

# Quasianalytic ultradifferentiability cannot be tested in lower dimensions

Armin Rainer

## Abstract

We show that, in contrast to the real analytic case, quasianalytic ultradifferentiability can never be tested in lower dimensions. Our results are based on a construction due to Jaffe.

## 1 Introduction

In a recent paper [5] Bochnak and Kucharz proved that a function on a compact real analytic manifold is real analytic if and only if its restriction to every closed real analytic submanifold of dimension two is real analytic. A local version of this theorem can be found in [6]. It is natural to ask if a similar statements holds in quasianalytic classes of smooth functions  $\mathcal{C}$  which are strictly bigger than the real analytic class, but share the property of analytic continuation:

*Is a function defined on a  $\mathcal{C}$ -manifold of class  $\mathcal{C}$  provided that all its restrictions to  $\mathcal{C}$ -submanifolds of lower dimension are of class  $\mathcal{C}$ ?*

We will show in this paper that the answer to this question is negative for all standard quasianalytic *ultradifferentiable* classes defined by growth estimates for the iterated derivatives, even if we already know that the function is smooth. We shall always assume that the classes  $\mathcal{C}$  are stable under composition and admit an inverse function theorem, consequently, manifolds of class  $\mathcal{C}$  are well-defined.

---

Received by the editors in December 2018.

Communicated by F. Bastin.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : Primary 26E10; Secondary 30D60, 46E10, 58C25.

*Key words and phrases* : Quasianalytic, ultradifferentiable class, Denjoy–Carleman class, Osgood–Hartogs type problem.

This article is partly motivated by the development of the *convenient setting* for ultradifferentiable function classes in [13, 14, 15] which provides an (ultra)differential calculus for mappings between infinite dimensional locally convex spaces with a mild completeness property. Typically, the convenient calculus is based on Osgood–Hartogs type theorems which describe objects by “restrictions” to certain better understood test objects (cf. [20]). While many non-quasianalytic classes can be tested along non-quasianalytic *curves* in the same class [13], the analogous statement is false for quasianalytic classes even if the function in question is smooth. This was shown by Jaffe [10] for quasianalytic Denjoy–Carleman classes of Roumieu type. In [15] we overcame this problem by testing along all *Banach plots* in the class (i.e. mappings defined in arbitrary Banach spaces) which raised the question if there is a subclass of plots sufficient for recognizing the class.

The results of this paper show that in finite dimensions quasianalytic  $\mathcal{C}$ -plots with lower dimensional domain are never enough for testing  $\mathcal{C}$ -regularity (even if smoothness is already known). In particular, restrictions to  $\mathcal{C}$ -submanifolds of lower dimensions cannot recognize  $\mathcal{C}$ -regularity. Actually, we will prove more: For any  $n \geq 2$ , any regular quasianalytic class  $\mathcal{C}$ , and any positive sequence  $N = (N_k)$  there exists a function  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $f \circ p \in \mathcal{C}$  for all  $\mathcal{C}$ -plots  $p : \mathbb{R}^m \supseteq U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $m < n$ , but

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in K \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}} \frac{|\partial^\alpha f(x)|}{\rho^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! N_{|\alpha|}} = \infty$$

for all neighborhoods  $K$  of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and all  $\rho > 0$ . It will be specified in the next two subsections what we mean here by a regular quasianalytic class.

All our results follow from slight modifications of Jaffe’s construction.

**Acknowledgment.** The author was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Project P 26735-N25.

## 1.1 Denjoy–Carleman classes

Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open. Let  $M = (M_k)$  be a positive sequence. For  $\rho > 0$  and  $K \subseteq U$  compact consider the seminorm

$$\|f\|_{K,\rho}^M := \sup_{\substack{x \in K \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}} \frac{|\partial^\alpha f(x)|}{\rho^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! M_{|\alpha|}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U).$$

The *Denjoy–Carleman class of Roumieu type* is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}(U) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U) : \forall \text{ compact } K \subseteq U \exists \rho > 0 : \|f\|_{K,\rho}^M < \infty\},$$

and the *Denjoy–Carleman class of Beurling type* by

$$\mathcal{E}^{(M)}(U) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U) : \forall \text{ compact } K \subseteq U \forall \rho > 0 : \|f\|_{K,\rho}^M < \infty\},$$

We shall assume that  $M = (M_k)$  is

1. logarithmically convex, i.e.  $M_k^2 \leq M_{k-1}M_{k+1}$  for all  $k$ , and satisfies
2.  $M_0 = 1 \leq M_1$  and
3.  $M_k^{1/k} \rightarrow \infty$ .

A positive sequence  $M = (M_k)$  having these properties 1.–3. is called a *regular weight sequence*. The Denjoy–Carleman classes  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{(M)}$  associated with a regular weight sequence  $M$  are stable under composition and admit a version of the inverse function theorem (cf. [18]).

