

Improved direct and converse theorems in weighted Lorentz spaces

Ramazan Akgün

Yunus Emre Yıldırım

Abstract

In the present work we prove the equivalence of the fractional modulus of smoothness to the realization functional and to the Peetre K -functional in weighted Lorentz spaces. Using this equivalence we obtain an improvement of the direct approximation theorem. Furthermore we prove the improved converse theorem in this space.

1 Introduction and main results

In approximation theory improvements of direct and inverse theorems have been investigated by several authors in different function spaces [1, 9, 12, 18, 20, 21]. In this paper we deal with the improved direct and inverse approximation theorems in the weighted Lorentz space $L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ with Muckenhoupt weights. To obtain the improved direct theorem we need the realization and characterization theorem in $L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$. Therefore we will prove a realization result and an equivalence relation between the modulus of smoothness and the Peetre K -functional in $L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$. Furthermore, the realization result has a lot of applications [6]. In particular, it is used to get Ul'yanov type inequalities [8]. First, we give some definitions and properties.

Let $\mathbb{T} := [-\pi, \pi]$ and $\omega : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a weight function i.e., an almost everywhere positive measurable function. We define the decreasing rearrange-

Received by the editors in June 2014 - In revised form in January 2016.

Communicated by H. De Schepper.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 41A25, 41A27, 42A10.

Key words and phrases : Fractional modulus of smoothness, realization, weighted Lorentz spaces, Muckenhoupt weight, direct and converse theorem.

ment $f_\omega^*(t)$ [11] of $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the Borel measure

$$\omega(e) = \int_e \omega(x) dx,$$

by

$$f_\omega^*(t) = \inf \{ \tau \geq 0 : \omega(x \in \mathbb{T} : |f(x)| > \tau) \leq t \}.$$

The weighted Lorentz space $L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ is defined [11] as

$$L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T}) = \{ f \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbb{T}) : \|f\|_{pq,\omega} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} (f^{**}(t))^q t^{\frac{q}{p}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} < \infty, 1 < p, q < \infty \},$$

where $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{T})$ is the set of 2π periodic integrable functions on \mathbb{T} and

$$f^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f_\omega^*(u) du.$$

If $p = q$, $L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ turns into the weighted Lebesgue space $L_\omega^p(\mathbb{T})$ [11, p.20].

A weight function $\omega : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ belongs to the Muckenhoupt class A_p [17], $1 < p < \infty$, if

$$\sup \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \omega(x) dx \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \omega^{1-p'}(x) dx \right)^{p-1} = C_{A_p} < \infty, \quad p' := \frac{p}{p-1}$$

with a finite constant C_{A_p} independent of I , where the supremum is taken over all intervals I with length $\leq 2\pi$ and $|I|$ denotes the length of I . The constant C_{A_p} is called the Muckenhoupt constant of ω .

By the proof of [14, Prop. 3.3], we know that $L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T}) \subset L^1(\mathbb{T})$. Let

$$S[f] := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikx} \tag{1}$$

be the Fourier series of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. Assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx = 0. \tag{2}$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we define the α -th fractional integral of f as [22, v.2, p.134]

$$I_\alpha(x, f) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^*} c_k (ik)^{-\alpha} e^{ikx},$$

with

$$(ik)^{-\alpha} := |k|^{-\alpha} e^{(-1/2)\pi i \alpha \operatorname{sign} k}$$

as principal value.

We define the fractional derivative of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$, satisfying (2), as

$$f^{(\alpha)}(x) := \frac{d^{[\alpha]+1}}{dx^{[\alpha]+1}} I_{1+\alpha-[\alpha]}(x, f),$$

whenever the right hand side exists.

For a function $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, $w \in A_p$, Steklov's mean operator is defined as

$$\sigma_h f(x) := \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} f(u) du, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Whenever $\omega \in A_p$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ belongs to $L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ [5, Theorem 3]. Therefore the operator $\sigma_h f$ belongs to $L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$. Using this fact and putting $x, t \in \mathbb{T}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\omega \in A_p$ and $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_t^r f(x) &:= (I - \sigma_t)^r f(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \frac{1}{(2t)^k} \int_{-t}^t \cdots \int_{-t}^t f(x + u_1 + \cdots + u_k) du_1 \dots du_k, \end{aligned}$$

where $\binom{r}{k}$ are the binomial coefficients.

Since

$$\left| \binom{\alpha}{k} \right| \leq \frac{c}{k^{\alpha+1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

(see [19, p.14, (1.51)]), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \binom{\alpha}{k} \right| < \infty,$$

and therefore

$$\|\sigma_t^\alpha f\|_{pq,\omega} \leq c \|f\|_{pq,\omega} < \infty, \tag{3}$$

for $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$.

For $1 < p, q < \infty$, $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we define the fractional modulus of smoothness of index r as

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{pq,\omega} := \sup_{0 < h_i, t < \delta} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{[r]} (I - \sigma_{h_i}) (I - \sigma_t)^{r-[r]} f \right\|_{pq,\omega}, \tag{4}$$

where $[r] := \max \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq r\}$. Since the operator σ_t is bounded in $L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$ we have by (3) that

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{pq,\omega} \leq c \|f\|_{pq,\omega}$$

where the constant $c > 0$ only depends on r, p, q and C_{A_p} .

