

# A fixed point property characterizing inner amenable locally compact semigroups

B. Mohammadzadeh

R. Nasr-Isfahani\*

## Abstract

For a locally compact semigroup  $\mathfrak{S}$ , we study a fixed point property in terms of left Banach  $\mathfrak{S}$ -modules; we also use this property to give a characterization for inner amenability of  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $\mathfrak{S}$  denotes a *locally compact semigroup*; i.e., a semigroup with a locally compact Hausdorff topology whose binary operation is jointly continuous. Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a *left Banach  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module*, i.e. a Banach space  $\mathfrak{X}$  equipped with a map from  $\mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{X}$  into  $\mathfrak{X}$ , denoted by  $(x, \zeta) \mapsto x.\zeta$  ( $x \in \mathfrak{S}, \zeta \in \mathfrak{X}$ ) such that

$$x.(y.\zeta) = (xy).\zeta$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{S}$  and  $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}$ , the map  $x \mapsto x.\zeta$  is continuous of  $\mathfrak{S}$  into  $\mathfrak{X}$  for all  $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}$ , the map  $\zeta \mapsto x.\zeta$  is a bounded linear operator on  $\mathfrak{X}$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{S}$ , and there is a constant  $K > 0$  with

$$\|x.\zeta\| \leq K \|\zeta\|$$

for all  $x \in \mathfrak{S}$  and  $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}$ . In this case, we define

$$(\zeta^*.\mu)(\zeta) = \int_{\mathfrak{S}} \zeta^*(x.\zeta) d\mu(x),$$

---

\*The research of the second author was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 81430032).

Received by the editors May 2008.

Communicated by F. Bastin.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 43A07, 43A10, 43A20, 46H05.

*Key words and phrases* : Fixed point property, inner amenability, inner invariant mean, left Banach modules, locally compact semigroup, weak\* operator topology.

and

$$(\mu.\zeta^{**})(\zeta^*) = \zeta^{**}(\zeta^*.\mu);$$

also, we define the operator  $\Lambda_\mu$  on  $\mathfrak{X}^{**}$  by

$$\Lambda_\mu\zeta^{**} = \mu.\zeta^{**}$$

for all  $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\zeta^* \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ ,  $\zeta^{**} \in \mathfrak{X}^{**}$  and  $\mu \in M(\mathfrak{S})$ , the Banach algebra of all complex Radon measures on  $\mathfrak{S}$  with the convolution product  $*$  and the total variation norm. Any left Banach  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module  $\mathfrak{X}$  equipped with the map  $(\mu, \zeta) \mapsto \mu.\zeta$  ( $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}, \mu \in M(\mathfrak{S})$ ) can be considered as a left Banach  $M(\mathfrak{S})$ -module. Let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}^{**})$  denote the Banach space of bounded linear operators on  $\mathfrak{X}^{**}$ . By the *weak\* operator topology* on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}^{**})$ , we shall mean the locally convex topology of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}^{**})$  determined by the family

$$\{q(\zeta^{**}, \zeta^*) : \zeta^{**} \in \mathfrak{X}^{**}, \zeta^* \in \mathfrak{X}^*\}$$

of seminorms on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}^{**})$ , where

$$q(\zeta^{**}, \zeta^*)(T) = |T\zeta^{**}(\zeta^*)| \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}^{**}).$$

The space of all measures  $\mu \in M(\mathfrak{S})$  for which the maps  $x \mapsto \delta_x * |\mu|$  and  $x \mapsto |\mu| * \delta_x$  from  $\mathfrak{S}$  into  $M(\mathfrak{S})$  are weakly continuous is denoted by  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$  (or  $\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{S})$  as in [2]), where  $\delta_x$  denotes the Dirac measure at  $x$ . It is well-known that  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$  is a closed two-sided  $L$ -ideal of  $M(\mathfrak{S})$ ; see [2] or [6].

