_X \psi_x) \cup g = \bigwedge_X (\psi_x \cup g)$ . For if  $a \in ((\bigwedge_X \psi_x) \cup g)^{-1}(0)$ , then  $a \in (\bigwedge_X \psi_x)^{-1}(0)$  and  $a \in g^{-1}(0)$ ; by the first relation it follows  $a < a_{x_1} \cup a_{x_2} \cup \dots \cup a_{x_n}$  for some finite  $a_{x_i} \in \psi_{x_i}^{-1}(0)$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ). Let  $x$  be an

1) Cf. G. Birkhoff: Lattice Theory, p. 32.

element of  $X$  such that for every  $x_i$   $x > x_i$ , then  $\psi_x < \psi_{x_i}$ , i. e.  $\psi_x^{-1}(0) > \psi_{x_i}^{-1}(0)$ . Hence every  $a_{x_i}$  is included in the ideal  $\psi_x^{-1}(0)$  and so is  $a$ . Therefore we conclude for this  $x$  that  $a \in (\psi_x \cup g)^{-1}(0) \subset \bigcup_X ((\psi_x \cup g)^{-1}(0))$ , i. e.  $(\bigwedge_X \psi_x) \cup g > \bigwedge_X (\psi_x \cup g)$ .

The inverse order is obvious from  $\psi_x \cup g > (\bigwedge_X \psi_x) \cup g$ , hence

$$(\bigwedge_X \psi_x) \cup g = \bigwedge_X (\psi_x \cup g).$$

The formula (2) now takes the form

$$(3) \quad \bigcup_X (\psi_x \cup g) = (\lim f_x) \cup g = \bigwedge_X (\psi_x \cup g).$$

From (1) and (3) we see that  $\lim (f_x \cup g) = (\lim f_x) \cup g$ , i. e.  $\{f_x \cup g \mid X\}$  converges to  $f \cup g$ .

**3. Characterisation of the transformation-lattice.**

*Lemma 5.* Let  $L^*$  be a lattice with the following properties: i) complete, ii) every element  $a$  is a meet of meet-irreducible elements. iii) join is continuous with respect to the generalized (o)-topology of  $L^*$ .

Then, if  $a = \bigwedge_X a_x = \bigwedge_Y b_y$  are any two reductions of  $a$  into infinite meet-irreducible components, we can select for every  $y$  suitably some finite  $x_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ) such that

$$b_y > a_{x_1} \cap \dots \cap a_{x_n}$$

and for every  $x$  some finite  $y_j$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, m$ ) such that

$$a_x > b_{y_1} \cap \dots \cap b_{y_m}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all finite subsets  $\{a\}$  of  $X$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a directed set. If  $a = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $a_\alpha = a_{x_1} \cap \dots \cap a_{x_n}$ , then for  $a < \beta$  in  $\Gamma$  we have  $a_\alpha > a_\beta$  in  $L^*$ .

Clearly  $a < a_\alpha$  for every  $a \in \Gamma$ , hence

$$(4) \quad a < \bigwedge_\Gamma a_\alpha.$$

But if we select  $a_x \in \Gamma$  suitably for every  $x \in X$  such that  $x \in a_x$ , then  $a_x > a_{a_x}$  in  $L^*$ ; hence

$$(5) \quad a = \bigwedge_X a_x > \bigwedge_X a_{a_x} > \bigwedge_\Gamma a_\alpha.$$

From (4) and (5) it follows that the directed set of elements  $\{a_\alpha \mid \Gamma\}$  converges to  $a$ . From the property iii) of  $L^*$

$$b_y = b_y \cup a = b_y \cup (\bigwedge_\Gamma a_\alpha) = \bigwedge_\Gamma (a_\alpha \cup b_y).$$

From the property ii)

$$a_\alpha \cup b_y = \bigwedge_{Z_\alpha} c_z, \quad c_z : \text{meet-irreducible,}$$

i. e.  $b_y = \bigwedge_{a \in \Gamma} (\bigwedge_{Z_\alpha} c_z)$ . But  $b_y$  is meet-irreducible, hence  $b_y = c_z > a_\alpha \cup b_y$  for some  $z \in Z_\alpha$ .

Therefore it must be  $b_y = a_\alpha \cup b_y$ , i. e.

$$b_y > a_a = a_{x_1} \cap \dots \cap a_{x_n}.$$

Similarly we can prove for every  $x$  with some finite  $y_j$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, m$ )  $a_x > b_{y_1} \cap \dots \cap b_{y_m}$ .