Let  $M = (M_k)$  and  $N = (N_k)$  be positive sequences. Then boundedness of the sequence  $(M_k/N_k)^{1/k}$  is a sufficient condition for the inclusions  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{\{N\}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{(M)} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{(N)}$  (this means that the inclusions hold on all open sets). The condition is also necessary provided that  $k!M_k$  is logarithmically convex, see [21] and [8], (so in particular if  $M$  is a regular weight sequence). For instance, stability of the classes  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{(M)}$  by derivation is equivalent to boundedness of  $(M_{k+1}/M_k)^{1/k}$  (for the necessity we assume that  $k!M_k$  is logarithmically convex). If  $(M_k/N_k)^{1/k} \rightarrow 0$  then  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{(N)}$ , and conversely provided that  $k!M_k$  is logarithmically convex. Hence regular weight sequences  $M$  and  $N$  are called *equivalent* if there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $C^{-1} \leq (M_k/N_k)^{1/k} \leq C$ .

For the constant sequence  $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$  we get the class of real analytic functions  $\mathcal{E}^{\{\mathbf{1}\}} = \mathcal{C}^\omega$  in the Roumieu case and the restrictions of entire functions  $\mathcal{E}^{(\mathbf{1})}$  in the Beurling case. Note that the conditions 1. and 2. imply that the sequence  $M_k^{1/k}$  is increasing. Thus, if  $M$  satisfies 1. and 2. then the strict inclusions  $\mathcal{C}^\omega \subsetneq \mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^\omega \subsetneq \mathcal{E}^{(M)}$  are both equivalent to 3. (for the latter observe that 3. and  $\mathcal{C}^\omega = \mathcal{E}^{(M)}$  would imply that all classes  $\mathcal{C}^\omega \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{(\sqrt{M})} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{\{\sqrt{M}\}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{(M)}$  actually coincide, a contradiction).

A regular weight sequence  $M = (M_k)$  is called *quasianalytic* if

$$\sum_k \frac{M_k}{(k+1)M_{k+1}} = \infty. \tag{1}$$

By the Denjoy–Carleman theorem, this is the case if and only if the class  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$  is quasianalytic, or equivalently  $\mathcal{E}^{(M)}$  is quasianalytic. See e.g. [9, Theorem 1.3.8] and [11, Theorem 4.2].

A class  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -functions is called *quasianalytic* if the restriction to  $\mathcal{C}(U)$  of the map  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U) \ni f \mapsto T_a f$  which takes  $f$  to its infinite Taylor series at  $a$  is injective for any connected open  $U \ni a$ . For example, the real analytic class  $\mathcal{C}^\omega$  has this property and indeed (1) reduces to  $\sum_k \frac{1}{k+1} = \infty$  in this case. Further examples of quasianalytic classes  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{(M)}$  that strictly contain  $\mathcal{C}^\omega$  are given by  $M_k := (\log(k+e))^{\delta k}$  for any  $0 < \delta \leq 1$ .

Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  be open. A mapping  $p : V \rightarrow U$  of class  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$  (which means that the component functions  $p_j$  are of class  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$ ) is called a  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$ -plot in  $U$  of dimension  $m$ . If  $m < n$  we say that  $p$  is *lower dimensional*.

Now we are ready to state our first results.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $M = (M_k)$  be a quasianalytic regular weight sequence. For any  $n \geq 2$  and any positive sequence  $N = (N_k)$  there exists a  $C^\infty$ -function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  which does not belong to  $\mathcal{E}^{\{N\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , but  $f \circ p \in \mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$  for all lower dimensional  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$ -plots  $p$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The following Beurling version is an easy consequence;  $\mathcal{E}^{(M)}$ -plots are defined in analogy to  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$ -plots.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $M = (M_k)$  be a quasianalytic regular weight sequence. For any  $n \geq 2$  and any positive sequence  $N = (N_k)$  there exists a  $C^\infty$ -function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $\mathcal{E}^{(M)}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  which does not belong to  $\mathcal{E}^{\{N\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , but  $f \circ p \in \mathcal{E}^{(M)}$  for all lower dimensional  $\mathcal{E}^{(M)}$ -plots  $p$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The proofs can be found in Section 2.

**Remark.** The theorems also show that *non-quasianalytic* ultradifferentiability cannot be tested on lower dimensional quasianalytic plots: Suppose that  $L$  is a non-quasianalytic regular weight sequence,  $M \leq L$  is a quasianalytic regular weight sequence, and  $N$  is an arbitrary positive sequence. By Theorem 1 there is a  $C^\infty$ -function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$  off 0 not in  $\mathcal{E}^{\{N\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , but of class  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{\{L\}}$  along every  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$ -plot.

## 1.2 Braun–Meise–Taylor classes

Another way to define ultradifferentiable classes which goes back to Beurling [2] and Björck [4] and was generalized by Braun, Meise, and Taylor [7] is to use weight functions instead of weight sequences. By a *weight function* we mean a continuous increasing function  $\omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\omega(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \omega(t) = \infty$  that satisfies

1.  $\omega(2t) = O(\omega(t))$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,
2.  $\omega(t) = O(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,
3.  $\log t = o(\omega(t))$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and
4.  $\varphi(t) := \omega(e^t)$  is convex.

