

Note on Outer Derivations of Lie Algebras

Shigeaki Tôgô

(Received March 5, 1969)

Introduction

Let \mathfrak{D} be the set of Lie algebras L over a field \mathfrak{O} satisfying the conditions that $L \neq L^2$ and $Z(L) \neq (0)$, where $Z(L)$ denotes the center of L . Clearly every non-trivial nilpotent Lie algebra belongs to \mathfrak{D} . It is known ([4], [6], [8], [13]) that every $L \in \mathfrak{D}$ has an outer derivation. In [13] we have introduced the notion of Lie algebras of type (T) and shown that every Lie algebra L of type (T) such that $L^{(1)} \neq L^{(2)}$ admits an outer derivation belonging to \mathfrak{R} , the radical of the derivation algebra $\mathfrak{D}(L)$. It has been also shown that if $L \in \mathfrak{D}$ is not of type (T) there exists an abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ containing an outer derivation. From these observations it seems to be interesting to study the case where L is of type (T) such that $L^{(1)} = L^{(2)}$. The main purpose of this note is to give a detailed consideration to the case just mentioned. Some additional remarks will be also given.

In Section 2 we shall show that a Lie algebra L of type (T) such that $\dim Z(L) \neq 1$ or \mathfrak{O} is of characteristic 2 admits an outer derivation in \mathfrak{R} and that a Lie algebra L of type (T) such that $L^{(1)} = L^{(2)}$, $\dim Z(L) = 1$ and \mathfrak{O} is of characteristic $\neq 2$ admits an outer derivation in \mathfrak{R} if and only if $L^{(1)}$ does (Theorem 2.2). In Section 3, we shall show that a Lie algebra L over a field of characteristic 0 admits a semisimple outer derivation in \mathfrak{R} if the radical of L does (Proposition 3.1), and based on this result, for a Lie algebra L of type (T) such that $L^{(1)} = L^{(2)}$ and $\dim Z(L) = 1$, we shall give several properties of the radical of $L^{(1)}$, each of which ensures the existence of a semisimple outer derivation in \mathfrak{R} (Theorem 3.6).

In [12] we have studied the existence of the automorphisms of L , when \mathfrak{O} is of characteristic 0, outside the connected algebraic group such that the corresponding Lie algebra is the algebraic hull $\mathfrak{Y}(L)^*$ of $\mathfrak{Y}(L)$, the ideal of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ consisting of all inner derivations of L . The final section 4 will be devoted to the discussions about the existence of the derivations of $L \in \mathfrak{D}$ which are contained in \mathfrak{R} but not in $\mathfrak{Y}(L)^*$.

§ 1. Preliminaries and notations

Throughout this note we shall consider a finite dimensional Lie algebra L over a field \mathfrak{O} . We denote by R the radical of L and by $Z(L)$ the center of L .

As in [13], we shall denote by \mathfrak{D} the class of all the Lie algebras L over

a field \mathfrak{O} such that $L \neq L^2$ and $Z(L) \neq (0)$. We shall consider a subclass of \mathfrak{D} , the Lie algebras of type (T) . L is called to be of *type* (T) [13] provided L has a non-zero subspace T satisfying the following conditions:

$$(1) \quad L = T + L^2, \quad T \cap L^2 = (0).$$

$$(2) \quad [T, L^2] = (0).$$

$$(3) \quad [T, T] = (z) \quad \text{with} \quad 0 \neq z \in Z(L).$$

(4) The pairing θ which assigns to $(x, y) \in T \times T$ the coefficient of z in $[x, y]$ is a non-degenerate alternate form on T .

Then L is of type (T) if and only if $L \in \mathfrak{D}$, $Z(L) \subseteq L^2$ and $Z(M) \not\subseteq L^2$ for every ideal M of L of codimension 1 [13; Theorem 2].

If H is a subalgebra of L stable under $\text{ad } x$, then $\text{ad } x$ induces the derivation of H which we denote by $\text{ad}_H x$. We furthermore employ the following notations.

$\mathfrak{D}(L)$: The derivation algebra of L , that is, the Lie algebra of all the derivations of L .

$\mathfrak{I}(L)$: The ideal of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ consisting of all the inner derivations of L . The other notation of this is $\text{ad } L$.

$\mathfrak{C}(L)$: The ideal of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ consisting of all the central derivations of L , that is, the derivations of L which map L into $Z(L)$.

For a Lie algebra L of endomorphisms of a finite dimensional vector space over a field of characteristic 0, we denote by L^* the algebraic hull of L , that is, the intersection of all the algebraic Lie algebras containing L . L is called to be splittable or almost algebraic if it contains the nilpotent and semisimple components of every $x \in L$. Then L is splittable if and only if L is decomposed in

$$L = S + R, \quad R = A + N, \quad S \cap R = (0), \quad A \cap N = (0), \quad [S, A] = (0),$$

where S is a semisimple subalgebra, A is a maximal toroidal subalgebra of R and N is the ideal of L consisting of all nilpotent elements of R [9; Theorem 1]. For brevity we shall call this a normal decomposition of L . A not-necessarily-linear Lie algebra L is called ad-splittable (resp. ad-algebraic) provided $\text{ad } L$ is splittable (resp. algebraic).

§ 2.

This section is devoted to studying the existence of outer derivations in the radical of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ for a Lie algebra L of type (T) .

