

The Dirichlet problem for a prescribed mean curvature equation

Yuki TSUKAMOTO

(Received October 2, 2019)

(Revised June 30, 2020)

ABSTRACT. We study a prescribed mean curvature problem where we seek a surface whose mean curvature vector coincides with the normal component of a given vector field. We prove that the problem has a solution near a graphical minimal surface if the prescribed vector field is sufficiently small in a dimensionally sharp Sobolev norm.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following prescribed mean curvature problem with the Dirichlet condition,

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right) = H(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \phi & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n . The function $H(x, t, z) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given and we seek a solution u satisfying (1). Since the left hand side of (1) is the mean curvature of the graph of u , (1) is a prescribed mean curvature equation whose prescription depends on the location of the graph as well as the slope of the tangent space.

Prescribed mean curvature problems in a wide variety of formulation have been studied by numerous researchers. In the most classical case of $H = H(x)$, (1) has a solution if H and ϕ have suitable regularity and the mean curvature of $\partial\Omega$ satisfies a certain geometric condition (see [3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11], for example). Giusti [5] determined a necessary and sufficient condition that a prescribed mean curvature problem without boundary conditions has solutions. In the case of $H = H(x, t)$, Gethardt [2] constructed $H^{1,1}$ solutions, and Miranda [10] constructed BV solutions. In those papers, assumptions of the boundedness $|H| < \infty$ and the monotonicity $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \geq 0$ play an important role. If $|H| < \Gamma$ where Γ is determined by Ω , there exist solutions of (1), and the uniqueness of solutions is guaranteed by the monotonicity, that is, $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \geq 0$. Under the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35J93; Secondary 35J25.

Key words and phrases. Prescribed mean curvature, Fixed point theorem.

assumptions of boundedness, monotonicity and the convexity of Ω , Bergner [1] solved the Dirichlet problem in the case of $H = H(x, u, \nu(\nabla u))$ using the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem. Here, ν is the unit normal vector of u , that is, $\nu(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|z|^2}}(z, -1)$. For the same problem as [1], Marquardt [9] gave a condition on $\partial\Omega$ depending on H which guarantees the existence of solutions even for a non-convex domain Ω .

The motivation of the present paper comes from a singular perturbation problem studied in [12], where one considers the following problem on a domain $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$,

$$-\varepsilon \Delta \phi_\varepsilon + \frac{W'(\phi_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \nabla \phi_\varepsilon \cdot f_\varepsilon. \tag{2}$$

Here, W is a double-well potential, for example $W(\phi) = (1 - \phi^2)^2$ and $\{f_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ are given vector fields uniformly bounded in the Sobolev norm of $W^{1,p}(\tilde{\Omega})$, $p > \frac{n+1}{2}$. In [12], we proved under a natural assumption

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon |\nabla \phi_\varepsilon|^2}{2} + \frac{W(\phi_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \right) dx + \|f_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\tilde{\Omega})} \leq C \tag{3}$$

that the interface $\{\phi_\varepsilon = 0\}$ converges locally in the Hausdorff distance to a surface whose mean curvature H is given by $f \cdot \nu$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Here, f is the weak $W^{1,p}$ limit of f_ε . If the surface is represented locally as a graph of a function u over a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the corresponding relation between the mean curvature and the vector field is expressed as

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = \nu(\nabla u(x)) \cdot f(x, u(x)) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{4}$$

where $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ with $p > \frac{n+1}{2}$. Note that f is not bounded in L^∞ in general, unlike the cases studied in [1, 9]. In this paper, we establish the well-posedness of the perturbative problem including (4) which has a $W^{1,p}$ norm control on the right-hand side of the equation. The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 1. *Let Ω be a $C^{1,1}$ bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n and fix constants $\varepsilon > 0$, $\frac{n+1}{2} < p < n + 1$ and $q = \frac{np}{n+1-p}$. Suppose $h \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfies the minimal surface equation, that is,*

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla h}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla h|^2}} \right) = 0. \tag{5}$$

Then there exists a constant $\delta_1 > 0$ which depends only on $n, p, \Omega, \|h\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)}$, and ε with the following property. Suppose $G \in W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ and $\phi \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ satisfy

$$\|G\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})} + \|\phi\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq \delta_1, \tag{6}$$

and a measurable function $H(x, t, z) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $H(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ is a continuous function for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, and for all $(t, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|H(x, t, z)| \leq |G(x, t)| \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \tag{7}$$

