

**ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES OF SOME SETS  
OF PYTHAGOREAN TRIPLES II**

Marek Wójtowicz

**Abstract.** A natural bijection from  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  onto the set of all Pythagorean triples  $\mathcal{P} = \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : a^2 + b^2 = c^2\}$  is given (Theorem 6). Consequently, all algebraic structures of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  are carried in a natural way onto  $\mathcal{P}$  (Theorems 7, 8, and 9). This solves the open problem of defining ring operations under which  $\mathcal{P}$  is essentially a different ring than the one constructed by B. Dawson (Example, Section 5). This article and the enumeration of its sections and theorems is a continuation of the author's paper [3].

**4. Elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ .** Corollary 1 given in Section 2 yields the useful base for a description of all sets  $\mathcal{P}_n$ ,  $n \neq 0$  (the case  $n = 0$  is obvious), and their elements by giving the apparent form of natural numbers  $x_n \geq 2$  with  $\psi_n(\mathcal{P}_n) = x_n \mathbb{Z}$  (Proposition 1 below). To this end we define two functions  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ : quasi-square root  $\sqrt[*]{\phantom{x}}$ , and degree of evenness  $d_{\text{ev}}$ , respectively, as follows.

If  $p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{\alpha_k}$  is the prime factorization of  $|n| \geq 1$ , then  $\sqrt[*]{n} := p_1^{\beta_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{\beta_k}$ , where  $\beta_j = [(\alpha_j + 1)/2]$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , and  $[x]$  denotes the integer part of  $x$ , and  $\sqrt[*]{0} := 0$ .

If  $n = 2^r n_0$ , where  $r, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $r \geq 0$  and  $(2, n_0) = 1$ , then

$$d_{\text{ev}}(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } r \text{ odd,} \\ 2, & \text{for } r \text{ even and } r \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

(A function similar to quasi-square root was used by Dawson in [1].) In the proofs of Propositions 1 and 2 we shall use the following properties of  $\sqrt[*]{\phantom{x}}$  and  $d_{\text{ev}}$  (recall that if  $p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{\alpha_k}$  is the prime factorization of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the square-free kernel of  $n$  is defined as  $t(n) = p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k$ , and  $n$  is called a square-free number provided that  $t(n) = n$ ).

(1)  $0 \leq (\sqrt[*]{n})^2 \leq |n|t(|n|)$ .

(2) If  $n \mid a^2$ , for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\sqrt[*]{n} \mid a$ .

- (3) For every integer  $n, l, p$  with  $l \geq 0$  and  $p$  prime we have  $\sqrt[l]{n^2} = |n|$  and  $\sqrt[l]{p^{2l+1}} = p^{l+1}$ .
- (4)  $n/\sqrt[l]{n}$  and  $(\sqrt[l]{n})^2/n$  are integers for all  $n \neq 0$ .
- (5) We have  $\sqrt[l]{nm} \leq \sqrt[l]{n}\sqrt[l]{m}$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\sqrt[l]{nm} = \sqrt[l]{n}\sqrt[l]{m}$  whenever  $(n, m) = 1$ .
- (6) If  $n \neq 0$ , then  $(\sqrt[l]{n})^2/n$  is even if and only if  $d_{\text{ev}}(n) = 1$  (and so  $(\sqrt[l]{n})^2/n$  is odd if and only if  $d_{\text{ev}}(n) = 2$ ).
- (7) For all  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $d_{\text{ev}}(2s + 1) = 2$ , and  $d_{\text{ev}}(4s + 2) = 1$ .
- (8)  $\sqrt[l]{n} = |n|$  if and only if  $|n|$  is square-free.
- (9) If  $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $r$  odd and  $(n, r) = 1$ , then  $d_{\text{ev}}(nr) = d_{\text{ev}}(n)$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\psi_n$  be the ring isomorphism defined in Theorem 1 and acting from  $\mathcal{P}_n$  onto the ring ideal  $G_n = x_n\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $x_n$  is some integer greater than or equal to 2 and  $n \neq 0$ . Then  $x_n = d_{\text{ev}}(n)\sqrt[l]{n}$ . In particular,  $x_n = x_{2n} = 2\sqrt[l]{n}$  for  $n$  odd, and hence,  $x_r = x_{2r} = 2r$  for every odd and square-free number  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** We shall consider the case  $n \geq 1$  only; for  $n \leq -1$  the proof is similar.