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}(M_a(\mathfrak{S}), \mathfrak{X}^{**})$  the closure of the set

$$\{\Lambda_\mu : \mu \in P_1(M_a(\mathfrak{S}))\}$$

in the weak\* operator topology of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}^{**})$ , where  $P_1(M_a(\mathfrak{S}))$  denotes the set of all probability measures in  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$ . Let us remark that  $P_1(M_a(\mathfrak{S}))$  with the convolution multiplication is a semigroup. In particular, the set  $\{\Lambda_\mu : \mu \in P_1(M_a(\mathfrak{S}))\}$  is a subsemigroup of the semigroup  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}^{**})$  with the ordinary multiplication of linear operators, and as easily verified, so is its closure  $\mathcal{P}(M_a(\mathfrak{S}), \mathfrak{X}^{**})$  in the weak\* operator topology of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}^{**})$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a locally compact semigroup,  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a left Banach  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module, and  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq M(\mathfrak{S})$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{X}$  has the  *$\mathcal{M}$ -fixed point property* if there exists  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{P}(M_a(\mathfrak{S}), \mathfrak{X}^{**})$  such that

$$\Lambda_\mu\Lambda = \Lambda\Lambda_\mu \quad (\mu \in \mathcal{M}).$$

Our aim in this work is to study this property and its relation to inner amenability of locally compact semigroups.

## 2 The results

We commence with the following result.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a locally compact semigroup and  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq M(\mathfrak{S})$ . Suppose that there exists a net  $(\mu_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  in  $P_1(M_a(\mathfrak{S}))$  such that  $\|\mu * \mu_\alpha - \mu_\alpha * \mu\| \rightarrow 0$  for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then every left Banach  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module  $\mathfrak{X}$  has the  $\mathcal{M}$ -fixed point property.*

*Proof.* First, note that the operator algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}^{**})$  can be identified with the dual space  $(\mathfrak{X}^{**} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*)^*$  of the projective tensor product  $\mathfrak{X}^{**} \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{X}^*$  in a natural way; see for example Corollary VIII.2.2 of [5]. In particular, the weak\* operator topology of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}^{**})$  coincides with the weak\* topology of  $(\mathfrak{X}^{**} \otimes \mathfrak{X}^*)^*$  on bounded subsets of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}^{**})$ , and therefore  $\mathcal{P}(M_a(\mathfrak{S}), \mathfrak{X}^{**})$  is compact in the weak\* operator topology of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}^{**})$ .

Next, we may find  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{P}(M_a(\mathfrak{S}), \mathfrak{X}^{**})$  with  $\|\Lambda\| \leq K$  and a subnet  $(\mu_\delta)$  of  $(\mu_\alpha)$  such that

$$\Lambda_{\mu_\delta} \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

in the weak\* operator topology; where  $K$  is a constant satisfying

$$\|x \cdot \zeta\| \leq K \|\zeta\|$$

for all  $x \in \mathfrak{S}$  and  $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}$ . For each  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ , we therefore have

$$\Lambda_{\mu_\delta} \Lambda_\mu \longrightarrow \Lambda \Lambda_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_\mu \Lambda_{\mu_\delta} \longrightarrow \Lambda_\mu \Lambda$$

in the weak\* operator topology. Also

$$|(\Lambda_{\mu_\delta} \Lambda_\mu \zeta^{**} - \Lambda_\mu \Lambda_{\mu_\delta} \zeta^{**})(\zeta^*)| \leq K \|\mu_\delta * \mu - \mu * \mu_\delta\| \|\zeta^{**}\| \|\zeta^*\| \longrightarrow 0,$$

for all  $\zeta^* \in \mathfrak{X}^*$  and  $\zeta^{**} \in \mathfrak{X}^{**}$ , and hence

$$\Lambda_{\mu_\delta} \Lambda_\mu - \Lambda_\mu \Lambda_{\mu_\delta} \longrightarrow 0$$

in the weak\* operator topology. Consequently,  $\Lambda \Lambda_\mu = \Lambda_\mu \Lambda$ .  $\square$

Let us recall that  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**}$  with the first Arens product  $\odot$  defined by

$$(F \odot G)(f) = F(Gf)$$

for  $f \in M_a(\mathfrak{S})^*$  and  $F, G \in M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**}$ , is a Banach algebra, where

$$(Gf)(\mu) = G(f\mu)$$

and

$$(f\mu)(\nu) = f(\mu * \nu)$$

for all  $\mu, \nu \in M_a(\mathfrak{S})$ .

For each  $\mu \in M_a(\mathfrak{S})$ , let  $\mu$  also denote the functional in  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**}$  defined by the formula  $f \mapsto f(\mu)$  ( $f \in M_a(\mathfrak{S})^*$ ). This defines a linear isometric embedding of  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$  into  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**}$ . In particular,  $F \odot \mu$ ,  $\mu \odot F$  and  $\mu \odot \nu$  make sense as

elements of  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**}$  for all  $\mu, \nu \in M_a(\mathfrak{S})$  and  $F \in M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**}$ ; moreover,  $\mu \odot \nu = \mu * \nu$ .

An element  $m$  in the second dual  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**}$  of  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$  is said to be a *mean* on  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^*$  if  $\|m\| = m(u) = 1$ , where  $u \in M_a(\mathfrak{S})^*$  is defined by

$$u(\mu) = \mu(\mathfrak{S})$$

for all  $\mu \in M_a(\mathfrak{S})$ . The set of all means on  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^*$  is denoted by  $P_1(M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**})$ . We say that a mean  $m$  on  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^*$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -inner invariant if

$$m \odot \mu = \mu \odot m$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ ; or equivalently,

$$m(f\mu) = m(\mu f)$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $f \in M_a(\mathfrak{S})^*$ , where

$$(\mu f)(v) = f(v * \mu)$$

for all  $v \in M_a(\mathfrak{S})$ ; we also say that  $\mathfrak{S}$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -inner amenable if there exists an  $\mathcal{M}$ -inner invariant mean on  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^*$ . Finally, recall that  $\mathfrak{S}$  is called *foundation* if the set  $\bigcup\{\text{supp}(\mu) : \mu \in M_a(\mathfrak{S})\}$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a foundation semigroup with identity, and  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq M(\mathfrak{S})$ . If  $\mathfrak{S}$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -inner amenable, then any left Banach  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module  $\mathfrak{X}$  has the  $\mathcal{M}$ -fixed point property.*

*Proof.* Let  $m$  be an  $\mathcal{M}$ -inner invariant mean on  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^*$ . Since  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a foundation semigroup with identity, it follows from [19] that  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$  can be considered as the predual of a  $C^*$ -algebra; see also [15]. Thus  $P_1(M_a(\mathfrak{S}))$  is weak\* dense in  $P_1(M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**})$ ; see Lemma 2.1 in [9]. Thus, there is a net  $(\nu_\beta)$  in  $P_1(M_a(\mathfrak{S}))$  with

$$\mu * \nu_\beta - \nu_\beta * \mu \longrightarrow 0$$

in the weak topology of  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$  for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Now, let  $X$  be the locally convex space  $\Pi\{M_a(\mathfrak{S}) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\}$  under the product of the norm topology of  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$ . Then the weak topology of  $X$  is the product of the weak topology of  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$ . Following an idea due to Namioka [14], let  $T : M_a(\mathfrak{S}) \longrightarrow X$  be defined by

$$T(\nu)(\mu) = \mu * \nu - \nu * \mu$$

for all  $\nu \in M_a(\mathfrak{S})$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $T$  is well defined and linear. Since

$$T(\nu_\beta) \longrightarrow 0$$

in the weak topology of  $X$ , it follows that  $0$  lies in the weak closure of  $T(P_1(M_a(\mathfrak{S})))$  in  $X$ . Now, the convexity of  $T(P_1(M_a(\mathfrak{S})))$  implies that  $0$  lies in the closure of  $T(P_1(M_a(\mathfrak{S})))$  in  $X$  with respect to the product of the norm topology of  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$ . So there exists a net  $(\mu_\alpha)$  in  $P_1(M_a(\mathfrak{S}))$  such that

$$\|(T(\mu_\alpha))(\mu)\| \longrightarrow 0$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ . That is

$$\|\mu * \mu_\alpha - \mu_\alpha * \mu\| \longrightarrow 0$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ . So, the result follows from Lemma 2.1. ■

Before we give the main result of this paper, let us remark that  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$  equipped with the map  $(x, \mu) \longmapsto x.\mu$  defined by

$$x.\mu = \delta_x * \mu \quad (\mu \in M_a(\mathfrak{S}), x \in \mathfrak{S}),$$

is a left Banach  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module; note that in this case we have

$$f.\mu = f\mu \quad \text{and} \quad v.F = v \odot F$$

for all  $\mu \in M(\mathfrak{S}), v \in M_a(\mathfrak{S}), f \in M_a(\mathfrak{S})^*$ , and  $F \in M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a foundation semigroup with identity and  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq M(\mathfrak{S})$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\mathfrak{S}$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -inner amenable.
- (b) Every left Banach  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module  $\mathfrak{X}$  has the  $\mathcal{M}$ -fixed point property.
- (c)  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$  has the  $\mathcal{M}$ -fixed point property.

*Proof.* That (a) implies (b) follows from Proposition 2.2; also, (b) implies (c) trivially.

Now, suppose that (c) holds, and choose an element  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathcal{P}(M_a(\mathfrak{S}), M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**})$  such that

$$\Lambda_\mu \Lambda = \Lambda \Lambda_\mu$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ . To prove (a), we suppose that  $(\mu_\alpha)$  is a net in  $P_1(M_a(\mathfrak{S}))$  such that

$$\Lambda_{\mu_\alpha} \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

in the weak\* operator topology of  $\mathcal{B}(M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**})$ . Since  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a foundation semigroup with identity,  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$  has a bounded approximate identity  $(e_\gamma)$  in  $P_1(M_a(\mathfrak{S}))$ ; see [18]. Let  $E$  be a weak\* cluster point of  $(e_\gamma)$  in  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**}$ . Then  $E$  is a right identity for  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**}$  by the continuity properties of the first Arens product, and therefore for each  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu * \mu_\alpha - \mu_\alpha * \mu &= (\mu * \mu_\alpha - \mu_\alpha * \mu) \odot E \\ &= (\mu.(\mu_\alpha.E)) - (\mu_\alpha.(\mu.E)) \\ &= \Lambda_\mu \Lambda_{\mu_\alpha} E - \Lambda_{\mu_\alpha} \Lambda_\mu E \\ &\longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

in the weak topology of  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$ . So, any weak\* cluster point of  $(\mu_\alpha)$  in  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**}$  is an  $\mathcal{M}$ -inner invariant mean on  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^*$ . ■

Let  $\delta_\mathfrak{S} := \{\delta_x : x \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ . We say that a left Banach  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module  $\mathfrak{X}$  has the *fixed point property* if it has the  $\delta_\mathfrak{S}$ -fixed point property; we also say that  $\mathfrak{S}$  is *inner amenable* if there exists an inner invariant mean on  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^*$ ; that is, a  $\delta_\mathfrak{S}$ -inner invariant mean on  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})^*$ ; see [13].

The study of inner amenability was initiated by Efros [7] and pursued by Akemann [1], H. Choda and M. Choda [3], M. Choda [4], Kaniuth and Markfort [8], Paschke [16], Pier [17], and Watatani [22] for discrete groups, Lau and Paterson [10], Losert and Rindler [12], Stokke [20], Takahashi [21], Yuan [23] for locally compact groups, and by Ling [11] for discrete semigroups.

Our last result is the following consequence of Theorem 2.3 which is due to Lau and Paterson [10] in the case of locally compact groups  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a foundation semigroup with identity. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\mathfrak{S}$  is inner amenable.
- (b) Every left Banach  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module  $\mathfrak{X}$  has the fixed point property.
- (c)  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$  has the fixed point property.

We end this work with some examples.

**Example 2.5.** (a) Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a locally compact commutative semigroup. Then  $\mathfrak{S}$  is  $M(\mathfrak{S})$ -inner amenable, and  $M_a(\mathfrak{S})$  has the  $M(\mathfrak{S})$ -fixed point property trivially; indeed, any element  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathcal{P}(M_a(\mathfrak{S}), M_a(\mathfrak{S})^{**})$  satisfies

$$\Lambda_\mu \Lambda = \Lambda \Lambda_\mu$$

for all  $\mu \in M(\mathfrak{S})$ . So, it follows from Proposition 2.2 that every left Banach  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module  $\mathfrak{X}$  has the fixed point property.