Remark 1.1. Let $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$ and $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$. For $\delta > 0$, the modulus of smoothness $\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{pq,\omega}$ has the following properties.

- (i) $\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{pq,\omega}$ is sub-additive in f , and a non-negative, non-decreasing function of $\delta \geq 0$.
- (ii) $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \Omega_r(f, \delta)_{pq,\omega} = 0$.

By $E_n(f)_{pq,\omega}$ we denote the best approximation of $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ by polynomials in \mathcal{T}_n , the set of trigonometric polynomials of degree $\leq n$:

$$E_n(f)_{pq,\omega} = \inf_{T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n} \|f - T_n\|_{pq,\omega}.$$

In this paper we will use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) \approx B(x) &\Leftrightarrow \exists c_1, c_2 > 0 : c_1 B(x) \leq A(x) \leq c_2 B(x) \\ A(x) \preceq B(x) &\Leftrightarrow \exists c > 0 : A(x) \leq c B(x). \end{aligned}$$

Our main results are now the following.

Theorem 1.2. *If $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$ and $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$, then there exists a constant $c > 0$ depending only on r, p, q and C_{A_p} such that*

$$E_n(f)_{pq,\omega} \leq c \Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n+1}\right)_{pq,\omega} \tag{5}$$

holds for $n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

The analogues of this direct approximation theorem were obtained in [10] for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in L_\omega^p(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$ and in [2] for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$ with the modulus of smoothness

$$W_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{L_\omega^{pq}} := \sup_{0 \leq h_i \leq 1/n} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^r (I - \sigma_{h_i}) f \right\|_{L_\omega^{pq}},$$

and in [1] for $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $f \in L_\omega^p(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$ with the fractional modulus of smoothness (4).

For $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$, $t, r > 0$ and $1 < p, q < \infty$, the Peetre K -functional is defined as

$$K_r(f, t; L_\omega^{pq}, W_{pq,\omega}^r) := \inf_{g \in W_{pq,\omega}^r} \{ \|f - g\|_{L_\omega^{pq}} + t^r \|g^{(r)}\|_{L_\omega^{pq}} \}.$$

Here $W_{pq,\omega}^r := \left\{ g(x) \in L_\omega^{pq} : g^{(r)} \in L_\omega^{pq} \right\}$.

We define the realization functional for $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ by

$$R_r(f, 1/n, L_\omega^{pq}) := \left\{ \|f - t_n^*\|_{L_\omega^{pq}} + \frac{1}{n^r} \|(t_n^*)^{(r)}\|_{L_\omega^{pq}} \right\},$$

for $r > 0$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here t_n^* denotes the best approximating trigonometric polynomial for f . The following theorem holds.

Theorem 1.3. *If \mathbb{R}^+ , $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p$, then the equivalence*

$$\Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{pq,\omega} \approx R_{2r}\left(f, 1/n, L_\omega^{pq}\right) \tag{6}$$

holds for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Furthermore, we have, for $\delta \geq 0$,

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{pq,\omega} \approx K_{2r}(f, \delta; L_\omega^{pq}, W_{pq,\omega}^r). \tag{7}$$

Here the equivalence constants only depend on r, p, q and C_{A_p} .

Corollary 1.4. Let $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, and $\omega \in A_p$. Then

$$\Omega_r(f, \lambda\delta)_{pq, \omega} \preceq (1 + [\lambda])^{2r} \Omega_r(f, \delta)_{pq, \omega}, \quad \delta, \lambda > 0$$

and

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_{pq, \omega} \delta^{-2r} \preceq \Omega_r(f, \delta_1)_{pq, \omega} \delta_1^{-2r}, \quad 0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta.$$

An improvement of (5) is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. If $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p$, then there exists a constant $c > 0$ depending on r, p, q and C_{A_p} such that for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j(f)_{pq, \omega} \right)^{1/n} \leq c \Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{pq, \omega}. \tag{8}$$

Remark 1.6. The inequality (8) is never worse than the classical Jackson inequality. Since $E_n(f)_{pq, \omega} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain that

$$E_n(f)_{pq, \omega} \leq \left(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j(f)_{pq, \omega} \right)^{1/n} \leq c \Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{pq, \omega}.$$

On the other hand, in some cases the inequality (8) gives better results than the classical Jackson inequality. For example, if $E_n(f)_{pq, \omega} = 2^{-n}$, then the classical Jackson inequality implies $\Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{pq, \omega} \geq c2^{-n}$ but inequality (8) yields $\Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{pq, \omega} \geq c2^{-n/2}$.

An analogue of Theorem 1.5 for the space L^∞ was proved in [18]. In [2], the weak converse of (5)

$$\Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{L_\omega^{pq}} \leq \frac{c}{n^{2r}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu + 1)^{2r-1} E_\nu(f)_{L_\omega^{pq}}, \tag{9}$$

for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$, $\omega \in A_p$ and $1 < p, q < \infty$ was obtained.

