A Remark on Vector Fields on Lens Spaces

Toshio Yoshida (Received February 17, 1967)

§1. Introduction

Let M be a C^{∞} -manifold. The (continuous) vector field v on M is a cross-section of the tangent bundle of M, and k-field on M is a set of k vector fields v_1, \dots, v_k such that the k vectors $v_1(x), \dots, v_k(x)$ are linearly independent for each point $x \in M$. We denote by span(M) the maximal number of k where M admits a k-field.

In this note, it is remarked that $span(L^n(p))$, of the (2n+1)-dimensional mod p lens space $L^n(p)$, is given partially by the following

PROPOSITION. Let $n+1=m2^t$ (m: odd), t+1=c+4d (0 \le c \le 3)

- (i) If c=0, then $2t+1 \le span(L^n(p)) \le 2t+2 (=span(S^{2n+1}))$.
- (ii) If c=1, 2, then $span(L^n(p))=2t+1 (=span(S^{2n+1}))$.
- (iii) If c=3, then $2t+1 \le span(L^n(p)) \le 2t+3 (=span(S^{2n+1}))$.

Here the lens space $L^n(p)$ (p>1) is the quotient space S^{2n+1}/Γ of the unit sphere S^{2n+1} by the topological transformation group $\Gamma = \{1, \gamma, ..., \gamma^{p-1}\}$ defined by

$$\gamma \cdot (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) = (e^{2\pi i/p} z_0, e^{2\pi i/p} z_1, \dots, e^{2\pi i/p} z_n)$$

$$((z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in S^{2n+1} \subset C^{n+1}).$$

We notice that the above proposition holds in the following form for the case p=2:

$$span(L^{n}(2)) = span(S^{2n+1}).$$

This follows easily from the fact that $L^{n}(2)$ is the (2n+1)-dimensional real projective space RP^{2n+1} , and

$$span(RP^n) = span(S^n),$$

which is an immediate consequence of the fact that S^n has a linear k-field, $k = span(S^n)$.

Also, we notice that there is a lens space such that

$$span(L^n(p)) < span(S^{2n+1}),$$

since $span(L^{3}(3)) = 5(cf. \S 3)$ and $span(S^{7}) = 7$.

§2. Proofs of Proposition

Since a k-field on $L^n(p)$ defines clearly a k-field on S^{2n+1} , we have $span(L^n(p)) \leq span(S^{2n+1})$.

Also we have

$$span(L^n(p)) \ge 2t + 1$$

for the integer t of the proposition, and these and the results of $span(S^{2n+1})$, determined by J. F. Adams [1], show the proposition.

The above relation means that $L^n(p)$ admits a (2t+1)-field, and this is proved as the corollary of the results of B. Eckmann [2] as follows. By 6 of [2], it is shown that tehre exist 2t+1 unitary matrices $A_1, \ldots, A_{2t+1} \in U(n+1)$ such that

$$A_b^2 = -E$$
, $A_b A_l + A_l A_b = 0$ $(k, l = 1, ..., 2t + 1; k \neq l)$,

where E is the unit matrix. For an arbitrary element $u \in S^{2n+1} \subset C^{n+1}$, the first equation shows that

$$< u, A_k(u) > = < A_k(u), A_k^2(u) > = < A_k(u), -u > = - \overline{< u, A_k(u) >},$$

and so the real part of the inner product $\langle u, A_k(u) \rangle$ is zero. Hence $A_k(u)$ $(u \in S^{2n+1})$ is a vector field on S^{2n+1} , and this defines a vector field on $L^n(p)$ since $A_k(\gamma \cdot u) = \gamma \cdot A_k(u)$.

Also, the second equation shows that the real part of $\langle A_l(u), A_k(u) \rangle$ $(k \neq l)$ is zero for any $u \in S^{2n+1}$, and so A_1, \dots, A_{2t+1} define a (2t+1)-field on $L^n(p)$.

§3. Remarks

- (a) $span(L^3(3))=5$ is proved as follows. By (iii) of the proposition, we have $5 \leq span(L^3(3)) \leq 7$. Assume that $span(L^3(3)) \geq 6$, then $L^3(3)$ admits a 7-field since $L^3(3)$ is an orientable 7-manifold. This means that $L^3(3)$ is parallelizable and so is immersible in the real 8-space R^8 . But it is shown that $L^3(3)$ is not immersible in R^9 by Theorem 6 of [3], we have $span(L^3(3))=5$.
- (b) In relation to the above, it is known that $span(L^{11}(p)) \ge 6$ (p: odd) by Corollary 1.4 of [4].
- (c) For the lens space $L(p; l_1, \dots, l_n) = S^{2n+1}/\Gamma'$ such that Γ' is generated by γ' :

$$\gamma' \cdot (z_0, z_1, ..., z_n) = (e^{2\pi i l_p} z_0, e^{2\pi i l_1 l_p} z_1, ..., e^{2\pi i l_n l_p} z_n),$$
 the above proposition

holds if $1=l_1=\ldots=l_{2^{\ell}-1},\ l_{2^{\ell}}=\ldots=l_{2\cdot 2^{\ell}-1},\ \cdots,\ l_{(m-1)\cdot 2^{\ell}}=\cdots=l_{m\cdot 2^{\ell}-1},$ where m is the integer in the proposition. This is easily seen from the form of the above A_k .

References

- [1] J. F. Adams: Vector fields on spheres, Ann. of Math., 75 (1962), 603-632.
- [2] B. Eckmann: Gruppentheoretischer Beweis des Satzes von Hurewitz-Radon über die Komposition quadratischer Formen, Comment. Math. Helv., 15 (1942), 358-366.
- [3] T. Kobayashi: Non-immersion theorems for lens spaces, Math. Kyoto Univ., 6 (1966), 91-108.
- [4] E. Thomas: Postnikov invariants and higher order cohomology operations, to appear.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University