

Ricci solitons in almost f -cosymplectic manifolds

Xiaomin Chen*

Abstract

In this article we study an almost f -cosymplectic manifold admitting a Ricci soliton. We first prove that there do not exist Ricci solitons on an almost cosymplectic (κ, μ) -manifold. Further, we consider an almost f -cosymplectic manifold admitting a Ricci soliton whose potential vector field is the Reeb vector field and show that a three dimensional almost f -cosymplectic is a cosymplectic manifold. Finally we classify a three dimensional η -Einstein almost f -cosymplectic manifold admitting a Ricci soliton.

1 Introduction

A *Ricci soliton* is a Riemannian metric defined on manifold M such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_Vg + Ric - \lambda g = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where V and λ are the potential vector field and some constant on M , respectively. Moreover, the Ricci soliton is called *shrinking*, *steady* and *expanding* according as λ is positive, zero and negative respectively. The Ricci solitons are of interest to physicists as well and are known as quasi Einstein metrics in the physics literature [6]. Compact Ricci solitons are the fixed point of the Ricci flow: $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g = -2Ric$,

*The author is supported by the Science Foundation of China University of Petroleum-Beijing(No.2462015YQ0604) and partially by the Personnel Training and Academic Development Fund (2462015QZDX02).

Received by the editors in January 2017 - In revised form in October 2017.

Communicated by J. Fine.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 53D15; 53C21; 53C25.

Key words and phrases : Ricci soliton; almost f -cosymplectic manifold; almost cosymplectic manifold; Einstein manifold; (κ, μ) -manifold.

projected from the space of metrics onto its quotient modulo diffeomorphisms and scalings, and often arise as blow-up limits for the Ricci flows on compact manifolds. The study on the Ricci solitons has a long history and a lot of achievements were acquired, see [5, 13, 15]etc. On the other hand, the normal almost contact manifolds admitting Ricci solitons were also been studied by many researchers (see [3, 7, 8, 9]).

Recently, we note that the three dimensional almost Kenmotsu manifolds admitting Ricci solitons were considered ([18, 19]) and Cho ([2]) gave the classification of an almost cosymplectic manifold admitting a Ricci soliton whose potential vector field is the Reeb vector field. Here the *almost cosymplectic manifold*, defined by Goldberg and Yano [10], was an almost contact manifold whose 1-form η and fundamental 2-form ω are closed, and the *almost Kenmotsu manifold* is an almost contact manifold satisfying $d\eta = 0$ and $d\omega = 2\eta \wedge \omega$. Based on this Kim and Pak [11] introduced the concept of *almost α -cosymplectic manifold*, i.e., an almost contact manifold satisfying $d\eta = 0$ and $d\omega = 2\alpha\eta \wedge \omega$ for any real number α . In particular, if α is non-zero it is said to be an *almost α -Kenmotsu manifold*. Later Aktan et al.[1] defined an *almost f -cosymplectic manifold M* by generalizing the real number α to a smooth function f on M , i.e., an almost contact manifold satisfies $d\omega = 2f\eta \wedge \omega$ and $d\eta = 0$ for a smooth function f satisfying $df \wedge \eta = 0$. Clearly, an almost f -cosymplectic manifold is an almost cosymplectic manifold under the condition that $f = 0$ and an almost α -Kenmotsu manifold if f is constant($\neq 0$). In particular, if $f = 1$ then M is an almost Kenmotsu manifold.

On the other hand, we observe that a remarkable class of contact metric manifold is (κ, μ) -space whose curvature tensor satisfies

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \kappa(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) + \mu(\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY) \quad (1.2)$$

for any vector fields X, Y , where κ and μ are constants and $h := \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi\phi$ is a self-dual operator. In fact Sasakian manifolds are special (κ, μ) -spaces with $\kappa = 1$ and $h = 0$. An *almost cosymplectic (κ, μ) -manifold* is an almost cosymplectic manifold with curvature tensor satisfying (1.2). Endo proved that if $\kappa \neq 0$ any almost cosymplectic (κ, μ) -manifolds are not cosymplectic ([4]). Furthermore, since $\kappa\phi^2 = h^2$, $\kappa \leq 0$ and the equality holds if and only if the almost cosymplectic (κ, μ) -manifolds are cosymplectic. Notice that Wang proved the non-existence of gradient Ricci solitons in almost cosymplectic (κ, μ) -manifolds(see [17]).

In this paper we first obtain an non-existence of a Ricci soliton in almost cosymplectic (κ, μ) -manifolds, namely

Theorem 1.1. *There do not exist Ricci solitons on almost cosymplectic (κ, μ) -manifolds with $\kappa < 0$.*

Next we consider a three-dimensional almost f -cosymplectic manifold admitting a Ricci soliton whose potential vector field is the Reeb vector field ξ , and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *A three-dimensional almost f -cosymplectic manifold M admits a Ricci soliton whose potential vector field is ξ if and only if ξ is Killing and M is Ricci flat.*

As it is well known that a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional almost contact manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is said to be η -Einstein if its Ricci tensor satisfies

$$Ric = ag + b\eta \otimes \eta, \tag{1.3}$$

where a and b are smooth functions. For a three-dimensional η -Einstein almost f -cosymplectic manifold M with a Ricci soliton we prove the following result:

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M, ϕ, η, ξ, g) be a three-dimensional η -Einstein almost f -cosymplectic manifold admitting a Ricci soliton. Then either M is an α -cosymplectic manifold, or M is an Einstein manifold of constant sectional curvature $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ with $\lambda = -2\xi(f) - 2f^2$.*

Remark 1.1. Our theorem extends the Wang and Liu’s result [18]. In fact, when $f = 1$ then $a = -2$ in view of Proposition 5.1 in Section 5. Thus it follows from (2.8) that $\text{trace}(h^2) = 0$, i.e., $h = 0$. So M is also a Kenmotsu manifold of sectional curvature -1 .

