

Weighted composition operators on algebras of differentiable functions

S. Amiri A. Golbaharan H. Mahyar

Abstract

Let X be a perfect compact plane set, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D^n(X)$ be the algebra of complex-valued functions on X with continuous n -th derivative. In this paper we study weighted composition operators on algebras $D^n(X)$. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for these operators to be compact. As a consequence, we characterize power compact composition operators on these algebras. Then we determine the spectra of Riesz weighted composition operators on these algebras.

1 Introduction

A complex-valued function f defined on a perfect plane set X is called differentiable on X if at each point $z_0 \in X$ the limit

$$f'(z_0) = \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow z_0 \\ z \in X}} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0},$$

exists. We denote the n -th derivative of f by $f^{(n)}$ when it exists. The algebra of complex-valued functions f on a perfect compact plane set X with continuous n -th derivative is denoted by $D^n(X)$. This algebra with the norm

$$\|f\|_n = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\|f^{(r)}\|_X}{r!} \quad (f \in D^n(X)),$$

Received by the editors in January 2016 - In revised form in June 2016.

Communicated by F. Bastin.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 46J15, 47B38, 47B06.

Key words and phrases : Continuously differentiable function algebras, weighted composition operators, compact and Riesz operators, spectra.

is a normed function algebra on X which is not necessarily complete, where

$$\|f\|_X = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|.$$

For example, Bland and Feinstein showed that $D^1(X)$ is incomplete whenever X has infinitely many components [4, Theorem 2.3]. By standard methods one can show that if $D^1(X)$ is complete, then $D^n(X)$ is complete for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see [4, 15]. To provide a sufficient condition for the completeness of $D^1(X)$, let us recall the definition of pointwise regularity and uniform regularity for compact plane sets.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a rectifiably connected compact plane set and let $\delta(z, w)$ be the geodesic metric on X , the infimum of the lengths of the rectifiable path from z to w in X .

- (i) X is called pointwise regular if for each $z_0 \in X$ there exists a constant c_{z_0} such that for all $z \in X$, $\delta(z, z_0) \leq c_{z_0}|z - z_0|$.
- (ii) X is called uniformly regular if there exists a constant c such that for all $z, w \in X$, $\delta(z, w) \leq c|z - w|$.

Dales and Davie [8, Theorem 1.6] showed that $D^1(X)$ is complete whenever X is a finite union of uniformly regular sets. Indeed, they proved that for each z_0 in such set X , there exists a constant c_{z_0} such that for all $f \in D^1(X)$ and each $z \in X$,

$$|f(z) - f(z_0)| \leq c_{z_0}|z - z_0|(\|f\|_X + \|f'\|_X), \quad (1.1)$$

and using this inequality, they showed that $D^1(X)$ is complete. Later in [12], it was shown that the condition (1.1) is still valid when X is a finite union of pointwise regular sets, in fact, it is a necessary and sufficient condition for the completeness of $D^1(X)$ (see also [15]).

Let $C(X)$ be the algebra of all continuous complex-valued functions on a compact Hausdorff space X . A unital subalgebra A of $C(X)$ that separates the points of X is a *function algebra* on X . A function algebra A on X is said to be *natural* if every nonzero complex homomorphism (character) on A is an evaluation homomorphism at some point of X [7, Definition 4.1.3]. As it was proved in [8], the algebra $D^n(X)$ is natural when X is uniformly regular. However, as mentioned in [13], applying the same method used in it, one can show that the algebra $D^n(X)$ is natural for every perfect compact plane set X (see also [9, Theorem 4.1]).

Let A be a linear space of functions on a set X . Let u be a complex-valued function on X and φ be a self-map of X . A linear operator $T := uC_\varphi$ defined by $uC_\varphi(f) = u \cdot (f \circ \varphi)$ is a weighted composition operator on A if $u \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \in A$ whenever $f \in A$. In the case where $u = 1$, the operator uC_φ reduces to the composition operator C_φ . In [2], Behrouzi obtained some results on compactness of composition operators between algebras $D^n(X)$. In this paper, we study weighted composition operators acting on algebras $D^n(X)$ when perfect compact plane sets X satisfy the condition (1.1). Let $\text{coz}(u) = \{z \in X : u(z) \neq 0\}$. In Section 2, for $u, \varphi \in D^n(X)$ we show that if either φ is constant or $\varphi(\text{coz}(u)) \subseteq \text{int}X$,

then uC_φ is compact on $D^n(X)$. We also show that these conditions are necessary for certain compact plane sets X . Using these results, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a composition operator (endomorphism) on $D^n(X)$ to be power compact.

Let X be a compact plane set and $A(X)$ be the uniform algebra of all continuous functions on X which are analytic on $\text{int}X$. Suppose A is a unital Banach subalgebra of $A(X)$, containing the coordinate function z . In Section 3, we study the spectrum of a weighted composition operator on such algebras A . In [3], the spectrum of a compact composition operator C_φ on A was determined as

$$\sigma_A(C_\varphi) = \{\varphi'(z_0)^k : k \text{ is a positive integer}\} \cup \{0, 1\},$$

when $\varphi(X) \subseteq \text{int}X$ and z_0 is a fixed point of φ . We show that the spectrum of a Riesz weighted composition operator uC_φ on A is

$$\sigma_A(uC_\varphi) = \{u(z_0)\varphi'(z_0)^k : k \text{ is a positive integer}\} \cup \{0, u(z_0)\},$$

when φ has a fixed point $z_0 \in \text{int}X$. Then we conclude this result for the Banach algebra $D^n(X)$. In the case that φ has all its fixed points on boundary, we show that $\sigma(uC_\varphi) = \{0\}$ for a compact operator uC_φ on $D^n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ where \mathbb{D} is the open unit disc in the complex plane.

