

ON THE LOGARITHMIC FUNCTIONS OF MATRICES. I.

By

Kakutarō MORINAGA and Takayuki NŌNO.

(Received Sept. 30, 1949)

Let \mathfrak{A} be the set of all matrices of order n over the field of complex numbers, \mathfrak{M} the set of all regular matrices of order n , \mathfrak{A}' the set of all matrices of order n whose all different characteristic values μ_i have the imaginary parts $I(\mu_i)$ such that $-\pi \leq I(\mu_i) < \pi$,⁽¹⁾ $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ the set of all matrices of order n whose all characteristic values μ_i have the imaginary parts $I(\mu_i)$ such that $-\pi < I(\mu_i) < \pi$, and $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ the set of all regular matrices of order n whose characteristic values are not negative.

The exponential function of a matrix C is defined by the series

$$\exp C = E + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{C^r}{r!}.$$

As for the exponential functions of matrices we have already known the following:

(1)₁ There exists a neighbourhood of zero matrix O in \mathfrak{A} which is mapped topologically onto a neighbourhood of unit matrix E in \mathfrak{M} by the exponential mapping $M = \exp A$.⁽²⁾

(1)₂ The set of all hermitian matrices of order n is mapped topologically onto the set of all positive definite hermitian matrices of order n by the exponential mapping $M = \exp A$.⁽²⁾

(2) There exists a matrix A in \mathfrak{A}' such as $\exp A = M$ for $M \in \mathfrak{M}$.⁽³⁾

(3) The general solutions of the matrix equation $\exp A = M$ for a given regular matrix M have been discussed by many writers, called the logarithmic function of M , and denoted by $\log M$.⁽³⁾ (We shall also use this denotation in the following).

In this paper we shall prove the following:

(a) There exists one and only one matrix A in \mathfrak{A}' such as $\exp A = M$ for $M \in \mathfrak{M}$, (we shall denote this matrix by $L(M)$ in the following); namely the mapping $M = \exp A$ from the set \mathfrak{A}' onto \mathfrak{M} is one to one.⁽⁴⁾

(b) The set $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ is mapped topologically onto $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ by the mapping $M = \exp A$.⁽⁵⁾

(c) We obtain the general solutions of the matrix equation $\exp A = M$ for $M \in \mathfrak{M}$; Using

-
- 1) Even if we use the interval $a \leq I(\mu_i) < a + 2\pi$ (a is arbitrary real number) in the place of $-\pi \leq I(\mu_i) < \pi$, we can similarly prove the following theorems.
 - 2) C. Chevalley: Theory of Lie groups. I. (1946). p. 7, p. 14.
 - 3) J.H.M. Wedderburn: Lectures on matrices (1934). p. 122-123.
K.Yosida: A matrix A such as $\det A \neq 0$ is expressed by $A = \exp B$. Shijō-Sūgaku-Danwakai No. 72; (309) (1935). (In Japanese)
M.Nagumo: On the equation $A = e^X$ in a normed ring. *ibid.* No. 72, (310). (1935). (In Japanese).
K.Asano: On the solutions of matrix equation $e^X = A$. *ibid.* No. 74; (326). (1936). (In Japanese.)
 - 4) K.Morinaga and T. Nōno: On the logarithmic functions of matrices. Shijō-Sūgaku-Danwakai, (in the press).
 - 5) Considering the periodicity of the logarithmic function $\log M$, it is impossible to extend this topological mapping to the larger domains.

the branch $L(M)$ of $\log M$, we can make clear the periodicity of the logarithmic functions.

(d) Using this expression of $\log M$, we can determine the branches of $\log M$ which are expressed by the polynomial of M .⁽¹⁾

In the following we shall denote by $\mathfrak{R}(C)$ the set of all the matrices commutative with C , and by $B \sim C$ the fact that B is transformable to C .

