

**DECOMPOSITION OF FINITE TRANSFORMATION INTO  
INFINITESIMAL TRANSFORMATIONS**

By

Minoru URABE

(Received Dec. 17, 1951)

Given the regular transformation

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{X}: 'x^\nu = \varphi^\nu(x) = a_\mu^\nu x^\mu + \dots,^{(1)}$$

where  $\det. |a_\mu^\nu| \neq 0$  and the unwritten terms are those of the second and higher orders.<sup>(2)</sup> In the previous paper<sup>(3)</sup>, we have seen that, when the eigen values  $\lambda_\nu$  of the matrix  $\|a_\mu^\nu\|$  are all either less or greater than unity, the transformation  $\mathfrak{X}$  can be expressed as the iteration of one infinitesimal transformation as follows:

$$(2) \quad 'x^\nu = \varphi^\nu(x) = e^X(x^\nu),$$

where  $X \equiv \xi^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$ . When, among  $\lambda_i$ , there does not hold any of the relations of the forms as follows:

$$(3) \quad \lambda_\nu = \lambda_1^{p_1} \lambda_2^{p_2} \dots \lambda_n^{p_n},$$

where  $n$  is a number of the variables  $x^\nu$  and  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  are non-negative integers such that  $p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n \geq 2$ , we have seen that the operator functions  $\xi^\mu$  are sought in the following way:

At first, we solve the equations of Schröder for  $\mathfrak{X}$  as follows:

$$(4) \quad f_{i\nu}^i(\varphi) = \lambda_i f_{i\nu}^i(x) + f_{i\nu-1}^i(x).^{(4)}$$

We take the matrix  $K = \|k_\nu^\mu\|$  such that  $K = \sum_{i=1}^R \sum_{l=1}^{L_i} \oplus K_i^l$ , where

$$K_i^l \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{1!} \lambda_i & \lambda_i & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2!} \lambda_i & \frac{1}{1!} \lambda_i & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{(P_i^l-1)!} \lambda_i & \frac{1}{(P_i^l-2)!} \lambda_i & \frac{1}{1!} \lambda_i & \lambda_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} (K_i^l)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda_i & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \lambda_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

1)  $a_\mu^\nu x^\mu$  means  $\sum a_\mu^\nu x^\mu$ . In the following, as here, we use this convention of tensor calculus.  
 2) In the following, we agree that the unwritten terms in the expansion formulae denote the terms of the higher orders than those written explicitly.  
 3) M. Urabe, *Equations of Schröder (Continued)*, Jour. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. 15 (1952), pp. 203-233.  
 4) For the notations of indices, cf., M. Urabe, *ibid.*

Put  $f^\mu = k^\mu_\nu g^\nu$ , then  $\xi^\nu$  are determined by the equations as follows :

$$(5) \quad Xg_{i_p}^t \equiv \xi^\mu \frac{\partial g_{i_p}^t}{\partial x^\mu} = \mu_i g_{i_p}^t + g_{i_p}^{t-1},$$

where  $\mu_i = \log \lambda_i$ . Consequently  $\xi^\nu$  become of the forms as follows :

$$(6) \quad \xi^\nu = c_\nu^\rho x^\rho + \dots\dots.$$

In this paper, we consider the general case where the conditions on the eigen values  $\lambda_\nu$  of  $\|a_\nu^\mu\|$  are not assumed.

We take a sufficiently large positive number  $c'$  and put  $\lambda'_\nu = e^{-c'} \lambda_\nu$ , then we may assume that  $0 < |\lambda'_\nu| < 1$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Besides, if we take a sufficiently large positive number  $c''$  and put  $\lambda''_\nu = e^{-c''} \lambda'_\nu$ , then, among  $\lambda''_\nu$  there does not hold any of the relations of the form (3). For, if there holds some one of the relations of the form (3), then we have

$$e^{-c''} \lambda''_\nu = (e^{-c''} \lambda'_1)^{p_1} (e^{-c''} \lambda'_2)^{p_2} \dots\dots (e^{-c''} \lambda'_n)^{p_n}.$$

Then it follows that

$$e^{c''(p_1+p_2+\dots+p_n-1)} \lambda''_\nu = \lambda'_1{}^{p_1} \lambda'_2{}^{p_2} \dots\dots \lambda'_n{}^{p_n},$$

consequently

$$|e^{c''} \lambda''_\nu| \leq |e^{c''(p_1+p_2+\dots+p_n-1)} \lambda''_\nu| < 1.$$

However, this does not hold for a sufficiently large positive number  $c''$ . Thus, putting  $c=c'+c''$ , we see that, if we take a sufficiently large positive number  $c$  and put  $\lambda_\nu = e^{-c} \lambda_\nu$ , then  $0 < |\lambda_\nu| < 1$  and, among  $\lambda_\nu$ , there does not hold any of the relations of the form (3).

Taking such  $c$ , we consider the linear transformation  $\mathfrak{L}$  as follows :

$$\mathfrak{L} : \quad 'x^\nu = e^c x^\nu.$$

Put  $\mathfrak{L}^{-1} = \mathfrak{X}_0$ , then it follows that

$$\mathfrak{X}_0 : \quad 'x^\nu = \varphi_0^\nu(x) = \varphi^\nu(e^{-c}x) = e^{-c} a_\nu^\mu x^\mu + \dots\dots.$$

Now the eigen values of the matrix  $\|e^{-c} a_\nu^\mu\|$  are evidently  $\lambda''_\nu = e^{-c} \lambda_\nu$ . Then, from the above results, we see that

$$(7) \quad 'x^\nu = \varphi_0^\nu(x) = e^{X_0}(x^\nu),$$

where  $X_0 \equiv \xi_0^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$  and  $\xi_0^\mu$  are determined by (5) corresponding to  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ , consequently they are of the forms (6). Now it is easily seen that  $\mathfrak{L}$  can be expressed as follows :

$$(8) \quad 'x^\nu = e^c x^\nu = e^{D}(x^\nu),$$

where  $D$  is the operator of the group of dilatations, i. e.  $D = x^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$ . Thus, from  $\mathfrak{T} = \mathfrak{T}_0 \mathfrak{Q}$ , we see that

$$\mathfrak{T}: \quad 'x^\nu = \varphi^\nu(x) = \varphi_0^\nu(e^c x) = e^{cD} \varphi_0^\nu(x) = e^{cD} e^{X_0 c} (x^\nu).$$

Thus we have

**Theorem.** *Any finite transformation  $\mathfrak{T}$  of the form (1) can be expressed as the product of two transformations, each of which is an iteration of the infinitesimal transformation, as follows:*

$$\mathfrak{T}: \quad 'x^\nu = \varphi^\nu(x) = e^{cD} e^{X_0 c} (x^\nu),$$

where  $c$  is a suitable large positive number and  $D$  is the operator of the group of dilatations and  $X_0$  is the operator of the suitable group with the regular operator functions.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY.