

# Representations of Orthocomplemented Modular Lattices

By

Fumitomo MAEDA.

(Received Sept. 30, 1949)

Let  $L$  be a complemented modular lattice of order  $n \geq 4$ , and  $\mathfrak{S}$  the auxiliary ring of  $L$ . J.v.Neumann [1]<sup>(1)</sup> has proved that  $L$  is isomorphic to the lattice  $\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$  of all principal right ideals in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , which is the set of all  $n$ -rowed square matrices with elements in  $\mathfrak{S}$ . Let  $a \rightarrow a^\perp$  be an involutory dual automorphism of  $L$ , such that  $a \leq a^\perp$  implies  $a = 0$ . Then  $a^\perp$  is the orthogonal complement of  $a$ . This dual automorphism  $a \rightarrow a^\perp$  of  $L$  induces an involutory dual automorphism  $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}^\perp$  of  $\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ .

When  $L$  is of finite dimensions (in this case  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a skew-field), Birkhoff and v.Neumann [1] has proved that there exists an involutory anti-automorphism  $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  and a definite diagonal Hermitian form  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* \varphi_i \beta_i$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$ , such that  $\varphi_i^* = \varphi_i$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* \varphi_i \alpha_i = 0$  implies  $\alpha_i = 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), and the anti-automorphism  $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  generates the given automorphism  $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}^\perp$  of  $\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ . In this case we can define an inner product

$$(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* \varphi_i \beta_i$$

of two  $n$ -dimensional vectors  $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ . And the orthogonality of  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  defined by  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = 0$ , is closely related to the orthogonal complements in  $L$ .<sup>(2)</sup>

In this paper, I shall prove that these results hold also in the general case, under the assumption that  $L$  has an orthogonal homogeneous basis of order  $n \geq 4$ .

1. Let  $L$  be an orthocomplemented modular lattice. If we denote the orthogonal complement of  $a$  by  $a^\perp$ , then  $a \rightarrow a^\perp$  is an involutory dual automorphism of  $L$ , such that  $a \leq a^\perp$  implies  $a = 0$ .

In what follows, we shall assume that  $L$  has an orthogonal homogeneous basis of order  $n \geq 4$ :

$$(a_k; k = 1, \dots, n)^\perp, \quad a_1 \cup \dots \cup a_n = 1, \\ a_k \sim a_h \quad (k, h = 1, \dots, n), \quad a_h \leq a_k^\perp \quad (k \neq h).^{(3)}$$

In this case,  $a_k^\perp = \bigvee_{h=1, h \neq k}^n a_h$ . For,  $\bigvee_{h=1, h \neq k}^n a_h \leq a_k^\perp$ , and  $a_k^\perp$  and  $\bigvee_{h=1, h \neq k}^n a_h$  are both complements of  $a_k$ .

2. Let  $(a_k, c_{kh}; k, h = 1, \dots, n)$  be a normalized frame in  $L$ , which is obtained from the orthogonal homogeneous basis  $(a_k; k = 1, \dots, n)$ , and let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be the auxiliary ring of  $L$  relative to this frame. Then  $L$  is isomorphic to  $\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ .<sup>(4)</sup> And the involutory dual automorphism  $a \rightarrow a^\perp$  of  $L$  induces a unique involutory anti-automorphism  $A \rightarrow A^*$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ .<sup>(5)</sup> and

(1) The numbers in square brackets refer to the list given at the end of this paper.  
 (2) Cf. also Birkhoff [1] 71-72.  
 (3) When  $L$  is a continuous geometry of order  $n \geq 4$  with orthogonal complements, there exists such an orthogonal homogeneous basis.  
 (4) v.Neumann [1] Theorem 14-1.  
 (5) v.Neumann [1] Theorem 4-3, Theorem 4-4.

(1°)  $A^*A=0$  implies  $A=0$ ,

(2°) for every  $A \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  there exists a unique Hermitian idempotent  $E$  such that  $(A)_r = (E)_r$ , and  $(1-E)_r$  is the orthogonal complement of  $(E)_r$ .<sup>(1)</sup>

3. To the normalized frame  $(a_k, c_{kh}; k, h=1, \dots, n)$  in  $L$ , there corresponds a normalized frame in  $\bar{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ :

$$(a_k = (E_{kk})_r, \quad c_{kh} = (E_{kk} - E_{kh})_r = (E_{hh} - E_{hk})_r; k, h=1, \dots, n),$$

$E_{kh}$  being matrices  $(\eta_{ij}{}^{kh})$ , where

$$\eta_{ij}{}^{kh} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (i,j) = (k, h), \\ 0, & \text{if } (i,j) \neq (k, h). \end{cases} \text{ (2)}$$

Since  $\sum_{h=1, h \neq k}^n (E_{hh} - E_{kh}) = 1 - E_{kk}$ ,  $E_{ll}E_{hh} = 0$  ( $l \neq h$ ), we have  $\sum_{h=1, h \neq k}^n a_h = (1 - E_{kk})_r$ . Then by 1,  $(1 - E_{kk})_r$  is the orthogonal complement of  $(E_{kk})_r$ . Hence by 2 (2°)  $E_{kk}$  ( $k=1, \dots, n$ ) are Hermitian idempotents in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ .

4. Since  $E_{kh} = E_{kk}E_{kh}$ , we have  $E_{kh}^* = E_{kh}^*E_{kk}$ . Hence if we put  $E_{kh}^* = (\zeta_{ij}{}^{kh})$ , then  $j \neq k$  implies  $\zeta_{ij}{}^{kh} = 0$ . Similarly from  $E_{kh} = E_{kh}E_{hh}$ , we have  $E_{kh}^* = E_{hh}E_{kh}^*$ . Hence  $i \neq h$  implies  $\zeta_{ij}{}^{kh} = 0$ . Consequently there exists  $\varphi_{kh}$ , such that

$$\zeta_{ij}{}^{kh} = \begin{cases} \varphi_{kh}, & \text{if } (i,j) = (h, k), \\ 0, & \text{if } (i,j) \neq (h, k). \end{cases}$$

Since  $E_{kk}E_{kh}^*E_{kh} = E_{kk}^*E_{kh} = E_{kk}$ , we have

$$\varphi_{hh}\varphi_{kh} = \varphi_{kk} = 1 \quad (k, h=1, \dots, n).$$

5. Denote by  $\mathfrak{R}(E_{11})$ , the set of all  $A$  such that  $AE_{11} = E_{11}A = A$ .  $\mathfrak{R}(E_{11})$  is a subring of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . If  $A \in \mathfrak{R}(E_{11})$ , then  $E_{11}A^* = A^*E_{11} = A^*$ , and  $A^* \in \mathfrak{R}(E_{11})$ . Therefore,  $A \rightarrow A^*$  is an involutory anti-automorphism of  $\mathfrak{R}(E_{11})$ . For  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{R}(E_{11})$ ,  $(i,j) \neq (1,1)$  implies  $a_{ij} = 0$ , and  $\mathfrak{R}(E_{11})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{S}$  by the correspondence  $A \rightarrow a_{11}$ . Hence  $A \rightarrow A^*$  induces an involutory anti-automorphism  $a \rightarrow a^*$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$ , and for  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{R}(E_{11})$ , we have  $A^* = (a_{ij}^*)$ . ( $(i,j) \neq (1,1)$  implies  $a_{ij} = a_{ij}^* = 0$ .)

6. If  $A \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , put  $A_{kh} = E_{1h}AE_{h1}$ , then  $A_{kh} \in \mathfrak{R}(E_{11})$  and  $A = \sum_{k,h} E_{k1}A_{kh}E_{1h}$ . Hence we have

$$A^* = \sum_{k,h} E_{1h}^* A_{kh}^* E_{k1}^*.$$

Let  $A = (a_{ij})$ ,  $A^* = (\gamma_{ij})$ , and put the values of  $E_{1h}^*$ ,  $E_{k1}^*$ ,  $A_{kh}^*$  obtained in 4 and 5. Then we have

$$\gamma_{ij} = \varphi_{1i} a_{j1} \varphi_{j1} \quad (i,j=1, \dots, n).$$

Put  $\varphi_i = \varphi_{i1}$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ). (Of course  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_{11} = 1$ .) Then from 4  $\varphi_{i1}\varphi_{1i} = \varphi_{1i}\varphi_{i1} = 1$ . Hence  $\varphi_{1i} = \varphi_{i1}^{-1} = \varphi_i^{-1}$ , and  $\varphi_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) are regular elements. And we have

$$\gamma_{ij} = \varphi_i^{-1} a_{j1} \varphi_j \quad (i,j=1, \dots, n).$$

Since  $E_{11}^* = E_{11}$ , we have  $\varphi_i^{-1} \varphi_i^* \varphi_i = 1$ , that is  $\varphi_i = \varphi_i^*$ . Therefore  $\varphi_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) are Hermitian elements.

7. Let  $a_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) be elements of  $\mathfrak{S}$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* \varphi_i a_i = 0$ . For  $A = (a_{ij})$ , where

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_i, & \text{if } j=1, \\ 0, & \text{if } j \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

(1) v. Neumann [1] Theorem 4.5.

(2) Cf. v. Neumann [1] 113.  $(E_{kh}; k, h=1, \dots, n)$  is a system of matrix units of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ .

we have by 6  $A^*=(\gamma_{ij})$ , where

$$\gamma_{ij}=\varphi_i^{-1}a_j^*\varphi_j=\begin{cases} a_j^*\varphi_j, & \text{if } i=1, \\ 0, & \text{if } i\neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence  $A^*A=0$ , and by 2 (1°) we have  $A=0$ . That is  $a_i=0$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ).