Theorem 1.7. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 < q \leq 2$ or $p > 2$ and $q \geq 2$, $\omega \in A_p$, $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\gamma := \min\{2, q\}$, then there is a constant $c > 0$ only depending on r, q, p and C_{A_p} such that

$$\Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{pq, \omega} \leq \frac{c}{n^{2r}} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{2\gamma r-1} E_{\nu-1}^\gamma(f)_{pq, \omega} \right)^{1/\gamma}. \tag{10}$$

The analogues of this improved converse theorem were proven in [15] for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in L_\omega^p(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$ with the modulus of smoothness $W_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{L_\omega^p}$; in [1] for $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $f \in L_\omega^p(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$ with the fractional modulus of smoothness (4); in [14] for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 < q \leq 2$ or $p > 2$ and $q \geq 2$, $\omega \in A_p$ with $W_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{L_\omega^{pq}}$; in [20] for $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p < \infty$

and $1 < q \leq 2$ or $p > 2$ and $q \geq 2$, $\omega \in A_p$ with a modulus of smoothness defined by Ky [16].

The inequality (10) is better than (9). Indeed, using the fact that x^γ is convex for $\gamma = \min \{2, p\}$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\nu \nu^{2r-1} E_\nu(f)_{pq,\omega} \right)^\gamma - \left((\nu - 1) \nu^{2r-1} E_\nu(f)_{pq,\omega} \right)^\gamma \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \mu^{2r-1} E_\mu(f)_{pq,\omega} \right)^\gamma - \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu-1} \mu^{2r-1} E_\mu(f)_{pq,\omega} \right)^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the summation over ν , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left\{ \left(\nu \nu^{2r-1} E_\nu(f)_{pq,\omega} \right)^\gamma - \left((\nu - 1) \nu^{2r-1} E_\nu(f)_{pq,\omega} \right)^\gamma \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left\{ \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \mu^{2r-1} E_\mu(f)_{pq,\omega} \right)^\gamma - \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu-1} \mu^{2r-1} E_\mu(f)_{pq,\omega} \right)^\gamma \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

hence we have the inequality

$$\left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{2\gamma r-1} E_{\nu-1}^\gamma(f)_{pq,\omega} \right\}^{1/\gamma} \leq 2 \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{2r-1} E_{\nu-1}(f)_{pq,\omega}.$$

We give the Marcinkiewicz multiplier and Littlewood-Paley theorems in $L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ which are used in the proofs of previous Theorems.

Theorem 1.8. *Let $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots$ be a sequence of real numbers such that*

$$|\lambda_l| \leq M \text{ and } \sum_{\nu=2^{l-1}}^{2^l-1} |\lambda_\nu - \lambda_{\nu+1}| \leq M,$$

for all $\nu, l \in \mathbb{N}$. If $1 < p, q < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$ and $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ with Fourier series $\sum_{\nu=0}^\infty (a_\nu(f) \cos \nu x + b_\nu(f) \sin \nu x)$, then there exists $h \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ such that the series $\sum_{\nu=0}^\infty \lambda_\nu (a_\nu(f) \cos \nu x + b_\nu(f) \sin \nu x)$ is the Fourier series of h and

$$\|h\|_{pq,\omega} \leq C \|f\|_{pq,\omega}, \tag{11}$$

where C does not depend on f .

Theorem 1.9. *Let $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$ and $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ with Fourier series $\sum_{\nu=0}^\infty (a_\nu(f) \cos \nu x + b_\nu(f) \sin \nu x)$, then there exist constants c_1 and c_2 independent of f such that*

$$c_1 \left\| \left(\sum_{\mu=\nu}^\infty |\Delta_\mu|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{pq,\omega} \leq \|f\|_{pq,\omega} \leq c_2 \left\| \left(\sum_{\mu=\nu}^\infty |\Delta_\mu|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{pq,\omega'}, \tag{12}$$

where

$$\Delta_\mu := \Delta_\mu(x, f) := \sum_{\nu=2^{\mu-1}}^{2^\mu-1} (a_\nu(f) \cos \nu x + b_\nu(f) \sin \nu x).$$

2 Proof of the main results

From [5, 14] we recall four important properties of the spaces $L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$.

Lemma A ([5] or [13, prop. 5.1.2]) *For $1 < p, q < \infty$, there exists a $c > 0$ such that for every $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$*

$$c^{-1} \|f\|_{pq,\omega} \leq \sup \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x)g(x)w(x)dx \right| \leq c \|f\|_{pq,\omega},$$

where the supremum is taken over all functions g for which $\|g\|_{p'q',\omega} \leq 1$.

Lemma B [14]. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 < q \leq 2$. Then for an arbitrary system of functions $\{\varphi_j(x)\}_{j=1}^m, \varphi_j \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ we have*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \varphi_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{pq,\omega} \leq c \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|\varphi_j\|_{pq,\omega}^q \right)^{1/q}$$

with a constant c independent of φ_j and m .

Lemma C [14]. *Let $2 < p < \infty$ and $q \geq 2$. For an arbitrary system $\{\varphi_j(x)\}_{j=1}^m, \varphi_j \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$, we have*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \varphi_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{pq,\omega} \leq c \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|\varphi_j\|_{pq,\omega}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

with a constant c independent of φ_j and m .

