

Some Remarks on Zariski Rings

By

Hazimu SATÔ

(Received Aug. 30, 1956)

Introduction. Given a Noetherian ring A with identity and an ideal m of A such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} m^n = (0)$, we may topologize A by adopting $\{m^n; n=1, 2, \dots\}$ as a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of zero. This topologized ring is usually referred to as an m -adic ring, and is called a Zariski ring if its ideals are all closed. An m -adic ring is a Zariski ring if and only if m is contained in its Jacobson radical, that is to say, the intersection of all its maximal ideals. In this note, unless otherwise stated, A will denote an m -adic Zariski ring and a, b, p, q ideals of A ; \hat{A} will denote the completion of A and \hat{p}, \hat{q} ideals of \hat{A} .

Now the following properties (α) , (β) and (γ) are usually derived from the property $(a : cA)\hat{A} = a\hat{A} : c\hat{A}$ ($c \in A$) ([11], p. 353, Lemma 1; [9], p. 9, Proposition 1).

(α) $(a \cap b)\hat{A} = a\hat{A} \cap b\hat{A}$ ([4], p. 54, Theorem 1).

(β) $(a : b)\hat{A} = a\hat{A} : b\hat{A}$.

(γ) Let q be p -primary and \hat{p} be any prime divisor of $q\hat{A}$, then $\hat{p} \cap A = p$ ([1], p. 699, Proposition 6; [9], p. 9, Corollary 2).

(β) is proved as follows: $a : b = a : (b_1, \dots, b_n) = (a : b_1A) \cap \dots \cap (a : b_nA)$, so $(a : b)\hat{A} = (a\hat{A} : b_1\hat{A}) \cap \dots \cap (a\hat{A} : b_n\hat{A}) = a\hat{A} : b\hat{A}$.

Hence, from (α) and (β) , we see that the mapping $a \rightarrow a\hat{A}$ is an isomorphism with respect to all the ideal-operations $(+, \cdot, :, \cap)$.

In § 1 we shall consider some relations between the prime divisors of a and those of $a\hat{A}$. For proof, in addition to the above-mentioned properties, the following fact will be used: In a Noetherian ring with identity, a prime ideal p is a prime divisor of a if and only if $p = a : (p)$ for some $p \notin a$.

In § 2, as an application of the results obtained in § 1, the so-called transition theorem on lengths of primary ideals will be given for Zariski rings.

In § 3, by making use of Krull's Primidealkettensatz, some relations between maximal chains of prime ideals in A and those in \hat{A} will be con-

sidered, and factorability will also be considered.

The writer wishes to express his hearty thanks to Mr. M. Yoshida for his discussions during the preparation of this paper.

§ 1. Prime divisors.

The following lemma is not new. But it plays an important role in this note, so we shall begin with it.

Lemma 1. *Let R be a Noetherian ring with identity and \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R . Then a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R is a prime divisor of \mathfrak{a} if and only if $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{a}:(p)$ for some $p \notin \mathfrak{a}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n$ be a normal decomposition and \mathfrak{q}_i be \mathfrak{p}_i -primary ($i=1, \dots, n$). Take an element r such that $r \in \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_{i-1} \cap \mathfrak{q}_{i+1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n$ and $r \notin \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n$, then $r \notin \mathfrak{q}_i$ and $\mathfrak{a}:(r)=\mathfrak{q}_i:(r)$. Since $\mathfrak{q}_i:(r)$ is \mathfrak{p}_i -primary we shall have $\mathfrak{p}_i=\mathfrak{q}_i:(rr')$ for a suitable element r' . This shows that \mathfrak{p}_i is of the form: $\mathfrak{p}_i=\mathfrak{a}:(p)$ ($p \notin \mathfrak{a}$). Conversely, let $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{a}:(p)$ ($p \notin \mathfrak{a}$), then $\mathfrak{p}=(\mathfrak{q}_1:(p)) \cap \cdots \cap (\mathfrak{q}_n:(p))$. Since $\mathfrak{q}_i:(p)$ is \mathfrak{p}_i -primary or unit ideal ($i=1, \dots, n$), we may conclude that $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{q}_i:(p)$ and $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i .