We have  $\psi_n(\mathcal{P}_n) = \{a_k^{(n)} - n : a_k^{(n)} = kx_n + n \text{ and } (a_k^{(n)}, b_k^{(n)}, c_k^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{P}_n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , where  $b_k^{(n)}$  and  $c_k^{(n)}$  are defined in Section 1. Hence,

$$(10) \quad a_1^{(n)} = x_n + n.$$

Since  $(a_1^{(n)})^2 - n^2)/2n = b_1^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $n \mid (a_1^{(n)})^2$ ; hence, by property (2),

$$(11) \quad a_1^{(n)} = t\sqrt[l]{n} \text{ for some } t \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (4), (10), and (11), we obtain

$$(12) \quad x_n = l\sqrt[l]{n}, \text{ where } l = t - n/\sqrt[l]{n} \in \mathbb{N},$$

and so, by (10),  $a_1^{(n)} = l\sqrt[l]{n} + n$ ; putting this value into the formula defining  $b_1^{(n)}$  we obtain

$$b_1^{(n)}(l) = ((\sqrt[l]{n})^2/n) \cdot (l/2) + l\sqrt[l]{n}.$$

It follows that  $l$  equals the least natural number with  $b_1^{(n)}(l) \in \mathbb{N}$ . By properties (4) and (6) we have that  $l = 1 = d_{\text{ev}}(n)$  provided that  $(\sqrt[l]{n})^2/n$  is even, and  $l = 2 = d_{\text{ev}}(n)$  for  $(\sqrt[l]{n})^2/n$  odd. Finally, by (12), we get  $x_n = d_{\text{ev}}(n)\sqrt[l]{n}$ . The particular case follows from properties (5), (7) and (8).

The main result of this section reads as follows.

**Theorem 6.** For every  $(a, b, c) \in \mathcal{P}^* := \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}_0$  there exists exactly one pair  $(k, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$  with

$$a = a(k, n) = k \cdot d_{\text{ev}}(n) \cdot \sqrt[n]{n} + n,$$

$$b = b(k, n) = k^2 \cdot \frac{(d_{\text{ev}}(n) \cdot \sqrt[n]{n})^2}{2n} + k \cdot d_{\text{ev}}(n) \cdot \sqrt[n]{n},$$

$$c = c(k, n) = b(k, n) + n.$$

Conversely, for every  $(k, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ , the triple  $(a, b, c)$ , where the numbers  $a, b, c$  are defined as above, is an element of  $\mathcal{P}^*$ . Consequently, the function  $\alpha: \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ , given by the rule

$$\alpha(k, n) = \begin{cases} (a(k, n), b(k, n), c(k, n)) & \text{for } n \neq 0 \\ (0, k, k) & \text{for } n = 0 \end{cases}$$

maps both  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  onto  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$  onto  $\mathcal{P}^*$  bijectively. The inverse function  $\alpha^{-1}: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$  is of the form:  $(a, b, c) \rightarrow (k, n)$ , where

$$k = k(a, b, c) = \frac{a + b - c}{d_{\text{ev}}(c - b) \cdot \sqrt[n]{c - b}} \text{ and } n = n(a, b, c) = c - b \text{ for } c - b \neq 0,$$

$$\text{and } k(0, j, j) = j \text{ and } n(0, j, j) = 0.$$

**Proof.** Since  $\mathcal{P} = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{P}_n$ , and since the sets  $\mathcal{P}_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are pairwise disjoint, by Proposition 1 and the presence of elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  given in Section 1, the first part of the theorem is clear. To prove the second part we must show that  $b(k, n)$  and  $c(k, n)$  are integers for all  $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Assume that  $n \neq 0$  (the case  $n = 0$  is trivial), and observe that the number  $d_{\text{ev}}(n) \sqrt[n]{n}$  is even, whence, by property (4),  $s(n) := (d_{\text{ev}}(n) \sqrt[n]{n})^2 / 2n$  is an integer. It follows that for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $b(k, n) = k^2 s(n) + k d_{\text{ev}}(n) \sqrt[n]{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and hence,  $c(k, n) = b(k, n) + n \in \mathbb{Z}$  also. If