2 Compactness

It is known that if $u, \varphi \in D^n(X)$, then uC_φ is a weighted composition operator on $D^n(X)$. Conversely, if uC_φ is a weighted composition operator on $D^n(X)$, then $u \in D^n(X)$ although φ does not necessarily belong to $D^n(X)$, even it may not be continuous on X . Here we give a necessary and sufficient condition on u and φ for uC_φ to be a weighted composition operator on $D^1(X)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a perfect compact plane set. Let u be a complex-valued function on X and φ be a self-map of X not necessarily continuous. Then uC_φ is a weighted composition operator on $D^1(X)$ if and only if u and $u\varphi$ belong to $D^1(X)$.*

Proof. Let uC_φ be a weighted composition operator on $D^1(X)$. Then $u, u\varphi \in D^1(X)$, since this algebra contains constant functions and the coordinate function z .

Conversely, let u and $u\varphi$ belong to $D^1(X)$. Then $\varphi = \frac{u\varphi}{u}$ is differentiable on $\text{coz}(u)$ and $\varphi' = \frac{(u\varphi)' - u'\varphi}{u}$. If $z \in X$ with $u(z) = 0$ and $u'(z) \neq 0$, then u is nonzero on a punctured neighborhood of z and

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow z} \varphi(w) = \lim_{w \rightarrow z} \frac{\frac{u(w)\varphi(w) - u(z)\varphi(z)}{w-z}}{\frac{u(w) - u(z)}{w-z}} = \frac{(u\varphi)'(z)}{u'(z)}.$$

Hence, in this case, $\varphi_1(z) := \lim_{w \rightarrow z} \varphi(w)$ exists and belongs to X , so we can write $(u\varphi)'(z) = u'(z)\varphi_1(z)$. When $u(z) = u'(z) = 0$, we have $(u\varphi)'(z) = 0$, since φ is bounded. These relations along with the continuity of $(u\varphi)'$ imply that

$$\lim_{\substack{w \rightarrow z \\ w \in \text{coz}(u)}} (u\varphi)'(w) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

whenever $u(z) = 0$ and z is in the closure of $\text{coz}(u)$. Let $f \in D^1(X)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (u \cdot (f \circ \varphi))'(z) &= \lim_{w \rightarrow z} \frac{u(w)f(\varphi(w))}{w-z} = \lim_{w \rightarrow z} \frac{u(w) - u(z)}{w-z} f(\varphi(w)) \\ &= \begin{cases} u'(z)f(\varphi_1(z)) & u'(z) \neq 0 \\ 0 & u'(z) = 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $u(z) = 0$. Therefore, for each $z \in X$ we have

$$(u \cdot (f \circ \varphi))'(z) = \begin{cases} u'(z)f(\varphi(z)) + u(z)\varphi'(z)f'(\varphi(z)) & u(z) \neq 0 \\ u'(z)f(\varphi_1(z)) & u(z) = 0, u'(z) \neq 0 \\ 0 & u(z) = 0, u'(z) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We show that $(u \cdot (f \circ \varphi))'$ is continuous on X . Obviously, it is continuous on $\text{coz}(u)$. Now let $z \in X$ with $u(z) = 0$ and (z_n) be a sequence in X such that $z_n \neq z$ and $\lim z_n = z$. Without loss of generality we can assume that either $(z_n) \subseteq \text{coz}(u)$ or $u(z_n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the case that $(z_n) \subseteq \text{coz}(u)$, by using (2.1), $\lim_n u(z_n)\varphi'(z_n) = 0$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_n (u \cdot (f \circ \varphi))'(z_n) &= \lim_n [u'(z_n)f(\varphi(z_n)) + u(z_n)\varphi'(z_n)f'(\varphi(z_n))] \\ &= \begin{cases} u'(z)f(\varphi_1(z)) & u'(z) \neq 0 \\ 0 & u'(z) = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In the second case, $u(z_n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by the definition of derivative, $u'(z) = 0$ and hence $\lim_n (u \cdot (f \circ \varphi))'(z_n) = 0$. This argument shows that $(u \cdot (f \circ \varphi))'$ is continuous and the proof is complete. ■

To give a necessary and sufficient condition for compactness of uC_φ on $D^n(X)$ we need the following notations.