§ 1. First we shall obtain a matrix A in \mathfrak{M} such as $\exp A = M$ for $M \in \mathfrak{M}$. We transform M into the canonical form⁽²⁾ by P , and denote by M_i the block for a characteristic value λ_i , i.e.,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P^{-1}MP &= \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & M_p \end{pmatrix}, & M_i &= \begin{pmatrix} M_{i1} & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & M_{ip_i} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_i E_i + N_i \\ & & & (i=1, \dots, p) \\ M_{ia} &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i 1 & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & \lambda_i 1 \end{pmatrix}, & (a=1, \dots, p_i), & \lambda_i \neq \lambda_k \text{ for } i \neq k, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

where M_i and M_{ia} are the matrices of order n_i and n_{ia} respectively, E_i is the unit matrix of order n_i , N_i satisfies $N_i^{n_i} = 0$, and since $M \in \mathfrak{M}$, $\lambda_i \neq 0$.

Let us now consider the matrices

$$\hat{L}(M_i) = \text{Log} \lambda_i \cdot E_i + \sum_{r=1}^{n_i-1} (-1)^{r-1} \frac{N_i^r}{r \lambda_i^r}, \quad (i=1, \dots, p.) \quad (2)$$

where $\text{Log} \lambda_i$ denotes the principal value of $\log \lambda_i$, i.e., $-\pi \leq I(\text{Log} \lambda_i) < \pi$. Since $N_i^{n_i} = 0$ and $EN_i = N_i E$, these matrices are obtained by substituting E_i , N_i and λ_i for 1, x and λ respectively in the next identity which is valid for complex numbers

$$\text{Log}(\lambda+x) = \text{Log} \lambda + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{r-1} \frac{x^r}{r \lambda^r}, \quad (\lambda \neq 0, \left| \frac{x}{\lambda} \right| < 1)^{(3)}$$

Hence from an identity

$$\exp \cdot \text{Log}(\lambda+x) = \lambda+x,$$

we have

$$\exp \cdot \hat{L}(M_i) = \lambda_i E_i + N_i = M_i \quad (3)$$

Next if we put

$$L(M) = P \begin{pmatrix} \hat{L}(M_1) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \hat{L}(M_p) \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

then we obtain

$$\exp \cdot L(M) = M, \quad L(M) \in \mathfrak{R}. \quad (5)$$

Moreover, since $\hat{L}(M_i)$ is the polynomial of N_i , i.e., M_i , $L(M)$ is a polynomial of M .

- 1) When we call the polynomial of a matrix U , it means the polynomial of a matrix U and the unit matrix with the coefficients of complex numbers which may depend on a matrix U .
- 2) The canonical form means the Jordan's canonical form, and so in the following.
- 3) Since $N_i^{n_i} = 0$, here the condition of convergence, i.e., $\left| \frac{x}{\lambda} \right| < 1$ is unnecessary.

Thus we have the result:⁽¹⁾

RESULT 1. $L(M)$ is a solution of $\exp A = M$ and a polynomial of M .

§ 2. We shall consider the general solutions of the matrix equation

$$\exp A = M \quad \text{for } M \in \mathfrak{M}. \tag{6}$$

From (6) we have

$$\exp(P^{-1}AP) = P^{-1}MP = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_p \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

hence from (7) we get

$$(P^{-1}AP)(P^{-1}MP) = (P^{-1}MP)(P^{-1}AP), \tag{8}$$

and by means of (7) and the above it follows that

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \exp A_i = M_i \quad (i=1, \dots, p), \tag{9}$$

where A_i is the matrix of the same order as M_i .