$(a, b, c) \in \mathcal{P}^*$ , then  $c - b = n \neq 0$ , hence, by the formula defining  $a(k, n)$ , we have  $k = k(a, b, c) = (a + b - c)/(d_{\text{ev}}(c - b) \cdot \sqrt[3]{c - b})$ ; hence, we get the form of  $\alpha^{-1}$ .

**5. The Ring and the Lattice Structures on  $\mathcal{P}$ .** Now we are in position to transfer two ring structures from  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  onto  $\mathcal{P}$ : the “coordinatewise” one, where the additive zero is  $(0, 0)$  and the multiplicative unit is  $(1, 1)$ , and the complex one, with the additive zero defined as above and the multiplicative unit equals  $(1, 0)$ . The reader should note that the ring operations presented below are different from those constructed by B. Dawson (see Example below), and this solves affirmatively the open problem stated in [1], to define other operations in a natural way (cf. the remark after Lemma in Section 1) under which  $\mathcal{P}$  is essentially a different ring than Dawson’s. Moreover, the operations given in Theorem 7 do not extend Grytczuk’s operations (i.e., when restricted to  $\mathcal{P}_n$ ,  $n \neq 0$ , these operations take values outside of  $\mathcal{P}_n$ , in general, and the present author could not find any satisfactory extensions of Grytczuk’s operations). Nevertheless, Dawson’s multiplicative unit of  $\mathcal{P}$  and the unit given in Theorem 7 (i) are identical; we denote this particular triple  $(3, 4, 5)$  as  $1_{\mathcal{P}}$ .

The two theorems given below are now immediate consequences of Theorem 6 and the Lemma.

**Theorem 7.** The set  $\mathcal{P}$  is a commutative ring with unit under the following pairs of addition and multiplication:

- (i)  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \oplus (a_1, b_1, c_1) := \alpha(k_1 + k_2, n_1 + n_2)$ , and  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \odot (a_1, b_1, c_1) := \alpha(k_1 k_2, n_1 n_2)$ , with the additive zero  $(0, 0, 0) = \alpha(0, 0)$  and the multiplicative unit  $1_{\mathcal{P}} = \alpha(1, 1)$ ;
- (ii)  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \oplus (a_1, b_1, c_1) := \alpha(k_1 + k_2, n_1 + n_2)$ , and  $(a_1, b_1, c_1) \odot (a_1, b_1, c_1) := \alpha(k_1 k_2 - n_1 n_2, k_1 n_2 + k_2 n_1)$ , with the additive zero  $(0, 0, 0)$  and the multiplicative unit  $(0, 1, 1) = \alpha(1, 0)$ , where the numbers  $k_j = k(a_j, b_j, c_j)$  and  $n_j = n(a_j, b_j, c_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , and the function  $\alpha$  are defined in Theorem 6.

**Theorem 8.** The set  $\mathcal{P}$  is a distributive lattice under the following partial ordering:

$$(a_1, b_1, c_1) \leq (a_2, b_2, c_2) \text{ if and only if}$$

$$k(a_1, b_1, c_1) \leq k(a_2, b_2, c_2) \text{ and } n(a_1, b_1, c_1) \leq n(a_2, b_2, c_2),$$

where the numbers  $k(a_j, b_j, c_j)$  and  $n(a_j, b_j, c_j)$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ , are defined in Theorem 6.