8. Summing up the results of 5, 6, 7, we obtain:

Corresponding to the involutory anti-automorphism  $A \rightarrow A^*$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  obtained in 2, there exists an involutory anti-automorphism  $a \rightarrow a^*$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  and a definite diagonal Hermitian form  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* \varphi_i \beta_i$ , where  $\varphi_i (i=1, \dots, n)$  are fixed Hermitian regular elements, and  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* \varphi_i a_i = 0$  implies  $a_i = 0$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ), and  $A^*=(\varphi_i^{-1}a_j^*\varphi_j)$  corresponds to  $A=(a_{ij})$ .

9. Between two  $n$ -dimensional vectors  $\mathbf{a}=(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{b}=(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ , ( $a_i, \beta_i \in \mathfrak{S}$ ), we define the inner product by

$$(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* \varphi_i \beta_i.$$

When  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=0$ , we say that  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  are orthogonal, and write  $\mathbf{a} \perp \mathbf{b}$ . And two right linear sets  $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}$  of  $n$ -dimensional vectors are said to be orthogonal, when  $\mathbf{a} \perp \mathbf{b}$  for every  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{M}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathfrak{N}$ .

The right ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and the right linear sets  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $n$ -dimensional vectors are in a one-to-one correspondence, corresponding elements being linked by the following relations:

$$(a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ if and only if } (a_{1j}, \dots, a_{nj}) \in \mathfrak{M} \text{ for } j=1, \dots, n;$$

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathfrak{M} \text{ if and only if there exists } (a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ with } a_{i1} = a_i \text{ (} i=1, \dots, n \text{)}.$$

And  $R_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$  is lattice-isomorphic to the system of all right linear sets. In this case the right linear set  $\mathfrak{M}$  corresponds to a principal right ideal  $\mathfrak{a} = ((a_{ij}))_r$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{M}$  is the set of all linear combinations of vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$  for which

$$\mathbf{a}_j = (a_{1j}, \dots, a_{nj}), \quad (j=1, \dots, n).^{(1)}$$

But by 2, for a principal right ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , there exists a Hermitian idempotent  $E$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} = (E)_r$ , and  $\mathfrak{a}^\perp = (1-E)_r$ . Let  $E = (\eta_{ij})$ , then by 8  $E^* = (\varphi_i^{-1} \eta_{ij}^* \varphi_j)$ . Therefore  $E = E^*$  and  $E^2 = E$  mean

$$\varphi_i^{-1} \eta_{ij}^* \varphi_j = \eta_{ij}, \quad \sum_k \eta_{ik} \eta_{kj} = \eta_{ij} \quad (i, j=1, \dots, n).$$

To  $\mathfrak{a} = (E)_r$  corresponds  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{a}) = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$  where

$$\mathbf{a}_j = (\eta_{1j}, \dots, \eta_{nj}) \quad (j=1, \dots, n),$$

and to  $\mathfrak{a}^\perp = (1-E)_r$  corresponds  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{a}^\perp) = (\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n)$  where

$$\mathbf{b}_j = (-\eta_{1j}, \dots, -\eta_{j-1,j}, 1-\eta_{jj}, -\eta_{j+1,j}, \dots, -\eta_{nj}) \quad (j=1, \dots, n).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } (\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_j) &= -\sum_k \eta_{ki}^* \eta_{kj} + \eta_{ij}^* \varphi_j = \varphi_i (-\sum_k \varphi_i^{-1} \eta_{ki}^* \varphi_k \eta_{kj} + \varphi_i^{-1} \eta_{ij}^* \varphi_j) \\ &= \varphi_i (-\sum_k \eta_{ik} \eta_{kj} + \eta_{ij}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, two right linear sets  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{a})$  and  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{a}^\perp)$  which correspond to  $\mathfrak{a} \in \bar{R}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$  and its orthogonal complement  $\mathfrak{a}^\perp$ , are orthogonal.

Consequently, an orthocomplemented modular lattice, which has an orthogonal homogeneous basis of order  $n \geq 4$ , is lattice-isomorphic to a system of right linear sets of  $n$ -dimensional vectors, and this correspondence preserves the orthogonal property.

(1) v. Neumann [1] 27.

**References.**

- G. Birkhoff [1], *Lattice Theory*, New York, 1940.  
G. Birkhoff and J. v. Neumann [1], *The logic of quantum mechanics*, *Annals of Math.*, **37** (1936), 823-843,  
J. v. Neumann [1] *Lectures on Continuous Geometries II*, Princeton, 1936-1937.

HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY.