

## Distinguished Elements in a Space of Distributions

Ken-ichi MIYAZAKI

(Received September 20, 1960)

1. Let  $E$  be a space of distributions on  $R^n$ , i.e. a locally convex space which is contained in  $(\mathcal{D}')$  as a linear subspace with a finer topology: that is to say, the injection  $i: E \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}')$  is continuous.

DEFINITION 1. A distribution  $T \in E$  is called *distinguished*, if there exists a sequence of positive numbers  $\{\lambda_p\}$  such that  $\{\lambda_p D^p T\}$  converges to zero in  $E$  as  $|p| \rightarrow \infty$ . This is equivalent to saying that there exists a bounded sequence of positive numbers  $\{\lambda_p\}$  such that  $\{\lambda_p D^p T\}$  is bounded in  $E$ . We denote by  $E^d$  the set of distinguished elements of  $E$ .

With slight modifications of the proof of Theorem 4 of [6] we can show that any distinguished element of  $E$  belongs to  $(\mathcal{E})$ .

DEFINITION 2. Let  $E, F$  be two spaces of distributions such that  $F \subset E$ . If the conditions  $E^d = F$  and  $F^d = F$  are satisfied, then we say that  $(E, F)$  makes a *distinguished pair*.

In this paper we give a fairly general criterion for distinguished elements available for usual spaces of distributions.

Throughout this paper we adopt the notations of L. Schwartz [7] unless otherwise specifically mentioned.

THEOREM 1. Let  $E, F$  be two spaces of distributions satisfying the following conditions (1), (2), (3):

(1)  $F$  is a quasi-complete space contained in  $(\mathcal{E})$  with the continuous injection  $F \rightarrow (\mathcal{E})$ .

(2)  $F$  has a fundamental system of  $(\mathcal{E})$ -closed absolutely convex neighbourhoods of  $O$  in  $F$ , that is to say,  $F$  has a fundamental system of absolutely convex neighbourhoods of  $O$  closed in the topology induced by  $(\mathcal{E})$ .

(3) For any element  $S \in E$ ,  $\varphi \in (\mathcal{D})$ , we have  $S * \varphi \in F$  and the mapping  $(S, \varphi) \rightarrow S * \varphi$  of  $E \times (\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow F$  is separately continuous.

Then any distinguished element  $T$  of  $E$  belongs to  $F$ , and the sequence  $\{T * \rho_k\}$  converges to  $T$  in  $F$ , where  $\{\rho_k\}$  is any sequence of regularizations ( $\rho_k \in (\mathcal{D})$ ,  $\check{\rho}_k = \rho_k$ ,  $\rho_k \geq O$ ,  $\int \rho_k(x) dx = 1$ , and the support of  $\rho_k$  tends to  $O$  in  $R^n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ).

PROOF.  $\{\rho_k\}$  is bounded in  $(\mathcal{D}')$ , so that we may assume that there exists a ball  $K$  with center  $O$  in  $R^n$  which contains the supports of  $\rho_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $V$  be any given  $(\mathcal{E})$ -closed absolutely convex neighbourhood of  $O$  in  $F$ ,  $B$  a bounded set of  $E$  which absorbs each derivative  $D^p T$ . Such a  $B$  exists from the definition of distinguished element  $T$ . Then the condition (3) guarantees

the existence of a neighbourhood  $U$  of zero in  $(\mathcal{D}_{2K})$  such that

$$(4) \quad B*U \subset V$$

where  $U$  is written in the form  $U=U_s \cap (\mathcal{D}_{2K})$  with a neighbourhood  $U_s$  of zero in a  $(\mathcal{D}_{2K}^s)$ ,  $s$  being a positive integer. We note that  $\{\rho_k\}$  is bounded in  $(\mathcal{D}_K^{s_0})$ .

