

# The orthogonal $u$ -invariant of a quaternion algebra

Karim Johannes Becher      Mohammad G. Mahmoudi

## Abstract

In quadratic form theory over fields, a much studied field invariant is the  $u$ -invariant, defined as the supremum of the dimensions of anisotropic quadratic forms over the field. We investigate the corresponding notions of  $u$ -invariant for hermitian and for skew-hermitian forms over a division algebra with involution, with a special focus on skew-hermitian forms over a quaternion algebra with canonical involution. Under certain conditions on the center of the quaternion algebra, we obtain sharp bounds for this invariant.

## 1 Involutions and hermitian forms

Throughout this article  $K$  denotes a field of characteristic different from 2 and  $K^\times$  its multiplicative group. We shall employ standard terminology from quadratic form theory, as used in [9]. We say that  $K$  is *real* if  $K$  admits a field ordering, *nonreal* otherwise. By the Artin-Schreier Theorem,  $K$  is real if and only if  $-1$  is not a sum of squares in  $K$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be a division ring whose center is  $K$  and with  $\dim_K(\Delta) < \infty$ ; we say that  $\Delta$  is a *division algebra over  $K$* , for short. We further assume that  $\Delta$  is endowed with an *involution*  $\sigma$ , that is, a map  $\sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  such that  $\sigma(a + b) = \sigma(a) + \sigma(b)$  and  $\sigma(ab) = \sigma(b)\sigma(a)$  hold for any  $a, b \in \Delta$  and such that  $\sigma \circ \sigma = id_\Delta$ . Then  $\sigma|_K : K \rightarrow K$  is an involution of  $K$ , and there are two cases to be distinguished.

---

Received by the editors November 2008.

Communicated by M. Van den Bergh.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 11E04, 11E39, 11E81.

*Key words and phrases* : hermitian form, involution, division algebra, isotropy, system of quadratic forms, discriminant, Tsen-Lang Theory, Kneser's Theorem, local field, Kaplansky field.

If  $\sigma|_K = id_K$ , then we say that the involution  $\sigma$  is of *the first kind*. In the other case, when  $\sigma|_K$  is a nontrivial automorphism of the field  $K$ , we say that  $\sigma$  is of *the second kind*. In general, we fix the subfield  $k = \{x \in K \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$  and say that  $\sigma$  is a  $K/k$ -*involution of  $\Delta$* . Note that  $\sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  is  $k$ -linear. If  $\sigma$  is of the second kind, then  $K/k$  is a quadratic extension. Recall that involutions of the first kind on a division algebra  $\Delta$  over  $K$  exist if and only if  $\Delta$  is of exponent at most 2, i.e.  $\Delta \otimes_K \Delta$  is isomorphic to a full matrix algebra over  $K$ . Moreover, an involution  $\sigma$  of the first kind on  $\Delta$  is either of *orthogonal* or of *symplectic type*, depending on the dimension of the subspace  $\{x \in \Delta \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$  (see [9, Chap. 8, (7.6)]).

Let  $\varepsilon \in K^\times$  with  $\sigma(\varepsilon)\varepsilon = 1$ . We are mainly interested in the cases where  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ ; if  $\sigma$  is of the first kind then these are the only possibilities for  $\varepsilon$ . An  $\varepsilon$ -*hermitian form over  $(\Delta, \sigma)$*  is a pair  $(V, h)$  where  $V$  is a finite-dimensional right vector space over  $\Delta$  and  $h$  is a map  $h : V \times V \rightarrow \Delta$  that is  $\Delta$ -linear in the second argument and with  $\sigma(h(x, y)) = \varepsilon \cdot h(y, x)$  for any  $x, y \in V$ ; it follows that  $h$  is ‘sesquilinear’ in the sense that  $h(xa, yb) = \sigma(a)h(x, y)b$  for any  $x, y \in V$  and  $a, b \in \Delta$ . In this situation we may also refer to  $h$  as the  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form and to  $V$  as the *underlying vector space*. We simply say that  $h$  is *hermitian* (resp. *skew-hermitian*) if  $h$  is 1-hermitian (resp.  $(-1)$ -hermitian).

In the simplest case we have  $\Delta = K$ ,  $\sigma = id_K$ , and  $\varepsilon = 1$ . A 1-hermitian form over  $(K, id_K)$  is a symmetric bilinear form  $b : V \times V \rightarrow K$  on a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  over  $K$ ; by the choice of a basis it can be identified with a quadratic form over  $K$  in  $n = \dim_K(V)$  variables.

An  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form  $h$  over  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  with underlying vector space  $V$  is said to be *regular* or *nondegenerate* if, for any  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$ , the associated  $\Delta$ -linear form  $V \rightarrow \Delta, y \mapsto h(x, y)$  is not the zero map; if this condition fails  $h$  is said to be *singular* or *degenerate*. We say that  $h$  is *isotropic* if there exists a vector  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $h(x, x) = 0$ , otherwise we say that  $h$  is *anisotropic*. Let  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  be two  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian forms over  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  with underlying spaces  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . The *orthogonal sum* of  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  is the  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form  $h$  on the  $\Delta$ -vector space  $V = V_1 \times V_2$  given by  $h(x, y) = h_1(x_1, y_1) + h_2(x_2, y_2)$  for  $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in V$ , and it is denoted by  $h_1 \perp h_2$ . An *isometry* between  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  is an isomorphism of  $\Delta$ -vector spaces  $\tau : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  such that  $h_1(x, y) = h_2(\tau(x), \tau(y))$  holds for all  $x, y \in V_1$ . If an isometry between  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  exists, then we say that  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are *isometric* and write  $h_1 \simeq h_2$ . Witt’s Cancellation Theorem [2, (6.3.4)] states that, whenever  $h_1, h_2$  and  $h$  are  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian forms on  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  such that  $h_1 \perp h \simeq h_2 \perp h$ , then also  $h_1 \simeq h_2$  holds. A regular  $2n$ -dimensional  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form  $(V, h)$  is *hyperbolic* if there exists an  $n$ -dimensional subspace  $W$  of  $V$  with  $h(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in W$ . The (up to isometry) unique regular isotropic 2-dimensional  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form is denoted by  $\mathbb{H}$ .

Given an  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form  $(V, h)$  over  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  we write

$$D(h) = \{h(x, x) \mid x \in V \setminus \{0\}\} \subseteq \Delta.$$

Note that this set contains 0 if and only if  $h$  is isotropic. We further put

$$\text{Sym}^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma) = \{x \in \Delta \mid \sigma(x) = \varepsilon x\}.$$

For any  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form  $h$  over  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  we have  $D(h) \subseteq \text{Sym}^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma)$ . Given elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Sym}^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma)$ , an  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form  $h$  on the  $\Delta$ -vector space

$V = \Delta^n$  is defined by  $h(x, y) = \sigma(x_1)a_1y_1 + \dots + \sigma(x_n)a_ny_n$  for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \Delta^n = V$ . We denote this form  $h$  by  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  and observe that it is regular if and only if  $a_i \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . As  $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ , any  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form is isometric to  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Sym}^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma)$  [2, (6.2.4)].

We denote by  $\text{Herm}_n^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma)$  the set of isometry classes of regular  $n$ -dimensional  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian forms over  $(\Delta, \sigma)$ . Mapping  $a \in \text{Sym}^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma)$  to the class of  $\langle a \rangle$  yields a surjection

$$\text{Sym}^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma) \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow \text{Herm}_1^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma).$$

Two elements  $a, b \in \text{Sym}^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma)$  are *congruent* if there exists  $c \in \Delta$  such that  $a = \sigma(c)bc$ , which is equivalent to saying that  $\langle a \rangle \simeq \langle b \rangle$  over  $(\Delta, \sigma)$ .

**1.1 Remark.** In the case where  $\Delta = K$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$ , there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between  $\text{Herm}_1^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma)$  and  $K^\times / K^{\times 2}$ . We may thus identify the two sets with one another and endow  $\text{Herm}_1^1(\Delta, \sigma)$  with a natural group structure. One can proceed in a similar way in two other cases, first when  $\Delta$  is a quaternion algebra and  $\sigma$  is its canonical involution, and second when  $\sigma$  is a unitary involution on the field  $\Delta = K$ .

For an  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form  $h$  over  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  and  $a \in k^\times$  where  $k = \{x \in K \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$ , we define the scaled  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form  $ah$  in the obvious way. Two  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian forms  $h$  and  $h'$  over  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  are said to be *similar* if  $h' \simeq ah$  holds for some  $a \in k^\times$ .

## 2 Hermitian $u$ -invariants

We keep the setting of the previous section. Following [8, Chap. 9, (2.4)] we define

$$u(\Delta, \sigma, \varepsilon) = \sup \{ \dim(h) \mid h \text{ anisotropic } \varepsilon\text{-hermitian form over } (\Delta, \sigma) \}$$

in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  and call this the  $u$ -invariant of  $(\Delta, \sigma, \varepsilon)$ . In this context,

$$u(K, id_K, 1) = \sup \{ \dim(\varphi) \mid \varphi \text{ anisotropic quadratic form over } K \}$$

is the  $u$ -invariant of the field  $K$ , also denoted by  $u(K)$ . We refer to [8, Chap. 8] for an overview of this invariant for nonreal fields and for a discussion of different versions of this definition that are interesting when dealing with real fields.

To obtain upper bounds on  $u(\Delta, \sigma, \varepsilon)$ , one can use the theory of systems of quadratic forms. In fact, to every  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form  $h$  over  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  one can associate a system of quadratic forms over  $k$  in such a way that the isotropy of  $h$  is equivalent to the simultaneous isotropy of this system.

For  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , one denotes by  $u_r(K)$  the supremum of the  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which there exists a system of  $r$  quadratic forms in  $n$  variables over  $K$  having no nontrivial common zero. The numbers  $u_r(K)$  are called the *system  $u$ -invariants* of  $K$ . Note that  $u_0(K) = 0$  and  $u_1(K) = u(K)$ . Leep proved that these system  $u$ -invariants satisfy the inequalities

$$u_r(K) \leq ru(K) + u_{r-1}(K) \leq \frac{r(r+1)}{2} u(K)$$

for any integer  $r \geq 1$ . Using systems of quadratic forms, he further showed that  $u(L) \leq \frac{[L:K]+1}{2}u(K)$  holds for an arbitrary finite field extension  $L/K$ . (See [9, Chap. 2, Sect. 16] for these and more facts on systems on quadratic forms.) In the same vein the following result was obtained in [7, (3.6)].

**2.1 Proposition.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a division algebra over  $K$ ,  $\sigma$  an involution on  $\Delta$ , and  $\varepsilon \in K$  with  $\varepsilon\sigma(\varepsilon) = 1$ . Then*

$$u(\Delta, \sigma, \varepsilon) \leq \frac{u_r(k)}{m^2[K:k]} \leq \frac{r(r+1)}{2m^2[K:k]} \cdot u(k)$$

where  $k = \{x \in K \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$ ,  $m = \deg(\Delta)$  and  $r = \dim_k(\text{Sym}^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma))$ . In particular, if  $u(k) < \infty$ , then  $u(\Delta, \sigma, \varepsilon) < \infty$ .

In this article, we are mainly concerned with the  $u$ -invariant of an involution of the first kind. Assume that  $\sigma$  is an involution of the first kind on the division algebra  $\Delta$  over  $K$ . In this case  $\Delta \otimes_K \Delta$  is isomorphic to a full matrix algebra and  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ . In [7] it is explained that  $u(\Delta, \sigma, \varepsilon)$  only depends on  $\varepsilon$  and on the type of  $\sigma$ , i.e., whether it is orthogonal or symplectic. More precisely, given two involutions of the first kind  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  on  $\Delta$  one has  $u(\Delta, \sigma, \varepsilon) = u(\Delta, \tau, \varepsilon)$  if  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are of same type and  $u(\Delta, \sigma, \varepsilon) = u(\Delta, \tau, -\varepsilon)$  if they are of opposite type. We define

$$u^+(\Delta) = u(\Delta, \sigma, +1) \quad \text{and} \quad u^-(\Delta) = u(\Delta, \sigma, -1)$$

with respect to an arbitrary orthogonal involution  $\sigma$  on  $\Delta$ , as these numbers do not depend on the choice of  $\sigma$ . We call  $u^+(\Delta)$  the *orthogonal* and  $u^-(\Delta)$  the *symplectic  $u$ -invariant* of  $\Delta$ . By the previous, for any symplectic involution  $\tau$  on  $\Delta$  one has  $u(\Delta, \tau, \varepsilon) = u^{-\varepsilon}(\Delta)$ .

Let us briefly mention that, in the case of an involution  $\sigma$  of the second kind,  $u(\Delta, \sigma, \varepsilon)$  depends only on the field  $k = \{x \in K \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$ , in particular it does not depend on  $\varepsilon$  at all.

Let  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using (2.1) one can obtain estimates for the  $u$ -invariants of division algebras with involution over a  $\mathcal{C}_i$ -field. We recall some facts from Tsen-Lang Theory, following [9, Chap. 2, Sect. 15]. A field  $K$  is called a  $\mathcal{C}_i$ -field if every homogeneous polynomial over  $K$  of degree  $d$  in more than  $d^i$  variables has a nontrivial zero. The natural examples of  $\mathcal{C}_i$ -fields are extensions of transcendence degree  $i$  of an arbitrary algebraically closed field and (for  $i > 0$ ) extensions of transcendence degree  $i - 1$  of a finite field. A result due to Lang and Nagata states that, if  $K$  is a  $\mathcal{C}_i$ -field, then  $u_r(K) \leq r \cdot 2^i$  for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  (cf. [9, Chap. 2, (15.8)]). In [8, Chap. 5], variations of the  $\mathcal{C}_i$ -property and open problems in this context are discussed.

**2.2 Corollary.** *Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{C}_i$ -field and let  $\Delta$  be a division algebra of exponent 2 and of degree  $m$  over  $K$ . Then  $u^+(\Delta) \leq 2^{i-1} \cdot \frac{m+1}{m}$  and  $u^-(\Delta) \leq 2^{i-1} \cdot \frac{m-1}{m}$ .*

*Proof:* We use (2.1) and the fact that  $u_r(k) \leq 2^i r$ . ■

**2.3 Corollary.** *Let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{C}_i$ -field. Let  $\Delta$  be a quaternion division algebra over  $K$ . Then  $u^+(\Delta) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{i-2}$  and  $u^-(\Delta) \leq 2^{i-2}$ .*

Example (5.4) will show that the first bound in (2.3) is sharp. For the second bound, we leave this as an easy exercise. In fact, determining the symplectic  $u$ -invariant of a quaternion algebra is a pure quadratic form theoretic problem in view of Jacobson’s Theorem [9, Chap. 10, (1.1)], which relates hermitian forms over a quaternion algebra with canonical involution —the unique symplectic involution on a quaternion algebra— to quadratic forms over the center. This is why our investigation for quaternion algebras concentrates on the orthogonal  $u$ -invariant.

### 3 Kneser’s Theorem

In this section, we give an upper bound on the  $u$ -invariant of a division algebra with involution in terms of the number of 1-dimensional (skew-)hermitian forms, subject to a condition on the levels of certain subalgebras. This extends an observation due to Kneser [4, Chap. XI, (6.4)] on the commutative case.

From [6] we recall the definition of the level of an involution. Let  $\sigma$  be an involution on a central simple algebra  $\Delta$  over  $K$ . The *level* of  $\sigma$  is defined as

$$s(\Delta, \sigma) = \sup \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m \times \langle 1 \rangle \text{ is anisotropic over } (\Delta, \sigma)\}$$

in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Whenever  $s(\Delta, \sigma)$  is finite, it is equal to the smallest number  $m$  for which  $-1$  can be written as a sum of  $m$  hermitian squares over  $(\Delta, \sigma)$ .

**3.1 Theorem.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a division algebra over  $K$  equipped with an involution  $\sigma$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in K$  be such that  $\sigma(\varepsilon)\varepsilon = 1$ . Let  $\psi$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form over  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  and let  $\alpha \in \Delta^\times$  be such that  $\sigma(\alpha) = \varepsilon\alpha$ . Let  $C_\Delta(\alpha)$  be the centralizer of  $K(\alpha)$  in  $\Delta$ . Suppose that  $s(C_\Delta(\alpha), \sigma|_{C_\Delta(\alpha)}) < \infty$ . If  $\varphi = \psi \perp \langle \alpha \rangle$  is anisotropic then  $D(\psi) \subsetneq D(\varphi)$ .*

*Proof:* We write  $0 = \sigma(d_0)d_0 + \dots + \sigma(d_s)d_s$  with  $s = s(C_\Delta(\alpha), \sigma|_{C_\Delta(\alpha)})$  and  $d_0, \dots, d_s \in C_\Delta(\alpha) \setminus \{0\}$ . We suppose that  $D(\psi) = D(\varphi)$  and want to conclude that  $\varphi$  is isotropic. We claim that  $\alpha \cdot (\sigma(d_0)d_0 + \dots + \sigma(d_i)d_i) \in D(\varphi)$  for any  $0 \leq i \leq s$ . For  $i = s$  this yields that  $\varphi$  is isotropic.