Example. We shall show that Dawson's operations denoted here by  $\oplus_D$  and  $\odot_D$ , differ from those given in Theorem 7. In case (i), we have  $1_{\mathcal{P}} \oplus 1_{\mathcal{P}} = \alpha(1, 1) \oplus \alpha(1, 1) = \alpha(2, 2) = (6, 8, 10) = 2 \cdot 1_{\mathcal{P}}$ , and  $(4, 3, 5) \odot (4, 3, 5) = \alpha(1, 2) \odot \alpha(1, 2) = \alpha(1, 4) = (8, 6, 10) = 2 \cdot (4, 3, 5)$ . On the other hand, from [1] it follows that  $1_{\mathcal{P}} \oplus_D 1_{\mathcal{P}} = (4, 3, 5)$ , and that  $(4, 3, 5) \odot_D (4, 3, 5) = (16, 30, 32) = 2 \cdot (8, 15, 16)$ .

In case (ii), the addition is identical as in case (i), and we have  $(4, 3, 5) \odot (4, 3, 5) = \alpha(1, 2) \odot \alpha(1, 2) = \alpha(-3, 4) = (-8, 6, 10) = 2 \cdot (-4, 3, 5)$ .

**6. The Field Structure on  $\mathcal{P}^*$ .** The following observation leads to the construction of the field structure on some classes of subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Theorem 6 and properties (7) and (8) yield

Proposition 2. For every odd and square-free number  $r \geq 1$  we have  $\alpha(1, r) = r1_{\mathcal{P}}$ . In particular, for each pair of different odd prime numbers  $p, q$  we have  $\alpha(1, pq) = pq1_{\mathcal{P}}$ .

This result suggests to find a multiplication  $\circ$  on  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$  with  $(1, p) \circ (1, q) = (1, pq)$  for all odd prime numbers  $p, q$  and to carry it onto  $\mathcal{P}^*$ , with the help of the function  $\alpha$ , to obtain the equation  $\alpha((1, p) \circ (1, q)) = \alpha(1, p) \odot \alpha(1, q)$ . There exist two multiplications which fulfill that requirement: the coordinatewise multiplication, just used in Theorem 7 (i), and the multiplication connected with fractions, where the pair  $(1, n)$  corresponds to the fraction  $1/n$ . Let  $R$  denote the equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$  of the form

$$(k_1, n_1)R(k_2, n_2) \text{ if and only if } k_1 n_2 = k_2 n_1,$$

and let  $R(\alpha)$  be carried from  $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$  onto  $\mathcal{P}^*$ , by means of  $\alpha$ , relation  $R$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1, b_1, c_1)R(\alpha)(a_2, b_2, c_2) \text{ if and only if} \\ & (a_1 + b_1 - c_1)(c_2 - b_2)d_{\text{ev}}(c_2 - b_2) \sqrt[3]{c_2 - b_2} \\ & = (a_2 + b_2 - c_2)(c_1 - b_1)d_{\text{ev}}(c_1 - b_1) \sqrt[3]{c_1 - b_1}. \end{aligned}$$

(The form of  $R(\alpha)$  obtained from  $R$ , where  $k_j = k(a_j, b_j, c_j)$  and  $n_j = n(a_j, b_j, c_j)$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ , are defined in Theorem 6.) The equivalence classes determined by  $R$  and

$R(\alpha)$ , respectively, we denote by  $[\ ]_R$  and  $[\ ]_{R(\alpha)}$ , respectively. From Theorem 6 it follows that the function  $\hat{\alpha}: \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^*$  of the form  $\hat{\alpha}([(k, n)]_R) = [\alpha(k, n)]_{R(n)}$  is bijective. Since  $(\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}))/R$  possesses the field structure (of fractions),  $\hat{\alpha}$  transfers this structure onto  $\mathcal{P}^*$  automatically. We also have the (additive zero) element  $[0, 1]_R$  going to  $[(0, 0, 0)]_{R(\alpha)}$  and the (multiplicative unit) element  $[(1, 1)]_R$  going to  $[1_{\mathcal{P}}]_{R(\alpha)}$ . It is easy to check that  $(a, b, c)R(\alpha)(0, 0, 0)$  provided that  $a + b = c$ , and that  $(a, b, c)R(\alpha)1_{\mathcal{P}}$  whenever  $a + b - c = (c - b)d_{\text{ev}}(c - b)\sqrt[3]{c - b}$  (for example,  $[1_{\mathcal{P}}]_{R(\alpha)} = [(6, 8, 10)]_{R(\alpha)} (= [\alpha(2, 2)]_{R(\alpha)} = [(21, 72, 75)]_{R(\alpha)} (= [\alpha(3, 3)]_{R(\alpha)})$ ). This proves the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.** The set  $\mathcal{P}^*/R(\alpha)$  is a commutative field under the following operations of addition  $\oplus$  and multiplication  $\odot$