Here we transform A_i into the canonical form, i. e.,

$$Q_i^{-1}A_iQ_i = \begin{pmatrix} A_{i1} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{iq_i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{i\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{i\beta} & 1 & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 1 \\ & & & & \mu_{i\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\beta=1, \dots, q_i) \tag{10}$$

where $A_{i\beta}$ ($\beta=1, \dots, q_i$) are the matrices of order $m_{i\beta}$. By means of (9) and (10) we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \exp A_{i1} & 0 \\ 0 & \exp A_{iq_i} \end{pmatrix} = Q_i^{-1}M_iQ_i, \tag{11}$$

and

$$\exp A_{i\beta} = e^{\mu_{i\beta}} \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim e^{\mu_{i\beta}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

By considering (1), (11) and (12) we can obtain the following relations

$$q_i = p_i, \quad m_{i\alpha} = n_{i\alpha} \quad (\alpha=1, \dots, p_i), \tag{13}$$

and

$$e^{\mu_{i\alpha}} = \lambda_i, \quad \text{i. e., } \mu_{i\alpha} = \text{Log } \lambda_i + 2\pi\sqrt{-1} f_{i\alpha}, \tag{14}$$

1) Since each of $\begin{pmatrix} E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_p \end{pmatrix}$ is expressed by polynomial of $\begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_p \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} f(M_1) & 0 \\ 0 & f_p(M_p) \end{pmatrix} = f_1 \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \dots + f_p \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_p \end{pmatrix}$ for any polynomials $f_i(M_i)$ of M_i , $\begin{pmatrix} f_1(M_1) & 0 \\ 0 & f_p(M_p) \end{pmatrix}$ is a polynomial of $\begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_p \end{pmatrix}$.

2) If $\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{m-1} \neq 0$, then $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \gamma_1 & \# \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \gamma_{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$; for these two matrices have the same elementary divisors.

where f_{ia} are the arbitrary integers. Consequently, from (10), (13) and (14) we have

$$A_a = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Log} \lambda_i & 1 & 0 \\ & & 1 \\ 0 & & \text{Log} \lambda_i \end{pmatrix} + 2\pi\sqrt{-1} f_{ia} E_{ia},$$

where E_{ia} is the unit matrix of order n_{ia} . And remembering the definition of $\hat{L}(M_{ia})$ (see (2)) we see

$$A_{ia} \sim \hat{L}(M_{ia}) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1} f_{ia} E_{ia}.^{(1)}$$

Accordingly, from (10) and (15) we deduce that by some matrix S_i ,

$$A_i = S_i^{-1}(\hat{L}(M_i) + F_i)S_i, \tag{16}$$

where

$$F_i = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} f_{i1} E_{i1} & 0 \\ & & f_{ip_i} E_{ip_i} \\ 0 & & \end{pmatrix}. \tag{16'}$$

Furthermore, from (4), (9) and (16) we get

$$A = S^{-1}(L(M) + F)S, \tag{17}$$

where

$$S = P \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ & & S_p \\ 0 & & \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \tag{17'}$$

and

$$F = P \begin{pmatrix} F_1 & 0 \\ & & F_p \\ 0 & & \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} \tag{17''}$$

and since from (1) and (16)' $M_i F_i = F_i M_i$, by means of (14) and (17)'' it follows

$$MF = FM \tag{18}$$

From Result 1 and (18) we have

$$FL(M) = L(M)F$$

hence

$$\exp(L(M) + F) = \exp L(M) \cdot \exp F,$$

moreover by (16)' we have

$$\exp(L(M) + F) = \exp L(M) = M, \tag{19}$$

From (6) and (17) we get

$$M = \exp A = S^{-1} \{ \exp(L(M) + F) \} S,$$

substituting (19) into the above, we have

$$M = S^{-1}MS, \text{ that is, } S \in \mathfrak{R}(M). \tag{20}$$

Ths, since $S^{-1}L(M)S = L(M)$ by (20) and result 1, the equation (17) is reduced to

$$A = L(M) + S^{-1}FS, \quad S \in \mathfrak{R}(M),^{(2)}$$

where

$$F = P \begin{pmatrix} F_1 & 0 \\ & & F_p \\ 0 & & \end{pmatrix} P^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad F_i = 2\sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} f_{i1} E_{i1} & 0 \\ & & f_{ip_i} E_{ip_i} \\ 0 & & \end{pmatrix} \tag{21'}$$

Here P is a fixed matrix which transforms M into its canonical form, and S is the

1) See the foot note 2) p. 109.