Lemma D [14]. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty, f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ and $w \in A_p$. If $B_{k,\mu}(x) = a_k(f) \cos(k + \mu\frac{\pi}{2})x + b_k(f) \sin(k + \mu\frac{\pi}{2})x$, where a_k, b_k are the Fourier coefficients of f , then*

$$\left\| \sum_{k=2^{i+1}}^{2^{i+1}} k^\mu B_{k,\mu} \right\|_{pq,\omega} \leq c 2^{i\mu} E_{2^i}(f)_{pq,\omega},$$

where the constant c is independent of f and i .

Proof of Theorem 1.8. We define a linear operator

$$Tf(x) := \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu (a_\nu(f) \cos \nu x + b_\nu(f) \sin \nu x)$$

for $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ which is bounded (in particular is of weak type (p, p)) in $L_\omega^p(\mathbb{T})$ for every $p > 1$ by [4, Th. 4.4]. Therefore the hypothesis of the interpolation theorem for Lorentz spaces [3, Th. 4.13] is fulfilled. Applying this theorem we get the desired result (11). ■

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let us define a quasilinear operator

$$Tf(x) := \left(\sum_{\mu=\nu}^{\infty} |\Delta_\mu(x, f)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

This operator is bounded in $L_\omega^p(\mathbb{T})$ for every $p > 1$ by [4, Th. 4.5]. Therefore the left hand side of the required result (12) is derived by means of the interpolation theorem for Lorentz spaces [3, Th. 4.13].

Using Hölder’s inequality for $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T}) \cap L_\omega^2(\mathbb{T}), g \in L_\omega^{p'q'}(\mathbb{T}) \cap L_\omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ and the left hand side of (12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(x)g(x)| \omega(x)dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \Delta_\mu(x, f)\Delta_\mu(x, g) \right| \omega(x)dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} |\Delta_\mu(x, f)\Delta_\mu(x, g)|\omega(x)dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left[\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} |\Delta_\mu(x, f)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} |\Delta_\mu(x, g)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \omega(x)dx \\ &\leq \left\| \left[\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} |\Delta_\mu(x, f)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\|_{pq, \omega} \left\| \left[\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} |\Delta_\mu(x, g)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\|_{p'q', \omega} \\ &\leq C \left\| \left[\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} |\Delta_\mu(x, f)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\|_{pq, \omega} \|g\|_{p'q', \omega}. \end{aligned}$$

where $p' = p / (p - 1), q' = q / (q - 1)$. Taking the supremum in the last inequality over all functions $g \in L_\omega^{p'q'}(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying $\|g\|_{p'q', \omega} \leq 1$, we find, applying Lemma A that

$$\|f\|_{pq, \omega} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} |\Delta_\mu|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{pq, \omega}.$$

The density of $L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T}) \cap L_\omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ in $L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ yields the last inequality for any $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$. ■

Lemma 2.1. *If $0 < \alpha \leq \beta, \omega \in A_p, 1 < p, q < \infty$ and $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ then*

$$\Omega_\beta(f, \cdot)_{pq, \omega} \leq c \Omega_\alpha(f, \cdot)_{pq, \omega}. \tag{13}$$

Proof. The proof of Lemma 2.1 is similar to the proof of [1, Lemma 1]. ■

Lemma 2.2. *Let $r \in \mathbb{R}^+, 1 < p, q < \infty, \omega \in A_p$ and $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then*

$$\Omega_r\left(T_n, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{pq, \omega} \preceq \frac{1}{n^{2r}} \left\| T_n^{(2r)} \right\|_{pq, \omega}$$

holds with some constant only depending on r, p, q and C_{A_p} .

Proof. For all $x \geq 0$, we have that

$$\left(1 - \frac{\sin x}{x}\right)_* \leq x^2,$$

where

$$\left(1 - \frac{\sin x}{x}\right)_* := \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\sin x}{x} & \text{if } x \geq 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

For $0 < t$ and $h_i \leq \frac{1}{n}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{[r]} (I - \sigma_{h_i}) (I - \sigma_t)^{r-[r]} T_n \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{v=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin v h_1}{v h_1} \right)_* \cdots \left(1 - \frac{\sin v h_{[r]}}{v h_{[r]}} \right)_* \left(1 - \frac{\sin v t}{v t} \right)_* \right. \\ & \quad \left. A_v(T_n, x) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\sin v h_1}{v h_1} \right)^2 (v h_1)^2 \cdots \left(1 - \frac{\sin v h_{[r]}}{v h_{[r]}} \right)^2 (v h_{[r]})^2 \left(1 - \frac{\sin v t}{v t} \right)^{r-[r]}}{(v h_1)^2 (v h_{[r]})^2 (v t)^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. (v t)^{2(r-[r])} A_v(T_n, x) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ &\leq n^{-2r} \left\| \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\sin v h_1}{v h_1} \right)}{(v h_1)^2} v^2 \cdots \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\sin v h_{[r]}}{v h_{[r]}} \right)}{(v h_{[r]})^2} v^2 \left(1 - \frac{\sin v t}{v t} \right)^{r-[r]} \right. \\ & \quad \left. v^{2(r-[r])} A_v(T_n, x) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ &\leq n^{-2r} \left\| \sum_{v=1}^n v^{2r} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\sin v h_1}{v h_1} \right)}{(v h_1)^2} \cdots \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\sin v h_{[r]}}{v h_{[r]}} \right)}{(v h_{[r]})^2} \left(1 - \frac{\sin v t}{v t} \right)^{r-[r]} A_v(T_n, x) \right\|_{pq,\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 1.8 we obtain that

$$\left\| \prod_{i=1}^{[r]} (I - \sigma_{h_i}) (I - \sigma_t)^{r-[r]} T_n \right\|_{pq,\omega} \leq n^{-2r} \left\| \sum_{v=1}^n v^{2r} A_v(T_n, x) \right\|_{pq,\omega}.$$