In order to prove these conclusions, in Section 2 we recall some basic concepts and formulas. The proofs of theorems will be given in Section 3, Section 4 and Section 5, respectively.

2 Some basic concepts and related results

In this section we will recall some basic concepts and equations. Let M^{2n+1} be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. An *almost contact structure* on M is a triple (ϕ, ξ, η) , where ϕ is a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field, ξ a unit vector field, η a one-form dual to ξ satisfying

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad \phi \circ \xi = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

A smooth manifold with such a structure is called an *almost contact manifold*. It is well-known that there exists a Riemannian metric g such that

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{2.2}$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. It is easy to get from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$g(\phi X, Y) = -g(X, \phi Y), \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X). \tag{2.3}$$

An almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) is said to be *normal* if the corresponding complex structure J on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ is integrable.

Denote by ω the fundamental 2-form on M defined by $\omega(X, Y) := g(\phi X, Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. An *almost α -cosymplectic manifold* ([11, 14]) is an almost contact metric manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) such that the fundamental form ω and 1-form η satisfy $d\eta = 0$ and $d\omega = 2\alpha\eta \wedge \omega$, where α is a real number. In particular, M is an *almost cosymplectic manifold* if $\alpha = 0$ and an *almost Kenmotsu manifold* if $\alpha = 1$. In [1], a class of more general almost contact manifolds was defined by generalizing the real number α to a smooth function f . More precisely, an almost contact metric manifold is called an *almost f -cosymplectic manifold* if $d\eta = 0$ and $d\omega = 2f\eta \wedge \omega$ are satisfied, where f is a smooth function with $df \wedge \eta = 0$. In

addition, a normal almost f -cosymplectic manifold is said to be an f -cosymplectic manifold.

Let M be an almost f -cosymplectic manifold, we recall that there is an operator $h = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi\phi$ which is a self-dual operator. The Levi-Civita connection is given by (see [1])

$$2g((\nabla_X\phi)Y, Z) = 2fg(g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X, Z) + g(N(Y, Z), \phi X) \tag{2.4}$$

for arbitrary vector fields X, Y , where N is the Nijenhuis torsion of M . Then by a simple calculation, we have

$$\text{trace}(h) = 0, \quad h\xi = 0, \quad \phi h = -h\phi, \quad g(hX, Y) = g(X, hY), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Write $AX := \nabla_X\xi$ for any vector field X . Thus A is a $(1, 1)$ -tensor of M . Using (2.4), a straightforward calculation gives

$$AX = -f\phi^2X - \phi hX \tag{2.5}$$

and $\nabla_\xi\phi = 0$. By (2.3), it is obvious that $A\xi = 0$ and A is symmetric with respect to metric g , i.e., $g(AX, Y) = g(X, AY)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. We denote by R and Ric the Riemannian curvature tensor and Ricci tensor, respectively. For an almost f -cosymplectic manifold $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ the following equations were proved([1]):

$$R(X, \xi)\xi - \phi R(\phi X, \xi)\xi = 2[(\xi(f) + f^2)\phi^2X - h^2X], \tag{2.6}$$

$$(\nabla_\xi h)X = -\phi R(X, \xi)\xi - [\xi(f) + f^2]\phi X - 2fhX - \phi h^2X, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\text{Ric}(\xi, \xi) = -2n(\xi(f) + f^2) - \text{trace}(h^2), \tag{2.8}$$

$$\text{trace}(\phi h) = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

$$R(X, \xi)\xi = [\xi(f) + f^2]\phi^2X + 2f\phi hX - h^2X + \phi(\nabla_\xi h)X, \tag{2.10}$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M .

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we suppose that (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is an almost cosymplectic (κ, μ) -manifold, i.e., the curvature tensor satisfies (1.2). In the following we always suppose $\kappa < 0$. The following relations are provided(see [12, Eq.(3.22) and Eq.(3.23)]):

$$Q = 2n\kappa\eta \otimes \xi + \mu h, \tag{3.1}$$

$$h^2 = \kappa\phi^2, \tag{3.2}$$

where Q is the Ricci operator defined by $\text{Ric}(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$ for any vectors X, Y . In particular, $Q\xi = 2n\kappa\xi$ because of $h\xi = 0$.

In view of (3.1) and the Ricci soliton equation (1.1), we obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}_Vg)(Y, Z) = 2\lambda g(Y, Z) - 2\mu g(hY, Z) - 4n\kappa\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \tag{3.3}$$

for any vectors Y, Z . Since κ, μ are two real numbers and $\nabla_X \xi = AX$, differentiating (3.3) along any vector field X provides

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z) &= \nabla_X((\mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z)) - \mathcal{L}_V g(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \mathcal{L}_V g(Y, \nabla_X Z) \quad (3.4) \\
 &= -2\mu g((\nabla_X h)Y, Z) - 4n\kappa \nabla_X(\eta(Y))\eta(Z) - 4n\kappa \eta(Y)\nabla_X(\eta(Z)) \\
 &\quad + 4n\kappa \eta(\nabla_X Y)\eta(Z) + 4n\kappa \eta(Y)\eta(\nabla_X Z) \\
 &= -2\mu g((\nabla_X h)Y, Z) - 4n\kappa g(Y, AX)\eta(Z) - 4n\kappa \eta(Y)g(Z, AX).
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, making use of the commutation formula (see [20]):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{L}_V \nabla_X g - \nabla_X \mathcal{L}_V g - \nabla_{[V, X]}g)(Y, Z) = \\
 -g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Y), Z) - g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Z), Y),
 \end{aligned}$$

we derive

$$(\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z) = g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Y), Z) + g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Z), Y). \quad (3.5)$$

It follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Y, Z), X) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\nabla_Z \mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, X) + (\nabla_Y \mathcal{L}_V g)(Z, X) - (\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z) \right\} \\
 &= -\mu \left\{ g((\nabla_Z h)Y, X) + g((\nabla_Y h)Z, X) - g((\nabla_X h)Y, Z) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 4n\kappa g(Y, AZ)\eta(X) \right\}. \quad (3.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence for any vector Y ,

$$(\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Y, \xi) = -\mu \left\{ (\nabla_\xi h)Y + 2\kappa \phi Y \right\} \quad (3.7)$$

by using (3.2) and (2.5). Lie differentiating (3.7) along V and making use of the identity([20]):

$$(\mathcal{L}_V R)(X, Y)Z = (\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y \mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Z), \quad (3.8)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{L}_V R)(X, \xi)\xi &= (\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(\xi, \xi) - (\nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, \xi) \quad (3.9) \\
 &= -2(\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(\nabla_X \xi, \xi) - (\nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, \xi) \\
 &= -2\mu \left\{ (\nabla_\xi h)\nabla_X \xi + 2\kappa \phi \nabla_X \xi \right\} + \mu \left\{ (\nabla_\xi \nabla_\xi h)X \right\} \\
 &= -2\mu \left\{ \phi(\nabla_\xi h)hX + 2\kappa hX \right\} + \mu \left\{ (\nabla_\xi \nabla_\xi h)X \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{trace}(h) = 0$, contracting (3.9) over X gives

$$(\mathcal{L}_V Ric)(\xi, \xi) = \mu \text{trace}(\nabla_\xi \nabla_\xi h - 2\phi(\nabla_\xi h)h).$$

Next we compute $\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\xi}h - 2\phi(\nabla_{\xi}h)h$: By (2.7), (3.2) and (2.1), we get $\nabla_{\xi}h = -\mu\phi h$, thus

$$\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\xi}h - 2\phi(\nabla_{\xi}h)h = \mu h - 2\kappa\mu\phi^2.$$

This means that

$$(\mathcal{L}_V Ric)(\xi, \xi) = 4n\kappa\mu^2. \quad (3.10)$$

On the other hand, by Lie differentiating the formula $Ric(\xi, \xi) = 2n\kappa$ along V , we also obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}_V Ric)(\xi, \xi) = -4n\kappa g(\mathcal{L}_V \xi, \xi).$$

Thus it follows from (3.10) that $g(\mathcal{L}_V \xi, \xi) = -\mu^2$.

Furthermore, notice that the Ricci tensor equation (3.1) implies the scalar curvature $r = 2n\kappa$ and recall the following integrability formula (see [16, Eq.(5)]):

$$\mathcal{L}_V r = -\Delta r - 2\lambda r + 2\|Q\|^2$$

for a Ricci soliton. By (3.1), (3.2) and the foregoing formula we thus obtain $\lambda = 2n\kappa - \mu^2$. Also, it follows from (3.3) that $g(\mathcal{L}_V \xi, \xi) = 2n\kappa - \lambda$. Therefore $g(\mathcal{L}_V \xi, \xi) = \mu^2$. Hence we find $\mu = 0$. However, from (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$g(Y, AX)\eta(Z) + g(Z, AX)\eta(Y) = 0$$

since $\kappa < 0$. Now putting $Z = \xi$ gives $g(Y, AX) = 0$ for any vector fields X, Y because $A\xi = 0$. That means that $AX = 0$ for any vector field X . From (2.5) with $f = 0$, we get $h = 0$. Clearly, it is impossible. Therefore we complete the proof.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we assume that M is a three dimensional almost f -cosymplectic manifold and the potential vector field V is the Reeb vector field. Before giving the proof, we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *For any almost f -cosymplectic manifold the following formula holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{\xi} R)(X, \xi)\xi &= 2\xi(f)\phi hX - 2[f(\nabla_{\xi}h)\phi X + (\nabla_{\xi}h)hX] \\ &\quad + [\xi(\xi(f)) + 2f\xi(f)]\phi^2 X + \phi(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\xi}h)X. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Obviously, $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\eta = 0$ because $A\xi = 0$. Notice that for any vector fields X, Y, Z the 1-form η satisfies the following relation([20]):

$$-\eta((\mathcal{L}_X \nabla)(Y, Z)) = (\mathcal{L}_X(\nabla_Y \eta) - \nabla_Y(\mathcal{L}_X \eta) - \nabla_{[X, Y]}\eta)(Z). \quad (4.1)$$

Putting $X = \xi$ and using (2.5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} -\eta((\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \nabla)(Y, Z)) &= (\mathcal{L}_{\xi}(\nabla_Y \eta) - \nabla_Y(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \eta) - \nabla_{[\xi, Y]}\eta)(Z) \\ &= \nabla_{\xi}((\nabla_Y \eta)(Z)) - (\nabla_Y \eta)(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} Z) - g(A([\xi, Y]), Z) \\ &= \nabla_{\xi}g(AY, Z) - g(AY, [\xi, Z]) - g(A([\xi, Y]), Z) \\ &= g((\nabla_{\xi} A)Y, Z) + 2g(AY, AZ). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

In view of (3.5), we obtain from (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} g((\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\nabla)(X, \xi), Y) &= (\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_{\xi}g)(Y, \xi) - g((\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\nabla)(X, Y), \xi) \\ &= -2g(AX, AY) + g((\nabla_{\xi}A)X, Y) + 2g(AX, AY) \\ &= \xi(f)g(\phi X, \phi Y) + g((\nabla_{\xi}h)X, \phi Y). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\nabla)(X, \xi) = -[\xi(f)]\phi^2 X - \phi(\nabla_{\xi}h)X.$$

Obviously, for any vector field X , we know $(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\nabla)(X, \xi) = (\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\nabla)(\xi, X)$ from (3.6). Therefore we compute the Lie derivative of $R(X, \xi)\xi$ along ξ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{\xi}R)(X, \xi)\xi &= (\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\nabla)(\xi, \xi) - (\nabla_{\xi}\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\nabla)(X, \xi) \\ &= -(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\nabla)(\nabla_X \xi, \xi) - (\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\nabla)(\xi, \nabla_X \xi) - (\nabla_{\xi}\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\nabla)(X, \xi) \\ &= 2[\xi(f)]\phi^2 AX + 2\phi(\nabla_{\xi}h)AX - (\nabla_{\xi}\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\nabla)(X, \xi) \\ &= 2\xi(f)(f\phi^2 X + \phi hX) + 2[-f(\nabla_{\xi}h)\phi X - (\nabla_{\xi}h)hX] \\ &\quad + [\xi(\xi(f))]\phi^2 X + \phi(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\xi}h)X. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used $\nabla_{\xi}\phi = 0$ and $\phi\nabla_{\xi}h = -(\nabla_{\xi}h)\phi$ followed from $h\phi + \phi h = 0$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Now we suppose that the potential vector $V = \xi$ in the Ricci equation (1.1). Then for any $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$,

$$-f\phi^2 X - \phi hX + QX = \lambda X. \tag{4.3}$$

Putting $X = \xi$ in (4.3), we have

$$Q\xi = \lambda\xi. \tag{4.4}$$

Moreover, the above formula together (2.8) with $n = 1$ leads to

$$\text{trace}(h^2) = -\lambda - 2f^2 - 2\xi(f). \tag{4.5}$$

Since the curvature tensor of a 3-dimension Riemannian manifold is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY + g(QY, Z)X - g(QX, Z)Y \\ &\quad - \frac{r}{2}\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where r denotes the scalar curvature. Putting $Y = Z = \xi$ in (4.6) and applying (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain

$$R(X, \xi)\xi = \left(\frac{r}{2} + f - 2\lambda\right)\phi^2 X + \phi hX. \tag{4.7}$$

Contracting the above formula over X leads to $Ric(\xi, \xi) = -r - 2f + 4\lambda$, which follows from (4.4) that

$$r + 2f = 3\lambda. \tag{4.8}$$

Taking the Lie derivative of (4.7) along ξ and using (4.8), we obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}R)(X, \xi)\xi = 2h^2 X + \phi(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}h)X \tag{4.9}$$

since $\mathcal{L}_\xi\phi^2 = 2\phi h + 2h\phi = 0$ and $h = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi\phi$. By Lemma 4.1 and (4.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\bar{\xi}(f)\phi hX - 2[f(\nabla_{\bar{\xi}}h)\phi X + (\nabla_{\bar{\xi}}h)hX] \\ & + [\bar{\xi}(\bar{\xi}(f)) + 2f\bar{\xi}(f)]\phi^2X + \phi(\nabla_{\bar{\xi}}\nabla_{\bar{\xi}}h)X \\ & = 2h^2X + \phi(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\xi}}h)X. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

By virtue of (2.7) and (4.7), we have

$$(\nabla_{\bar{\xi}}h)X = \left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} - \bar{\xi}(f) - f^2\right)\phi X + (1 - 2f)hX - \phi h^2X. \quad (4.11)$$

Making use of the above equation we further compute

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\nabla_{\bar{\xi}}\nabla_{\bar{\xi}}h)X & = \left(-\bar{\xi}\bar{\xi}(f) - 2f\bar{\xi}(f)\right)\phi^2X - 2\bar{\xi}(f)\phi hX + (1 - 2f)\phi(\nabla_{\bar{\xi}}h)X \\ & + (\nabla_{\bar{\xi}}h)hX + h(\nabla_{\bar{\xi}}h)X. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

As well as via (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\xi}}h)X & = \phi\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\xi}}(hX) - \phi h([\bar{\xi}, X]) \\ & = \phi(\nabla_{\bar{\xi}}h)X + \phi(hA - Ah)X \\ & = \phi(\nabla_{\bar{\xi}}h)X - 2h^2X. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Substituting (4.12) and (4.13) into (4.10), we derive

$$-(\nabla_{\bar{\xi}}h)hX + h(\nabla_{\bar{\xi}}h)X = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

Further, applying (4.11) in the formula (4.14), we get

$$\left(-\lambda - 2f^2 - 2\bar{\xi}(f)\right)hX - h^3X = 0.$$

Write $\beta := -\lambda - 2f^2 - 2\bar{\xi}(f)$, then the above equation is rewritten as $h^3X = \beta hX$ for every vector X . Denote by e_i and λ_i the eigenvectors and the corresponding eigenvalues for $i = 1, 2, 3$, respectively. If $h \neq 0$ then there is a nonzero eigenvalue $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ satisfying $\lambda_1^3 = \beta\lambda_1$, i.e., $\lambda_1^2 = \beta$. Since $\text{trace}(h) = 0$ and $\text{trace}(h^2) = \beta$ by (4.4), we have $\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i^2 = \beta$. This shows $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$, which further leads to $\lambda_1 = 0$. It is a contradiction. Thus we have

$$\beta = -(\lambda + 2f^2 + 2\bar{\xi}(f)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

On the other hand, taking the covariant differentiation of $Q\bar{\xi} = \lambda\bar{\xi}$ (see (4.4)) along arbitrary vector field X and using (2.5), one can easily deduce

$$(\nabla_X Q)\bar{\xi} + Q(-f\phi^2X - \phi hX) = -\lambda(f\phi^2X + \phi hX).$$

Contracting this equation over X and using (4.3), we derive

$$\frac{1}{2}\bar{\xi}(r) - 2f^2 - \text{trace}(h^2) = 0.$$

By virtue of (4.4) and (4.8), the foregoing equation yields

$$\zeta(f) + \lambda = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

This shows that $\zeta(f)$ is constant, then it infers from (4.15) that $f = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$, that means that M is cosymplectic. Therefore it follows from (2.5) that $(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta}g)(X, Y) = 2g(AX, Y) = 0$ for any vectors X, Y . By (4.3), it is obvious that $Q = 0$. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

By the proof of Theorem 1.2, the following result is clear.

Corollary 4.1. *A three-dimensional almost α -Kenmotsu manifold $(M, \phi, \eta, \zeta, g)$ does not admit a Ricci soliton with potential vector field being ζ .*

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section we assume that M is a three dimensional η -Einstein almost f -cosymplectic manifold, i.e., the Ricci tensor $Ric = ag + b\eta \otimes \eta$. We first prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Let M be a three dimensional η -Einstein almost f -cosymplectic manifold. Then the following relation is satisfied:*

$$2\zeta(f) + 2f^2 + (a + b) = 0.$$

Proof. Since M is η -Einstein, we know that $Q\zeta = (a + b)\zeta$ and the scalar curvature $r = 3a + b$. Hence it follows from (4.6) that

$$R(X, \zeta)\zeta = -\frac{a + b}{2}\phi^2 X. \tag{5.1}$$

By Lie differentiating (5.1), we derive

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta}R)(X, \zeta)\zeta = -\frac{\zeta(a + b)}{2}\phi^2 X. \tag{5.2}$$

Also, it follows from (5.1) and (2.7) that

$$(\nabla_{\zeta}h)X = -[\zeta(f) + f^2 + \frac{1}{2}(a + b)]\phi X - 2fhX - \phi h^2 X. \tag{5.3}$$

Moreover, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\nabla_{\zeta}\nabla_{\zeta}h)X &= -\left[\zeta(\zeta(f)) + 2f\zeta(f) + \frac{\zeta(a + b)}{2}\right]\phi^2 X \\ &\quad - 2\zeta(f)\phi hX - 2f\phi(\nabla_{\zeta}h)X + (\nabla_{\zeta}h)hX + h(\nabla_{\zeta}h)X. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Therefore by using (5.3) and (5.4), the formula of Lemma 4.1 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{\zeta}R)(X, \zeta)\zeta &= -\frac{\zeta(a + b)}{2}\phi^2 X - (\nabla_{\zeta}h)hX + h(\nabla_{\zeta}h)X \\ &= -\frac{\zeta(a + b)}{2}\phi^2 X + 2\left[\zeta(f) + f^2 + \frac{1}{2}(a + b)\right]\phi hX + 2\phi h^3 X. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (5.2) yields

$$0 = [\xi(f) + f^2 + \frac{1}{2}(a+b)]hX + h^3X. \quad (5.5)$$

As in the proof of (4.15), the formula (5.5) yields the assertion. \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 1.3. In view of Proposition 5.1, we know $a + b = -2\xi(f) - 2f^2$. Because $(\mathcal{L}_Vg)(Y, Z) = 2\lambda g(Y, Z) - 2g(QY, Z)$ for any vector fields Y, Z , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_Vg)(Y, Z) &= -2g((\nabla_X Q)Y, Z) \\ &= -2g(X(a)Y + X(b)\eta(Y)\xi + bg(AX, Y)\xi + b\eta(Y)AX, Z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Y, Z), X) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\nabla_Z \mathcal{L}_Vg)(Y, X) + (\nabla_Y \mathcal{L}_Vg)(Z, X) - (\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_Vg)(Y, Z) \right\} \\ &= - \left\{ g(Z(a)Y + Z(b)\eta(Y)\xi + bg(AZ, Y)\xi + b\eta(Y)AZ, X) \right. \\ &\quad + g(Y(a)Z + Y(b)\eta(Z)\xi + bg(AY, Z)\xi + b\eta(Z)AY, X) \\ &\quad \left. - g(X(a)Y + X(b)\eta(Y)\xi + bg(AX, Y)\xi + b\eta(Y)AX, Z) \right\} \\ &= - \left\{ Z(a)g(Y, X) + Z(b)\eta(Y)\eta(X) + Y(a)g(X, Z) + \right. \\ &\quad Y(b)\eta(Z)\eta(X) + 2b\eta(X)g(AY, Z) - X(a)g(Y, Z) - \\ &\quad \left. X(b)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