Choose a parametrix  $u \in (\mathcal{D}_K^s)$  of an iterated Laplacian  $\Delta^p$  for an appropriate positive integer  $p$ ;  $\delta=\Delta^p u + \xi$ ,  $\xi \in (\mathcal{D}_K)$ . Since  $(\mathcal{D}_K)$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{D}_K^s)$ , it follows that we can find a sequence of functions  $u_i \in (\mathcal{D}_K^s)$ , tending to  $u$  in  $(\mathcal{D}_K^s)$ . Hence we have for any  $k$

$$(5) \quad u_i * \rho_k \rightarrow u * \rho_k \text{ in } (\mathcal{D}_{2K}) \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (4) it follows that  $\{\Delta^p T * u_i\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $F_V$ , hence there exists a positive number  $N$  such that for every positive numbers  $i, j > N$  we have

$$(6) \quad \Delta^p T * u_i - \Delta^p T * u_j \in V.$$

Since  $\Delta^p T$  belongs to  $(\mathcal{E})$  (as remarked after Def. 1) and the application  $(f, S) \rightarrow f*S$  of  $(\mathcal{E}) \times (\mathcal{E}') \rightarrow (\mathcal{E})$  is hypocontinuous,  $\Delta^p T * u_i$  tends to  $\Delta^p T * u$  in  $(\mathcal{E})$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then we have for a sufficiently large  $i$

$$(7) \quad \Delta^p T * u_i - \Delta^p T * u \in \bar{V}, \text{ the closure of } V \text{ in } (\mathcal{E}).$$

From (4) and (5) we have also for sufficiently large  $i$  depending on  $k$

$$(8) \quad \Delta^p T * (u_i * \rho_k) - \Delta^p T * (u * \rho_k) \in V.$$

On the other hand, since  $u_i * \rho_k \rightarrow u_i$  uniformly in  $(\mathcal{D}_{2K}^s)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$(9) \quad \Delta^p T * u_i - \Delta^p T * u_i * \rho_k \in V \text{ for a sufficiently large } k, \text{ and}$$

$$(10) \quad T * \xi - T * \xi * \rho_k \in V \text{ for a sufficiently large } k.$$

Estimating  $T - T * \rho_k$  by means of (7), (8), (9) and (10) we have for a sufficiently large  $k$

$$\begin{aligned} T - T * \rho_k &= T * (\Delta^p u + \xi) - T * (\Delta^p u + \xi) * \rho_k \\ &= (\Delta^p T * u - \Delta^p T * u * \rho_k) + (T * \xi - T * \xi * \rho_k) \\ &= (\Delta^p T * u - \Delta^p T * u_i) + (\Delta^p T * u_i - \Delta^p T * u_i * \rho_k) \\ &\quad + (\Delta^p T * u_i * \rho_k - \Delta^p T * u * \rho_k) + (T * \xi - T * \xi * \rho_k) \\ &\in \bar{V} + V + V + V \subset 4\bar{V}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have for sufficiently large  $k, k'$

$$T * \rho_k - T * \rho_{k'} \in 8\bar{V} \cap F = 8V$$

and consequently  $\{T*\rho_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $F$ , hence by the quasi-completeness of  $F$ , there exists a distribution  $S \in F$  such that  $T*\rho_k \rightarrow S$  in  $F$ .

On the other hand, since  $T \in (\mathcal{E})$ , we have

$$T*\rho_k \rightarrow T \text{ in } (\mathcal{E}).$$

Therefore  $S = T$ . This completes the proof.

Next, before giving several examples of distinguished pairs, we shall give some remarks on the conditions of the theorem.

1° Any space of type  $(F)$  has the first countability property of Mackey, i.e. for any given sequence of bounded subsets  $A_k$  there exists a sequence of positive numbers  $\lambda_k$  such that the union of  $\lambda_k A_k$  is bounded [3; p. 69]. Hence if  $E$  is a space of type  $(F)$  and  $D^p T \in E$  for any  $p$ , then  $T$  is distinguished, hence moreover if  $E$  is stable under differentiations every distribution of  $E$  is distinguished.

2° If  $F$  has a fundamental system of  $(\mathcal{D}')$ -closed absolutely convex neighbourhoods  $\{U\}$  of 0, then it is clear that  $U$  is  $(\mathcal{E})$ -closed.

3° Suppose  $E*(\mathcal{D}) \subset F$ . If  $E$  is barrelled and  $F$  is a permitted space or its dual, then the bilinear mapping  $(T, \varphi) \rightarrow T*\varphi$  of  $E \times (\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow F$  becomes hypocontinuous [10; p. 21].

4° Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be any bounded subset of  $E$ . If there exists a sequence of positive numbers  $\lambda_p$  such that the union of  $\lambda_p D^p \mathfrak{A}$  is bounded, then under the conditions (1), (2) and (3)  $T*\rho_k \rightarrow T$  uniformly as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  when  $T$  runs through  $\mathfrak{A}$ . In fact, our proof of the theorem is applied to this case with necessary modifications.

