

22. A Remark on Ergodic Theorems.

By Shin-ichi IZUMI.

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku Imperial University, Sendai.

(Comm. by M. FUJIWARA, M.I.A., March 12, 1943.)

1. G. D. Birkhoff proved the following theorems.

(B. 1) Let T be a measure preserving transformation in $(0, 1)$ such that the inverse transformation T^{-1} is also. Then for any $x = x(t)$ in $L = L(0, 1)$ the limit

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^N x(T^n t) \quad (1)$$

exists almost everywhere.

(B. 2) Let $T^\lambda (-\infty < \lambda < \infty)$ be a set of transformations satisfying above condition such that $T^\lambda(T^\mu t) = T^{\lambda+\mu} t$. If $x(T^\lambda t)$ is measurable in the product space (λ, t) and is integrable in $(0, 1)$ with respect to t , then the limit

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \int_0^N x(T^\lambda t) d\lambda \quad (2)$$

exists almost everywhere.

These are called individual ergodic theorems. Convergence in (1) and (2) is not dominated by integrable functions in general. But Fukamiya and Wiener proved that

(FW) If T (or $T^\lambda (-\infty < \lambda < \infty)$) satisfies above condition and $x(t) \in L_{\mathbb{Z}}$, that is, $x(t) \log^+ |x(t)|$ is integrable, then (1) (or (2)) converges dominated by integrable functions almost everywhere.

This is called dominated ergodic theorem. To prove above three theorems Wiener proved the fundamental lemma:

(W) Let $x(t)$ be a non-negative integrable function and

$$x^*(t) = \text{l. u. b.}_{0 < N < \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^N x(T^n t) \quad \left(\text{or} = \text{l. u. b.}_{0 < N < \infty} \frac{1}{N} \int_0^N x(T^\lambda t) d\lambda \right)$$

then we have for any $\alpha > 0$

$$\left((t; x^*(t) > \alpha) \right) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^1 x(t) dt.$$

2. In order to prove (B, 1), (B, 2) Wiener proved the mean ergodic theorem in L . But we can prove them directly by using a convergence theorem due to Kantorovitch. Kantorovitch's theorem reads as follows.

(K) Let X and Y be regular vector lattices and $\{U_n(x)\}$ be a sequence of (t, t) -continuous operations from X to Y . Then if

1°. for x in a dense set D in X $U_n(x)$ is (o) - (or (t) -) convergent,

2°. for each x in X $U_n(x)$ is (o)-(or (t)-) bounded, then $U_n(x)$ is always (o)-(or (t)-) convergent.

In order to prove (B, 1) and (B, 2), we need a lemma :

Lemma. If we put $K(u) = u/(1 + \log_2^+ u)^{1+\epsilon}$ ($\epsilon > 0$), then $x^* \in L_K$, that is, the integral $\int_0^1 K(x^*(t)) dt$, exists, where

$$x^*(t) = \text{l. u. b.}_{0 < N < \infty} \frac{1}{N} \int_0^N x(T^\lambda t) d\lambda.$$

Proof. If we put $E_a = (t; x^*(t) \geq a)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 K(x^*(t)) dt &\leq 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \int_{E_{2^{k-1}} - E_{2^k}} K(x^*(t)) dt \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^k}{(1 + \log 2^{k-1})^{1+\epsilon}} |E_{2^{k-1}}| \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k^{1+\epsilon}} \int_0^1 x(f) | dt \end{aligned}$$

by (W). Thus we get the required result.

By (K) and Lemma we can now prove (B, 1) and (B, 2) easily.

Let us put $U_n(x) = \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{m=0}^N x(T^m t)$ which transforms L into L_K .

L and L_K are regular vector lattices and Lemma gives condition 2° in (K). As D in condition 1° we take the set of bounded measurable functions. For such D we can prove 1° somewhat easily. Thus we get (B, 1). Similarly we can prove (B, 2).

From the proof we know that the convergence in (1) and (2) is dominated by functions in L_K .

3. We will now extend (B, 2) and (B, 2).

Theorem 1. Let T be a linear transformation in L_K (or in L) such that

1°. for any $x \in L$ (or ϵL_Z)

$$\limsup_n \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k x(t) \right| < \infty$$

almost everywhere, and

2°. for any bounded measurable function x there is a constant M such as $|T^n x(t)| \leq M (n=1, 2, \dots)$, then for any $x \in L$ (or ϵL_Z) the limit

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k x(t)$$

exists almost everywhere.

Proof is done by the method in § 2. This contains (B, 1) and (FW). We can state the theorem containing (B, 2) and the corresponding part of (FW). We are also easy to extend this lattice-theoretically.

Similarly we can prove

Theorem 2. Let T be a linear transformation in L_K (or in L or in L^p ($p > 1$)) such as $\|T^n\|$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) is bounded. Then for any x in L (or in L_Z or in L^p ($p > 1$)) $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k x(t)$ converges in L_K -mean (or L -mean or in L^p -mean).