For $v = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ we have

$$A_v(T_n, x) = A_v\left(T_n, x + \frac{r\pi}{v}\right) \cos r\pi + A_v\left(\tilde{T}_n, x + \frac{r\pi}{v}\right) \sin r\pi,$$

where \tilde{T}_n is the Fourier conjugate of T_n . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{[r]} (I - \sigma_{h_i}) (I - \sigma_t)^{r-[r]} T_n \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ &\preceq n^{-2r} \left\| \sum_{v=1}^n v^{2r} \left(A_v\left(T_n, x + \frac{r\pi}{v}\right) \cos r\pi + A_v\left(\tilde{T}_n, x + \frac{r\pi}{v}\right) \sin r\pi \right) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ &\preceq n^{-2r} \left(\left\| \sum_{v=1}^n v^{2r} A_v\left(T_n, x + \frac{r\pi}{v}\right) \right\|_{pq,\omega} + \left\| \sum_{v=1}^n v^{2r} A_v\left(\tilde{T}_n, x + \frac{r\pi}{v}\right) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$A_v(T_n^{(2r)}, x) = v^{2r} A_v\left(T_n, x + \frac{r\pi}{v}\right),$$

for $\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega_r\left(T_n, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{pq,\omega} \\ & \leq n^{-2r} \left(\left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{2r} A_\nu\left(T_n, x + \frac{r\pi}{\nu}\right) \right\|_{pq,\omega} + \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{2r} A_\nu\left(\tilde{T}_n, x + \frac{r\pi}{\nu}\right) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \right) \\ & \leq n^{-2r} \left(\left\| T_n^{(2r)} \right\|_{pq,\omega} + \left\| \tilde{T}_n^{(2r)} \right\|_{pq,\omega} \right) \leq n^{-2r} \left\| T_n^{(2r)} \right\|_{pq,\omega}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$ and $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{n^{2r}} \left\| T_n^{(2r)} \right\|_{pq,\omega} \leq \Omega_r\left(T_n, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{pq,\omega}$$

with some constant depending only on r, p, q and C_{A_p} .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-2r} \left\| T_n^{(2r)} \right\|_{pq,\omega} &= n^{-2r} \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{2r} A_\nu\left(T_n, x + \frac{r\pi}{\nu}\right) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ &= n^{-2r} \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{2r} \left(A_\nu(T_n, x) \cos r\pi + A_\nu(\tilde{T}_n, x) \sin r\pi \right) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ &\leq n^{-2r} \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{2r} A_\nu(T_n, x) \cos r\pi \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ &\quad + n^{-2r} \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{2r} A_\nu(\tilde{T}_n, x) \sin r\pi \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^n \cos r\pi \left(\frac{\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right)^2}{1 - \frac{\sin \frac{\nu}{n}}{\frac{\nu}{n}}} \right)^r \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{\nu}{n}}{\frac{\nu}{n}} \right)^r A_\nu(T_n, x) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sin r\pi \left(\frac{\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right)^2}{1 - \frac{\sin \frac{\nu}{n}}{\frac{\nu}{n}}} \right)^r \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{\nu}{n}}{\frac{\nu}{n}} \right)^r A_\nu(\tilde{T}_n, x) \right\|_{pq,\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 1.8 and the linearity of the conjugate operator we get

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-2r} \left\| T_n^{(2r)} \right\|_{pq,\omega} &\leq \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{\nu}{n}}{\frac{\nu}{n}} \right)^r A_\nu(T_n, x) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{\nu}{n}}{\frac{\nu}{n}} \right)^r A_\nu(\tilde{T}_n, x) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{\nu}{n}}{\frac{\nu}{n}} \right)^r A_\nu(T_n, x) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ &\quad + \left\| \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{\nu}{n}}{\frac{\nu}{n}} \right)^r A_\nu(T_n, x) \right)^\sim \right\|_{pq,\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

From the boundedness of the conjugate operator [14] we have

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{-2r} \left\| T_n^{(2r)} \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ & \preceq \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{\nu}{n}}{\frac{\nu}{n}} \right)^r A_\nu(T_n, x) \right\|_{pq,\omega} + \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin \frac{\nu}{n}}{\frac{\nu}{n}} \right)^r A_\nu(T_n, x) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ & \preceq \left\| \left(I - \sigma_{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^r T_n \right\|_{pq,\omega} \preceq \sup_{0 < h_i, u < 1/n} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{[r]} (I - \sigma_{h_i}) (I - \sigma_u)^{r-[r]} T_n \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ & \preceq \Omega_r \left(T_n, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{pq,\omega}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From Lemma 2.1 and [2, Th. 1.1] we have

$$E_n(f)_{pq,\omega} \leq c \Omega_{[r]+1} \left(f, \frac{1}{n+1} \right)_{pq,\omega} \leq c \Omega_r \left(f, \frac{1}{n+1} \right)_{pq,\omega}$$

for $n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and the assertion (5) follows. ■

Lemma 2.4. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$, $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ and $\gamma > 0$. Then for any $0 < t < 2$,*

$$\Omega_\gamma(f, t)_{pq,\omega} \preceq t^\gamma \left\| f^{(\gamma)} \right\|_{pq,\omega}.$$

Proof. There is some $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ such that $(1/n) < t \leq (2/n)$. From Lemma 2.2 we get

$$\Omega_\gamma(f, t)_{pq,\omega} \leq \Omega_\gamma(f - T_n, t)_{pq,\omega} + \Omega_\gamma(T_n, t)_{pq,\omega} \preceq E_n(f)_{pq,\omega} + t^{2\gamma} \left\| T_n^{(2\gamma)} \right\|_{pq,\omega}.$$

On the other hand applying [20, (3.9) and Th. 1.3] and Theorem 1.2 we have

$$E_n(f)_{pq,\omega} \preceq \frac{1}{n^{2\gamma}} E_n(f^{(2\gamma)})_{pq,\omega} \preceq \frac{1}{n^{2\gamma}} \Omega_\gamma \left(f^{(2\gamma)}, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{pq,\omega} \preceq t^{2\gamma} \left\| f^{(2\gamma)} \right\|_{pq,\omega}.$$

Using Theorem 1.2 and [20, Th. 1.3] the proof is completed. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We have to show that (6) holds. Let T_n be the near best approximating trigonometric polynomial to f . From Theorem 1.2

$$\|f - T_n\|_{pq,\omega} \preceq E_n(f)_{pq,\omega} \leq c \Omega_r \left(f, \frac{1}{n+1} \right)_{pq,\omega}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n^{2r}} \left\| T_n^{(2r)} \right\|_{pq,\omega} \preceq \Omega_r \left(T_n, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{pq,\omega} \preceq \Omega_r \left(T_n - f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{pq,\omega} + \Omega_r \left(f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{pq,\omega} \\ & \preceq \left\| f - T_n \right\|_{pq,\omega} + \Omega_r \left(f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{pq,\omega} \preceq \Omega_r \left(f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{pq,\omega} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|f - T_n\|_{pq,\omega} + \frac{1}{n^{2r}} \|T_n^{(2r)}\|_{pq,\omega} \leq \Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{pq,\omega}.$$

On the other hand using Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{pq,\omega} &\leq \Omega_r\left(f - T_n, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{pq,\omega} + \Omega_r\left(T_n, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{pq,\omega} \\ &\leq \|f - T_n\|_{pq,\omega} + \frac{1}{n^{2r}} \|T_n^{(2r)}\|_{pq,\omega} = R_{2r}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}, L_\omega^{pq}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (6). Using Lemma 2.4, properties of the modulus of smoothness and of the K -functional (7) are proven.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. By Corollary 1.4 we have for $v \leq n$

$$\Omega_r(f, 1/v)_{pq,\omega} \leq (1 + n/v)^{2r} \Omega_r(f, 1/n)_{pq,\omega}$$

and

$$\prod_{v=1}^n \Omega_r(f, 1/v)_{pq,\omega} \leq \prod_{v=1}^n (1 + n/v)^{2r} \left(\Omega_r(f, 1/n)_{pq,\omega}\right)^n.$$

For every n we have

$$\prod_{v=1}^n (1 + n/v)^{2r} \leq \left(\frac{2n}{\sqrt[n]{n!}}\right)^{2r}.$$

Using Stirling's formula

$$n! \asymp \sqrt{2\pi n} n^n e^{-n} e^{\theta(n)} \text{ with } |\theta(n)| \leq 1/(12n)$$

we get

$$\prod_{v=1}^n (1 + n/v)^{2r} \leq 2^{2r} e^{4r}.$$

Thus

$$\left(\prod_{v=1}^n \Omega_r(f, 1/v)_{pq,\omega}\right)^{1/n} \leq c \Omega_r(f, 1/n)_{pq,\omega}.$$

From (5) and the property $E_n(f)_{pq,\omega} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we find

$$\left(\prod_{v=1}^n E_v(f)_{pq,\omega}\right)^{1/n} \leq \left(\prod_{v=1}^n \Omega_r(f, 1/v)_{pq,\omega}\right)^{1/n} \leq c \Omega_r(f, 1/n)_{pq,\omega}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.7. For $1 < p, q < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p$, let $f \in L_\omega^{pq}(\mathbb{T})$ be such that $\int_0^{2\pi} f(x) dx = 0$. We assume that f has Fourier series (1). We choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^m \leq n < 2^{m+1}$. Let us denote $S_n(x) := S_n(x, f) := \sum_{k=0}^n A_k(f, x)$, for $x \in \mathbb{T}$, where $A_k(f, x) = a_k(f) \cos kx + b_k(f) \sin kx$. By [14, Prop. 3.4], we have that

$$\|f - S_n\|_{pq,\omega} \leq c E_n(f)_{pq,\omega}. \quad (14)$$

It is well-known that $\sigma_{t,h_1,h_2,\dots,h_{[r]}}^r f := \prod_{i=1}^{[r]} (I - \sigma_{h_i}) (I - \sigma_t)^{r-[r]} f$ has Fourier series