2. Examples. We shall show that each of the following pairs forms a distinguished pair:  $((\mathcal{D}'), (\mathcal{E}))$ ,  $((\mathcal{O}_M), (\mathcal{O}_c))$ ,  $((\mathcal{S}'), (\mathcal{O}_c))$ ,  $((\mathcal{B}'), (\mathcal{B}))$ ,  $((\mathcal{D}'_{LP}), (\mathcal{D}_{LP}))$  (for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ),  $((\mathcal{O}'_c), (\mathcal{S}))$ ,  $((\mathcal{E}'), (\mathcal{D}))$ .

The spaces  $(\mathcal{E})$ ,  $(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}_{LP})$  (for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ),  $(\mathcal{S})$  are spaces of type  $(F)$  stable under differentiations. Therefore by the remark 1°, we have  $(\mathcal{E})^d = (\mathcal{E})$ ,  $(\mathcal{B})^d = (\mathcal{B})$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}_{LP})^d = (\mathcal{D}_{LP})$  (for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ),  $(\mathcal{S})^d = (\mathcal{S})$ . Any element of  $(\mathcal{D})$  is an element of some  $(\mathcal{D}_K)$ ,  $K$  being a compact subset of  $R^n$ .  $(\mathcal{D}_K)$  is a space of type  $(F)$ , so that  $(\mathcal{D})^d = (\mathcal{D})$ . Next we shall show that  $(\mathcal{O}_c)^d = (\mathcal{O}_c)$ . Before this we note that the space  $(\mathcal{O}_c)$ , the dual of  $(\mathcal{O}'_c)$ , consists of the elements written in the form  $S*\varphi$ ,  $S \in (\mathcal{S}')$ ,  $\varphi \in (\mathcal{S})$ .  $(\mathcal{O}'_c)$  is the space of convolution operators:  $(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S})$ , with the topology induced by  $\mathcal{L}_b((\mathcal{S}), (\mathcal{S}))$ . This induced topology coincides with the topology of simple convergence, that is, the topology of  $\mathcal{L}_s((\mathcal{S}), (\mathcal{S}))$ . In fact, any neighbourhood of zero in  $(\mathcal{O}'_c)$  contains a neighbourhood of the form  $\{T : |\langle T*A, A' \rangle| \leq 1\}$  with a bounded set  $A$  of  $(\mathcal{S})$  and an equicontinuous set  $A'$  of  $(\mathcal{S}')$ . If we can show that  $A$  may be written in the form  $A = B*g$  with a bounded set  $B$  in  $(\mathcal{S})$  and a function  $g$  of  $(\mathcal{S})$ , our assertion is through. This follows from the following

LEMMA 1. Any bounded set  $A$  of  $(\mathcal{S})$  can be written in the form  $A = B*g$  or

$A=Bg$ , where  $B$  is a bounded set of  $(\mathcal{S})$  and  $g$  is an element of  $(\mathcal{S})$ .

PROOF. Fourier transformation shows us that it suffices to show that  $A$  may be written in the form  $A=Bg$  with the described properties.  $\sup_{\varphi \in A} |D^{\vec{p}}\varphi|^{1/k}$  is a rapidly decreasing function for any  $\vec{p}$  and  $k$ . Since Mackey's first countability axiom holds in any space of type  $(F)$ , we can choose a sequence of positive numbers  $\lambda_{\vec{p},k}$  such that  $\lambda_{\vec{p},k} \sup_{\varphi \in A} |D^{\vec{p}}\varphi|^{1/k}$  is uniformly rapidly decreasing. Then it follows from a lemma of Chevalley [2; p. 127] that there exists a strict positive function  $g \in (\mathcal{S})$  such that  $\lambda_{\vec{p},k} \sup_{\varphi \in A} |D^{\vec{p}}\varphi|^{1/k} \leq g$ . Hence

$$|D^{\vec{p}}\varphi/g^{k-1}| \leq g/\lambda_{\vec{p},k}^k$$

On the other hand  $D^{\vec{p}}(\varphi/g)$  is written in the form

$$P(\varphi, D^1\varphi, \dots, D^{\vec{p}}\varphi, g, D^1g, \dots, D^{\vec{p}}g)/g^{|\vec{p}|+1}$$

where  $P(\dots)$  is a polynomial linear with respect to  $\varphi, D^1\varphi, \dots, D^{\vec{p}}\varphi$ . Therefore  $|D^{\vec{p}}(\varphi/g)| \leq M_{\vec{p}}g$  with constants  $M_{\vec{p}}$  independent of elements of  $A$ , hence we can write  $A$  in the form  $A=Bg$ . This completes the proof.