That means that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Y, Z) &= -Z(a)Y - Z(b)\eta(Y)\xi - Y(a)Z - Y(b)\eta(Z)\xi \\ &\quad - 2bg(AY, Z)\xi + g(Z, Y)\nabla a + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\nabla b. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Taking the covariant differentiation of $(\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Y, Z)$ along any vector field X , we may obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Y, Z) \\ &= -g(Z, \nabla_X \nabla a)Y - g(Z, \nabla_X \nabla b)\eta(Y)\xi - Z(b)g(AX, Y)\xi \\ &\quad - Z(b)\eta(Y)AX - g(Y, \nabla_X \nabla a)Z - g(Y, \nabla_X \nabla b)\eta(Z)\xi - Y(b)g(AX, Z)\xi \\ &\quad - Y(b)\eta(Z)AX - 2X(b)g(AY, Z)\xi - 2bg((\nabla_X A)Y, Z)\xi - 2bg(AY, Z)AX \\ &\quad + g(Z, Y)\nabla_X \nabla a + g(AX, Y)\eta(Z)\nabla b + \eta(Y)g(AX, Z)\nabla b + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\nabla_X \nabla b. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by virtue of (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{L}_V R)(X, Y)Z \\
&= (\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y \mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Z) \\
&= -g(Z, \nabla_X \nabla a)Y - g(Z, \nabla_X \nabla b)\eta(Y)\xi - Z(b)\eta(Y)AX - Y(b)g(AX, Z)\xi \\
&\quad - Y(b)\eta(Z)AX - 2X(b)g(AY, Z)\xi - 2bg((\nabla_X A)Y, Z)\xi - 2bg(AY, Z)AX \\
&\quad + g(Z, Y)\nabla_X \nabla a + \eta(Y)g(AX, Z)\nabla b + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\nabla_X \nabla b \\
&\quad - \left[-g(Z, \nabla_Y \nabla a)X - g(Z, \nabla_Y \nabla b)\eta(X)\xi - Z(b)\eta(X)AY - X(b)g(AY, Z)\xi \right. \\
&\quad \left. - X(b)\eta(Z)AY - 2Y(b)g(AX, Z)\xi - 2bg((\nabla_Y A)X, Z)\xi - 2bg(AX, Z)AY \right. \\
&\quad \left. + g(Z, X)\nabla_Y \nabla a + \eta(X)g(AY, Z)\nabla b + \eta(X)\eta(Z)\nabla_Y \nabla b \right]
\end{aligned}$$

since $g(X, \nabla_Y \nabla \zeta) = g(Y, \nabla_X \nabla \zeta)$ for any function ζ and vector fields X, Y followed from Poincaré lemma.

By contracting over X in the previous formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{L}_V Ric)(Y, Z) \tag{5.7} \\
&= g(Z, \nabla_Y \nabla a) - g(Z, \nabla_{\xi} \nabla b)\eta(Y) - 2fZ(b)\eta(Y) \\
&\quad - 2fY(b)\eta(Z) - 2\xi(b)g(AY, Z) - 2bg((\nabla_{\xi} A)Y, Z) - 4fbg(AY, Z) \\
&\quad + g(Z, Y)\Delta a + \eta(Y)g(A\nabla b, Z) + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\Delta b \\
&\quad - \left[-g(Z, \nabla_Y \nabla b) - AY(b)\eta(Z) + \eta(Z)g(\nabla_Y \nabla b, \xi) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $QX = aX + b\eta(X)\xi$ and $r = 3a + b$, the equation (4.6) is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y)Z &= bg(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi - bg(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi + b\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - b\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y \\
&\quad + \frac{a-b}{2}[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y]. \tag{5.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get the Lie derivative of $R(X, Y)Z$ along V from (5.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{L}_V R)(X, Y)Z \tag{5.9} \\
&= V(b)g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi + b(\mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi \\
&\quad + bg(Y, Z)(\mathcal{L}_V \eta)(X)\xi + bg(Y, Z)\eta(X)\mathcal{L}_V \xi \\
&\quad - [V(b)g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi + b(\mathcal{L}_V g)(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi \\
&\quad + bg(X, Z)(\mathcal{L}_V \eta)(Y)\xi + bg(X, Z)\eta(Y)\mathcal{L}_V \xi] \\
&\quad + V(b)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + b(\mathcal{L}_V \eta)(Y)\eta(Z)X + b\eta(Y)(\mathcal{L}_V \eta)(Z)X \\
&\quad - [V(b)\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y + b(\mathcal{L}_V \eta)(X)\eta(Z)Y + b\eta(X)(\mathcal{L}_V \eta)(Z)Y] \\
&\quad + \frac{V(a-b)}{2}[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] + \frac{a-b}{2}[(\mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z)X - (\mathcal{L}_V g)(X, Z)Y].
\end{aligned}$$