From this lemma we may obtain the following:

Lemma 2. *Let \mathfrak{p} be any prime divisor of \mathfrak{a} . Then every prime divisor of $\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}$ is also a prime divisor of $\mathfrak{a}\hat{A}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{a}:pA$, then $\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}=\mathfrak{a}\hat{A}:p\hat{A}$. If $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a prime divisor of $\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}$, then $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}:\hat{p}\hat{A}$. So $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathfrak{a}\hat{A}:p\hat{p}\hat{A}$; that is, $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a prime divisor of $\mathfrak{a}\hat{A}$.

We want to prove the converse of this lemma, and for this purpose it is enough to prove the following:

Lemma 3. *Let \mathfrak{q} be a \mathfrak{p} -primary ideal. Then $\mathfrak{q}\hat{A}$ and $\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}$ have the same prime divisors.*

Proof. Denote by s the length of \mathfrak{q} . For $s=1$ ($\mathfrak{q}=\mathfrak{p}$) the lemma is trivial. Assume then that the lemma is proved for \mathfrak{p} -primary ideals of lengths less than s . We may further assume that $\mathfrak{q}=(0)$; then we have $\mathfrak{p}=(0):pA$, where $p \neq 0$, $p \in \mathfrak{p}$. Let r be an element of A such that $r \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and that $\mathfrak{q}_1=pA:rA$ is the isolated \mathfrak{p} -primary component of pA , and let $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_n$ be the prime divisors of $\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}$. Then by our inductive hypothesis $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_n$ are the prime divisors of $\mathfrak{q}_1\hat{A}$. Now let $(0)=\hat{\mathfrak{q}}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \hat{\mathfrak{q}}_n \cap \hat{\mathfrak{q}}_{n+1} \cap \cdots \cap \hat{\mathfrak{q}}_{n+m}$ be a

normal decomposition of the zero ideal of \hat{A} and \hat{q}_i be \hat{p}_i -primary ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$) (Lemma 2), where it may be assumed that $q_1\hat{A} \supseteq \hat{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \hat{q}_n$. To prove the lemma it is sufficient to show that $\hat{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \hat{q}_n \subseteq (0)$. Let \hat{a} be any element of $\hat{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \hat{q}_n$. Then we have $\hat{a} \in q_1\hat{A}$, and hence $r\hat{a} = p\hat{a}_1$, because $q_1\hat{A} = p\hat{A} : r\hat{A}$. On the other hand, from the facts that $\hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_n$ are the prime divisors of $p\hat{A}$ and $p\hat{A} = (0) : p\hat{A}$, we may conclude that $p\hat{A} = (\hat{q}_1 : p\hat{A}) \cap \dots \cap (\hat{q}_n : p\hat{A})$ is a normal decomposition of $p\hat{A}$ (here, $(\hat{q}_{n+1} : p\hat{A}) \cap \dots \cap (\hat{q}_{n+m} : p\hat{A})$ can be omitted). Since $\hat{a} \in \hat{q}_i$, $r\hat{a} = p\hat{a}_1 \in \hat{q}_i$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$); so $\hat{a}_1 \in p\hat{A}$, and $p\hat{a}_1 = r\hat{a} = 0$. From this we have $\hat{a} = 0$, because $r \notin p$, and this completes the proof of this lemma.