Then, there exists a function $u \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ such that $u - h - \phi \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ and

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = H(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{8}$$

$$\|u - h\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} < \varepsilon. \tag{9}$$

The claim proves that there exists a solution of (1) in a neighbourhood of any minimal surface if H and ϕ are sufficiently small in these norms. In particular, if we take $H(x, t, z) = v(z) \cdot f(x, t)$ and $G(x, t) = |f(x, t)|$, where $\|f\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})}$ is sufficiently small, above conditions on G and H in Theorem 1 are satisfied and we can guarantee the existence of a solution for (1) nearby the given minimal surface (see Corollary 1). The method of proof is as follows. We prove that the linearized problem of (1) has a unique solution in $W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ and the norm of this solution is controlled by G and ϕ . When (6) is satisfied, there exist a suitable function space \mathcal{A} and a mapping $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, and a fixed point of T is a solution of (8) with $u - h - \phi \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$. We show that T satisfies assumptions of the Schauder fixed point theorem, and Theorem 1 follows.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Throughout the paper, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with $C^{1,1}$ boundary $\partial\Omega$. We define functions $A_{ij} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$) as

$$A_{ij}(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |z|^2}} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{z_i z_j}{1 + |z|^2} \right)$$

and the operator

$$L[z](u) := A_{ij}(z) D_{ij} u(x) \quad \text{for any } u \in W^{2,1}(\Omega),$$

where we omit the summation over $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij}(z)\xi_i\xi_j &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|z|^2}} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{z_i z_j}{1+|z|^2} \right) \xi_i \xi_j \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|z|^2}} \left[|\xi|^2 - \left(\frac{z_i}{\sqrt{1+|z|^2}} \xi_i \right)^2 \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|z|^2}} \left[|\xi|^2 - \left(\frac{|z|^2}{1+|z|^2} \right) |\xi|^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{3/2}} |\xi|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Hence, as is well-known, the operator $L[z]$ is elliptic.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose $v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$, $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n) \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|B_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq K$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $f \in L^q(\Omega)$ and $\phi \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ with $q > n$. Then there exists a unique function $u \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} L[\nabla v](u) + B \cdot \nabla u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u - \phi \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega). \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

Moreover, there exists a constant c_0 which depends only on n, q, Ω, K , and $\|v\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$ such that

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq c_0 (\|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)}). \tag{12}$$

PROOF. By (10), for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$A_{ij}(\nabla v)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \frac{1}{(1 + \|v\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}^2)^{3/2}} |\xi|^2 =: \lambda |\xi|^2, \tag{13}$$

where the constant λ depends only on $\|v\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$. Since each A_{ij} is a smooth function of ∇v , there exists a constant A which depends only on $\|v\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$ such that

$$\|A_{ij}(\nabla v)\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq A \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \tag{14}$$

By (13) and (14), there exists a unique solution $u \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ satisfying (11) (cf. [4, Theorem 9.15]). Using [4, Theorem 9.13], we can know that there

exists a constant c_1 which depends only on $n, q, \Omega, \lambda, K,$ and A such that

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq c_1(\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)}). \tag{15}$$

Using the Aleksandrov maximum principle [4, Theorem 9.1], we can know that there exists a constant c_2 which depends only on $n, \Omega, K,$ and λ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\leq \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega} |u| + c_2\|f\|_{L^n(\Omega)} \\ &= \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega} |\phi| + c_2\|f\|_{L^n(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities, $\phi \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} &\leq c\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\ &\leq c\left(\sup_{x \in \partial\Omega} |\phi| + \|f\|_{L^n(\Omega)}\right) \\ &\leq c(\|\phi\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} + \|f\|_{L^n(\Omega)}) \\ &\leq c_3(\|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)}), \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where c_3 depends only on $n, q,$ and Ω . By (15) and (17), there exists a constant c_0 which depends only on $n, q, \Omega, \lambda, K,$ and A such that

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq c_0(\|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)}). \tag{18}$$

Thus this theorem follows. □

To proceed, we need the following theorem (cf. [13, Theorem 5.12.4]).

THEOREM 3. *Let μ be a positive Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} satisfying*

$$K(\mu) := \sup_{B_r(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \frac{1}{r^n} \mu(B_r(x)) < \infty.$$

Then there exists a constant c_4 which depends only on n such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \phi \, d\mu \right| \leq c_4 K(\mu) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} |\nabla \phi| \, d\mathcal{L}^{n+1}$$

for all $\phi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose $v \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ with $\|v\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq V$ and $G \in W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\frac{n+1}{2} < p < n+1$. Let $q = \frac{np}{n+1-p}$. Then there exists a constant c_5 which depends only on $n, p, \Omega,$ and V such that*

$$\|G(\cdot, v(\cdot))\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq c_5 \|G\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})}. \tag{19}$$

PROOF. Define

$$\Gamma := \{(x, v(x)) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}\}.$$

A set $B_r^n(x)$ is the open ball with center x and radius r in \mathbb{R}^n . In the following, \mathcal{H}^n denotes the n -dimensional Hausdorff measure in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and $\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner_\Gamma$ is a Radon measure defined by

$$\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner_\Gamma(A) := \mathcal{H}^n(A \cap \Gamma) \quad \text{for all } A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

Then the support of $\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner_\Gamma$ satisfies in particular $\text{spt } \mathcal{H}^n \llcorner_\Gamma \subset \Omega \times (-2V, 2V)$. For any $B_r^{n+1}((x_0, x'_0)) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $(x_0, x'_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{1}{r^n} \mathcal{H}^n \llcorner_\Gamma(B_r^{n+1}((x_0, x'_0))) \leq \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{B_r^n(x_0) \cap \Omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla v|^2} d\mathcal{L}^n \leq (1 + V)\omega_n, \quad (20)$$

where ω_n is the volume of n -dimensional unit open ball. Using the standard Extension Theorem, we can know that there exists a function $\tilde{G} \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ such that $\tilde{G} = G$ in $\Omega \times (-2V, 2V)$ and

$$\|\tilde{G}\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \leq c_6 \|G\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \times (-2V, 2V))}, \quad (21)$$

where c_6 depends only on n, p, Ω , and V . By Theorem 3 and smoothly approximating \tilde{G} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |G(x, v(x))|^q dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{G}(x, v(x))|^q \sqrt{1 + |\nabla v|^2} dx \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} |\tilde{G}(x, x_{n+1})|^q d\mathcal{H}^n \\ &\leq c(n, V) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} |\nabla \tilde{G}| |\tilde{G}|^{q-1} d\mathcal{L}^{n+1} \\ &\leq c(n, p, V) \|\nabla \tilde{G}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \|\tilde{G}\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}^{q-1} \\ &\leq c(n, p, V) c_6 \|G\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \times (-2V, 2V))}^q \\ &\leq c(n, p, V) c_6 \|G\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})}^q. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

This lemma follows. \square

We write the Schauder fixed point theorem needed later ([4, Corollary 11.2]).

THEOREM 4. *Let \mathcal{G} be a closed convex set in Banach space \mathcal{B} and let T be a continuous mapping of \mathcal{G} into itself such that the image $T(\mathcal{G})$ is precompact. Then T has a fixed point.*

We first prove Theorem 1 in the case that $h = 0$.

THEOREM 5. *Assume that $G \in W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\frac{n+1}{2} < p < n+1$ and $\phi \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ with $q = \frac{np}{n+1-p}$. Then there exists a constant $\delta_2 > 0$ which depends only on n, p , and Ω such that, if*

$$\|G\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})} + \|\phi\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq \delta_2, \tag{23}$$

then, for any measurable function $H(x, t, z) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $H(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ is a continuous function for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and

$$|H(x, t, z)| \leq |G(x, t)| \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ any } (t, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{24}$$

there exists a function $u \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ such that $u - \phi \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ and

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = H(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{25}$$

PROOF. Define

$$\mathcal{A} := \{v \in C^{1,1/2-n/2q}(\bar{\Omega}); \|v\|_{C^{1,1/2-n/2q}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq 1\}. \tag{26}$$

The set \mathcal{A} is a closed convex set in Banach space $C^{1,1/2-n/2q}(\bar{\Omega})$. By (24) and Lemma 1, $H(\cdot, v(\cdot), \nabla v(\cdot)) \in L^q(\Omega)$ for any $v \in \mathcal{A}$. Using Theorem 2, we can know that there exist a unique function $w \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ and a constant $c_7 > 0$ which depends only on n, p, Ω , and not on v such that

$$\begin{cases} L[\nabla v](w) = H(x, v, \nabla v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w - \phi \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega), \\ \|w\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq c_7(\|G\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})} + \|\phi\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)}). \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

