

Non-existence of positive commutators

Tohru OZAWA

(Received May 19, 1989)

We consider the positivity of the commutator $[H, iA]$ for self-adjoint operators H and A in a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \neq \{0\}$. Throughout the paper we denote by (\cdot, \cdot) the scalar product on \mathcal{H} and by $\|\cdot\|$ the associated norm.

In the case where H and A are bounded, it is well known from the proof of Putnam's theorem that $[H, iA] \geq \alpha \mathbf{1}$, i.e.,

$$([H, iA]\psi, \psi) \geq \alpha \|\psi\|^2 \quad \text{for any } \psi \in \mathcal{H},$$

is impossible for any $\alpha > 0$ (see [1; p. 61]). Our purpose in this paper is to extend the above result to the case where H and A are unbounded. In this case, following Mourre [2], we define the commutator $[H, iA]$ by

$$([H, iA]\psi, \phi) = i(A\psi, H\phi) - i(H\psi, A\phi), \quad \psi, \phi \in D(A) \cap D(H),$$

where $D(A)$ (resp. $D(H)$) denotes the domain of A (resp. H).

We prove

THEOREM. *Let A and H be self-adjoint operators in \mathcal{H} such that $D(H) \subset D(A)$. Then $[H, iA] \geq \alpha \mathbf{1}$ is impossible for any $\alpha > 0$.*

Before proving the theorem, we give a few remarks.

REMARK 1. It follows from the closed graph theorem that the assumption of the previous result is precisely $D(H) = D(A) = \mathcal{H}$.

REMARK 2. If $D(H)$ and $D(A)$ have no inclusion relations, the conclusion in the theorem fails. For example, if $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbf{R})$, $A = x \cdot$ with domain $D(A) = \{\psi \in \mathcal{H}; x\psi \in \mathcal{H}\}$, $H = -id/dx$ with domain $D(H) = \{\psi \in \mathcal{H}; (d/dx)\psi \in \mathcal{H}\}$, then $[H, iA] = \mathbf{1}$ on $D(A) \cap D(H)$.

PROOF OF THEOREM. In what follows $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the Banach space of all bounded operators on \mathcal{H} . Suppose that $[H, iA] \geq \alpha \mathbf{1}$ holds for some $\alpha > 0$. We choose $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$ and set $\phi = (H + i)^{-1}\phi_0$. Then $\phi \in D(H) \setminus \{0\}$ and the map $\mathbf{R} \ni t \mapsto e^{-itH}\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ is continuously differentiable. By the closed theorem, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad \|A\psi\| \leq C(\|H\psi\| + \|\psi\|), \quad \psi \in D(H).$$

We see from (1) that the map $R \ni t \mapsto Ae^{-itH}\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ is continuous and

$$(2) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \|Ae^{-itH}\phi\| \leq C(\|H\phi\| + \|\phi\|).$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ we set $R_\lambda = (A + i\lambda)^{-1}$. Then, $AR_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and its operator norm is bounded by one. Moreover, the map $R \ni t \mapsto AR_\lambda e^{-itH}\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ is continuously differentiable and

$$\begin{aligned} (d/dt)(Ai\lambda R_\lambda e^{-itH}\phi, e^{-itH}\phi) &= -i(Ai\lambda R_\lambda He^{-itH}\phi, e^{-itH}\phi) + i(Ai\lambda R_\lambda e^{-itH}\phi, He^{-itH}\phi) \\ &= -i(He^{-itH}\phi, (A - AR_{-\lambda}A)e^{-itH}\phi) \\ &\quad + i((A - AR_\lambda A)e^{-itH}\phi, He^{-itH}\phi) \\ &= ([H, iA]e^{-itH}\phi, e^{-itH}\phi) + i(He^{-itH}\phi, AR_{-\lambda}Ae^{-itH}\phi) \\ &\quad - i(AR_\lambda Ae^{-itH}\phi, He^{-itH}\phi). \end{aligned}$$

By assumption,

$$(3) \quad (d/dt)(Ai\lambda R_\lambda e^{-itH}\phi, e^{-itH}\phi) \geq \alpha\|\phi\|^2 + f(t, \lambda),$$

where $f(t, \lambda) = i(He^{-itH}\phi, AR_{-\lambda}Ae^{-itH}\phi) - i(AR_\lambda Ae^{-itH}\phi, He^{-itH}\phi)$. By integrating both sides of (3) over an interval $[0, t]$, $t > 0$, we obtain

$$(4) \quad (Ai\lambda R_\lambda e^{-itH}\phi, e^{-itH}\phi) - (Ai\lambda R_\lambda \phi, \phi) \geq \alpha t\|\phi\|^2 + \int_0^t f(s, \lambda) ds.$$

Since $i\lambda R_\lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ strongly in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$, for any $t \geq 0$, $Ai\lambda R_\lambda e^{-itH}\phi \rightarrow Ae^{-itH}\phi$ in \mathcal{H} and $f(t, \lambda) \rightarrow 0$ in \mathbf{C} as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, by (2),

$$|f(t, \lambda)| \leq 2C\|H\phi\|(\|H\phi\| + \|\phi\|).$$

Therefore, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, taking the limit $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ in (4), we obtain

$$(5) \quad (Ae^{-itH}\phi, e^{-itH}\phi) - (A\phi, \phi) \geq \alpha t\|\phi\|^2, \quad t > 0.$$

By (2) and (5),

$$(6) \quad C(\|H\phi\| + \|\phi\|)\|\phi\| \geq (A\phi, \phi) + \alpha t\|\phi\|^2, \quad t > 0.$$

Dividing both sides of (6) by t and taking the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the resulting inequality, we have $\alpha\|\phi\|^2 = 0$ and therefore $\phi = 0$. This contradicts the fact that $\phi \neq 0$. Q.E.D.

References

- [1] H. L. Cycon, R. G. Froese, W. Kirsch and B. Simon, *Schrödinger Operators, with Application to Quantum Mechanics and Global Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1987.

- [2] E. Mourre, *Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain self-adjoint operators*, Commun. Math. Phys. **78** (1981), 391–408.

*Department of Mathematics,
School of Science,
Nagoya University*