Suppose  $E$  and  $F$  be locally convex spaces. There is in an obvious way an isomorphism between the dual of  $\mathcal{L}_s(E, F)$  and the set  $E \otimes F'$  [1; p. 77]. Applying this fact to  $\mathcal{L}_s((\mathcal{S}), (\mathcal{S}))$ , any element of  $(\mathcal{O}_c)$  is written in the form  $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i * \varphi_i$  where  $S_i \in (\mathcal{S}')$ ,  $\varphi_i \in (\mathcal{S})$ . Owing to Lemma 1, we can find a  $\varphi \in (\mathcal{S})$  such that  $\varphi_i = \psi_i * \varphi$ ,  $\psi_i$  being in  $(\mathcal{S})$ . Therefore any element of  $(\mathcal{O}_c)$  is of the form  $S * \varphi$ ,  $S \in (\mathcal{S}')$ ,  $\varphi \in (\mathcal{S})$ . The converse is evident.

Grothendieck proved [4; p. 131] that  $(\mathcal{O}_c)$  and its dual  $(\mathcal{O}_c)$  are ultrabornological, nuclear and complete. It is easy to see that they are permitted [8; p. 10]. The bilinear mapping  $(S, \varphi) \rightarrow S * \varphi$  of  $(\mathcal{S}') \times (\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_c)$  is hypocontinuous [10; p. 21]. For any element  $T = S * \varphi \in (\mathcal{O}_c)$ ,  $S \in (\mathcal{S}')$ ,  $\varphi \in (\mathcal{S})$ , each derivative  $D^{\vec{p}}T$  is written as  $S * D^{\vec{p}}\varphi$  and any  $\varphi \in (\mathcal{S})$  is distinguished in  $(\mathcal{S})$ , so that  $T$  is distinguished in  $(\mathcal{O}_c)$ . This implies  $(\mathcal{O}_c)^d = (\mathcal{O}_c)$ .

For our later use we show

LEMMA 2. Any bounded set  $A$  of  $(\mathcal{O}_c)$  is written in the form  $A = B * \varphi$ , where  $B$  is a bounded set of  $(\mathcal{S}')$  and  $\varphi$  is a function of  $(\mathcal{S})$ .

PROOF. Any bounded set of  $(\mathcal{O}_c)$  is equicontinuous when  $(\mathcal{O}_c)$  is considered as the dual of  $(\mathcal{O}'_c)$ . Any neighbourhood of zero in  $(\mathcal{O}'_c)$  contains a neighbourhood of zero given by  $U = \{T : |\langle \varphi * T, B \rangle| \leq 1\}$  where  $\varphi$  is a function of  $(\mathcal{S})$  and  $B$  is a compact disc of  $(\mathcal{S}')$ . It suffices to show that  $U^\circ = B * \varphi$ . Since the mapping  $(\varphi, S) \rightarrow S * \varphi$  of  $(\mathcal{S}) \times (\mathcal{S}')$  into  $(\mathcal{O}_c)$  is hypocontinuous, it follows that  $B * \varphi$  is a compact disc. Therefore  $U^\circ = B * \varphi$ , completing the proof.

Since the strong dual of a semi-reflexive space is barrelled [1; Chap. IV, §3, Prop. 4, p. 88], the spaces  $(\mathcal{D}')$ ,  $(\mathcal{S}')$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}'_{LP})$  (for  $1 < p < \infty$ ),  $(\mathcal{E}')$  are bar-

relled. The spaces  $(\mathcal{O}_M)$ ,  $(\mathcal{O}'_c)$  are barrelled as shown in Grothendieck [4; p. 131],  $(\mathcal{D}'_{L^1})$  is also barrelled as shown in Schwartz [8; p. 126]. To see that  $(\mathcal{D}')$  is barrelled, it suffices to show that  $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})$  is quasi-normable. This is because the dual of any quasi-normable space of type  $(F)$  is barrelled [5; p. 108].