By the Sobolev inequality and (27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{C^{1,1/2-n/2q}(\bar{\Omega})} &\leq c_8 \|w\|_{C^{1,1-n/q}(\bar{\Omega})} \\ &\leq c_9 \|w\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq c_{10}(\|G\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})} + \|\phi\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)}), \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where $c_8, c_9, c_{10} > 0$ depend only on n, p , and Ω . Suppose that

$$\|G\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})} + \|\phi\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq c_{10}^{-1} =: \delta_2(n, p, \Omega). \tag{29}$$

Let us define an operator $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $T(v) = w$ which satisfies (27). We show that $T(\mathcal{A})$ is precompact and T is a continuous mapping. For any

sequence $\{v_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$, we have $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|T(v_m)\|_{C^{1,1-n/q}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq c_8^{-1}$ by (28) and (29). There exists a subsequence $\{T(v_{m_k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \{T(v_m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ which converges to a function $w_\infty \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ in the sense of $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ by the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem. We see that $w_\infty \in C^{1,1-n/q}(\bar{\Omega})$ because

$$\frac{|\nabla w_\infty(x) - \nabla w_\infty(y)|}{|x - y|^{1-n/q}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\nabla T(v_{m_k})(x) - \nabla T(v_{m_k})(y)|}{|x - y|^{1-n/q}} \leq c_8^{-1}.$$

Let $\tilde{w}_k := T(v_{m_k}) - w_\infty$, and \tilde{w}_k converges to 0 in the sense of $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\nabla \tilde{w}_k(x) - \nabla \tilde{w}_k(y)|}{|x - y|^{1/2-n/2q}} &\leq \left(\frac{|\nabla \tilde{w}_k(x) - \nabla \tilde{w}_k(y)|}{|x - y|^{1-n/q}} \right)^{1/2} |\nabla \tilde{w}_k(x) - \nabla \tilde{w}_k(y)|^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2c_8^{-1/2} (2\|\nabla \tilde{w}_k\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)})^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Hence, $\{T(v_{m_k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a function w_∞ in the sense of $C^{1,1/2-n/2q}(\bar{\Omega})$, and the operator T is a compact mapping. In particular, the set $T(\mathcal{A})$ is precompact.

Suppose that $\{v_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to v in the sense of $C^{1,1/2-n/2q}(\bar{\Omega})$. By (28) and (29), $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|T(v_m)\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)}$ is bounded. Hence, there exists a subsequence $\{T(v_{m_k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \{T(v_m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ which weakly converges to a function $w \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$. We show $T(v) = w$, that is,

$$A_{ij}(\nabla v(x))D_{ij}w(x) = H(x, v, \nabla v).$$

For any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, by the weak convergence and the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\Omega} \psi \{A_{ij}(\nabla v)D_{ij}w - A_{ij}(\nabla v_{m_k})D_{ij}(T(v_{m_k}))\} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\Omega} \psi A_{ij}(\nabla v)(D_{ij}w - D_{ij}(T(v_{m_k}))) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\Omega} \psi D_{ij}(T(v_{m_k}))(A_{ij}(\nabla v) - A_{ij}(\nabla v_{m_k})) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\Omega} \psi A_{ij}(\nabla v)(D_{ij}w - D_{ij}(T(v_{m_k}))) \right| \\ &\quad + \|T(v_{m_k})\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \|\psi(A_{ij}(\nabla v) - A_{ij}(\nabla v_{m_k}))\|_{L^{q/(q-1)}(\Omega)} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

By (24) and $\|v_{m_k}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 1$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & |H(x, v_{m_k}(x), \nabla v_{m_k}(x))| \\ & \leq |G(x, v_{m_k}(x)) - G(x, v(x))| + |G(x, v(x))| \\ & \leq \int_{-1}^1 |D_t G(x, t)| dt + |G(x, v(x))|. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

$\int_{-1}^1 |D_t G(\cdot, t)| dt + |G(\cdot, v(\cdot))|$ is an integrable function by Lemma 1, $\|v\|_{C^1(\bar{\Omega})} \leq 1$, and Fubini's theorem. Since H is a continuous function with respect to t and z , using the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi \{H(x, v(x), \nabla v(x)) - H(x, v_{m_k}(x), \nabla v_{m_k}(x))\} \rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty). \tag{33}$$

By (31) and (33),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \psi \{A_{ij}(\nabla v) D_{ij} w - H(x, v(x), \nabla v(x))\} \\ & = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \psi \{A_{ij}(\nabla v_{m_k}) D_{ij}(T(v_{m_k})) - H(x, v_{m_k}(x), \nabla v_{m_k}(x))\} \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Using the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations, we have

$$A_{ij}(x, \nabla v) D_{ij} w - H(x, v(x), \nabla v(x)) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega,$$

and $T(v) = w$. Hence, $\{T(v_m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ weakly converges to $T(v)$ in $W^{2,q}(\Omega)$. By the compactness of T and the uniqueness of limit, we can show $\{T(v_m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $T(v)$ in $C^{1,1/2-n/2q}(\bar{\Omega})$, and T is a continuous mapping. Using Theorem 4, we obtain a function $u \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ satisfying $u - \phi \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ and (25). \square

PROOF (Proof of Theorem 1). We should show that there exists a function $\tilde{u} \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ such that

$$A_{ij}(\nabla \tilde{u} + \nabla h) D_{ij}(\tilde{u} + h) = H(x, \tilde{u} + h, \nabla \tilde{u} + \nabla h), \tag{35}$$

$$\tilde{u} - \phi \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega), \tag{36}$$

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} < \varepsilon. \tag{37}$$

Using the minimal surface equation (5) for h , we convert (35) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_{ij}(\nabla\tilde{u} + \nabla h)D_{ij}\tilde{u} + \frac{D_{ij}h}{(1 + |\nabla\tilde{u} + \nabla h|^2)^{3/2}}((|\nabla\tilde{u}|^2 + 2\nabla\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla h)\delta_{ij} \\
& \quad - D_i\tilde{u}D_j\tilde{u} - D_i\tilde{u}D_jh - D_j\tilde{u}D_ih) \\
& = H(x, \tilde{u} + h, \nabla\tilde{u} + \nabla h).
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Define

$$\mathcal{A} := \{v \in C^{1,1/2-n/2q}(\bar{\Omega}); \|v\|_{C^{1,1/2-n/2q}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq \varepsilon\}. \tag{39}$$

The set \mathcal{A} is a closed convex set in Banach space $C^{1,1/2-n/2q}(\bar{\Omega})$. We consider the following differential equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_{ij}(\nabla v + \nabla h)D_{ij}w + \frac{D_{ij}h}{(1 + |\nabla v + \nabla h|^2)^{3/2}}((\nabla v \cdot \nabla w + 2\nabla w \cdot \nabla h)\delta_{ij} \\
& \quad - D_ivD_jw - D_iwD_jh - D_jwD_ih) \\
& = H(x, v + h, \nabla v + \nabla h).
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
B(\nabla v) \cdot \nabla w & := \frac{D_{ij}h}{(1 + |\nabla v + \nabla h|^2)^{3/2}}((\nabla v \cdot \nabla w + 2\nabla w \cdot \nabla h)\delta_{ij} \\
& \quad - D_ivD_jw - D_iwD_jh - D_jwD_ih).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, there exists a constant $c_{11} > 0$ which depends only on $n, p, \Omega, \varepsilon$, and $\|h\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)}$ such that

$$\|B_i(\nabla v)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c_{11} \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \tag{41}$$

where $B(\nabla v) = (B_1(\nabla v), \dots, B_n(\nabla v)) \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Using Theorem 2, we obtain a unique function $w \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ satisfying $w - \phi \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ and (40). By (41), Theorem 2, Lemma 1, and the Sobolev inequality, there exists a constant $c_{12} > 0$ which depends only on $n, p, \Omega, \varepsilon$, and $\|h\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)}$ such that

$$\|w\|_{C^{1,1/2-n/2q}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq c_{12}(\|G\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})} + \|\phi\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)}). \tag{42}$$

Suppose that we have

$$\|G\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})} + \|\phi\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq c_{12}^{-1}\varepsilon := \delta_1. \tag{43}$$

Let a operator $T: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be defined by $T(v) = w$ which satisfies $w - \phi \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ and (40). The compactness of T can be proved by the argument of Theorem 5. In particular, the set $T(\mathcal{A})$ is precompact.