Contracting over X in (5.9) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{L}_V Ric)(Y, Z) \tag{5.10} \\
&= V(b)g(Y, Z) + b(\mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z) + bg(Y, Z)(\mathcal{L}_V \eta)(\xi) \\
&\quad + bg(Y, Z)g(\mathcal{L}_V \xi, \xi) - [V(b)\eta(Z)\eta(Y) + b(\mathcal{L}_V g)(\xi, Z)\eta(Y) \\
&\quad + b\eta(Z)(\mathcal{L}_V \eta)(Y) + b\eta(Y)g(\mathcal{L}_V \xi, Z)] \\
&\quad + 3V(b)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) + 3b(\mathcal{L}_V \eta)(Y)\eta(Z) + 3b\eta(Y)(\mathcal{L}_V \eta)(Z) \\
&\quad - [V(b)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) + b(\mathcal{L}_V \eta)(Y)\eta(Z) + b\eta(Y)(\mathcal{L}_V \eta)(Z)] \\
&\quad + \frac{V(a-b)}{2}[2g(Y, Z)] + \frac{a-b}{2}[2(\mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z)] \\
&= bg(Y, Z)g(\mathcal{L}_V \xi, \xi) - [b(\mathcal{L}_V g)(\xi, Z)\eta(Y) + b\eta(Y)g(\mathcal{L}_V \xi, Z)] \\
&\quad + V(b)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) + b(\mathcal{L}_V \eta)(Y)\eta(Z) + 2b\eta(Y)(\mathcal{L}_V \eta)(Z) \\
&\quad + V(a)g(Y, Z) + a(\mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z) \\
&= bg(Y, Z)g(\mathcal{L}_V \xi, \xi) - [2b(\lambda - a - b)\eta(Z)\eta(Y) + b\eta(Y)g(\mathcal{L}_V \xi, Z)] \\
&\quad + V(b)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) + b[g(AY, V) + \eta(\nabla_Y V)]\eta(Z) \\
&\quad + 2b\eta(Y)[g(AZ, V) + \eta(\nabla_Z V)] + V(a)g(Y, Z) \\
&\quad + a(2\lambda g(Y, Z) - 2ag(Y, Z) - 2b\eta(Y)\eta(Z)).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by comparing (5.10) with (5.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& g(Z, \nabla_Y \nabla a) - g(Z, \nabla_{\xi} \nabla b)\eta(Y) - 2fZ(b)\eta(Y) \\
&\quad - 2fY(b)\eta(Z) - 2\xi(b)g(AY, Z) - 2bg((\nabla_{\xi} A)Y, Z) - 4fbg(AY, Z) \\
&\quad + g(Z, Y)\Delta a + \eta(Y)g(A\nabla b, Z) + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\Delta b \\
&\quad - \left[-g(Z, \nabla_Y \nabla b) - AY(b)\eta(Z) + \eta(Z)g(\nabla_Y \nabla b, \xi) \right] \\
&= bg(Y, Z)g(\mathcal{L}_V \xi, \xi) - [2b(\lambda - a - b)\eta(Z)\eta(Y) + b\eta(Y)g(\mathcal{L}_V \xi, Z)] \\
&\quad + V(b)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) + b[g(AY, V) + \eta(\nabla_Y V)]\eta(Z) \\
&\quad + 2b\eta(Y)[g(AZ, V) + \eta(\nabla_Z V)] + V(a)g(Y, Z) \\
&\quad + a(2\lambda g(Y, Z) - 2ag(Y, Z) - 2b\eta(Y)\eta(Z)).
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing Y and Z by ϕY and ϕZ respectively leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& g(\phi Z, \nabla_{\phi Y} \nabla a) - 2\xi(b)g(A\phi Y, \phi Z) - 2bg((\nabla_{\xi} A)\phi Y, \phi Z) \tag{5.11} \\
&\quad - 4fbg(A\phi Y, \phi Z) + g(\phi Z, \phi Y)\Delta a - \left[-g(\phi Z, \nabla_{\phi Y} \nabla b) \right] \\
&= bg(\phi Y, \phi Z)g(\mathcal{L}_V \xi, \xi) + V(a)g(\phi Y, \phi Z) + 2a(\lambda - a)g(\phi Y, \phi Z).
\end{aligned}$$

Because $\sum_{i=1}^3 (\mathcal{L}_V(e_i, e_i)) = 0$ (see [7, Eq.(9)]), by (5.6) we obtain the gradient field

$$\nabla(a + b) = 2[\xi(b) + 2fb]\xi. \tag{5.12}$$

Therefore the formula (5.11) can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2bg((\nabla_{\xi} A)\phi Y, \phi Z) + g(\phi Z, \phi Y)\Delta a \tag{5.13} \\
&= bg(\phi Y, \phi Z)g(\mathcal{L}_V \xi, \xi) + V(a)g(\phi Y, \phi Z) + 2a(\lambda - a)g(\phi Y, \phi Z).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using (2.5) and (5.3) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\xi} A)\phi Y &= -\xi(f)\phi^3 Y + \phi^2(\nabla_{\xi} h)Y \\ &= \xi(f)\phi Y + \left(\frac{a+b}{2} + \xi(f) + f^2\right)\phi Y + 2fhY + \phi h^2 Y \\ &= \left(\frac{a+b}{2} + 2\xi(f) + f^2\right)\phi Y + 2fhY + \phi h^2 Y. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (5.13) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &-2bg(2fhY + \phi h^2 Y, \phi Z) \\ &= \left\{ bg(\mathcal{L}_V \xi, \xi) + 2b \left[\frac{a+b}{2} + 2\xi(f) + f^2 \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \Delta a + V(a) + 2a(\lambda - a) \right\} g(\phi Y, \phi Z). \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Replacing Z by ϕY in (5.14) gives

$$0 = bg(2fhY + \phi h^2 Y, \phi^2 Y) = -bg(2fhY + \phi h^2 Y, Y). \tag{5.15}$$

Next we divide into two cases.