Let φ and f belong to $D^n(X)$ with $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$. The following equality for higher derivatives of composite functions is known as Faà di Bruno's formula [1, page 823],

$$(f \circ \varphi)^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^n (f^{(j)} \circ \varphi) \cdot \psi_{j,n},$$

where

$$\psi_{j,n} = \sum_a \left(\frac{n!}{a_1! a_2! \cdots a_n!} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\varphi^{(i)}}{i!} \right)^{a_i} \right),$$

the sum \sum_a is taken over all non-negative integers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n satisfying $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n = j$ and $a_1 + 2a_2 + \cdots + na_n = n$. For example, $\psi_{1,n} = \varphi^{(n)}$ and $\psi_{n,n} = (\varphi')^n$. We also need the Leibniz's formula of products of functions. For $f, g \in D^n(X)$ we have

$$(fg)^{(n)} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} f^{(j)} \cdot g^{(n-j)}.$$

In the case that X satisfies the condition (1.1), for each $z_0 \in X$ we define

$$p_{z_0}(f) := \sup_{\substack{z \in X \\ z \neq z_0}} \frac{|f(z) - f(z_0)|}{|z - z_0|} \quad (f \in D^1(X)).$$

Then for each $z_0 \in X$ there exists a constant c_{z_0} such that

$$p_{z_0}(f) \leq c_{z_0}(\|f\|_X + \|f'\|_X) \quad (f \in D^1(X)). \tag{2.2}$$

In general, for a constant self-map φ of X , the weighted composition operator uC_φ on a normed function algebra A on X is a rank one operator, so it is compact. We next give a sufficient condition for compactness of uC_φ on $D^n(X)$ for those φ which are not constant self-maps of X .

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a perfect compact plane set satisfying the condition (1.1). Let $u, \varphi \in D^n(X)$. If $\varphi(\text{coz}(u)) \subseteq \text{int}X$, then the weighted composition operator uC_φ is compact on $D^n(X)$.*

Proof. Let $\{f_k\}$ be a bounded sequence in $D^n(X)$ with $\|f_k\|_n = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\|f_k^{(r)}\|_X}{r!} \leq 1$. Using the condition (1.1), the uniformly bounded sequences $\{f_k^{(r)}\}$, $r = 0, \dots, n - 1$ are equicontinuous at each point of X . Then by Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, $\{f_k\}$ has a subsequence $\{f_{k_j}\}$, say it $\{f_k\}$ again, such that each $\{f_k^{(r)}\}$, $0 \leq r \leq n - 1$ is uniformly convergent and hence is uniformly Cauchy on X . Moreover, using Leibniz's and Faà di Bruno's formulas we have

$$\begin{aligned} (uC_\varphi(f))^{(r)} &= \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} u^{(r-j)}(f \circ \varphi)^{(j)} \\ &= u^{(r)}(f \circ \varphi) + \sum_{j=1}^r \binom{r}{j} u^{(r-j)} \sum_{i=1}^j (f^{(i)} \circ \varphi) \psi_{i,j}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $f \in D^n(X)$ and for each $0 \leq r \leq n$. Using this relation for the differences $f_k - f_\ell$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(uC_\varphi(f_k - f_\ell))^{(r)}\|_X &\leq \|u^{(r)}\|_X \|f_k - f_\ell\|_X \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^r \binom{r}{j} \|u^{(r-j)}\|_X \sum_{i=1}^j \|f_k^{(i)} - f_\ell^{(i)}\|_X \|\psi_{i,j}\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

for each $0 \leq r \leq n - 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(uC_\varphi(f_k - f_\ell))^{(n)}\|_X &\leq \|u^{(n)}\|_X \|f_k - f_\ell\|_X \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{j} \|u^{(n-j)}\|_X \sum_{i=1}^j \|f_k^{(i)} - f_\ell^{(i)}\|_X \|\psi_{i,j}\|_X \\ &\quad + \|u\|_X \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|f_k^{(i)} - f_\ell^{(i)}\|_X \|\psi_{i,n}\|_X \\ &\quad + \|u(\varphi')^n((f_k^{(n)} - f_\ell^{(n)}) \circ \varphi)\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to show that $\{uC_\varphi(f_k)\}$ is a Cauchy and hence a convergent sequence in $D^n(X)$, it is enough to show that $\{u(\varphi')^n(f_k^{(n)} \circ \varphi)\}$ is uniformly Cauchy on X .

As we know, each $f_k \in D^n(X)$ is analytic in $\text{int}X$, thus the sequence $\{f_k^{(n)}\}$ is uniformly convergent on every compact subset of $\text{int}X$, [6, VII, Theorem 2.1]. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $K = \{z \in X : |u(z)| \geq \varepsilon\}$. Then K is a compact subset of $\text{coz}(u)$ and $\varphi(K)$ is a compact subset of $\varphi(\text{coz}(u)) \subseteq \text{int}X$. Hence $\{f_k^{(n)}\}$ is uniformly Cauchy on $\varphi(K)$, so $\|f_k^{(n)} - f_\ell^{(n)}\|_{\varphi(K)} < \varepsilon$, for large enough k, ℓ .

Let $z \in X$, we consider two cases. First, let $z \in K$. In this case $\varphi(z) \in \varphi(K)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z)(\varphi')^n(z)(f_k^{(n)}(\varphi(z)) - f_\ell^{(n)}(\varphi(z)))| &\leq \|u\|_X \|\varphi'\|_X^n \|f_k^{(n)} - f_\ell^{(n)}\|_{\varphi(K)} \\ &< \varepsilon \|u\|_X \|\varphi'\|_X^n, \end{aligned}$$

for large enough k, ℓ . Next, let $z \notin K$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z)(\varphi')^n(z)(f_k^{(n)}(\varphi(z)) - f_\ell^{(n)}(\varphi(z)))| &\leq |u(z)| \|\varphi'\|_X^n (\|f_k^{(n)}\|_X + \|f_\ell^{(n)}\|_X) \\ &< 2n! \varepsilon \|\varphi'\|_X^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|u(\varphi')^n(f_k^{(n)} \circ \varphi - f_\ell^{(n)} \circ \varphi)\|_X < \varepsilon \|\varphi'\|_X^n (2n! + \|u\|_X),$$

for large enough k, ℓ . ■

We now show that the above conditions are also necessary for compactness of weighted composition operators uC_φ on algebras $D^n(X)$ for certain compact plane sets X . For this we introduce the type of plane sets which we shall consider.

Definition 2.3. A plane set X has an *internal circular tangent* at $\zeta \in \partial X$ if there exists an open disc U such that $\zeta \in \partial U$ and $\overline{U} \setminus \{\zeta\} \subseteq \text{int}X$. A plane set X is *strongly accessible from the interior* if it has an internal circular tangent at each point of its boundary.

A compact plane set X is said to have a *peak boundary with respect to* $B \subseteq C(X)$ if for each $\zeta \in \partial X$ there exists a non-constant function $h \in B$ such that $\|h\|_X = h(\zeta) = 1$.

Such sets include the closed unit disc $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\overline{\Delta}(z_0, r) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n \Delta(z_k, r_k)$ where closed discs $\overline{\Delta}(z_k, r_k)$ are mutually disjoint in $\Delta(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < r\}$. Moreover, if X is a compact plane set such that $\mathbb{C} \setminus X$ is strongly accessible from the interior, then X has peak boundary with respect to $R_0(X)$, the algebra of rational functions with poles off X , and hence with respect to $D^n(X)$, since $R_0(X) \subseteq D^n(X)$. For this, suppose $\zeta \in \partial X$, then there exists a disc $U = \Delta(z_0, r)$ such that $\zeta \in \partial U$ and $\overline{U} \setminus \{\zeta\} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus X$. The function $h(z) = \frac{r}{z - z_0}$ satisfies the definition of peak boundary, (see [3, 16]).

We shall also require the following lemma due to Julia [5, Chapter I of Part Six].

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ be the closed unit disc in \mathbb{C} and let h be a continuously differentiable function on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. If $h(\zeta) = \|h\|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}}$ for some $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, then either h is constant or $h'(\zeta) \neq 0$.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let X be a perfect compact plane set with connected interior satisfy the condition (1.1), be strongly accessible from the interior and have a peak boundary with respect to $D^n(X)$. Let a complex function u and a self-map φ of X be in $D^n(X)$. If the weighted composition operator uC_φ on $D^n(X)$ is compact, then either φ is constant or $\varphi(\text{coz}(u)) \subseteq \text{int}X$.*

Proof. Let uC_φ be compact on $D^n(X)$ and suppose $u(\zeta) \neq 0$ and $\varphi(\zeta) \in \partial X$ for some $\zeta \in X$. Then by open mapping theorem for analytic functions, $\zeta \in \partial X$. Since X has a peak boundary with respect to $D^n(X)$, there exists a non-constant function $h \in D^n(X)$ such that $h(\varphi(\zeta)) = \|h\|_X = 1$. Also, the plane set X is strongly accessible from the interior, hence there exists an open disc U such that $\zeta \in \partial U$ and $\overline{U} \setminus \{\zeta\} \subseteq \text{int}X$. Thus, $(h \circ \varphi)(\zeta) = \|h \circ \varphi\|_{\overline{U}} = \|h\|_X = 1$. Define

$$f_k(z) = \frac{h^k(z)}{k(k-1) \cdots (k-n+1)} \quad (z \in X, k \geq n).$$

It is not hard to show that $\{f_k\}$ is a bounded sequence in $D^n(X)$ and $f_k^{(r)} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on X for each $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Also by (2.2), $p_\zeta(f_k^{(r)}) \rightarrow 0$ and $p_{\varphi(\zeta)}(f_k^{(r)}) \rightarrow 0$ for each $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2$. Using Faà di Bruno's formulas, one can conclude that

$$\|(f_k \circ \varphi)^{(r)}\|_X \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1), \tag{2.3}$$

hence by (2.2),

$$p_\zeta((f_k \circ \varphi)^{(r)}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2). \tag{2.4}$$

By compactness of uC_φ , there exists a subsequence of $\{f_k\}$ which is denoted by $\{f_k\}$ again, such that $\{uC_\varphi(f_k)\}$ converges in $D^n(X)$. Since $\|f_k\|_X \rightarrow 0$, $uC_\varphi(f_k) \rightarrow 0$ in $D^n(X)$. Hence, $\|(uC_\varphi(f_k))^{(r)}\|_X \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for each $r, 0 \leq r \leq n$. These limits along with the relation (2.2) imply that

$$p_\zeta((uC_\varphi(f_k))^{(n-1)}) = p_\zeta((u \cdot (f_k \circ \varphi))^{(n-1)}) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.5}$$

Using Leibniz's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_\zeta(u \cdot (f_k \circ \varphi)^{(n-1)}) &\leq p_\zeta((u \cdot (f_k \circ \varphi))^{(n-1)}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} p_\zeta(u^{(j)}) \|(f_k \circ \varphi)^{(n-1-j)}\|_X \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} \|u^{(j)}\|_X p_\zeta((f_k \circ \varphi)^{(n-1-j)}). \end{aligned}$$

This inequality, along with limits (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) gives

$$p_\zeta(u \cdot (f_k \circ \varphi)^{(n-1)}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.6}$$