Suppose that $\{v_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ converges to v in the sense of $C^{1,1/2-n/2q}(\bar{\Omega})$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{T(v_{m_k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \{T(v_m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ which weakly converges to a function $w \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$. For any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \psi \{B(\nabla v) \cdot \nabla w - B(\nabla v_{m_k}) \cdot \nabla T(v_{m_k})\} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \psi B(\nabla v) \cdot (\nabla w - \nabla(T(v_{m_k}))) \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} \psi \nabla(T(v_{m_k})) \cdot (B(\nabla v) - B(\nabla v_{m_k})) \\ & \rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

since B is a continuous function and $T(v_{m_k})$ converges weakly to w . By (44) and the argument of Theorem 5, we can show that T is a continuous mapping. Using Theorem 4, we obtain a function $\tilde{u} \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ satisfying (35) and (36). Moreover, \tilde{u} satisfies (37) by (42) and (43). Define $u := \tilde{u} + h$. Then u satisfies $u - h - \phi \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$, (8), and (9), and the proof is complete. \square

COROLLARY 1. *Suppose $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ with $\frac{n+1}{2} < p < n + 1$ and $\phi \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ with $q = \frac{np}{n+1-p}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Suppose $h \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfies the minimal surface equation, that is,*

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla h}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla h|^2}} \right) = 0. \tag{45}$$

Let $\delta_1 > 0$ be the constant as in Theorem 1. If

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \|f_i\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})} + \|\phi\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq \delta_1, \tag{46}$$

then there exists a function $u \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ such that $u - h - \phi \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ and

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = v(\nabla u(x)) \cdot f(x, u(x)) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{47}$$

$$\|u - h\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} < \varepsilon. \tag{48}$$

PROOF. Define

$$H(x, t, z) := v(z) \cdot f(x, t).$$

By $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, $f(x, \cdot)$ is an absolutely continuous function. Hence $H(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ is a continuous function for almost every $x \in \Omega$. We have

$$|H(x, t, z)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |f_i(x, t)| \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ any } (t, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |f_i(x, t)| \in W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$. By the Minkowski inequality,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |f_i(x, t)| \right\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \|f_i\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})}.$$

Define

$$G(x, t) := \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |f_i(x, t)|.$$

Then H and G satisfy the assumption of Theorem 1, and this corollary follows. \square

REMARK 1. *The uniqueness of solutions follows immediately using [4, Theorem 10.2]. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, if we additionally assume that H is non-decreasing in t for each $(x, z) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and continuously differentiable with respect to the z variables in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, then the solution is unique in $W^{2,q}(\Omega)$.*

References

- [1] M. Bergner, The Dirichlet problem for graphs of prescribed anisotropic mean curvature in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , *Analysis (Munich)* **28** (2008), 149–166.
- [2] C. Gerhardt, Existence, regularity, and boundary behaviour of generalized surfaces of prescribed mean curvature, *Math. Z.* **139** (1974), 173–198.
- [3] M. Giaquinta, On the Dirichlet problem for surfaces of prescribed mean curvature, *Manuscripta Math.* **12** (1974), 73–86.
- [4] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [5] E. Giusti, On the equation of surfaces of prescribed mean curvature. Existence and uniqueness without boundary conditions, *Invent. Math.* **46** (1978), no. 2, 111–137.
- [6] K. Hayasida, M. Nakatani, On the Dirichlet problem of prescribed mean curvature equations without H-convexity condition, *Nagoya Math. J.* **157** (2000), 177–209.
- [7] H. Jenkins, J. Serrin, The Dirichlet problem for the minimal surface equation in higher dimensions, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **229** (1968), 170–187.
- [8] G. P. Leonardi, G. Saracco, The prescribed mean curvature equation in weakly regular domains, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **25** (2018), no. 2, 25:9.

- [9] T. Marquardt, Remark on the anisotropic prescribed mean curvature equation on arbitrary domains, *Math. Z.* **264** (2010), 507–511.
- [10] M. Miranda, Dirichlet problem with L^1 data for the non-homogeneous minimal surface equation, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **24** (1974), 227–241.
- [11] J. Serrin, The problem of Dirichlet for quasilinear elliptic differential equations with many independent variables, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A* **264** (1969), 413–496.
- [12] Y. Tonegawa, Y. Tsukamoto, A diffused interface with the advection term in a Sobolev space, arXiv:1904.00525.
- [13] W. P. Ziemer, *Weakly differentiable functions*, Springer-Verlag, 1989.

Yuki Tsukamoto
Department of Mathematics
Tokyo Institute of Technology
Tokyo 152-8551 Japan
E-mail: tsukamoto.y.ag@m.titech.ac.jp