For  $i = 0$ , note that  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha\sigma(d_0)d_0$  are represented by  $\varphi$ . Let now  $1 \leq i \leq s$  and assume that the claim holds for  $i - 1$ . With  $\alpha(\sigma(d_0)d_0 + \dots + \sigma(d_{i-1})d_{i-1}) \in D(\varphi) = D(\psi)$ , we obtain readily that  $\alpha(\sigma(d_0)d_0 + \dots + \sigma(d_{i-1})d_{i-1}) + \alpha\sigma(d_i)d_i \in D(\varphi)$ , finishing the argument. ■

**3.2 Corollary.** *Assume that  $s(C_\Delta(\alpha), \sigma|_{C_\Delta(\alpha)}) < \infty$  for every  $\alpha \in \text{Sym}^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma)$ . Then  $u(\Delta, \sigma, \varepsilon) \leq |\text{Herm}_1^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma)|$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $h \simeq \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  be an anisotropic  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form of dimension  $n$  over  $(\Delta, \sigma)$ . Set  $h_i = \langle a_1, \dots, a_i \rangle$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Using (3.1) we obtain that  $D(h_1) \subsetneq D(h_2) \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq D(h_n) = D(h)$ . We conclude that  $h$  represents at least  $n$  pairwise incongruent elements of  $\text{Sym}^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma)$ , i.e.  $|\text{Herm}_1^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma)| \geq n$ . Therefore we have  $|\text{Herm}_1^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma)| \geq u(\Delta, \sigma, \varepsilon)$ . ■

**3.3 Remark.** The hypothesis of (3.2) is trivially satisfied if the subfield of  $K$  consisting of the elements fixed by  $\sigma$  is nonreal; this is for example the case when  $\sigma$  is of the first kind and  $K$  is a nonreal field.

**3.4 Example.** Let  $p$  be a prime number different from 2 and let  $Q$  denote the unique quaternion division algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then it follows from [9, Chap. 10, (3.6)] that  $u^+(Q) = |\text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma)| = 3$  (see also (4.9), below). Let now  $m$  be a positive integer and  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p((t_1)) \dots ((t_m))$ . Then  $Q_K$  is a quaternion division algebra over  $K$  and  $u^+(Q_K) = |\text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q_K, \gamma)| = 3 \cdot 2^m$ . This follows from the fact that the  $u$ -invariant(s) and the number of 1-dimensional  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian forms over a division algebra defined over a field  $K$  both double when the center is extended from  $K$  to  $K((t))$ .

The upper bound on the  $u$ -invariant obtained in (3.2) motivates us to look for criteria for the finiteness of  $\text{Herm}_1^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma)$  where  $\Delta$  is a division algebra over  $K$ ,  $\sigma$  an involution on  $\Delta$ , and  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ . We conjecture that  $|\text{Herm}_1^\varepsilon(\Delta, \sigma)| < \infty$  is equivalent to  $|K^\times / K^{\times 2}| < \infty$ . In the next section we shall confirm this in the case of skew-hermitian forms over a quaternion division algebra.

## 4 Congruence of pure quaternions

From this section on we consider a quaternion division algebra  $Q$  over  $K$ . Let  $\gamma$  denote the canonical involution of  $Q$ ,  $\pi$  the norm form of  $Q$  and  $\pi'$  its pure part, so that  $\pi = \langle 1 \rangle \perp \pi'$ . By a *skew-hermitian form over  $Q$*  we always mean a regular skew-hermitian form over  $(Q, \gamma)$ . In this section we want to describe  $\text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma)$ .

Following [10] the *discriminant* of a skew-hermitian form  $h$  over  $Q$  is defined as the class  $\text{disc}(h) = (-1)^n \text{Nrd}((h(x_i, x_j))_{ij}) K^{\times 2}$  in  $K^\times / K^{\times 2}$  where  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is an arbitrary  $\Delta$ -basis of the underlying vector space and where  $\text{Nrd} : M_n(\Delta) \rightarrow K$  denotes the reduced norm.

**4.1 Remark.** For  $a \in K^\times$ , there exists a skew-hermitian form of dimension 1 and discriminant  $a$  over  $Q$  if and only if  $-a$  is represented by the pure part of the norm form of  $Q$ . In particular, any 1-dimensional skew-hermitian form over  $Q$  has nontrivial discriminant.

**4.2 Proposition.** *Skew-hermitian forms of dimension 1 over  $Q$  are classified up to similarity by their discriminants.*

*Proof:* More generally, similar skew-hermitian forms over  $Q$  have the same discriminant. Assume now that  $z_1, z_2 \in Q^\times$  are pure quaternions such that the discriminants of the skew-hermitian forms  $\langle z_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle z_2 \rangle$  coincide. Hence there exists  $d \in K^\times$  such that  $z_2^2 = d^2 z_1^2 = (dz_1)^2$ . Therefore the pure quaternions  $z_2$  and  $dz_1$  are congruent in  $Q$ , i.e. there exists  $\alpha \in Q^\times$  such that  $dz_1 = \alpha^{-1} z_2 \alpha$ . Multiplying this equality by  $\text{Nrd}(\alpha) = \gamma(\alpha)\alpha$ , it follows that  $(\text{Nrd}(\alpha)d)z_1 = \gamma(\alpha)z_2\alpha$ . With  $c = (\text{Nrd}(\alpha)d) \in K^\times$  we obtain that  $\langle cz_1 \rangle \simeq \langle z_2 \rangle$ , so  $\langle z_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle z_2 \rangle$  are similar. ■

**4.3 Remark.** A closer look at the above argument yields the following refinement. Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $K^\times$  containing  $\text{Nrd}(Q^\times)$ . Two 1-dimensional skew-hermitian forms are obtained from one another by scaling with an element of  $G$  if and only if their discriminants coincide in  $K^\times / G^2$ .

**4.4 Lemma** (Scharlau). *Let  $\lambda, \mu \in Q^\times$  be anticommuting elements, so in particular  $Q \simeq (a, b)_K$  with  $a = \lambda^2, b = \mu^2 \in K^\times$ . Let  $c \in K^\times$ . The skew-hermitian forms  $\langle \lambda \rangle$  and  $\langle c\lambda \rangle$  over  $Q$  are isometric if and only if  $c$  is represented by one of the quadratic forms  $\langle 1, -a \rangle$  and  $\langle b, -ab \rangle$  over  $K$ .*

*Proof:* See [9, Chap. 10, (3.4)]. ■

The following result was obtained in [5], in slightly different terms.

**4.5 Proposition** (Lewis). *Let  $\lambda$  be a nonzero pure quaternion in  $Q$ . Consider  $\text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma)$  as a pointed set with the isometry class of  $\langle \lambda \rangle$  as distinguished point. With  $L = K(\lambda)$  and  $a = \lambda^2 \in K^\times$ , one obtains an exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow K^\times / N_{L/K}(L^\times) \xrightarrow{\cdot \lambda} \text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma) \xrightarrow{(-a)^{\text{Nrd}}} K^\times / K^{\times 2}.$$

*Proof:* Let  $b \in K^\times$  be such that  $Q = (a, b)_K$ . By (4.4) the group of elements  $x \in K^\times$  such that  $\langle x\lambda \rangle \simeq \langle \lambda \rangle$  coincides with  $N_{L/K}(L^\times) \cup bN_{L/K}(L^\times)$ . This proves the exactness in the first two terms. The exactness at  $\text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma)$  follows from (4.2). ■

**4.6 Remark.** We sketch an alternative, cohomological argument for the exact sequence in (4.5), which was pointed out to us by J.-P. Tignol. Let  $\rho = \text{Int}(\lambda) \circ \gamma$ . Note that  $\text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma)$  can be identified with  $\text{Herm}_1^1(Q, \rho) = H^1(K, O(\rho))$  where  $O(\rho) = \{x \in Q \mid \rho(x)x = 1\}$ . By [3, Chap. VII, §29], there is an exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow O^+(\rho) \rightarrow O(\rho) \rightarrow \mu_2 \rightarrow 1$ . Moreover,  $O^+(\rho) = L^1 = \{x \in L \mid N_{L/K}(x) = 1\}$ . This yields the exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow \mu_2 \rightarrow H^1(K, L^1) \rightarrow H^1(K, O(\rho)) \rightarrow K^\times / K^{\times 2}$ . Using that  $H^1(K, L^1) \simeq K^\times / N_{L/K}(L^\times)$  we obtain the sequence in (4.5).

**4.7 Proposition.** *Let  $S = \{aK^{\times 2} \mid a \in D(\pi')\} \subseteq K^\times / K^{\times 2}$ . For  $\alpha \in S$  let  $H_\alpha = \{h \in \text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma) \mid \text{disc}(h) = \alpha\}$ . Then  $\text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in S} H_\alpha$ , in particular  $|\text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma)| = \sum_{\alpha \in S} |H_\alpha|$ . Moreover, for any  $\alpha = aK^{\times 2} \in S$  one has  $|H_\alpha| \leq \frac{1}{2} |K^\times / N_{L/K}(L^\times)|$  with  $L = K(\sqrt{-a})$ .*

*Proof:* The first part is clear. For  $\alpha \in S$ , there is a pure quaternion  $\lambda \in Q^\times$  with  $\text{disc}(\langle \lambda \rangle) = -\alpha$ , and (4.5) applied to  $L = K(\lambda)$  yields the last part. ■

**4.8 Corollary.** *Let  $S = \{aK^{\times 2} \mid a \in D(\pi')\}$  and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the set of maximal subfields of  $Q$ . Then*

$$\left| \text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} |K^\times / N_{L/K}(L^\times)| \cdot |S|.$$

*Proof:* This is immediate from (4.7). ■

**4.9 Remark.** We keep the notation of (4.8). Kaplansky showed in [1] that  $Q$  is the unique quaternion division algebra over  $K$  if and only if

$$\sup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} |K^\times / N_{L/K}(L^\times)| = 2.$$

If this condition holds, then (4.8) yields  $|\text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma)| \leq |S|$ , and as the converse inequality follows from (4.7), we obtain that  $|\text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma)| = |S|$ . This applies in particular to any local field. Moreover, if  $K$  is a non-dyadic local field, then  $|K^\times / K^{\times 2}| = 4$  and  $|S| = 3$ , so that we obtain immediately that  $u^+(Q) = |\text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma)| = |S| = 3$ .

**4.10 Theorem.**  $\text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma)$  is finite if and only if  $K^\times / K^{\times 2}$  is finite.

*Proof:* Let  $S = \{aK^{\times 2} \mid a \in D(\pi')\}$ . We fix a pure quaternion  $\lambda$  in  $Q$  and put  $L = K(\lambda)$ .

Assume that  $K^\times / K^{\times 2}$  is finite. Then  $S$  is finite. For  $\alpha = aK^{\times 2}$ , there is a surjection from  $H_\alpha$  to the group  $K^\times / N_{L/K}(L^\times)$ , where  $L = K(\sqrt{-a})$ , and this group is a quotient of  $K^\times / K^{\times 2}$ . Therefore  $H_\alpha$  is finite for any  $\alpha \in S$ . Since  $S$  is also finite, it follows that  $\text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in S} H_\alpha$  is finite.

Suppose now that  $\text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma)$  is finite. Then  $K^\times / N_{L/K}(L^\times)$  is finite by (4.5). As  $K^\times / \text{Nrd}(Q^\times)$  is a quotient of this group, it is also finite. Moreover, the image of  $\text{disc} : \text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma) \rightarrow K^\times / K^{\times 2}$  is finite, which means that  $S$  is finite. Since the group of reduced norms  $\text{Nrd}(Q^\times)$  is generated by the elements of  $D(\pi')$ , it follows that  $\text{Nrd}(Q^\times) / K^{\times 2}$  is finite. Hence,  $K^\times / K^{\times 2}$  is finite. ■

## 5 Anisotropic forms of dimension three

We keep the setting of the previous section. In this section we show that 3-dimensional anisotropic skew-hermitian forms over  $Q$  do exist in all but a few exceptional cases.

**5.1 Lemma.** Let  $x, y, z \in Q^\times$  be pure quaternions. If  $\text{Nrd}(xyz) \notin D(\pi')$ , then the skew-hermitian form  $\langle x, y, z \rangle$  over  $Q$  is anisotropic.

*Proof:* If  $\langle x, y, z \rangle$  is isotropic, then  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \simeq \mathbb{H} \perp \langle w \rangle$  for some pure quaternion  $w \in Q^\times$  and it follows that  $\text{Nrd}(xyz) = \text{Nrd}(w) \in D(\pi')$ . ■

Recall that a *preordering* of a field  $K$  is a subset  $T \subseteq K$  that is closed under addition and under multiplication and contains all squares in  $K$ .

**5.2 Theorem.** The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $D(\pi') \cup \{0\}$  is a preordering of  $K$ .
- (2)  $D(\pi')$  is closed under multiplication.
- (3)  $D(\pi') = D(\pi)$ .
- (4) For any  $a, b, c \in D(\pi')$  one has  $abc \in D(\pi')$ .

If any of these conditions holds, then  $K$  is a real field and  $Q_{K(\sqrt{-1})}$  is split.

*Proof:* By the definition of a preordering, (1) implies (2). Since any element of  $Q$  is a product of two pure quaternions, the group of nonzero norms  $D(\pi)$  is generated by the elements of  $D(\pi')$ . Therefore (2) implies (3). Since  $D(\pi)$  is always a group, it is clear that (3) implies (4).

Assume now that (4) holds. Take a diagonalization  $\pi' \simeq \langle a, b, c \rangle$ . Then  $a, b, c \in D(\pi')$ , so (4) yields that  $abc \in D(\pi')$ . Since  $\pi'$  has determinant 1, we have  $abc \in K^{\times 2}$  and conclude that  $1 \in D(\pi')$ . Fixing  $c = 1 \in D(\pi')$  we conclude from (4) that  $D(\pi')$  is closed under multiplication. Hence (2) and (3) are satisfied. For  $a, b \in D(\pi')$ , we have  $a^{-1}b \in D(\pi')$ , whence  $1 + a^{-1}b \in D(\pi) = D(\pi')$  by (3)



and  $a + b = a(1 + a^{-1}b) \in D(\pi')$  by (2). Hence  $D(\pi')$  is closed under addition. Therefore  $D(\pi') \cup \{0\}$  is a preordering, showing (1). Since  $\pi = \langle 1 \rangle \perp \pi'$  is anisotropic, this preordering does not contain  $-1$ , so  $K$  is real. Moreover,  $Q_{K(\sqrt{-1})}$  is split because  $1 \in D(\pi')$ . ■

**5.3 Corollary.** *If  $D(\pi') \neq D(\pi)$  or if  $K$  is nonreal or if  $Q_{K(\sqrt{-1})}$  is a division algebra, then  $u^+(Q) \geq 3$ .*

*Proof:* By (5.2), in each case there are  $a, b, c \in D(\pi')$  with  $abc \notin D(\pi')$ . With pure quaternions  $x, y, z \in Q$  such that  $\text{Nrd}(x) = a$ ,  $\text{Nrd}(y) = b$ , and  $\text{Nrd}(z) = c$ , the skew-hermitian form  $\langle x, y, z \rangle$  is anisotropic by (5.1). ■

**5.4 Example.** Let  $k = \mathbb{C}(X_1, X_2)$ ,  $Q = (X_1, X_2)$ , and  $K = \mathbb{C}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  for some  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $Q_K$  is a division algebra and  $u^+(Q_K) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{n-2}$  by (2.3), because  $K$  is a  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -field. By (5.3), there is an anisotropic 3-dimensional skew-hermitian form  $h$  over  $Q$ . Multiplying this form  $h$  by the quadratic form  $\langle 1, X_3 \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes \langle 1, X_n \rangle$  over  $K$ , we obtain a skew-hermitian form of dimension  $3 \cdot 2^{n-2}$  over  $Q_K$ . Therefore  $u^+(Q_K) = 3 \cdot 2^{n-2}$ .

## 6 Kaplansky fields

Kaplansky [1] noticed that most statements about quadratic over local fields remain valid over what he called ‘generalized Hilbert fields’, which are called ‘pre-Hilbert fields’ in [4, Chap. XII, Sect. 6]. As the relation to Hilbert’s work is vague (based on the notion of the ‘Hilbert symbol’ for a local field), we use the term ‘Kaplansky field’ instead. To be precise,  $K$  is called a *Kaplansky field* if there is a unique quaternion division algebra over  $K$  (up to isomorphism). Natural examples of such fields are local fields and real closed fields. For the construction of other examples we refer to [4, Chap. XII, Sect. 7].

Tsukamoto [10] obtained a classification for skew-hermitian forms over the unique quaternion division algebra over a field  $K$  that is either real closed or a local number field. As observed in [10], the same result holds more generally under the condition that the field  $K$  satisfies ‘local class field theory’. In this section we show that Tsukamoto’s classification for skew-hermitian forms over a quaternion division algebra  $Q$  over  $K$  is valid whenever  $K$  is a Kaplansky field, which is a strictly weaker condition. The proof is adapted from [10] and [9, Chap. 10, (3.6)].

**6.1 Lemma.** *Let  $K$  be a Kaplansky field and let  $Q$  be the unique quaternion division algebra over  $K$ . For any pure quaternion  $\lambda \in Q^\times$  and any  $d \in K^\times$  we have  $\langle \lambda \rangle \simeq \langle d\lambda \rangle$  as skew-hermitian forms over  $Q$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $\mu \in Q^\times$  be such that  $\mu\lambda = -\lambda\mu$ . Then  $Q \simeq (a, b)_K$  for  $a = \lambda^2$  and  $b = \mu^2$ . Assume that there exists  $d \in K^\times$  with  $\langle \lambda \rangle \not\simeq \langle d\lambda \rangle$ . By (4.4), none of the forms  $\langle 1, -a \rangle$  and  $\langle b, -ab \rangle$  represents  $d$ . Then  $(a, d)_K$  is a quaternion division algebra and not isomorphic to  $Q$ , contradicting the hypothesis. ■

**6.2 Theorem (Tsukamoto).** *Let  $K$  be a Kaplansky field and let  $Q$  be the unique quaternion division algebra over  $K$ .*

- (a) Any skew-hermitian form of dimension at least 4 over  $Q$  is isotropic.
- (b) Skew-hermitian forms over  $Q$  are classified by their dimension and discriminant.
- (c) A 2-dimensional skew-hermitian form over  $Q$  is isotropic if and only if it has trivial discriminant.
- (d) Any 3-dimensional skew-hermitian form over  $Q$  with trivial discriminant is anisotropic.

*Proof:* Let  $\gamma$  denote the canonical involution on  $Q$ . We first show that 1-dimensional skew-hermitian forms over  $Q$  are classified by the discriminant. Suppose that  $z_1, z_2 \in \text{Sym}^-(Q, \gamma)$  are such that the skew-hermitian forms  $\langle z_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle z_2 \rangle$  over  $Q$  have the same discriminant. According to (4.2), then  $\langle z_1 \rangle \simeq \langle cz_2 \rangle$  for some  $c \in K$ . Since also  $\langle z_2 \rangle \simeq \langle cz_2 \rangle$  by (6.1), we obtain that  $\langle z_1 \rangle \simeq \langle z_2 \rangle$ .

(a) Let  $z_1, z_2 \in \text{Sym}^-(Q, \gamma)$  be such that the skew-hermitian form  $\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle$  over  $Q$  has trivial discriminant. Then  $\text{Nrd}(z_1)$  and  $\text{Nrd}(z_2)$  represent the same class in  $K^\times / K^{\times 2}$ . This means that the 1-dimensional forms  $\langle z_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle -z_2 \rangle$  have the same discriminant, whence  $\langle z_1 \rangle \simeq \langle -z_2 \rangle$  by what we showed above.

(b) Let  $\varphi$  be a 3-dimensional skew-hermitian form over  $Q$ . If  $\varphi$  is isotropic, then  $\varphi \simeq \mathbb{H} \perp \langle a \rangle$  where  $a \in \text{Sym}^-(Q, \gamma)$ , and it follows that  $\varphi$  has the same discriminant as  $\langle a \rangle$ , which cannot be trivial by part (a).

(c) Let  $\varphi$  be a 4-dimensional skew-hermitian form over  $Q$ . Choose  $a_1, \dots, a_4 \in \text{Sym}^-(Q, \gamma)$  such that  $\varphi \simeq \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle$ . As  $\dim_K(\text{Sym}^-(Q, \gamma)) = 3$ , there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_4 \in K$ , not all zero, such that  $c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2 + c_3 a_3 + c_4 a_4 = 0$ . By the first paragraph of the proof, for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$  there is some  $d_i \in Q$  with  $c_i a_i = \gamma(d_i) a_i d_i$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^4 \gamma(d_i) a_i d_i = 0$  and thus  $\varphi$  is isotropic.

(d) Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be two  $n$ -dimensional skew-hermitian forms over  $Q$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , and assume that both forms have the same discriminant. By (b), the  $2n$ -dimensional form  $\varphi \perp -\psi$  then splits off  $n - 1$  hyperbolic planes. The remaining 2-dimensional form has trivial discriminant and thus is hyperbolic by (a). Therefore  $\varphi \perp -\psi$  is hyperbolic, which means that  $\varphi \simeq \psi$ . ■

**6.3 Corollary.** *Let  $Q$  be a quaternion division algebra over  $K$ . Skew-hermitian forms over  $Q$  are classified by dimension and discriminant if and only if  $K$  is a Kaplansky field.*

*Proof:* By (6.2) the condition is sufficient. To show its necessity, suppose that  $Q$  is not the unique quaternion division algebra over  $K$ . By (4.9), there exists  $\lambda \in Q \setminus K$  such that, for the field  $L = K(\lambda) \subseteq Q$ , the index of  $N_{L/K}(L^\times)$  in  $K^\times$  is at least 4. Let  $a, b \in K^\times$  be such that  $\lambda^2 = a$  and  $Q \simeq (a, b)_K$ . Now, there exists  $c \in K^\times$  such that neither  $c$  nor  $bc$  is a norm of  $L/K$ . Then the two 1-dimensional skew-hermitian forms  $\langle \lambda \rangle$  and  $\langle c\lambda \rangle$  over  $Q$  have the same discriminant, but they are not isometric by (4.4). ■

**6.4 Corollary.** *Let  $K$  be a nonreal Kaplansky field and let  $Q$  be the unique quaternion division algebra over  $K$ . Then  $u^+(Q) = 3$ .*

*Proof:* We have  $u^+(Q) \leq 3$  by (6.2) and  $u^+(Q) \geq 3$  by (5.3). ■

The field  $K$  is said to be *euclidean* if  $K^{\times 2} \cup \{0\}$  is an ordering of  $K$ , or equivalently, if  $K$  is real and  $K^\times = K^{\times 2} \cup -K^{\times 2}$  (cf. [4, Chap. VIII, (4.2)]). If  $K$  is

euclidean, then  $(-1, -1)_K$  is the unique quaternion division algebra over  $K$ , in particular  $K$  is a Kaplansky field.

**6.5 Proposition.** *Let  $Q$  be a quaternion division algebra over  $K$  and  $\gamma$  its canonical involution. The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $u^+(Q) = 1$ .
- (2)  $|\text{Herm}_1^{-1}(Q, \gamma)| = 1$ .
- (3)  $K$  is euclidean and  $Q \simeq (-1, -1)_K$ .

*Proof:* The equivalence of (1) and (2) is clear. If (3) holds, then  $K$  is a Kaplansky field and any 1-dimensional skew-hermitian form over  $Q$  has trivial discriminant, and by (6.2) this implies (2).

Suppose that (1) and (2) hold. From (2) it follows that  $D(\pi') = K^{\times 2}$ , whence  $\pi' \simeq \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$  and  $\sum K^{\times 2} = K^{\times 2}$ . Therefore we have  $Q \simeq (-1, -1)_K$  and furthermore  $-1 \notin K^{\times 2} = \sum K^{\times 2}$ , as  $Q$  is not split. So  $K$  is real. To prove (3), it remains to show that  $K^\times = K^{\times 2} \cup -K^{\times 2}$ . We fix  $i \in Q$  with  $i^2 = -1$  and  $L = K(i)$ . For any  $a \in K^\times$ , the skew-hermitian form  $\langle i, -ai \rangle$  over  $Q$  is isotropic by (1), whence  $a \in N_{L/K}(L^\times) \cup -N_{L/K}(L^\times) = K^{\times 2} \cup -K^{\times 2}$  by (4.4). ■

**6.6 Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be a real Kaplansky field and let  $Q = (-1, -1)_K$ . Then  $u^+(Q) \leq 2$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $i$  be a pure quaternion in  $Q$  with  $i^2 = -1$ . By (6.2), the skew-hermitian form  $\langle i, i \rangle$  over  $Q$  is isotropic. We claim that every 2-dimensional skew-hermitian form over  $Q$  is isometric to  $\langle i, z \rangle$  for some pure quaternion  $z \in Q^\times$ . Once this is shown, it follows that every 3-dimensional skew-hermitian form over  $Q$  contains  $\langle i, i \rangle$  and therefore is isotropic.

Let  $h$  be a 2-dimensional skew-hermitian form over  $Q$ . We write  $\text{disc}(h) = aK^{\times 2}$  with  $a \in K^\times$ . Then  $a \in \text{Nrd}(Q^\times)$  and  $a$  is a sum of four squares in  $K$ . Since  $K$  is a real Kaplansky field, the quaternion algebra  $(-1, a)_K$  is split, because it is not isomorphic to  $(-1, -1)_K$ . Therefore  $a$  is a sum of two squares in  $K$ . It follows that there is a pure quaternion  $z$  in  $Q$  with  $\text{Nrd}(z) = a$ . Then the skew-hermitian form  $\langle i, z \rangle$  over  $Q$  has discriminant  $a$  and is therefore isometric to  $h$ , by (6.2). ■

**6.7 Example.** Let  $K$  be a maximal subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $2 \notin K^{\times 2}$ . Then  $K$  is a real field with four square classes represented by  $\pm 1, \pm 2$ , and  $Q = (-1, -1)_K$  is the unique quaternion division algebra over  $K$ . Since  $Q \simeq (-1, -2)_K$ , there are anticommuting pure quaternions  $\alpha, \beta \in Q$  with  $\alpha^2 = 1$  and  $\beta^2 = 2$ . Then the skew-hermitian form  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  over  $Q$  has nontrivial discriminant  $2K^{\times 2}$ , so it is anisotropic. This together with (6.6) shows that  $u^+(Q) = 2$ .

**6.8 Theorem.** *Let  $K$  be a Kaplansky field and let  $Q$  be the unique quaternion division algebra over  $K$ . Then*

$$u^+(Q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } K \text{ is real euclidean,} \\ 2 & \text{if } K \text{ is real non-euclidean,} \\ 3 & \text{if } K \text{ is nonreal.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* This follows from (6.2), (6.5), (6.6), and (5.3). ■

## Acknowledgements

We wish to express our gratitude to David Lewis and to Jean-Pierre Tignol for inspiring discussions on the subject of this work. We further wish to acknowledge financial support provided by the European RTN Network ‘Algebraic  $K$ -Theory, Linear Algebraic Groups and Related Structures’ (HPRN CT-2002-00287), the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant No. 200020-100229/1), the Irish Research Council for Science, Engineering, and Technology (Basic Research Grant SC/02/265), the Research Council of Sharif University of Technology, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (project Quadratic Forms and Invariants, BE 2614/3-1), and by the Zukunftscolleg, Universität Konstanz.

## References

- [1] I. Kaplansky. Fröhlich’s local quadratic forms. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **239/240** (1969): 74–77.
- [2] M.-A. Knus. *Quadratic and Hermitian forms over rings*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **294**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [3] M.-A. Knus, S. A. Merkurjev, M. Rost, J.-P. Tignol. *The Book of Involutions*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, **44**. Providence, RI, 1998.
- [4] T. Y. Lam, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms over Fields*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **67**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [5] D. W. Lewis. *Quadratic forms, quaternion algebras and Hilbert’s theorem 90*. Preprint (1979).
- [6] D. W. Lewis. Sums of Hermitian Squares. *J. Algebra* **115** (1988): 466–480.
- [7] M. G. Mahmoudi. Hermitian forms and the  $u$ -invariant. *Manuscripta Math.* **116** (2005): 493–516.
- [8] A. Pfister. *Quadratic forms with applications to algebraic geometry and topology*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **217**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [9] W. Scharlau. *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **270**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [10] T. Tsukamoto. On the local theory of quaternionic anti-hermitian forms. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **13** (1961): 387–400.

Fachbereich Mathematik und Statistik, D203, Universität Konstanz,  
78457 Konstanz, Germany.  
Email: becher@maths.ucd.ie

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology,  
P.O. Box: 11155-9415, Tehran, Iran.  
Email: mmahmoudi@sharif.ir