Using Faà di Bruno's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\zeta(u(\varphi')^{n-1} \cdot (f_k^{(n-1)} \circ \varphi)) &\leq p_\zeta(u \cdot (f_k \circ \varphi)^{(n-1)}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} p_\zeta((f_k^{(j)} \circ \varphi) \cdot u\psi_{j,n-1}) \\
&\leq p_\zeta(u \cdot (f_k \circ \varphi)^{(n-1)}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \|f_k^{(j)} \circ \varphi\|_X p_\zeta(u\psi_{j,n-1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} p_\zeta(f_k^{(j)} \circ \varphi) \|u\psi_{j,n-1}\|_X \\
&\leq p_\zeta(u \cdot (f_k \circ \varphi)^{(n-1)}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \|f_k^{(j)}\|_X p_\zeta(u\psi_{j,n-1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} p_{\varphi(\zeta)}(f_k^{(j)}) p_\zeta(\varphi) \|u\psi_{j,n-1}\|_X.
\end{aligned}$$

This inequality, along with the limit (2.6) and the properties of $\{f_k\}$ which mentioned after its definition implies that

$$p_\zeta(u(\varphi')^{n-1} \cdot (f_k^{(n-1)} \circ \varphi)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.7)$$

By the definition of $f_k^{(n-1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{k-n+1} p_\zeta(u \cdot ((h \circ \varphi)')^{n-1} \cdot (h^{k-n+1} \circ \varphi)) &\leq p_\zeta(u \cdot (\varphi')^{n-1} \cdot (f_k^{(n-1)} \circ \varphi)) \\
&\quad + \frac{P(k)}{k(k-1) \cdots (k-n+1)} p_\zeta(\psi),
\end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where the function ψ is a combination of u , φ , h and the derivatives of h , and $P(k)$ is a polynomial in terms of k with degree less than n . Hence $\frac{P(k)}{k(k-1) \cdots (k-n+1)} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Using this limit together with the limit (2.7) and the inequality (2.8), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{k-n+1} p_\zeta(u \cdot ((h \circ \varphi)')^{n-1} \cdot (h^{k-n+1} \circ \varphi)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.9)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\substack{z \in \bar{U} \\ z \neq \zeta}} |u(z)| |(h \circ \varphi)'(z)|^{n-1} \frac{|h^{k-n+1}(\varphi(z)) - h^{k-n+1}(\varphi(\zeta))|}{(k-n+1)|z-\zeta|} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{k-n+1} \{p_\zeta(u \cdot ((h \circ \varphi)')^{n-1} \cdot (h^{k-n+1} \circ \varphi)) + p_\zeta(u \cdot ((h \circ \varphi)')^{n-1}) \|h\|_X^{k-n+1}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.9) and the fact that $\|h\|_X = 1$, one can conclude from the above inequality that

$$\sup_{\substack{z \in \bar{U} \\ z \neq \zeta}} |u(z)| |(h \circ \varphi)'(z)|^{n-1} \frac{|h^{k-n+1}(\varphi(z)) - h^{k-n+1}(\varphi(\zeta))|}{(k-n+1)|z-\zeta|} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$|u(z)| |(h \circ \varphi)'(z)|^{n-1} \frac{|h^{k-n+1}(\varphi(z)) - h^{k-n+1}(\varphi(\zeta))|}{(k-n+1)|z-\zeta|} < \varepsilon,$$

for some positive integer $k > n$ and for all $z \in \bar{U}$ with $z \neq \zeta$. Taking limit as $z \rightarrow \zeta$, we get $|u(\zeta)| |(h \circ \varphi)'(\zeta)|^n \leq \varepsilon$, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, since $h(\varphi(\zeta)) = 1$. Consequently, $|u(\zeta)| |(h \circ \varphi)'(\zeta)|^n = 0$, and since $u(\zeta) \neq 0$, $(h \circ \varphi)'(\zeta) = 0$. By Julia's Lemma 2.4, $h \circ \varphi$ is constant on \bar{U} . Using the identity Theorem [6, IV, Theorem 3.7], the analytic function $h \circ \varphi$ is constant on connected set $\text{int}X$. The hypothesis, X is strongly accessible from the interior, implies that X has dense interior, so $h \circ \varphi$ is constant on X . But h is not constant, thus φ must be constant. ■

In the case $u = 1$, we have the following corollary for composition operators on $D^n(X)$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let X be a perfect compact plane set satisfying the condition (1.1). Let a self-map φ of X be in $D^n(X)$.*

- (i) *If either φ is constant or $\varphi(X) \subseteq \text{int}X$, Then C_φ is compact on $D^n(X)$.*
- (ii) *Let X be strongly accessible from the interior, have a peak boundary with respect to $D^n(X)$ and let $\text{int}X$ be connected. If C_φ is compact on $D^n(X)$, then either φ is constant or $\varphi(X) \subseteq \text{int}X$.*

Using this corollary we can get some results about quasicompactness and power compactness of C_φ on $D^n(X)$. First we state their definitions. If E is an infinite dimensional Banach space, we denote by $\mathcal{B}(E)$ and $\mathcal{K}(E)$ the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators and compact linear operators on E , respectively. The essential spectral radius $r_e(T)$ of $T \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ is the spectral radius of $T + \mathcal{K}(E)$ in the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)/\mathcal{K}(E)$, that is

$$r_e(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n + \mathcal{K}(E)\|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

The operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ is called *quasicompact* if $r_e(T) < 1$ and it is called *Riesz* if $r_e(T) = 0$. Also, we say T is *power compact* if T^N is compact for some positive integer N . Clearly every power compact operator is Riesz.

It was shown in [11, Theorem 1.2 (iii)] that if φ induces a quasicompact endomorphism of a unital commutative semi-simple Banach algebra B with connected maximal ideal (character) space X , then $\bigcap \varphi_n(X) = \{x_0\}$ for some $x_0 \in X$, where φ_n denotes the n -th iterate of φ . By using this relation and the obtained condition for compactness of composition operators on algebras $D^n(X)$, we get the following results.

Theorem 2.7. *Let X be a perfect compact plane set satisfying the condition (1.1). Let a self-map φ of X be in $D^n(X)$.*

- (i) *If $\bigcap \varphi_n(X) = \{z_0\}$ for some $z_0 \in \text{int}X$, then C_φ is power compact on $D^n(X)$.*
- (ii) *Let X be strongly accessible from the interior, have a peak boundary with respect to $D^n(X)$ and let $\text{int}X$ be connected. If φ is non-constant and C_φ is power compact on $D^n(X)$, then $\bigcap \varphi_n(X) = \{z_0\}$ for some $z_0 \in \text{int}X$.*

Proof. (i) Since $z_0 \in \text{int}X$ and $\bigcap \varphi_n(X) = \{z_0\}$, there is a positive integer N such that $\varphi_N(X) \subseteq \text{int}X$. Hence, by Corollary 2.6, $(C_\varphi)^N = C_{\varphi_N}$ is compact and hence C_φ is power compact.

(ii) suppose C_φ is power compact, then C_φ is quasicompact and using [11, Theorem 1.2 (iii)], $\bigcap \varphi_n(X) = \{z_0\}$ for some $z_0 \in X$. Also, by power compactness of C_φ , there is a positive integer N such that $(C_\varphi)^N = C_{\varphi_N}$ is compact. Next by connectedness of X , φ_N is non-constant. Thus by Corollary 2.6, $\varphi_N(X) \subseteq \text{int}X$. Consequently, $z_0 \in \text{int}X$. ■

Using the same argument as in the proof of [11, Lemma 2.1], one can show that for a connected perfect compact plane set X and a self-map φ with fixed point x_0 , if C_φ is a quasicompact composition operator on $D^n(X)$, then $|\varphi'(x_0)| < 1$.

It was also shown in [11, Theorem 3.2] that if $T = C_\varphi$ acts on $C^1[0, 1]$, the Banach algebra of continuously differentiable functions on $[0, 1]$, and $\bigcap \varphi_n([0, 1]) = \{x_0\}$ for some $x_0 \in [0, 1]$, then $r_e(T) = |\varphi'(x_0)|$. Giving the following example we show that this is not true for $D^1(X)$, in general.

Example 2.8. Let $\varphi(z) = \frac{1-z}{2}$ for every $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then $z_0 = \frac{1}{3}$ is the fixed point of φ in \mathbb{D} and $|\varphi'(z_0)| = \frac{1}{2}$. On the other hand, $\varphi(-1) = 1$, so $\varphi(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \not\subseteq \mathbb{D}$ and the composition operator C_φ on $D^1(X)$ is not compact. However, $|\varphi_2(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2} < 1$ for all $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Hence, C_φ is power compact on $D^1(X)$ and then $r_e(C_\varphi) = 0$.

Also if C_φ is a quasicompact composition operator on $D^n(X)$, then by [11, Theorem 1.2] the induced function φ has a fixed point in X . As the following example which is similar to [17, Example 3.1], shows the fixed point of φ does not necessarily belong to $\text{int}X$ and consequently there is a quasicompact operator on $D^n(X)$ which is not necessarily power compact.

Example 2.9. Let $c > 1$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{z+(c-1)}{c}$ for every $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then $T := C_\varphi$ is a composition operator on $D^n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ and $\varphi_m(z) = \frac{z+(c^m-1)}{c^m}$ for each positive integer m and every $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. To show that T is a quasicompact operator on $D^n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, let $S(f) = f(1) \cdot 1$ for every $f \in D^n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, then S is a (rank one) compact operator on $D^n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ and for each $f \in D^n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ we have

$$|f(\varphi_m(z)) - f(1)| \leq \|f'\|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} |\varphi_m(z) - 1| \leq \frac{2}{c^m} \|f'\|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}},$$

for every $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Thus

$$\|T^m f - S f\|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} \leq \frac{2}{c^m} \|f'\|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}}. \quad (2.10)$$

Also,

$$(T^m f - S f)^{(k)} = \frac{1}{c^{mk}} f^{(k)} \circ \varphi_m \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence

$$\|(T^m f - S f)^{(k)}\|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} \leq \frac{1}{c^{mk}} \|f^{(k)}\|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} \leq \frac{1}{c^m} \|f^{(k)}\|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

This and (2.10) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^m f - S f\|_n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\|(T^m f - S f)^{(k)}\|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}}}{k!} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{c^m} \|f'\|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\|f^{(k)}\|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}}}{c^m k!} \\ &\leq \frac{3}{c^m} \|f\|_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|T^m - S\| \leq \frac{3}{c^m}$ and hence $\|T^m + \mathcal{K}\| \leq \frac{3}{c^m}$ where $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(D^n(\overline{\mathbb{D}}))$. This implies that

$$r_e(T) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|T^m + \mathcal{K}\|^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq \frac{1}{c} < 1.$$

Consequently, T is a quasicompact operator on $D^n(X)$. On the other hand $\bigcap \varphi_m(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \{1\}$, hence by Theorem 2.7 (ii), T is not power compact.

A question which may be asked here is whether every Riesz operator on $D^n(X)$ is necessarily power compact. Feinstein and Kamowitz showed that this is no longer true by giving a Riesz operator on $C^1[0, 1]$ which is not power compact [11, Corollary 3.3].

3 Spectrum

Suppose A is a Banach space of functions on a plane set X which contains constant functions and coordinate function z . If $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is a constant function, $\varphi(z) = z_0$ for all $z \in X$, and uC_φ is a weighted composition operator on A , then uC_φ is a rank one operator on A and $\sigma(uC_\varphi) = \{0, u(z_0)\}$. Thus in what follows we assume that φ is a non-constant self-map of X and u is a non-zero complex-valued function on X .

Kamowitz proved two interesting and useful lemmas [14, Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4] and by using them determined the spectrum of a compact weighted composition operator uC_φ on disc algebra $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, when φ has a fixed point in \mathbb{D} . These lemmas still valid for general case as follows.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a compact plane set with nonempty interior. Suppose A is a unital subalgebra of $A(X)$ containing the coordinate function z . If u is a complex-valued function on X and φ is a self-map of X which is analytic on $\text{int}X$ and $\varphi(z_0) = z_0$ for some $z_0 \in \text{int}X$. Then for the weighted composition operator uC_φ on A , we have*

$$\{u(z_0)\varphi'(z_0)^k : k \text{ is a positive integer}\} \cup \{u(z_0)\} \subseteq \sigma(uC_\varphi).$$

Proof. Since $u(z_0)f - uC_\varphi f \neq 1$ for all $f \in A$, $u(z_0) - uC_\varphi$ is not surjective and so invertible. Thus $u(z_0) \in \sigma(uC_\varphi)$.

If $u(z_0) = 0$, then the same as the above argument uC_φ is not surjective. When $\varphi'(z_0) = 0$, the operator uC_φ is not surjective too. Since otherwise, we must have $u(z_0)f = 1$ for some $f \in A$. In particular, $u(z_0)f(\varphi(z_0)) = 1$. This implies that $f(z_0) \neq 0$. Moreover, for such function f we have $u'(f \circ \varphi) + u\varphi'(f' \circ \varphi) = 0$ on

$\text{int}X$ and hence $u'(z_0)f(z_0) + u(z_0)\varphi'(z_0)f'(z_0) = 0$, which implies $u'(z_0) = 0$, since $\varphi'(z_0) = 0$ and $f(z_0) \neq 0$. Therefore, when $\varphi'(z_0) = 0$, the surjectivity of uC_φ implies that $u'(z_0) = 0$ which lead to $(uC_\varphi g)'(z_0) = 0$ for all $g \in A$, in particular, for a function $g \in A$ with $uC_\varphi g = z$ which is impossible. Therefore, if $u(z_0)\varphi'(z_0) = 0$, the operator uC_φ is not (surjective) invertible and consequently $u(z_0)\varphi'(z_0)^k = 0 \in \sigma(uC_\varphi)$ for every positive integer k .

Suppose now $u(z_0)\varphi'(z_0) \neq 0$. Let k be a positive integer such that $\varphi'(z_0)^j \neq 1$ for each j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) and

$$u(z_0)\varphi'(z_0)^k f(z) - u(z)f(\varphi(z)) = (z - z_0)^k \quad (z \in X), \quad (3.1)$$

for some $f \in A$. Choose $r > 0$ such that $\Delta_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < r\} \subseteq \text{int}X$. Thus the elements of A are analytic on Δ_r and by (3.1), f is not the zero function on Δ_r . Now by replacing \mathbb{D} and Δ_r and applying the same argument as in the proof of [14, Lemma 2.3], the relation (3.1) leads to a contradiction. Consequently, $(z - z_0)^k$ is not in the range of $u(z_0)\varphi'(z_0)^k - uC_\varphi$. Therefore this operator is not invertible and hence $u(z_0)\varphi'(z_0)^k \in \sigma(uC_\varphi)$. ■

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a compact plane set with connected and dense interior. Let A be a subspace of $A(X)$ containing constant functions and the coordinate function z . Suppose u is a non-zero complex-valued function on X , $\varphi \in A(X)$ is a non-constant self-map of X with $\varphi(z_0) = z_0$ for some $z_0 \in \text{int}X$ and uC_φ is a weighted composition operator on A . If $\lambda \neq 0$ is an eigenvalue of uC_φ , then*

$$\lambda \in \{u(z_0)\varphi'(z_0)^k : k \text{ is a positive integer}\} \cup \{u(z_0)\}.$$

Proof. By the property of z_0 , $\Delta_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < r\} \subseteq \text{int}X$ for some r . Now by replacing \mathbb{D} and Δ_r , and the same argument as in the proof of [14, Lemma 2.4], the result concludes. ■

It is known that if T is a Riesz operator, then every non-zero number in $\sigma(T)$ is an eigenvalue of T [10, Theorem 3.14]. Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a compact plane set with connected and dense interior. Let A be a unital Banach subalgebra of $A(X)$ containing the coordinate function z . Suppose u is a non-zero complex-valued function on X , $\varphi \in A(X)$ is a non-constant self-map of X with $\varphi(z_0) = z_0$ for some $z_0 \in \text{int}X$ and uC_φ is a Riesz weighted composition operator on A , then*

$$\sigma(uC_\varphi) = \{u(z_0)\varphi'(z_0)^k : k \text{ is a positive integer}\} \cup \{0, u(z_0)\}.$$

Corollary 3.4. *Let X be a perfect compact plane set with connected and dense interior and satisfy the condition (1.1). Suppose u is a non-zero complex-valued function on X and $\varphi \in A(X)$ is a non-constant self-map of X with $\varphi(z_0) = z_0$ for some $z_0 \in \text{int}X$. If uC_φ is a Riesz weighted composition operator on $D^n(X)$, then*

$$\sigma(uC_\varphi) = \{u(z_0)\varphi'(z_0)^k : k \text{ is a positive integer}\} \cup \{0, u(z_0)\}.$$

Using Theorem 2.7, as an immediate consequence of the above corollary we get the following result for the spectrum of a quasicompact composition operator.

Corollary 3.5. *Let X be a perfect compact plane set with connected and dense interior and satisfy the condition (1.1). Let C_φ be a quasicompact composition operator on $D^n(X)$. If $\varphi(z_0) = z_0$ for some $z_0 \in \text{int}X$, then C_φ is power compact and*

$$\sigma(C_\varphi) = \{\varphi'(z_0)^k : k \text{ is a positive integer}\} \cup \{0\}.$$

In the case that $X = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and all fixed points of φ are on the unit circle, we have the following theorem, due to Kamowitz, for disc algebra $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$.

Theorem 3.6. [14, Theorem 2.2] *Suppose $u, \varphi \in A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, $\|\varphi\|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}} = 1$, φ is not a constant function and φ has all its fixed points on the unit circle. If uC_φ is a compact operator on $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, then $\sigma_{A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})}(uC_\varphi) = \{0\}$.*

Using this theorem, we give a similar result for algebras $D^n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ as follows.

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose $u, \varphi \in D^n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ and φ is a non-constant self-map of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ whose all fixed points lie on the unit circle. If uC_φ is a compact operator on $D^n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, then $\sigma(uC_\varphi) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. It is clear that $D^n(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \subseteq A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ and uC_φ is also a weighted composition operator on $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. By Theorem 2.5, the compactness of uC_φ on $D^n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ implies that $\varphi(\text{coz}(u)) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$. Thus, by [14, Theorem 1.2], uC_φ is also a compact operator on $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. Moreover, every eigenvalue of compact operator uC_φ on $D^n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ is also an eigenvalue of compact operator uC_φ on $A(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. Hence, by Theorem 3.6, $\sigma_{D^n(\overline{\mathbb{D}})}(uC_\varphi) = \{0\}$. ■

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referee for valuable and useful comments.

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C., 1964.
- [2] F. Behrouzi, Homomorphisms of certain Banach function algebras, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* 112 (2002) 331-336.
- [3] F. Behrouzi and H. Mahyar, Compact endomorphisms of certain analytic Lipschitz algebras, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* 12 (2005) 301-312.
- [4] W. J. Bland and J. F. Feinstein, Completions of normed algebras of differentiable functions, *Studia Math.* 170 (2005) 89-111.
- [5] C. Caratheodory, *Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, Vol. II, Chelsea, New York, 1960.
- [6] J. B. Conway, *Functions of One Complex Variable*, Springer-Verlag New York, 1978.

- [7] H. G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, London Math. Soc. Monographs 24, The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [8] H. G. Dales and A. M. Davie, Quasianalytic Banach function algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 13 (1973) 28-50.
- [9] H. G. Dales and J. F. Feinstein, Normed algebras of differentiable functions on compact plane sets, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 41 (2010) 153-187.
- [10] H. R. Dowson, *Spectral theory of linear operators*, Academic Press INC. London LTD 1978.
- [11] J. F. Feinstein and H. Kamowitz, Quasicompact and Riesz endomorphisms of Banach algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 225 (2005) 427-438.
- [12] T. G. Honary and H. Mahyar, Approximation in Lipschitz algebras of infinitely differentiable functions, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* 36 (1999) 629-636.
- [13] K. Jarosz, $Lip_{Hol}(X, \alpha)$, *Proc. American Math. Soc.* 125 (1997) 3129-3130.
- [14] H. Kamowitz, Compact operators of the form uC_φ , *Pacific J. Math.* 80 (1979) 205-211.
- [15] H. Mahyar, *Approximation in Lipschitz algebras and their maximal ideal space*, Ph.D. Thesis, University For Teacher Education, Tehran Iran, 1994.
- [16] H. Mahyar and A. H. Sanatpour, Compact and quasicompact homomorphisms between differentiable Lipschitz algebras, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon-Stevin* 17 (2010) 485-497.
- [17] H. Mahyar and A. H. Sanatpour, Quasicompact endomorphisms of Lipschitz algebras of analytic functions, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 76/1-2 (2010) 135-145.

Department of Mathematics, Kharazmi University,
50, Taleghani Ave., 15618,
Tehran IRAN.

email: sasanamiri60@gmail.com, golbaharan@khu.ac.ir and mahyar@khu.ac.ir