

On an Axiom of Continuous Geometry

By

Usa SASAKI

(Received Jan. 20, 1949)

By a continuous geometry,⁽¹⁾ not necessarily irreducible, we mean a complemented, modular, and complete lattice such that the following (a) and its dual (a*) are satisfied:

(a) Let $(a_\alpha; \alpha < \Omega)$ be a system of elements, where Ω is any limit ordinal. If $a_\alpha \uparrow a$, then $a_\alpha \cap b \uparrow a \cap b$ for any b .

In this paper I shall exhibit another condition which is equivalent to (a) in any complete lattice,⁽²⁾ and show that a complemented modular lattice is a continuous geometry if and only if it is a generalized topological lattice.⁽³⁾

THEOREM I. In a complete lattice L , (a) is equivalent to the following condition:

(β) Let $(a_\delta; \delta \in D) \subseteq L$, where D is a directed set. If $a_\delta \uparrow a$, then $a_\delta \cap b \uparrow a \cap b$ for any b .

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove that (a) implies (β).

If possible, suppose $\bigvee(a_\delta \cap b; \delta \in D) \neq \bigvee(a_\delta; \delta \in D) \cap b$ for some b , and M be a directed set with the least cardinal power such that $\bigvee(a_\delta \cap b; \delta \in M) \neq \bigvee(a_\delta; \delta \in M) \cap b$. Clearly M cannot be finite. Hence, by T. Iwamura's lemma,⁽⁴⁾ there exists a transfinite sequence $\{M_\alpha; \alpha < \Omega\}$ of directed subsets of M with the following properties: (1) $\overline{M}_\alpha < \overline{M}^{(5)}$, (2) $\alpha < \beta < \Omega$ implies $M_\alpha \subseteq M_\beta$, and (3) $M = \sum_{\alpha < \Omega} M_\alpha$. Then $\alpha < \beta < \Omega$ implies $\bigvee(a_\delta; \delta \in M_\alpha) \subseteq \bigvee(a_\delta; \delta \in M_\beta)$ by (2), whence using (a),

$$\bigvee\{\bigvee(a_\delta; \delta \in M_\alpha) \cap b; \alpha < \Omega\} = \bigvee\{\bigvee(a_\delta; \delta \in M_\alpha); \alpha < \Omega\} \cap b.$$

While by making use of (1), $\bigvee(a_\delta; \delta \in M_\alpha) \cap b = \bigvee(a_\delta \cap b; \delta \in M_\alpha)$.

Hence, using (3) $\bigvee(a_\delta \cap b; \delta \in M) = \bigvee(a_\delta; \delta \in M) \cap b$, which contradicts the assumption of M. This completes the proof.

THEOREM II. A complemented modular lattice L is a continuous geometry if and only if L is a generalized topological lattice.

PROOF. Let $(a_\delta; \delta \in D) \subseteq L$, D being a directed set. If $a_\delta \downarrow a$, then clearly $a_\delta \cap b \downarrow a \cap b$ for any $b \in L$, whence (β) is equivalent to the following:

-
- (1) Cf. J. v. Neumann, Lectures on continuous geometry, I, II (1936—1937).
 - (2) F. Maeda showed that, in any complete lattice, (a) is equivalent to the following condition: Let S be any system of elements. If $\bigvee(a; a \in v) \cap b = \bigvee(a \cap b; a \in v)$ for every finite subset v of S , and any element b , then $\bigvee(a; a \in S) \cap b = \bigvee(a \cap b; a \in S)$. Cf. Zenkoku-Shijō-Sūgaku-Danwakai. **236** (1942), 1056.
 - (3) By $(0)\text{-}\lim a_\delta = a$, it is meant the existence of $(v_\delta; \delta \in D)$, $(v_\delta; \delta \in D)$ and a such that $u_\delta \uparrow a$, $v_\delta \downarrow a$ and $u_\delta \subseteq a_\delta \subseteq v_\delta$. By generalized topological lattice, we mean a complete lattice such that $(0)\text{-}\lim a_\delta = a$, and $(0)\text{-}\lim b_\delta = b$ imply $(0)\text{-}\lim a_\delta \cap b_\delta = a \cap b$, and $(0)\text{-}\lim a_\delta \cup b_\delta = a \cup b$. Cf. G. Birkhoff, Lattice Theory, New York (1940), 30.
 - (4) Cf. T. Iwamura, Zenkoku-Shijō-Sūgaku-Danwakai, **202** (1944), 107.
 - (5) By \overline{M} , it is meant the cardinal power of M .

If $(0)\text{-lim } a_\delta = a$, then $(0)\text{-lim } a_\delta \cap b = a \cap b$ for any b .

And this is equivalent to the following:⁽¹⁾

$(0)\text{-lim } a_\delta, (0)\text{-lim } b_\delta = b$ imply $(0)\text{-lim } a_\delta \cap b_\delta = a \cap b$.

Hence we infer that (β) is equivalent to the continuity of the lattice operation \cap in generalized (0) -topology. The proof is completed by duality and theorem I.

(1) (0) -continuity of the meet in each variable implies simultaneous (0) -continuity in the two variables. Cf. G. Birkhoff, *loc. cit.*, 30.