2) $S^{-1}FS$ is the general solution of $XM = MX$, $\exp X = E$.

arbitrary matrix such that $SM=MS$

Conversely it is evident that these matrices satisfy the relation $\exp A=M$. Thus we have the theorem:⁽¹⁾

THEOREM I. *The general solutions of the matrix equation $\exp A=M$ for $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ are given by*

$$A = \log M = L(M) + S^{-1}FS$$

where $F = P \begin{pmatrix} F_1 & 0 \\ 0 & F_p \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$, $F_i = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} f_{i1}E_{i1} & 0 \\ 0 & f_{ip_i}E_{ip_i} \end{pmatrix}$, and $f_{i\alpha}$ is an arbitrary

integer; P is a fixed matrix which transforms M into its canonical form, and S is the arbitrary matrix such that $SM=MS$.

By this theorem we obtain the following results:

If $A \equiv L(M) + S^{-1}FS$ belongs to \mathfrak{A} , then F must be zero matrix O . So we have the theorem:

THEOREM II. *There exists one and only one matrix A in \mathfrak{A} such as $\exp A=M$ for $M \in \mathfrak{M}$, and this matrix is $L(M)$.⁽²⁾*

By theorem II we can immediately deduce the theorem;

THEOREM III. *The set \mathfrak{A} is mapped topologically onto \mathfrak{M} by the mapping $M = \exp A$.*

Next if $A \equiv L(M) + S^{-1}FS$ is the polynomial of M , then this matrix must be permutable with all matrices of $\mathfrak{R}(M)$. We shall denote these branches of $\log M$ by $\text{Log } M$. And $S \in \mathfrak{R}(M)$, hence we have

$$S(L(M) + S^{-1}FS)S^{-1} = (L(M) + S^{-1}FS) \tag{22}$$

and using $SL(M)S^{-1} = L(M)$ we get

$$S^{-1}FS = F \tag{23}$$

that is,

$$\text{Log } M = L(M) + F \tag{24}$$

Furthermore, since

$$FK = KF \quad \text{for all } K \in \mathfrak{R}(M), \tag{25}$$

we have

$$F_i = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} f_i E_i, \quad f_i \text{ is an arbitrary integer;} \tag{26}$$

this means that $f_{i\alpha} = f_i$. ($\alpha = 1, \dots, p_i$) in (21). Therefore it must be

$$\text{Log } M = L(M) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1} P \begin{pmatrix} f_1 E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & f_p E_p \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \tag{27}$$

and it is evident that these matrices in the right hand member are the polynomials of M .

1) Let \bar{P} be the other matrix which transforms M into its same canonical form, then $\bar{P} = RP$, $R \in \mathfrak{R}(M)$, hence we have $\bar{L}(M) \equiv \bar{P} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{L}(M_1) & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{L}(M_p) \end{pmatrix} \bar{P}^{-1} = RL(M)R^{-1} = L(M)$, $\bar{F} \equiv \bar{P} \begin{pmatrix} F_1 & 0 \\ 0 & F_p \end{pmatrix} \bar{P}^{-1} = RFR^{-1}$,

that is,

$$\bar{L}(M) + \bar{S}^{-1}\bar{F}\bar{S} = L(M) + \bar{S}^{-1}RFR^{-1}\bar{S} = L(M) + S^{-1}FS,$$

where $\bar{S} \in \mathfrak{R}(M)$ and $\bar{S} = RS$.

2) K. Morinaga and T. Nōno. *ibid.* theorems 1, 2 and 3.