Consider the *Young conjugate*  $\varphi^*(t) := \sup_{s \geq 0} (st - \varphi(s))$ , for  $t > 0$ , of  $\varphi$ . For compact  $K \subseteq U$  and  $\rho > 0$  consider the seminorm

$$\|f\|_{K,\rho}^\omega := \sup_{x \in K, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} |\partial^\alpha f(x)| \exp(-\frac{1}{\rho} \varphi^*(\rho|\alpha|)), \quad f \in C^\infty(U),$$

and the ultradifferentiable classes of *Roumieu type*

$$\mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}}(U) := \{f \in C^\infty(U) : \forall \text{ compact } K \subseteq U \exists \rho > 0 : \|f\|_{K,\rho}^\omega < \infty\},$$

and of *Beurling type*

$$\mathcal{E}^{(\omega)}(U) := \{f \in C^\infty(U) : \forall \text{ compact } K \subseteq U \forall \rho > 0 : \|f\|_{K,\rho}^\omega < \infty\}.$$

The classes  $\mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{(\omega)}$  are in general not representable by any Denjoy–Carleman class, but they are representable (algebraically and topologically) by unions and intersections of Denjoy–Carleman classes defined by 1-parameter families of positive sequences associated with  $\omega$  [17]. The classes  $\mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{(\omega)}$  are quasianalytic if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\omega(t)}{1+t^2} dt = \infty.$$

If  $\sigma$  is another weight sequence then  $\mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{(\omega)} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{(\sigma)}$  if and only if  $\sigma(t) = O(\omega(t))$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The inclusion  $\mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{(\sigma)}$  holds if and only if  $\sigma(t) = o(\omega(t))$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . For details see e.g. [17]. Thus  $\omega$  and  $\sigma$  are called *equivalent* if  $\sigma(t) = O(\omega(t))$  and  $\omega(t) = O(\sigma(t))$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

We will assume that the weight function  $\omega$  satisfies  $\omega(t) = o(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  which is equivalent to the strict inclusion  $\mathcal{C}^\omega = \mathcal{E}^{\{t\}} \subsetneq \mathcal{E}^{(\omega)}$ . If  $\omega$  is equivalent to a concave weight function, then the classes  $\mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{(\omega)}$  are stable under composition and admit a version of the inverse function theorem (and conversely, see [16, Theorem 11]). They are always stable by derivation.

We shall prove in Section 2:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\omega$  be a quasianalytic concave weight function such that  $\omega(t) = o(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . For any  $n \geq 2$  and any positive sequence  $N = (N_k)$  there exists a  $C^\infty$ -function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $\mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  which does not belong to  $\mathcal{E}^{\{N\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , but  $f \circ p \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}}$  for all lower dimensional  $\mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}}$ -plots  $p$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .*

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\omega$  be a quasianalytic concave weight function such that  $\omega(t) = o(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . For any  $n \geq 2$  and any positive sequence  $N = (N_k)$  there exists a  $C^\infty$ -function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $\mathcal{E}^{(\omega)}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  which does not belong to  $\mathcal{E}^{\{N\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , but  $f \circ p \in \mathcal{E}^{(\omega)}$  for all lower dimensional  $\mathcal{E}^{(\omega)}$ -plots  $p$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .*

$\mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}}$ - and  $\mathcal{E}^{(\omega)}$ -plots are defined in analogy to  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$ -plots.

### 1.3 New quasianalytic classes

Let us turn the conditions of the theorems into a definition.

Let  $M = (M_k)$  be any quasianalytic regular weight sequence and let  $\omega$  be any quasianalytic concave weight function with  $\omega(t) = o(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . In the following  $\star$  stands for either  $\{M\}$ ,  $(M)$ ,  $\{\omega\}$ , or  $(\omega)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}_1^\star(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the set of all  $C^\infty$ -functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $f$  is of class  $\mathcal{E}^\star$  along all affine lines in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_1^\star(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is quasianalytic in the sense that  $T_a f = 0$  implies  $f = 0$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Indeed, if  $f$  is infinitely flat at  $a$ , then so is the restriction of  $f$  to any line  $\ell$  through  $a$ . Since the class  $\mathcal{E}^\star$  is quasianalytic,  $f|_\ell = 0$  for every line  $\ell$  through  $a$  and thus  $f = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . On the other hand  $\mathcal{A}_1^\star(\mathbb{R}^n)$  contains  $\mathcal{E}^\star(\mathbb{R}^n)$  but is not contained in any Denjoy–Carleman class whatsoever, by Theorems 1 to 4.

There are many ways to modify the definition: Let  $U$  be an open subset of an Euclidean space. If  $\mathcal{A}_m^\star(U)$  is the set of all  $C^\infty$ -functions  $f$  on  $U$  such that  $f$

is of class  $\mathcal{E}^*$  along all  $\mathcal{E}^*$ -plots in  $U$  of dimension  $m$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_m^*(U)$  is quasianalytic and stable under composition. Thus  $\mathcal{A}_m^*$ -mappings between open subsets of Euclidean spaces form a quasianalytic category askew to all Denjoy–Carleman classes. We have strict inclusions

$$\mathcal{E}^*(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{A}_n^*(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_{n-1}^*(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_1^*(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Indeed the first inclusion is strict by the theorems proved in this paper. That the other inclusions are strict follows immediately: if  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}^*(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \mathcal{A}_n^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $\tilde{f}(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k}) := f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}^*(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}) \setminus \mathcal{A}_n^*(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

None of the categories  $\mathcal{A}_m^*$  is cartesian closed:

$$\mathcal{A}_m^*(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{A}_m^*(\mathbb{R}^m)) \neq \mathcal{A}_m^*(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m) \quad (\text{via } f(x)(y) \mapsto f^\wedge(x, y)).$$

In fact, the left-hand side equals  $\mathcal{E}^*(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{E}^*(\mathbb{R}^m))$  and is contained in  $\mathcal{E}^*(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ , by [15, Theorem 5.2] and [19], which in turn is strictly included in the right-hand side.

Each  $\mathcal{A}_m^*$  is closed under reciprocals: if  $f \in \mathcal{A}_m^*$  and  $f(0) \neq 0$  then  $1/f \in \mathcal{A}_m^*$  on a neighborhood of 0. This follows from stability under composition and the fact that  $x \mapsto 1/x$  is real analytic off 0.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}^*$  is stable under differentiation. If  $f \in \mathcal{A}_m^*$  then  $d_v^k f \in \mathcal{A}_{m-1}^*$  for all  $m \geq 2$ , all vectors  $v$ , and all  $k$ , thus also  $\partial^\alpha f \in \mathcal{A}_{m-1}^*$  for all multi-indices  $\alpha$ . Indeed, if  $p$  is a  $\mathcal{E}^*$ -plot of dimension  $m - 1$ , then

$$d_v^k f(p(s) + tv) = \partial_t^k (f(p(s) + tv))$$

is of class  $\mathcal{E}^*$  in  $s$  for all  $t$ , since  $(s, t) \mapsto p(s) + tv$  is an  $\mathcal{E}^*$ -plot of dimension  $m$  and  $\mathcal{E}^*$  is stable under differentiation.

Another interesting stability property of  $\mathcal{A}_1^*$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_1^*$ , under the assumption that  $\mathcal{E}^*$  is stable under differentiation, is the following: Assume that the coefficients of a polynomial

$$\varphi(x, y) = y^d + a_1(x)y^{d-1} + \cdots + a_d(x)$$

are germs of  $\mathcal{A}_1^*$  (resp.  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_1^*$ ) functions at 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $h$  is germ of a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -function at 0 such that  $\varphi(x, h(x)) = 0$ . Then  $h$  is actually also a germ of a  $\mathcal{A}_1^*$  (resp.  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_1^*$ ) function. This follows immediately from the case  $n = 1$  due to [22]; in this reference only the case  $\star = \{M\}$  was treated, but the arguments apply to all cases. It seems to be unknown whether a similar result holds for  $\mathcal{E}^*$  and  $n > 1$ , but see [1].

## 2 Proofs

### 2.1 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof is based on a construction due to Jaffe [10].

**Lemma 5** ([10, Proposition 5.2]). *Let  $M$  be a regular weight sequence. For any integer  $n \geq 2$  there exists a function  $f \in \mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the following properties: there is a constant  $B = B(n)$  such that for all compact  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$*

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\alpha f(x)| &\leq B^{|\alpha|} (|K| + 1)^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! M_{|\alpha|} \quad \text{for all } x \in K, \\ |\partial^\alpha f(x)| &\leq B^{|\alpha|} (|K| + 1)^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! (1 + |x|^{-2(|\alpha|+1)}) \quad \text{for all } x \in K \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and for all  $k \geq 1$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{2k} f}{\partial x_i^{2k}}(0) \right| \geq \frac{(2k)! M_k}{2^k}.$$

Here  $|K| := \sup_{x \in K} |x|$ .

It is not hard to see that the fact that  $M$  is logarithmically convex, or equivalently,  $m_k := M_{k+1}/M_k$  is increasing, implies that

$$M_k = \frac{m_k^{k+1}}{\varphi(m_k)}, \quad \text{where } \varphi(t) := \sup_{k \geq 0} \frac{t^{k+1}}{M_k}.$$

This can be used to see that

$$f(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \varphi(m_k)^{-1} (x - i/m_k)^{-1}$$

defines a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\|f^{(k)}\|_{L^\infty} \leq k! M_k$ ,  $|f^{(k)}(x)| \leq k!/|x|^{k+1}$  if  $x \neq 0$  and  $|f^{(k)}(0)| \geq k! M_k / 2^k$  for all  $k$ . Composing  $f$  with the squared Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  gives a function with the properties in the lemma. For details see [10].