$$\begin{aligned} [(a_1, b_1, c_1)]_{R(\alpha)} \oplus [(a_2, b_2, c_2)]_{R(\alpha)} &:= [\alpha(k_1 n_2 + k_2 n_1, n_1 n_2)]_{R(\alpha)}, \\ [(a_1, b_1, c_1)]_{R(\alpha)} \odot [(a_2, b_2, c_2)]_{R(\alpha)} &:= [\alpha(k_1 k_2, n_1 n_2)]_{R(\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

where for the given representatives  $(a'_j, b'_j, c'_j)$  of  $[(a_j, b_j, c_j)]_{R(\alpha)}$ , the integers  $k_j = k(a'_j, b'_j, c'_j)$  and  $n_j = n(a'_j, b'_j, c'_j)$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ , are defined as in Theorem 6. The additive zero is  $[(0, 0, 0)]_{R(\alpha)}$ , and the multiplicative unit is  $[(3, 4, 5)]_{R(\alpha)}$ . The additive inverse of the element  $[(a, b, c)]_{R(\alpha)}$  is

$$\ominus [(a, b, c)]_{R(\alpha)} = [(A, B, C)]_{R(\alpha)}, \text{ where}$$

$$A = -\frac{a + b - c}{d_{\text{ev}}(c - b)} + c - b, \quad B = (A^2 - (c - b)^2)/2(c - b), \quad C = B + c - b$$

(equivalently,  $\ominus[\alpha(k, n)]_{R(\alpha)} = [\alpha(-k, n)]_{R(\alpha)}$ ). The multiplicative inverse of the element  $[(a, b, c)]_{R(\alpha)}$  for  $a + b \neq c$  is

$$[(a, b, c)]_{R(\alpha)}^{-1} = [(E, F, G)]_{R(\alpha)}, \text{ where}$$

$$E = (c - b) \cdot d_{\text{ev}} \left( \frac{a + b - c}{d_{\text{ev}}(c - b) \cdot \sqrt[3]{c - b}} \right) \cdot \sqrt[3]{\frac{a + b - c}{d_{\text{ev}}(c - b) \cdot \sqrt[3]{c - b}}},$$

$$F = (E^2 - (c - b)^2)/2(c - b), \quad G = F + c - b$$

(equivalently, for  $k \neq 0$ , we have  $[\alpha(k, n)]_{R(\alpha)}^{-1} = [\alpha(n, k)]_{R(\alpha)}$ ).

By way of example, we have  $\ominus[(4, 3, 5)]_{R(\alpha)} = \ominus[\alpha(1, 2)]_{R(\alpha)} = [\alpha(-1, 2)]_{R(\alpha)} = [(0, -1, 1)]_{R(\alpha)}$ , and  $[(4, 3, 5)]_{R(\alpha)}^{-1} = [\alpha(1, 2)]_{R(\alpha)}^{-1} = [\alpha(2, 1)]_{R(\alpha)} = [(5, 12, 13)]_{R(\alpha)}$ .

### References

1. B. Dawson, "A Ring of Pythagorean Triples," *Missouri Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 6 (1994), 72–77.
2. A. Grytczuk, "Note on a Pythagorean Ring," *Missouri Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 9 (1997), 83–89.
3. M. Wójtowicz, "Algebraic Structures of Some Sets of Pythagorean Triples I," *Missouri Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 12 (2000), 31–35.

Marek Wójtowicz  
T. Kotarbinski Pedagogical University  
Institute of Mathematics  
65-069 Zielona Góra, Poland  
email: mwojt@lord.wsp.zgora.pl