Thus we have the theorem:

THEOREM IV. *The branches $\text{Log } M$ of $\log M$ which are expressed by the polynomials of M are given by*

$$\text{Log } M \equiv L(M) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1} P \begin{pmatrix} f_1 E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & f_p E_p \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$$

From this theorem we get the following corollaries:

COROLLARY 1. *If and only if $MN = NM$ for $M, N \in \mathfrak{R}$, then*

$$\text{Log } M \cdot \text{Log } N = \text{Log } N \cdot \text{Log } M.$$

COROLLARY 2. *When and only when $M \in \mathfrak{R}$ has the form*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} UW \\ 0 \quad V \end{pmatrix}$$

where U and V are the matrices of order l and m respectively, then $\text{Log } M$ has also the form

$$\text{Log } M = \begin{pmatrix} HL \\ 0 \quad K \end{pmatrix}$$

where H and K are the matrices of order l and m respectively.

§ 3. Finally we shall investigate the terms which express the periodicity of the logarithmic functions of matrices, that is, the terms $S^{-1}FS$ where S is the arbitrary matrix belonging to $\mathfrak{R}(M)$.

Since $S \in \mathfrak{R}(M)$, we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P^{-1}SP &= \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ S_2 & \\ 0 & S_p \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_i = \begin{pmatrix} S_{i11} & \dots & S_{i1p_i} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ S_{ip_i1} & \dots & S_{ip_i p_i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (i=1, \dots, p) \\ S_{i\alpha\beta} &= \begin{matrix} \boxed{\text{diagonal}}^{n_{i\alpha}} & \text{for } n_{i\beta} > n_{i\alpha} \\ \boxed{\text{diagonal}} & \text{for } n_{i\beta} = n_{i\alpha} \\ \boxed{\text{diagonal}} & \text{for } n_{i\beta} < n_{i\alpha} \end{matrix} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (28)$$

where S_i is the matrix of order n_i , and $S_{i\alpha\beta}$ is the matrix having $n_{i\alpha}$ rows and $n_{i\beta}$ columns, all elements of which on the obliques are equal and arbitrary, and the other elements of which are zero.

Now we shall consider the mapping $S \mapsto S^{-1}FS$ in $\mathfrak{R}(M)$, regarding F as fixed. The kernel \mathfrak{G} of this mapping is the set $\mathfrak{R}(M) \cap \mathfrak{R}(F)$, and a normal subgroup of $\mathfrak{R}(M)$, regarding these set as the group with respect to the matrix multiplication. Furthermore, the form of the matrix $S_0 \in \mathfrak{G}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{S} = P \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{S}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathfrak{S}_p \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \quad \mathfrak{S}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{S}_{i11} & \dots & \mathfrak{S}_{i1p_i} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathfrak{S}_{ip_i1} & \dots & \mathfrak{S}_{ip_i p_i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (29)$$

where if $f_{i\alpha} \neq f_{i\beta}$, then $\mathring{S}_{i\alpha\beta} = \mathring{S}_{i\beta\alpha} = 0$; and $\mathring{S}_{i\alpha\beta}$ is the matrix of the same type as $S_{i\alpha\beta}$. Moreover let \mathfrak{E}' be the set of all matrices S' of the following type:

$$S' = P \begin{pmatrix} S'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_p \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}, \quad S'_i = \begin{pmatrix} E_{i11} & \dots & S'_{i1p_i} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ S'_{ip_i1} & \dots & E_{ip_i p_i} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{30}$$

where if $f_{i\alpha} = f_{i\beta}$, then $S'_{i\alpha\beta} = S'_{i\beta\alpha} = 0$; and $S'_{i\alpha\beta}$ is the matrix of the same type as $S_{i\alpha\beta}$. Then $\mathfrak{K}(M)$ is decomposed into a direct product of \mathfrak{E} and \mathfrak{E}' ; that is,

$$\mathfrak{K}(M) = \mathfrak{E} \times \mathfrak{E}' \tag{31}$$

Accordingly, let $S \in \mathfrak{K}(M)$, then $S = \mathring{S} \cdot S'$, $\mathring{S} \in \mathfrak{E}$, $S' \in \mathfrak{E}'$. (uniquely!). Hence we can conclude that

$$S^{-1}FS = S'^{-1}FS', \tag{32}$$

and if S' and T' ($S', T' \in \mathfrak{E}'$) are distinct, then $S'^{-1}FS'$, and distinct.