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{t,h_1,h_2,\dots,h_{[r]}}^r f(\cdot) \\ & \sim \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\sin \nu t}{\nu t}\right)_*^{r-[r]} \left(1 - \frac{\sin \nu h_1}{\nu h_1}\right)_* \dots \left(1 - \frac{\sin \nu h_{[r]}}{\nu h_{[r]}}\right)_* A_{\nu}(f, x). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{t,h_1,h_2,\dots,h_{[r]}}^r f(\cdot) \\ & = \sigma_{t,h_1,h_2,\dots,h_{[r]}}^r (f(\cdot) - S_{2^{m-1}}(\cdot, f)) + \sigma_{t,h_1,h_2,\dots,h_{[r]}}^r S_{2^{m-1}}(\cdot, f). \end{aligned}$$

From (14) and $E_n(f)_{p,\omega} \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sigma_{t,h_1,h_2,\dots,h_{[r]}}^r (f(\cdot) - S_{2^{m-1}}(\cdot, f)) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \\ & \leq c \|f(\cdot) - S_{2^{m-1}}(\cdot, f)\|_{pq,\omega} \leq c E_{2^{m-1}}(f)_{pq,\omega} \\ & \leq \frac{c}{n^{2r}} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{2\gamma r-1} E_{\nu-1}^{\gamma}(f)_{p,\omega} \right\}^{1/\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (12) that

$$\left\| \sigma_{t,h_1,h_2,\dots,h_{[r]}}^r S_{2^{m-1}}(\cdot, f) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \leq c \left\| \left\{ \sum_{\mu=1}^m |\delta_{\mu}|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \right\|_{pq,\omega}$$

where

$$\delta_{\mu} := \sum_{\nu=2^{\mu-1}}^{2^{\mu}-1} \left(1 - \frac{\sin \nu t}{\nu t}\right)^{r-[r]} \left(1 - \frac{\sin \nu h_1}{\nu h_1}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{\sin \nu h_{[r]}}{\nu h_{[r]}}\right) A_{\nu}(f, x).$$

By Lemmas B and C

$$\left\| \left\{ \sum_{\mu=1}^m |\delta_{\mu}|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \right\|_{pq,\omega} \leq \left\{ \sum_{\mu=1}^m \|\delta_{\mu}\|_{pq,\omega}^{\gamma} \right\}^{1/\gamma}.$$

By Abel's transformation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\delta_{\mu}\|_{pq,\omega} \\ & \leq \sum_{\nu=2^{\mu-1}}^{2^{\mu}-2} \left| \left(1 - \frac{\sin \nu t}{\nu t}\right)^{r-[r]} \left(1 - \frac{\sin \nu h_1}{\nu h_1}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{\sin \nu h_{[r]}}{\nu h_{[r]}}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left(1 - \frac{\sin(\nu+1)t}{(\nu+1)t}\right)^{r-[r]} \left(1 - \frac{\sin(\nu+1)h_1}{(\nu+1)h_1}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \dots \left(1 - \frac{\sin(\nu+1)h_{[r]}}{(\nu+1)h_{[r]}}\right) \right| \left\| \sum_{l=2^{\mu-1}}^{\nu} A_l(f, x) \right\|_{pq,\omega} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left| \left(1 - \frac{\sin(2^\mu - 1)t}{(2^\mu - 1)t} \right)^{r-[r]} \left(1 - \frac{\sin(2^\mu - 1)h_1}{(2^\mu - 1)h_1} \right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{\sin(2^\mu - 1)h_{[r]}}{(2^\mu - 1)h_{[r]}} \right) \right| \left\| \sum_{l=2^{\mu-1}}^{2^\mu-1} A_l(f, x) \right\|_{pq, \omega}$$

and by Lemma D

$$\left\| \sum_{l=2^{\mu-1}}^v A_l(f, x) \right\|_{pq, \omega} \leq c E_{2^{\mu-1}-1}(f)_{pq, \omega}$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{l=2^{\mu-1}}^{2^\mu-1} A_l(f, x) \right\|_{pq, \omega} \leq C E_{2^{\mu-1}-1}(f)_{pq, \omega}.$$