*Theorem.* Let  $L^*$  be a lattice with the following properties: i) complete ii) every element  $a$  is a meet of meet-irreducible elements. iii) join is continuous with respect to the generalized (o)-topology of  $L^*$ . iv) the set  $L$  of all meet-irreducible elements and all finite-meet-reducible elements forms a lattice with the (relative) order of  $L^*$ . Then  $L^*$  is isomorphic with the join homomorphic transformation-lattice of  $L'$  into  $\{0, 1\}$ , where  $L'$  is dual isomorphic to the lattice  $L$ .

*Proof.* (1) One to one Correspondence.

Let  $a = \bigwedge_X a_x$  be an expression of  $a$  with meet-irreducible elements  $\{a_x | X\}$ . Let  $a'_x \in L'$  be the element which corresponds to  $a_x \in L$ , and let  $f_x$  be the join homomorphic mapping of  $L'$  into  $\{0, 1\}$  such that

$$f_x^{-1}(0) = a'_x \cap L' = \mathfrak{A}'_x.$$

Let  $f$  be the mapping of  $L'$  into  $\{0, 1\}$  such that

$$f^{-1}(0) = \bigvee_X \mathfrak{A}'_x.$$

Now we consider the correspondence  $a \rightarrow f$ . Clearly  $a_x \rightarrow f_x$ . This correspondence is uniquely determined. For if  $a = \bigwedge_X a_x = \bigwedge_Y b_y$ , then from lemma 5 for every  $y$  with some  $x_i \in X$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ )

$$b_y > a_{x_1} \cap \dots \cap a_{x_n}.$$

Hence  $b'_y$  is included in the ideal  $\bigvee_i (a'_{x_i} \cap L') = \bigvee_i \mathfrak{A}'_{x_i}$ ,

i. e. 
$$\mathfrak{B}'_y = b'_y \cap L' \subseteq \bigvee_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{A}'_{x_i}.$$

Similarly for every  $x$   $\mathfrak{A}'_x \subseteq \bigvee_j \mathfrak{B}'_{y_j}$ , whence

$$\bigvee_X \mathfrak{A}'_x = \bigvee_Y \mathfrak{B}'_y.$$

This correspondence is one to one. For if  $a = \bigwedge_X a_x, b = \bigwedge_Y b_y, a \neq b$ , then at least for one  $a_x$  (or  $b_y$ ) there exist no finite subsets  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  (or  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ) such that

$$a_x > b_{y_1} \cap \dots \cap b_{y_m}.$$

Hence in  $L'$   $a'_x \notin \bigvee_Y \mathfrak{B}'_y$ , therefore

$$f_a^{-1}(0) \neq f_b^{-1}(0), \text{ i. e. } f_a \neq f_b.$$

(2) Let  $f$  be a join homomorphic transformation of  $L'$  into  $\{0, 1\}$ , and let  $f^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{A}' = \{a'_x | X\}$ . Clearly

$$\mathfrak{A}' = \bigvee_X \mathfrak{A}'_x = \bigvee_Y (a'_x \cap L').$$

From completeness of  $L^*$  there exists an element  $a$  such that

$$a = \bigwedge_X a_x.$$

Hence

$$a \rightarrow f.$$

(3) Meet homomorphism.

Let  $a = \bigwedge_X a_x$ ,  $b = \bigwedge_Y b_y$ , then  $a \cap b = (\bigwedge_X a_x) \cap (\bigwedge_Y b_y)$ : Let  $f_a, f_b$ , and  $f_{a \cap b}$  be respectively the following mappings of  $L'$  into  $\{0, 1\}$  such that

$$f_a^{-1}(0) = \bigvee_X (a'_x \cap L'),$$

$$f_b^{-1}(0) = \bigvee_Y (b'_y \cap L'),$$

$$f_{a \cap b}^{-1}(0) = \bigvee_{X, Y} \{(a_x \cap L'), (b'_y \cap L')\},$$

then clearly

$$f_{a \cap b} = f_a \cap f_b.$$

The last formula follows from the relation

$$\bigvee_{X, Y} \{(a_x \cap L'), (b'_y \cap L')\} = \left( \bigvee_X (a'_x \cap L') \right) \vee \left( \bigvee_Y (b'_y \cap L') \right).$$

We can easily prove from 1)-3) that this correspondence is isomorphic.

Corollary. The lattice  $L$  of all join homomorphic transformations of finite lattice  $L'$  into  $\{0, 1\}$  is dual isomorphic to  $L'$ .

---