**LEMMA 3.** *The space  $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})$  is quasi-normable.*

**PROOF.** From a lemma of Grothendieck [5; lemma 6, p. 107], it is sufficient to show that for any given neighbourhood  $U$  of zero in  $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})$  there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of zero in  $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})$  such that for any positive number  $\alpha$  we can find a bounded set  $M$ :  $V \subset \alpha U + M$ . Without loss of generality we can take  $U$  as a neighbourhood given by  $\{\varphi \in (\mathcal{D}_{L^1}): \int |D^{\vec{p}}\varphi| dx \leq 1 \text{ for } |\vec{p}| \leq m\}$ . We show that we can take  $V$  a neighbourhood given by  $\{\varphi \in (\mathcal{D}_{L^1}): \int |D^{\vec{p}}\varphi| dx \leq 1 \text{ for } |\vec{p}| \leq m+1\}$ . For any given positive number  $\alpha$  we choose  $\xi \in (\mathcal{D})$  with support in  $\{|x| < \frac{\alpha}{n}\}$  such that  $\xi(x) \geq 0$ ,  $\int \xi(x) dx = 1$ .  $V * \xi$  is a bounded set of  $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})$  because

$$\int |(D^{\vec{p}}\varphi * \xi)(x)| dx \leq \int |\varphi(x)| dx \int |D^{\vec{p}}\xi(x)| dx \leq \int |D^{\vec{p}}\xi(x)| dx$$

for any  $\varphi \in V$  and  $\vec{p}$ .

Next we prove that  $\psi = \varphi - \varphi * \xi \in \alpha U$  for any  $\varphi \in V$ . Let  $p_U$  denote the semi-norm corresponding to  $U$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_U(\psi) &= p_U(\varphi - \varphi * \xi) \leq \int \xi(a) p_U(\varphi - \varphi_a) da \\ &\leq \int \xi(a) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \int_0^a p_V \left( \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \right)_t \right) dt_i \right| \right) da \\ &= \int \xi(a) |a| da < \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $V - V * \xi \subset \alpha U$ , as desired. If we put  $M = V * \xi$ , we see that  $V \subset \alpha U + M$ , completing the proof.

It is well known that the function spaces considered above are complete and are permitted except  $(\mathcal{D})$ . However,  $(\mathcal{D})$  is the dual of the permitted space  $(\mathcal{D}'_{L^1})$ . Therefore the remark 3° is applied to the pairs of spaces considered above. Hence the condition (3) is valid for these pairs.

We shall show that the spaces  $(\mathcal{E})$ ,  $(\mathcal{O}_c)$ ,  $(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}_{LP})$  (for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ),  $(\mathcal{S})$  and  $(\mathcal{D})$  have a fundamental system of  $(\mathcal{E})$ -closed absolutely convex neighbourhoods. From the definitions of neighbourhoods of zero, it is evident that  $(\mathcal{E})$ ,  $(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}_{LP})$  (for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ),  $(\mathcal{S})$ ,  $(\mathcal{D})$  have a fundamental system of  $(\mathcal{E})$ -closed absolutely convex neighbourhoods. As regards  $(\mathcal{O}_c)$ , the polars of bounded sets of  $(\mathcal{O}'_c)$  form a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of zero in  $(\mathcal{O}_c)$ . On the other hand, any bounded set  $B$  of  $(\mathcal{O}'_c)$  is contained in a bounded set consisting of elements of  $(\mathcal{D})$ , because  $B \subset \bigcup_k (\alpha_k B) * \rho_k$  where  $\{\alpha_k\}$  is a sequence of multiplicators and  $\{\rho_k\}$  is a sequence of regularizations, and because  $\bigcup_k (\alpha_k B) * \rho_k$  is a bounded set of  $(\mathcal{O}'_c)$  consisting of elements of  $(\mathcal{D})$ .

From these considerations we see that the pairs form distinguished pairs, as desired.

We shall extend the notion of ‘distinguished element’ to a space of vector valued distributions. Let  $E$ ,  $F$  and  $G$  be three locally convex quasi-complete Hausdorff topological vector spaces. The  $\varepsilon$ -product  $E(G)$  is, by definition,  $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(G'_c, E)$  the space of linear continuous mappings of  $G'_c$  into  $E$  with the topology of uniform convergence on equicontinuous sets of  $G'$ .  $E(G)$  is quasi-complete as shown by L. Schwartz [8; p. 29]. In the following theorem we shall assume that  $E$  and  $F$  are spaces of distributions on  $R^n$ . An element  $\vec{T} \in E(G)$  is called *distinguished* if for any equicontinuous set  $A \subset G'$  the image  $\langle \vec{T}, A \rangle$  satisfies the condition of the remark 4°. A pair  $(E(G), F(G))$  is called *distinguished* if  $E(G)^d = F(G)$  and  $F(G)^d = F(G)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be quasi-complete spaces of distributions on  $R^n$  satisfying the conditions (1), (2), (3) of the preceding theorem. Let  $G$  be any locally convex quasi-complete space. Then any distinguished element  $\vec{T}$  of  $E(G)$  lies in  $F(G)$  and  $\vec{T} *_{\rho_k} \rightarrow \vec{T}$  in  $F(G)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\{\rho_k\}$  is any sequence of regularizations.*

**PROOF.**  $\langle \vec{T}, g' \rangle$  is distinguished in  $E$ , so that  $\langle \vec{T}, g' \rangle \in F$  by Theorem 1. If  $g'$  runs through any equicontinuous set  $A$  of  $G'$ ,  $\langle \vec{T}, g' \rangle *_{\rho_k} \rightarrow \langle \vec{T}, g' \rangle$  uniformly in  $F$  as noted in the remark 4°. This means that  $\vec{T} *_{\rho_k} \rightarrow \vec{T}$  in  $F(G)$  since  $F(G)$  is quasi-complete as remarked above. This completes the proof.

**Examples.** The pairs  $(\mathcal{D}'(G), \mathcal{E}(G))$ ,  $(\mathcal{O}_M(G), \mathcal{O}_c(G))$ ,  $(\mathcal{S}'(G), \mathcal{O}_c(G))$ ,  $(\mathcal{B}'(G), \mathcal{B}(G))$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}'_{LP}(G), \mathcal{D}_{LP}(G))$  (for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ),  $(\mathcal{O}'_c(G), \mathcal{S}(G))$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}'(G), \mathcal{D}(G))$  are all distinguished. Owing to Theorem 2 and the preceding examples it is clear that any distinguished element of  $\mathcal{D}'(G)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_M(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{S}'(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}'(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}'_{LP}(G)$  (for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ),  $\mathcal{S}(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ ) lies in  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_c(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_c(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{LP}(G)$  (for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ),  $\mathcal{S}(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ ), therefore it suffices to show that any element of  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_c(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_c(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{LP}(G)$  (for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ),  $\mathcal{S}(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ ) is distinguished. If  $F$  is a space of type ( $\mathbf{F}$ ) stable under differentiations, any element of  $F(G)$  is distinguished, since Mackey’s first countability property holds for  $F$ . Therefore for the end of the proof it is sufficient to show that any element of  $\mathcal{O}_c(G)$  is distinguished. By Lemma 2, any bounded set  $A$  of  $(\mathcal{O}_c)$  is written in the form  $B * \varphi$  where  $B$  is a bounded set of  $(\mathcal{S}')$  and  $\varphi$  is an element of  $(\mathcal{S})$ . It is easy to see that  $A$  satisfies the condition of the remark 4°. This implies that any element of  $\mathcal{O}_c(G)$  is distinguished.

## References

- [1] N. BOURBAKI, *Espaces vectoriels topologiques*, Chap. III, IV, V, Paris Hermann, (1955)
- [2] C. CHEVALLEY, *Theory of distributions*, Lecture at Columbia Univ., (1950-51)
- [3] J. DIEUDONNÉ et L. SCHWARTZ, *La dualité dans les espaces ( $\mathbf{F}$ ) et ( $\mathbf{LF}$ )*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 1 (1949), 61-101
- [4] A. GROTHENDIECK, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., No.

- 16, (1955)
- [5] A. GROTHENDIECK, *Sur les espaces ( $\mathbf{F}$ ) et ( $\mathbf{DF}$ )*, Summa Brasil. Math. (1954), 57-122
- [6] Y. HIRATA, *On convolutions in the theory of distributions*, This Journal. 22 (1958), 89-98
- [7] L. SCHWARTZ, *Théorie des Distributions*, Vol. I, II, Paris. Hermann, (1951)
- [8] —————, *Théorie des distributions à valeurs vectorielles*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Chap. I, 7 (1957), 1-141
- [9] —————, *Théorie des distributions à valeurs vectorielles*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Chap. II, 8 (1958), 1-209
- [10] K. YOSHINAGA and H. OGATA, *On convolutions*, This Journal. 22 (1958), 15-24

*Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science,  
Hiroshima University*