Thus we have theorem:

THEOREM V. *The general solutions, $\log M$ of the matrix equation $\exp A = M$ for $M \in \mathfrak{K}$ are reduced to $\log M = L(M) + S'^{-1}FS'$,*

where $S' = P \begin{pmatrix} S'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_p \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$, $S'_i = \begin{pmatrix} E_{i11} & \dots & S'_{i1p_i} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ S'_{ip_i1} & \dots & E_{ip_i p_i} \end{pmatrix}$

if $f_{i\alpha} = f_{i\beta}$, then $S'_{i\alpha\beta} = S'_{i\beta\alpha} = 0$; and $S'_{i\alpha\beta}$ is the matrix of type (28). And all arbitrary elements in S' are contained in $\log M$ as the essential parameters.

Next, in order to calculate the number ν of the essential arbitrary elements contained in S' , we shall first calculate such a number ν_i for S'_i . Since, from the form of $S_{i\alpha\beta}$ in (28), the number of the arbitrary elements contained in $S'_{i\alpha\beta}$ is equal to the minimum of $n_{i\alpha}$ and $n_{i\beta}$, i. e., $\min(n_{i\alpha}, n_{i\beta})$, and if $f_{i\alpha} = f_{i\beta}$, then from theorem V, $S'_{i\alpha\beta} = S'_{i\beta\alpha} = 0$, so we have

$$\nu_i = \sum_{f_{i\mu} \neq f_{i\beta}} \min(n_{i\alpha}, n_{i\beta})$$

accordingly

$$\nu = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{f_{i\alpha} \neq f_{i\beta}} \min(n_{i\alpha}, n_{i\beta}) \tag{33}$$

or

$$\nu = 2 \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{\lambda=1}^{p_i} n_{i\lambda} \rho_{i\lambda} \tag{34}$$

where $\rho_{i\lambda}$ denotes the times of the case when it happens that $n_{i\lambda} < n_{i\mu}$ or $n_{i\lambda} = n_{i\mu}$ ($\lambda < \mu$), being $f_{i\lambda} \neq f_{i\mu}$ for the fixed i and λ .⁽¹⁾

Furthermore we shall calculate the number χ of the essential arbitrary integers contained in H . The number χ is equal to the number of the distinct integers among $f_{i\alpha}$ ($i=1, \dots, p; \alpha=1, \dots, p_i$). And we have

$$\chi \leq \sum_{i=1}^p p_i \tag{35}$$

Thus we have the theorem:

1) Moreover, from the first we may take the normal form such that $n_{i1} \leq n_{i2} \leq \dots \leq n_{ip_i}$, then we have $\rho_{i\lambda} \leq p_i - \lambda$ accordingly

$$\nu \leq \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{\lambda=1}^{p_i} n_{i\lambda} (p_i - \lambda).$$

THEOREM VI. *There exist the periodicities of two kinds of $\log M$ which have the essentially distinct meaning. The one is the periodicity contained in F , whose cardinal number is equal to \aleph_0^x , where x is equal to the number of the distinct integers among $f_{i\alpha}$ ($i=1, \dots, p; \alpha=1, \dots, p_i$). The other is the periodicity contained in S , whose cardinal number is equal to \aleph^v :*

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{f_{i\alpha} \neq f_{i\beta}} \min(n_{i\alpha}, n_{i\beta}) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{\lambda=1}^{p_i} n_{i\lambda} \rho_{i\lambda}$$

where $\rho_{i\lambda}$ denotes the times of the case when it happens that $n_{i\lambda} < n_{i\mu}$ or $n_{i\lambda} = n_{i\mu}$ ($\lambda < \mu$), being $f_{i\lambda} \neq f_{i,\mu}$ for the fixed i, λ .

Mathematical Institute, Hiroshima University.