We now have

Theorem 1. *Let p be any prime divisor of a . Then every prime divisor of $p\hat{A}$ is also a prime divisor of $a\hat{A}$, and conversely, any prime divisor of $a\hat{A}$ is a prime divisor of $p\hat{A}$ for some prime divisor p of a . Moreover, if p is isolated, then every isolated prime divisor of $p\hat{A}$ is also an isolated prime divisor of $a\hat{A}$, and conversely, any isolated prime divisor of $a\hat{A}$ is an isolated prime divisor of $p\hat{A}$ for some isolated prime divisor p of a .*

To prove the second part, let \hat{p} be any isolated prime divisor of $a\hat{A}$, then $a = a\hat{A} \cap A \subseteq \hat{p} \cap A$. So $\hat{p} \cap A$ will contain an isolated prime divisor p of a . Then $p\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{p}$, and \hat{p} will coincide with an isolated prime divisor of $p\hat{A}$. Conversely, let p be any isolated prime divisor of a , then it will be seen easily from (γ) that every isolated prime divisor of $p\hat{A}$ is also an isolated prime divisor of $a\hat{A}$.

Lemma 4. *Let q be p -primary and $\hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_n$ be the prime divisors of $p\hat{A}$. Let $q\hat{A} = \hat{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \hat{q}_n$ be a normal decomposition of $q\hat{A}$ and \hat{q}_i be \hat{p}_i -primary ($i=1, \dots, n$). Then we have $\hat{q}_i \cap A = q$ ($i=1, \dots, n$).*

Proof. If $q \subset \hat{q}_i \cap A$, then there exists an element p such that $p \notin q$, $p \in \hat{q}_i \cap A$. Since $q' = q : pA$ is p -primary, \hat{p}_i must be a prime divisor of $q'\hat{A}$ by Lemma 3. On the other hand, $q'\hat{A} = q\hat{A} : p\hat{A} = (\hat{q}_1 : p\hat{A}) \cap \dots \cap (\hat{q}_n : p\hat{A})$, so we have a normal decomposition of $q'\hat{A}$ by shortening this representation, and we see that \hat{p}_i -component has vanished in it. This is a contradiction.

§ 2. The transition theorem.

Let q_1 and q_2 ($q_2 \subset q_1$) be \mathfrak{p} -primary such that no further \mathfrak{p} -primary ideal can be inserted between q_1 and q_2 . Let $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ be an isolated prime divisor of $\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}$, and let \hat{q}_0 , \hat{q}_1 and \hat{q}_2 be the isolated $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -primary components of $\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}$, $q_1\hat{A}$ and $q_2\hat{A}$ respectively. Then we shall show that $L(\hat{q}_2) - L(\hat{q}_1) = L(\hat{q}_0)$.¹⁾

By Lemma 4, $\hat{q}_1 \cap A = q_1$, $\hat{q}_2 \cap A = q_2$. Let \hat{q} be $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -primary such that $\hat{q}_2 \subseteq \hat{q} \subset \hat{q}_1$. Then it will be easily seen that $\hat{q} \cap A = q_2$. On the other hand, let q be an element of q_1 which does not belong to q_2 . As $q_2 : qA$ is \mathfrak{p} -primary, we have $\mathfrak{p} = q_2 : qq'A$ for a suitable element q' . This shows that, for a suitable element p of q_1 , $\mathfrak{p} = q_2 : pA$. From this $\mathfrak{p}\hat{A} = q_2\hat{A} : p\hat{A}$, and consequently $\hat{q}_0 = \hat{q}_2 : p\hat{A}$.

Now, since $p \notin q_2$ and $p \in q_1$, $\hat{q}_2 + p\hat{A}$ has \hat{q}_1 as the $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -primary component. Passing to $(\hat{A}/\hat{q}_2)_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}/\hat{q}_2}$, our assertion is reduced to the following:

Lemma 5. *Let R be a Noetherian primary ring with identity, and let q_0, q_1 ($q_1 \subset q_0$) be ideals of R such that $q_1 = (r)$ and $q_0 = (0) : (r)$ with a non-zero element r . Then $L(q_0) = L(0) - L(q_1)$.*

Proof. Consider q_1 as R -module. Then the annihilator of q_1 is q_0 . So q_1 may be regarded as a free R/q_0 -module, and from this our assertion follows.

The result obtained above now enables us to give readily the transition theorem on the lengths of primary ideals of a Zariski ring, which we shall state in the following form.

Theorem 2. *Let q be \mathfrak{p} -primary and $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ be an isolated prime divisor of $\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}$. Then $L(q)L(\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}) = L(q\hat{A}_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}})$.²⁾*

We proceed with the same notations as in Theorem 2. Then the \mathfrak{p} -primary component of q^n is $q^{(n)}$, and $q^n\hat{A} = q^{(n)}\hat{A} \cap \dots$. Since, by Theorem 1, the $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -primary component of $q^{(n)}\hat{A}$ is also the $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -primary component of $q^n\hat{A}$ and since, if \hat{q} is the $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -primary component of $q\hat{A}$, $\hat{q}^{(n)}$ is the $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -primary component of $q^n\hat{A}$, so $\hat{q}^{(n)}$ is also the $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -primary component of $q^{(n)}\hat{A}$. Hence, from Theorem 2, we have $L(q^{(n)})L(\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}) = L(\hat{q}^{(n)})$. Then an important theorem of P. Samuel ([8], Chap. 1, Theorem 11, Chap. 2, Theorem 1,3; [9], Chap. 2,

1) By $L(q)$ is meant the length of a primary ideal q .

2) M. Nagata reported without proof in ([5], pp. 237-238) that the transition theorem holds good in a general local ring.

Sec. 3) shows that, for sufficiently large values of n , $L(\mathfrak{q}^{(n)})(L(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^{(n)}))$ is equal to a polynomial in n . The degree of this polynomial is equal to the rank r of \mathfrak{p} (rank r' of $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$) and its leading term has the form $en^r/r!(e'n^{r'}/r'!)$, where $e(e')$ is a non-zero integer. If we write $e=e(\mathfrak{q})(e'=e(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}))$, then $e(\mathfrak{q})(e(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}))$ is called the *multiplicity* of $\mathfrak{q}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$. We now have

Corollary. *Let \mathfrak{q} be \mathfrak{p} -primary and $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}$ be the $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -primary component of $\mathfrak{q}\hat{A}$, where $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an isolated prime divisor of $\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}$. Then $e(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})=L(\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}})e(\mathfrak{q})$ and $\text{rank } \mathfrak{p}=\text{rank } \hat{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

To our last assertion concerning on the rank we shall, in the next section, give another proof which depends on the Krull's Primidealkettensatz and on its converse.

§ 3. Maximal chains of prime ideals and the factorability.

From Krull's Primidealkettensatz ([7], p. 60, Theorem 7) and the results obtained in § 1, we derive the following:

Proposition 1. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal, then every isolated prime divisor of $\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}$ has the same rank as \mathfrak{p} .³⁾*

Proof. Denote by r the rank of \mathfrak{p} , then it is possible to find r elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r of A such that \mathfrak{p} is an isolated prime divisor of $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)A$. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ be any isolated prime divisor of $\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}$, then, by Theorem 1, $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ is also an isolated prime divisor of $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)\hat{A}$. Hence we have $\text{rank } \hat{\mathfrak{p}} \leqq r$. On the other hand, there exists a prime ideal chain in A such that $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{p}_r = \mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathfrak{p}_{r-1}\hat{A} \subset \hat{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ will contain an isolated prime divisor $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_{r-1}$ of $\mathfrak{p}_{r-1}\hat{A}$. Since $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_{r-1} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_{r-1}$, $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ contains $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_{r-1}$ properly. If we proceed in this way, we shall see that $r \leqq \text{rank } \hat{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Corollary. $\text{rank } \mathfrak{a} = \text{rank } \mathfrak{a}\hat{A}$.

Lemma 6. *Let \mathfrak{p}_1 and \mathfrak{p}_2 ($\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}_2$) be prime such that $\text{rank } \mathfrak{p}_2/\mathfrak{p}_1 = 1$, and let $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_2$ be any isolated prime divisor of $\mathfrak{p}_2\hat{A}$. Then $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_2$ contains an isolated prime divisor $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_1$ of $\mathfrak{p}_1\hat{A}$ such that $\text{rank } \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_2/\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 = 1$.*

3) In the latest issue of Nagoya Math. J., which was published in June 1956, M. Nagata ([6], p. 53, Lemma 2.1) gave our Proposition 1 in the case where A is semi-local. But his proof depends essentially on the result which is to be given in his forthcoming paper.

Proof. Since $\hat{A}/\mathfrak{p}_1\hat{A}$ is the completion of $(\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{p}_1)/\mathfrak{p}_1$ -adic Zariski ring A/\mathfrak{p}_1 , our assertion follows easily from Proposition 1.

Corollary. Let $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}_r$ be a chain of prime ideals such that $\text{rank } \mathfrak{p}_i/\mathfrak{p}_{i-1} = 1$ ($i=1, \dots, r$). Then there exists a chain of prime ideals $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_0 \subset \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_r$ such that $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ and $\text{rank } \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_i/\hat{\mathfrak{p}}_{i-1} = 1$ ($i=1, \dots, r$).

We consider now a maximal chain of prime ideals in $A : \mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}_d$, that is, \mathfrak{p}_d is maximal, \mathfrak{p}_0 is of rank zero and $\text{rank } \mathfrak{p}_i/\mathfrak{p}_{i-1} = 1$ ($i=1, \dots, d$). Then, by Lemma 6, there exists a maximal chain of prime ideals in $\hat{A} : \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_0 \subset \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \hat{\mathfrak{p}}_d$ ($= \mathfrak{p}_d\hat{A}$), such that each $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ lies over \mathfrak{p} . Hence, if we denote by (M) the following property:

(M) All the maximal chains of prime ideals have the same length,

then we have

Theorem 3. If \hat{A} has (M), so also has A .

Now, by a theorem due to I. S. Cohen ([2], Theorem 19), every complete local domain has the property (M). So, by virtue of Theorem 3, every maximal chain of prime ideals in an analytically irreducible local ring A , in particular, in a regular local ring, has the same length as the dimension of A .

Finally, as another application of Theorem 1, we shall prove the following:

Proposition 2. If \hat{A} is a unique factorization domain, so also is A .⁴⁾

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that any minimal prime ideal of A is principal. Let \mathfrak{p} be a minimal prime ideal, and take a non-zero element p of \mathfrak{p} . Since \hat{A} is a unique factorization domain, every prime divisor of $p\hat{A}$ is a minimal prime ideal; hence, by Theorem 1, every prime divisor of $\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}$ is also a minimal prime ideal, and consequently $\mathfrak{p}\hat{A}$ is principal; so \mathfrak{p} is principal ([10], p. 3, Theorem 1) and this completes the proof.

4) Proposition 2 was proved by Krull ([3], p. 236, Satz 8) in the case where A is a regular local ring.

References

- [1] C. Chevalley, *On the theory of local rings*, Ann. of Math., **44** (1943), 690–708.
- [2] I. S. Cohen, *On the structure and ideal theory of complete local rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **59** (1946), 54–106.
- [3] W. Krull, *Zur Theorie der kommutativen Integritätsbereiche*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **192** (1954), 230–252.
- [4] M. Nagata, *Some remarks on local rings*, Nagoya Math. J., **6** (1953), 53–58.
- [5] ———, *The theory of multiplicity in general local rings*, Sugaku, **7** (1956), 237–238 (in Japanese).
- [6] ———, *On the chain problem of prime ideals*, Nagoya Math. J., **10** (1956), 51–64.
- [7] D. G. Northcott, *Ideal theory*, Camb. Tracts, No. **42** (1953).
- [8] P. Samuel, *La notion de multiplicité en algèbre et en géométrie algébrique*, J. Math. pures et appl., **30** (1951), 159–274.
- [9] ———, *Algèbre locale*, Mémor. Sci. Math., No. **123** (1953).
- [10] M. Yoshida, *A theorem on Zariski rings*, Canadian J. Math., **8** (1956), 3–4.
- [11] O. Zariski, *Analytical irreducibility of normal varieties*, Ann. of Math., **49** (1948), 352–361.

Shinonome Branch,
Hiroshima University.