Let  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a strictly monotone infinitely flat smooth surjective function with  $\varphi(t) \leq t$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $\varphi_{[n]} := \varphi \circ \varphi_{[n-1]}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , with  $\varphi_{[0]} := \text{Id}$  denote the iterates of  $\varphi$ . Consider the arc

$$A := \{ \Phi(t) := (t, \varphi(t), \varphi_{[2]}(t), \dots, \varphi_{[n-1]}(t)) : t \in (0, 1) \} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Note that  $t \geq \varphi(t) \geq \dots \geq \varphi_{[n-1]}(t)$  for all  $t$ .

Without loss of generality we may assume that the sequence  $M_k^{1/k}$  is strictly increasing [10, Lemma 4.3]. We define a sequence of points  $a_k$  in  $A$  by fixing the  $n$ -th coordinate of  $a_k$  to

$$(a_k)_n := M_k^{-1/(4k)}.$$

For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$  define a sequence  $M^{(\ell)} = (M_k^{(\ell)})$  by

$$M_k^{(\ell)} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq k < \ell, \\ c_\ell^{2k-2\ell+1} M_k & \text{if } k \geq \ell, \end{cases}$$

where  $c_\ell \geq M_\ell$  are constants to be determined below. Notice that each  $M^{(\ell)}$  is a regular weight sequence equivalent to  $M$ .

By Lemma 5, for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$  there is a function  $f_\ell \in \mathcal{E}^{\{M^{(\ell)}\}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that for all compact  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$  we have (for  $a := 1 + \sup_\ell |a_\ell|$ )

$$|\partial^\alpha f_\ell(x)| \leq B^{|\alpha|} (|K| + a)^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! M_{|\alpha|}^{(\ell)} \quad \text{for all } x \in K, \quad (2)$$

$$|\partial^\alpha f_\ell(x)| \leq B^{|\alpha|} (|K| + a)^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! (1 + |x - a_\ell|^{-2(|\alpha|+1)}) \quad \text{for all } x \in K \setminus \{a_\ell\}, \quad (3)$$

where  $B = B(n)$ , and for all  $k \geq 1$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{2k} f_\ell}{\partial x_1^{2k}}(a_\ell) \right| \geq \frac{(2k)! M_k^{(\ell)}}{2^k}. \quad (4)$$

Define

$$f := \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\ell} f_\ell.$$

It is easy to check that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and of class  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ .

Note that  $f$  depends on the choice of the coefficients  $c_\ell$ . Next we will show that, given any positive sequence  $N = (N_k)$ , we may choose the constants  $c_\ell$  and hence  $f$  in such a way that  $f$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{E}^{\{N\}}$  in any neighborhood of the origin.

**Lemma 6.** *The constants  $c_\ell \geq M_\ell$  can be chosen such that for all  $k \geq 1$*

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{2k} f}{\partial x_1^{2k}}(a_k) \right| \geq (2k)! M_{2k} N_{2k}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $M_k^{(k)} = c_k M_k$ , (3) and (4) give

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{2k} f}{\partial x_1^{2k}}(a_k) \right| \geq 4^{-k} (2k)! c_k M_k - \sum_{\ell \neq k} 2^{-\ell} B^{2k} (|K| + a)^{2k} (2k)! (1 + |a_k - a_\ell|^{-2(2k+1)}).$$

The sum on the right-hand side is bounded by a constant (depending on  $k$ ) since the sequence  $M_k^{1/k}$  is strictly increasing and hence  $\inf_{\ell \neq k} |a_k - a_\ell| > 0$ . The assertion follows easily. ■

Lemma 6 implies that  $f$  cannot be of class  $\mathcal{E}^{\{N\}}$  in any neighborhood of the origin. Otherwise there would be constants  $C, \rho > 0$  such that, for large  $k$ ,

$$(2k)! M_{2k} N_{2k} \leq \left| \frac{\partial^{2k} f}{\partial x_1^{2k}}(a_k) \right| \leq C \rho^{2k} (2k)! N_{2k}$$

which leads to a contradiction as  $M_k^{1/k} \rightarrow \infty$ .

It remains to show that  $f \circ p \in \mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}(V)$  for any  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$ -plot  $p : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $m < n$ . We will use the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.** Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{a_k\}_k$  be a compact set such that

$$\text{dist}(a_k, K) \geq M_k^{-1/(4k)} \quad \text{for all } k > k_0.$$

Then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\|f\|_{K,\rho}^M < \infty$ . Neither  $\rho$  nor  $\|f\|_{K,\rho}^M$  depend on the choice of the constants  $c_\ell$ .

*Proof.* For  $x \in K$  and  $|\alpha| \geq 1$ ,

$$|\partial^\alpha f(x)| \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\ell} |\partial^\alpha f_\ell(x)| = \sum_{\ell=1}^{|\alpha|} 2^{-\ell} |\partial^\alpha f_\ell(x)| + \sum_{\ell=|\alpha|+1}^{\infty} 2^{-\ell} |\partial^\alpha f_\ell(x)|.$$

By (2) and the definition of  $M^{(\ell)}$ , the second sum is bounded by  $B^{|\alpha|}(|K| + a)^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!$ . For the first sum we have, by (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=k_0+1}^{|\alpha|} 2^{-\ell} |\partial^\alpha f_\ell(x)| &\leq B^{|\alpha|} (|K| + a)^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! \sum_{\ell=k_0+1}^{|\alpha|} 2^{-\ell} (1 + |x - a_\ell|^{-2(|\alpha|+1)}) \\ &\leq B^{|\alpha|} (|K| + a)^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! M_{|\alpha|} \sum_{\ell=k_0+1}^{|\alpha|} 1 \\ &\leq (eB)^{|\alpha|} (|K| + a)^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|! M_{|\alpha|}. \end{aligned}$$

A similar estimate holds for  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{k_0} 2^{-\ell} |\partial^\alpha f_\ell(x)|$  since  $\text{dist}(a_k, K) \geq \epsilon > 0$  for all  $k \leq k_0$ . ■

Let  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$ -plot, where  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  is a neighborhood of the origin and  $m < n$ .

**Lemma 8.** There is a compact neighborhood  $L \subseteq V$  of 0 such that  $K := p(L)$  satisfies

$$\text{dist}(\Phi(t), K) \geq \varphi_{[n-1]}(t) \quad \text{for all small } t > 0. \tag{5}$$

*Proof.* We may assume that no component  $p_j$  vanishes identically; indeed, if  $p_j \equiv 0$  then  $K$  is contained in the coordinate plane  $y_j = 0$  and hence  $\text{dist}(\Phi(t), K) \geq \varphi_{[j-1]}(t) \geq \varphi_{[n-1]}(t)$  for all  $t$ .

Suppose that  $p(0) \neq 0$ . Then there exists a compact neighborhood  $L$  of 0 such that  $\text{dist}(0, K) =: \epsilon > 0$ , where  $K = p(L)$ . For sufficiently small  $t > 0$  we have  $|\Phi(t)| \leq \epsilon/2$ . For such  $t$ ,

$$\text{dist}(\Phi(t), K) \geq \text{dist}(0, K) - |\Phi(t)| \geq \epsilon/2 \geq |\Phi(t)| \geq \varphi_{[n-1]}(t).$$

Assume that  $p(0) = 0$  and that  $p_j(x) = x^{\alpha_j} u_j(x)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ , all  $u_j$  are non-vanishing and the set of exponents  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$  is totally ordered with respect to the natural partial order of multiindices (that is, for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  we have  $\alpha_i \leq \alpha_j$  or  $\alpha_j \leq \alpha_i$ ). Let  $\beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq \dots \leq \beta_n$  be an ordered arrangement of  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ . Let  $m_i$  be the number of zero components of  $\beta_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $p(0) = 0$ , we have  $m_1 \leq m - 1$ . On the other hand  $m_i \geq m_{i+1}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . Since  $m < n$ , we must have  $m_{i_0} = m_{i_0+1}$  for

some  $i_0$ . That means there exist two distinct numbers  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $\alpha_i \leq \alpha_j$  such that  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$  have the same number of zero components. Thus we may find a positive integer  $d$  such that  $d \cdot \alpha_i \geq \alpha_j$ . Consequently, there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $x$  in a neighborhood  $L$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,

$$|p_j(x)| \leq C |p_i(x)| \quad \text{and} \quad |p_i(x)|^d \leq C |p_j(x)|.$$

This implies that  $K = p(L)$  satisfies (5). In fact, the  $i$ -th component of  $\Phi(t)$  is  $\varphi_{[i-1]}(t)$  and the  $j$ -th component is  $\varphi_{[j-1]}(t) = \varphi_{[j-i]}(\varphi_{[i-1]}(t))$ . Since  $\varphi_{[j-i]}$  is an infinitely flat function while  $K$  is contained in the set  $\{C^{-1}|y_i|^d \leq |y_j| \leq C|y_i|\}$ ,  $\text{dist}(\Phi(t), K)$  is larger than  $\varphi_{[j-1]}(t)$  for all sufficiently small  $t > 0$ .

The general situation can be reduced to these special cases by the desingularization theorem [3, Theorem 5.12] using [3, Lemma 7.7] in order to get the exponents totally ordered. Indeed, applying [3, Theorem 5.12] to the product of all nonzero  $p_j$  and all nonzero differences of any two  $p_i, p_j$  we may assume that after pullback by a suitable mapping  $\sigma$  the components  $p_j$  are locally a monomial times a nonvanishing factor (in suitable coordinates), and the collection of exponents of the monomials is totally ordered. Here we apply the desingularization theorem to the quasianalytic class  $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}^{\{M^{+k}\}}$ , where  $M^{+k}$  is the regular weight sequence defined by  $M_j^{+k} := M_{j+k}$ , which has all required properties. This is necessary since the class  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$  might not be closed under differentiation. ■

**Remark 9.** For later reference we note that Lemma 8 holds for all lower dimensional  $\mathcal{C}$ -plots, where  $\mathcal{C}$  is any quasianalytic class of smooth functions which contains the restrictions of polynomials, is stable by composition, differentiation, division by coordinates, and admits an inverse function theorem; cf. [3].

Now we can prove that  $f \circ p \in \mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}(V)$  for any lower dimensional  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$ -plot  $p : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . To be of class  $\mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$  is a local condition. So we may assume without loss of generality that  $V$  is a neighborhood of 0. By Lemma 8, we may further assume that (after shrinking)  $V = L$  is a compact neighborhood of 0 such that  $K = p(L)$  satisfies (5). By Lemma 7, there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\|f\|_{K,\rho}^M =: C < \infty$ . Since  $p \in \mathcal{E}^{\{M\}}$ , there exists  $\sigma > 0$  such that  $\|p\|_{L,\sigma}^M =: D < \infty$ . Logarithmic convexity of  $M$  implies  $M_1^k M_k \geq M_j M_{\alpha_1} \cdots M_{\alpha_j}$  for all  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  with  $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_j = k$  (cf. [13, Lemma 2.9]). Consequently, in view of the Faá di Bruno formula, for  $k > 0$  and  $x \in L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|(f \circ p)^{(k)}(x)\|_{L^k(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})}}{k!} &\leq \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{\alpha_i} \frac{\|f^{(j)}(p(x))\|_{L^j(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})}}{j!} \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{\|p^{(\alpha_i)}(x)\|_{L^{\alpha_i}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n)}}{\alpha_i!} \\ &\leq \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{\alpha_i} C \rho^j M_j \prod_{i=1}^j D \sigma^{\alpha_i} M_{\alpha_i} \\ &\leq C (M_1 \sigma)^k M_k \sum_{j \geq 1} \binom{k-1}{j-1} (D \rho)^j \\ &\leq CD \rho (M_1 \sigma)^k (1 + D \rho)^{k-1} M_k, \end{aligned}$$

that is, there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that  $\|f \circ p\|_{L,\tau}^M < \infty$ . This ends the proof of Theorem 1.

### 2.2 Proof of Theorem 2

Set  $L_k := M_k^{1/2}$ . Then  $L = (L_k)$  is a quasianalytic regular weight sequence satisfying  $(L_k/M_k)^{1/k} \rightarrow 0$ . Theorem 1 associates a function  $f$  with  $L$  which is as required. Indeed,  $f$  is of class  $\mathcal{E}^{\{L\}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{(M)}$  along the image of lower dimensional  $\mathcal{E}^{(M)}$ -plots  $p$ , by Lemma 8 and Remark 9, and thus  $f \circ p$  is  $\mathcal{E}^{(M)}$ , since the class is stable by composition.

### 2.3 Proof of Theorem 3

By [16, Theorem 11], there is a family  $\mathfrak{M}$  of quasianalytic regular weight sequences  $M = (M_k)$  such that

$$\mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}}(U) = \{f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U) : \forall \text{ compact } K \subseteq U \exists M \in \mathfrak{M} \exists \rho > 0 : \|f\|_{K,\rho}^M < \infty\}.$$

Fix  $M \in \mathfrak{M}$  and a positive sequence  $N = (N_k)$ . Let  $f$  be the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -function associated with  $M$  and  $N$  provided by Theorem 1. Then  $f$  is not of class  $\mathcal{E}^{\{N\}}$ . Let  $p$  be any lower dimensional  $\mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}}$ -plot. Then  $f \circ p$  is of class  $\mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}}$ , by Lemma 8 and Remark 9, since  $\mathcal{E}^{\{\omega\}}$  is stable under composition as  $\omega$  is concave.

### 2.4 Proof of Theorem 4

By [17], there is a one-parameter family  $\mathfrak{M} = \{M^x\}_{x>0}$  of quasianalytic positive sequences with  $(M_k^x)^{1/k} \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x$ ,  $M^x \leq M^y$  if  $x \leq y$ , and

$$\mathcal{E}^{(\omega)}(U) = \mathcal{E}^{(\mathfrak{M})}(U) := \bigcap_{x>0} \mathcal{E}^{(M^x)}(U).$$

The next lemma is inspired by [12, Lemma 6].

**Lemma 10.** *There is a quasianalytic regular weight sequence  $L$  such that  $(L_k/M_k^x)^{1/k} \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a positive sequence  $x_p$  which is strictly decreasing to 0. For every  $p \geq 1$  we know that  $(M_k^{x_p})^{1/k} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus for every  $p$  there is a constant  $C_p > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{(M_k^{x_p})^{1/k}} \leq \frac{C_p^{1/k}}{p} \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Choose a strictly increasing sequence  $j_p$  of positive integers such that  $C_p \leq 2^{j_p}$  for all  $p$ . Consider the sequence  $L$  defined by  $L_j := 1$  if  $j < j_1$  and

$$L_j := \sqrt{M_j^{x_p}} \quad \text{if } j_p \leq j < j_{p+1}.$$

First, for  $j_p \leq j < j_{p+1}$ ,

$$L_j^{1/j} = \sqrt{(M_j^{x_p})^{1/j}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{p}{C_p^{1/j}}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{p}{2}}$$

which tends to infinity as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, for  $j_p \leq j < j_{p+1}$  and  $x_p \leq x$ ,

$$\left(\frac{L_j}{M_j^x}\right)^{1/j} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{M_j^{x_p}}}{M_j^x}\right)^{1/j} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{(M_j^x)^{1/j}}}$$

which tends to 0 as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\underline{L}$  be the log-convex minorant of  $L$ . Since  $L_k^{1/k} \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists a sequence  $k_j \rightarrow \infty$  of integers such that  $\underline{L}_{k_j} = L_{k_j}$  for all  $j$ . It follows that  $\underline{L}_k^{1/k} \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\underline{L}_k^{1/k}$  is increasing by logarithmic convexity. Thus  $\underline{L}$  has all required properties. ■

The proof of Theorem 4 now follows the arguments in the proof of Theorem 2. Theorem 1 associates a function  $f$  with the sequence  $L$  from Lemma 10 which is of class  $\mathcal{E}^{\{L\}}$  along the image of any lower dimensional  $\mathcal{E}^{(\omega)}$ -plots  $p$  (by Lemma 8 and Remark 9). Since  $(L_k/M_k^x)^{1/k} \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x > 0$ , we have an inclusion of classes  $\mathcal{E}^{\{L\}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{(\omega)}$ . Since  $\omega$  is concave, the class  $\mathcal{E}^{(\omega)}$  is stable under composition, whence  $f \circ p$  is of class  $\mathcal{E}^{(\omega)}$ . The proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

## References

- [1] A. Belotto da Silva, I. Biborski, and E. Bierstone, *Solutions of quasianalytic equations*, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **23** (2017), no. 4, 2523–2552.
- [2] A. Beurling, *Quasi-analyticity and general distributions*, Lecture notes, AMS Summer Institute, Stanford, 1961.
- [3] E. Bierstone and P. D. Milman, *Resolution of singularities in Denjoy-Carleman classes*, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **10** (2004), no. 1, 1–28.
- [4] G. Björck, *Linear partial differential operators and generalized distributions*, *Ark. Mat.* **6** (1966), 351–407.
- [5] J. Bochnak, J. Kollár, and W. Kucharz, *Checking real analyticity on surfaces*, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (2019), doi:10.1016/j.matpur.2019.05.008
- [6] J. Bochnak and J. Siciak, *A characterization of analytic functions of several variables*, *Ann. Polon. Math.* (2018), DOI:10.4064/ap180119-26-3.
- [7] R. W. Braun, R. Meise, and B. A. Taylor, *Ultradifferentiable functions and Fourier analysis*, *Results Math.* **17** (1990), no. 3-4, 206–237.
- [8] J. Bruna, *On inverse-closed algebras of infinitely differentiable functions*, *Studia Math.* **69** (1980/81), no. 1, 59–68.
- [9] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 256, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983, Distribution theory and Fourier analysis.

- [10] E. Y. Jaffe, *Pathological phenomena in Denjoy-Carleman classes*, *Canad. J. Math.* **68** (2016), no. 1, 88–108.
- [11] H. Komatsu, *Ultradistributions. I. Structure theorems and a characterization*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **20** (1973), 25–105.
- [12] ———, *An analogue of the Cauchy-Kowalevsky theorem for ultradifferentiable functions and a division theorem for ultradistributions as its dual*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **26** (1979), no. 2, 239–254.
- [13] A. Kriegl, P. W. Michor, and A. Rainer, *The convenient setting for non-quasianalytic Denjoy–Carleman differentiable mappings*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **256** (2009), 3510–3544.
- [14] ———, *The convenient setting for quasianalytic Denjoy–Carleman differentiable mappings*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **261** (2011), 1799–1834.
- [15] ———, *The convenient setting for Denjoy–Carleman differentiable mappings of Beurling and Roumieu type*, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **28** (2015), no. 3, 549–597.
- [16] A. Rainer and G. Schindl, *On the extension of Whitney ultrajets, II*, *Studia Math.* (2019), doi:10.4064/sm180903-12-11.
- [17] ———, *Composition in ultradifferentiable classes*, *Studia Math.* **224** (2014), no. 2, 97–131.
- [18] ———, *Equivalence of stability properties for ultradifferentiable function classes*, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM.* **110** (2016), no. 1, 17–32.
- [19] G. Schindl, *The convenient setting for ultradifferentiable mappings of Beurling- and Roumieu-type defined by a weight matrix*, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **22** (2015), no. 3, 471–510.
- [20] K. Spallek, P. Tworzewski, and T. Winiarski, *Osgood-Hartogs-theorems of mixed type*, *Math. Ann.* **288** (1990), no. 1, 75–88.
- [21] V. Thilliez, *On quasianalytic local rings*, *Expo. Math.* **26** (2008), no. 1, 1–23.
- [22] ———, *Smooth solutions of quasianalytic or ultraholomorphic equations*, *Monatsh. Math.* **160** (2010), no. 4, 443–453.

University of Education Lower Austria,  
 Campus Baden Mühlgasse 67, A-2500 Baden  
 and  
 Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Wien,  
 Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, A-1090 Wien, Austria  
 email : armin.rainer@univie.ac.at