(b) Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the semigroup  $[0, 1]$  with the operation  $xy = \min\{x, y\}$  for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $\mathfrak{S}$  endowed with the topology induced from the real line is not a foundation semigroup; indeed,

$$M_a(\mathfrak{S}) = \mathbb{C} \delta_0.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{P}(M_a(\mathfrak{S}), \mathfrak{X}^{**}) = \{\Lambda_{\delta_0}\}$$

for all left Banach  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module  $\mathfrak{X}$ , and for each  $\mu \in M(\mathfrak{S})$ ,

$$\Lambda_\mu \Lambda_{\delta_0} = \Lambda_{\delta_0} = \Lambda_{\delta_0} \Lambda_\mu.$$

**Acknowledgments.** The authors thank the referee of the paper for a very careful reading and valuable comments. The second author thanks the Center of Excellence for Mathematics at the Isfahan University of Technology.

## References

- [1] Akemann, C. A., *Operator algebras associated with Fuchsian groups*, Houston J. Math. **7** (1981) 295-301.
- [2] Baker, A. C., and J. W. Baker, *Algebra of measures on a locally compact semigroup III*, J. London Math. Soc. **4** (1972), 685-695.
- [3] Choda, H. and M. Choda, *Fullness, simplicity and inner amenability*, Math. Japon. **24** (1979) 235-246.

- [4] Choda, M., *Effect of inner amenability on strong ergodicity*, Math. Japon. **28** (1983) 109-115.
- [5] Diestel, J. and J. J. Uhl, *Vector measures*, Math. Surveys Monogr. **15**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1977.
- [6] Dzinotyweyi, H. A., *The analogue of the group algebra for topological semigroups*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, London, 1984.
- [7] Efros, E. G., *Property  $\Gamma$  and inner amenability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1975) 483-486.
- [8] Kaniuth, E. and A. Markfort, *The conjugation representation and inner amenability of discrete groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **432** (1992) 23-37.
- [9] Lau, A. T., *Uniformly continuous functionals on Banach algebras*, Colloq. Math. **LI** (1987) 195-205.
- [10] Lau, A. T. and A. L. Paterson, *Inner amenable locally compact groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **325** (1991) 155-169.
- [11] Ling, J. M., *Inner amenable semigroups I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **49** (1997) 603-616.
- [12] Losert, V. and H. Rindler, *Conjugate invariant means*, Colloq. Math. **15** (1987) 221-225.
- [13] B. Mohammadzadeh, and R. Nasr-Isfahani, *Inner invariant on locally compact topological semigroups*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [14] Namioka, I., *Følner's conditions for amenable semi-groups*, Math. Scand. **15** (1964) 18-28.
- [15] Nasr-Isfahani, R., *Factorization in some ideals of Lau algebras with applications to semigroup algebras*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. **7** (2000) 429-433.
- [16] Paschke, W. L., *Inner amenability and conjugate operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1978) 117-118.
- [17] Pier, J. P., *Quasi-invariance intrieure sur les groupes localement compacts*, Actual-its Mathmatiques (1982) 431-436.
- [18] Sleijpen, G. L., *Convolution Measure Algebras on Semigroups*, Ph.D. Thesis, Katholieke Universiteit, The Netherlands, 1976.
- [19] Sleijpen, G. L., *The dual of the space of measures with continuous translations*, Semigroup Forum **22** (1981) 139-150.
- [20] Stokke, R., *Quasi-central bounded approximate identities in group algebras of locally compact groups*, Illinois J. Math. **48** (2004) 151-170.
- [21] Takahashi, Y., *Inner invariant means and conjugate operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996) 193-198.

- [22] Watatani, Y., *The character groups of amenable group  $C^*$ -algebras*, Math. Japon. **24** (1979) 141-144.
- [23] Yuan, C. K., *The existence of inner invariant means on  $L^\infty(G)$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **130** (1988) 514-524.

B. Mohammadzadeh,  
Department of Mathematics,  
Babol University of Technology,  
Babol, Iran,  
*E-mail address*: b.mohammad@math.ui.ac.ir.

R. Nasr-Isfahani,  
Department of Mathematical Sciences,  
Isfahan University of Technology,  
Isfahan 84156-83111, Iran,  
*E-mail address*: isfahani@cc.iut.ac.ir

and

The Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics (IPM),  
Tehran 19395, Iran