Case I: $h = 0$. Then the Eq.(2.8) and Proposition 5.1 imply $\xi(f) = 0$, i.e., f is constant as $\nabla f = \xi(f)\xi$ followed from $df \wedge \eta = 0$, so M is an α -cosymplectic manifold.

Case II: $h \neq 0$. Suppose that $Y = e$ is an unit eigenvector corresponding to the nonzero eigenvalue λ' of h , then we may obtain from (5.15) that $fb = 0$. If the function b is not zero, there is an open neighborhood \mathcal{U} such that $b|_{\mathcal{U}} \neq 0$, so $f|_{\mathcal{U}} = 0$. By Proposition 5.1 it implies $(a+b)|_{\mathcal{U}} = 0$. Notice that $\text{trace}(h^2) = -(a+b) - 2(\xi f + f^2)$, so we have $\text{trace}(h^2)|_{\mathcal{U}} = 0$, i.e., $h|_{\mathcal{U}} = 0$. It comes to a contradiction, so $b = 0$ and a is constant by (5.12). Hence by (1.3) we obtain $Ric = ag$, where $a = -2\xi(f) - 2f^2$. Moreover, we know $\lambda = a$ or $a = 0$ by (5.13). Finally we show $a \neq 0$. In fact, if $a = 0$ then $\xi(f) = -f^2$. Substituting this into (2.8) we find $\text{trace}(h^2) = -2(\xi(f) + f^2) = 0$, which is impossible because $h \neq 0$.

Thus we obtain from (5.8)

$$R(X, Y)Z = \frac{\lambda}{2}(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y).$$

Summing up the above two cases we finish the proof Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the referee for the comments and valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] N. Aktan, M. Yildirim, C. Murathan, *Almost f -cosymplectic manifolds*, Mediterr. J. Math. **11**(2014), 775-787.
- [2] J. T. Cho, *Ricci solitons in almost contact geometry*. Proceedings of the 17th International Workshop on Differential Geometry [Vol. 17], 8595, Natl. Inst. Math. Sci. (NIMS), Taejon, 2013.
- [3] J. T. Cho, *Almost contact 3-manifolds and Ricci solitons*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **10**(2012), 515-532.
- [4] H. Endo, *Non-existence of almost cosymplectic manifolds satisfying a certain condition*, Tensor(N.S). **63** (2002), 272-284.
- [5] M. Fernández, E. García-Río, *A Remark on compact Ricci solitons*, Math. Ann. **340**(2008), 893-896
- [6] D. Friedan, *Nonlinear models in $2 + \epsilon$ dimensions*, Ann Phys. **163**(1985), 318-419.
- [7] A. Ghosh, *Kenmotsu 3-metric as a Ricci soliton*, Chaos, Solitons Fractals, **44**(2011), 647-650.
- [8] A. Ghosh, R. Sharma, *Sasakian metric as a Ricci soliton and related results*, J. Geom. Phys. **75**(2013), 1-6.
- [9] A. Ghosh, R. Sharma, *K-contact metrics as Ricci solitons*, Beitr Algebra Geom. **53**(2012), 25-30.
- [10] S. I. Goldberg, K. Yano, *Integrability of almost cosymplectic structure*, Pac.J. Math. **31**(1969), 373-382.
- [11] T. W. Kim, H. K. Pak, *Canonical foliations of certain classes of almost contact metric structures*, Acta Math. Sinica Eng. Ser. Aug. **21**(2005), 841-846.
- [12] B. Cappelletti-Montano, A. De Nicola, I. Yudin, *A survey on cosymplectic geometry*, Rev. Math. Phys. **25**(2013), 2068-2078.
- [13] O. Munteanu, N. Sesum, *On Gradient Ricci Solitons*, J. Geom. Anal. **23**(2013), 539-561.
- [14] H. Öztürk, N. Aktan, C. Murathan, *Almost α -cosymplectic (κ, μ, ν) -spaces*, arxiv:1007.0527v1.
- [15] P. Petersen, W. Wylie, *Rigidity of gradient Ricci solitons*, Pacific. J. of Math. **241**(2009), 329-345.
- [16] R. Sharma, *Certain results on K-contact and (κ, μ) -contact manifolds*, J. Geom. **89**(2008), 138-147.
- [17] Y. Wang, *A generalization of the Goldberg conjecture for coKähler manifolds*, Mediterr. J. Math. **13**(2016), 2679-2690.

- [18] Y. Wang, X. Liu, *Ricci solitons on three dimensional η -Einstein almost Kenmotsu manifolds*, Taiwanese. J. Math. **19**(2015), 91-100.
- [19] Y. Wang, U. C. De, X. Liu, *Gradient Ricci solitons on almost Kenmotsu manifolds*, Publication. de l'Institut Math. **98**(2015), 277-235.
- [20] K. Yano, *Integral Formula in Riemannian Geometry*. Marcel Dekker, New York, (1970).

College of Science, China University of Petroleum-Beijing,
Beijing, 102249, China
email :xmchen@cup.edu.cn