Since $x^r \left(1 - \frac{\sin x}{x} \right)^r$ is non decreasing for positive x we have

$$\|\delta_\mu\|_{pq, \omega} \leq c 2^{2\mu r} t^{2(r-[r])} h_1^2 h_2^2 \dots h_{[r]}^2 E_{2^{\mu-1}-1}(f)_{pq, \omega}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sigma_{t, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{[r]}}^r S_{2^{m-1}}(\cdot, f) \right\|_{pq, \omega} \\ & \leq ct^{2(r-[r])} h_1^2 h_2^2 \dots h_{[r]}^2 \left\{ \sum_{\mu=1}^m 2^{\mu r \gamma} E_{2^{\mu-1}-1}(f)_{pq, \omega} \right\}^{1/\gamma} \\ & \leq ct^{2(r-[r])} h_1^2 h_2^2 \dots h_{[r]}^2 \left\{ 2^{\gamma r} E_0^\gamma(f)_M \right\}^{1/\alpha} \\ & + ct^{2(r-[r])} h_1^2 h_2^2 \dots h_{[r]}^2 \left\{ \sum_{\mu=2}^m \sum_{\nu=2^{\mu-2}}^{2^{\mu-1}-1} \nu^{2\gamma r-1} E_{\nu-1}^\gamma(f)_{pq, \omega} \right\}^{1/\gamma} \\ & \leq ct^{2(r-[r])} h_1^2 h_2^2 \dots h_{[r]}^2 \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{2^{m-1}-1} \nu^{2\gamma r-1} E_{\nu-1}^\gamma(f)_{pq, \omega} \right\}^{1/\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we find

$$\Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{pq, \omega} \leq \frac{c}{n^{2r}} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^{2\gamma r-1} E_{\nu-1}^\gamma(f)_{pq, \omega} \right\}^{1/\gamma}$$

finishing the proof of Theorem 1.7. ■

References

- [1] Akgün R., *Sharp Jackson and converse theorems of trigonometric approximation in weighted Lebesgue spaces*, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. **152** (2010), 1–18.
- [2] Akgün R., Yıldırım Y. E., *Jackson-Stechkin type inequalities in weighted Lorentz spaces*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **18**, 4 (2015), 1283–1293.

- [3] Bennet C., Sharpley R., *Interpolation of operators*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1968.
- [4] Berkson E., Gillespie T. A., *On restrictions of multipliers in weighted settings*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52** (2003), 927–962
- [5] Chang H. M., Hunt R. A. and Kurtz D. S., *The Hardy–Littlewood maximal functions on $L(p, q)$ spaces with weights*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **31** (1982), 109–120.
- [6] Dai F., Ditzian Z., and Tikhonov S., *Sharp Jackson inequalities*, J. Approx. Theory **151** (2008), 86–112.
- [7] Ditzian Z., Totik V., *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer Ser. Comput. Math. **9**, Springer, New York, 1987.
- [8] Ditzian Z., Tikhonov S., *Ul’yanov and Nikol’skii-type inequalities*, J. Approx. Theory **133** (2005), 100–133.
- [9] Guven A., Israfilov D. M., *Improved Inverse Theorems in Weighted Lebesgue and Smirnov Spaces*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **14**, (2007), 681–692.
- [10] Gadjeva E. A., *Investigation the Properties of Functions with Quasimonotone Fourier Coefficients in Generalized Nikolskii-Besov Spaces (Russian)*, Authors Summary of Candidates Dissertation, Tbilisi (1986).
- [11] Genebashvili I., Gogatishvili A., Kokilashvili V., Krbec M., *Weight theory for integral transforms on spaces of homogenous type*, Pitman Monographs, 1998.
- [12] Jafarov S. Z., *The inverse theorem of approximation of the function in Smirnov-Orlicz classes*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **12** (2012), 835–844.
- [13] Kokilashvili V., Krbec M., *Weighted inequalities in Lorentz and Orlicz spaces*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc. River Edge, NJ, 1991.
- [14] Kokilashvili V. M., Yildirim Y. E., *On the approximation by trigonometric polynomials in weighted Lorentz spaces*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. **8** (2010), 67–86.
- [15] Kokilashvili V. M., Yildirim Y. E., *On the approximation in weighted Lebesgue spaces*, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. **143** (2007), 103–113.
- [16] Ky N. X., *An Alexits’s lemma and its applications in approximation theory*, Functions, Series, Operators (L. Leindler, F. Schipp, J. Szabados, eds.), Budapest (2002), 287–296.
- [17] Muckenhoupt B., *Weighted Norm Inequalities for the Hardy Maximal Function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **165** (1972), 207–226.
- [18] Natanson G. I., Timan M. F., *The geometric means of the sequence of the best approximations*, (Russian), Vestnik Leningrad Univ. Mat. Mekh. Astronom., 1979, vyp. 4, 50–52.

- [19] Samko S. G., Kilbas A. A. and Marichev O. I., *Fractional integrals and derivatives, Theory and applications*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1993.
- [20] Yıldırım Y. E., Israfilov D. M., *Approximation Theorems in weighted Lorentz spaces*, Carpathian J. Math., **26** (2010), 108–119.
- [21] Yıldırım Y. E., Israfilov D. M., *Simultaneous and converse approximation theorems in weighted Lebesgue spaces*, Math. Ineq. Appl. **14**, (2011), 359–371.
- [22] Zygmund A, *Trigonometric series*, Cambridge, 1959.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Art-Science,
Balıkesir University
10100 Balıkesir, Turkey
email: rakgun@balikesir.edu.tr

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education,
Balıkesir University
10100, Balıkesir, Turkey
e-mail: yildirir@balikesir.edu.tr