We begin with the following

LEMMA 2.1. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field \mathfrak{O} of characteristic $\neq 2$ of type (T) such that $\dim Z(L) = 1$. Then L admits an outer derivation in the radical of its derivation algebra if and only if $L^{(1)}$ does.*

PROOF. (i) Every derivation D of $L^{(1)}$ can be extended to a derivation \tilde{D}

of L and \mathcal{D} is outer if and only if \tilde{D} is outer. In fact, we denote $Z(L)=(z)$ and extend D to an endomorphism \tilde{D} of L in such a way that for any $x \in T$

$$\tilde{D}x = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Dz=0 \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}x & \text{if } Dz=\alpha z, \alpha \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then it is immediate that \tilde{D} is a derivation of L . If D is inner, then $D=\text{ad}_{L^{(1)}} y$ with $y \in L^{(1)}$. From $[T, L^{(1)}]=(0)$ it follows that $\tilde{D}=\text{ad}_L y$. Conversely, if \tilde{D} is inner, then $\tilde{D}=\text{ad}_L(x+y)$ with $x \in T$ and $y \in L^{(1)}$. Hence it follows from $[T, L^{(1)}]=(0)$ that $D=\text{ad}_{L^{(1)}} y$. Thus D is outer if and only if \tilde{D} is outer.

(ii) We denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(L^{(1)})$ the set of derivations of L obtained by extending the derivations of $L^{(1)}$ to L as in (i). Then $\mathfrak{D}(L)=\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(L^{(1)})+\mathfrak{C}(L)+\mathfrak{S}$, where \mathfrak{S} is a symplectic Lie algebra.

Let D be any derivation of L . Since $L^{(1)}$ is a characteristic ideal of L , the restriction of D to $L^{(1)}$ is in $\mathfrak{D}(L^{(1)})$. Extend it to a derivation \tilde{D} of L as in (i). Then $D-\tilde{D}$ maps T into $T+Z(L)$ and $L^{(1)}$ into (0) . In fact, for any $x \in T$ put $(D-\tilde{D})x=x'+y'$ with $x' \in T$ and $y' \in L^{(1)}$. For any $y \in L^{(1)}$,

$$[y', y]=[(D-\tilde{D})x, y]=(D-\tilde{D})[x, y]-[x, (D-\tilde{D})y]=0.$$

Hence $y' \in Z(L^{(1)})$. Thus $(D-\tilde{D})T \subseteq T+Z(L)$. It is evident that $(D-\tilde{D})L^{(1)}=(0)$. Now denote by D_0 an endomorphism of L induced by $D-\tilde{D}$, which maps T into $Z(L)$ and $L^{(1)}$ into (0) . Then $D_0 \in \mathfrak{C}(L)$. Furthermore $D-\tilde{D}-D_0$ is a derivation of L mapping T into T and $L^{(1)}$ into (0) , and its restriction to T is skew symmetric relative to θ . The trivial extensions to L of endomorphisms of T which are skew symmetric relative to θ may be considered to form a symplectic Lie algebra \mathfrak{S} contained in $\mathfrak{D}(L)$. Therefore $D \in \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(L^{(1)})+\mathfrak{C}(L)+\mathfrak{S}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{D}(L)=\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(L^{(1)})+\mathfrak{C}(L)+\mathfrak{S}$.

(iii) We denote by \mathfrak{R}_1 the radical of $\mathfrak{D}(L^{(1)})$ and by $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1$ the subset of $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(L^{(1)})$ obtained from the elements of \mathfrak{R}_1 . Then we show that the radical of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ is $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1+\mathfrak{C}(L)$.

First $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(L^{(1)})$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$, because for any D_1, D_2 in $\mathfrak{D}(L^{(1)})$ we have $[\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2]=[\widetilde{D_1, D_2}]$ with the notations in (i). By the same reason, $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1$ is a solvable subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$. Since $Z(L) \subseteq L^{(1)}$ and $Z(L)$ is a characteristic ideal of L , it follows that $\mathfrak{C}(L)$ is an abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$. Hence $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1+\mathfrak{C}(L)$ is a solvable subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$. It is easy to see that $[\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(L^{(1)}), \mathfrak{S}]= (0)$, from which it follows that $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1+\mathfrak{C}(L)$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$. Putting $\mathfrak{R}=\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1+\mathfrak{C}(L)$, we shall now show that \mathfrak{R} is the radical of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$. By virtue of (ii) we have

$$\mathfrak{D}(L)/\mathfrak{R}=(\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(L^{(1)})+\mathfrak{C}(L))/\mathfrak{R}+(\mathfrak{S}+\mathfrak{R})/\mathfrak{R},$$

where $(\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(L^{(1)})+\mathfrak{C}(L))/\mathfrak{R}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{D}(L^{(1)})/\mathfrak{R}_1$ and $(\mathfrak{S}+\mathfrak{R})/\mathfrak{R}$ is isomorphic to \mathfrak{S} . It follows that $\mathfrak{D}(L)/\mathfrak{R}$ is semisimple as a direct sum of semisimple ideals. Therefore \mathfrak{R} is the radical of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$.

(iv) By the property (4) of Lie algebras of type (T) , $\mathfrak{C}(L)$ consists of inner derivations. Hence the statement of the Lemma follows now from (i) and (iii). Thus the proof is complete.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field \mathfrak{O} of type (T) .*

(1) *If $L^{(1)} \neq L^{(2)}$ or $\dim Z(L) \neq 1$ or \mathfrak{O} is of characteristic 2, then L admits an outer derivation in the radical of its derivation algebra.*

(2) *If $L^{(1)} = L^{(2)}$, $\dim Z(L) = 1$ and \mathfrak{O} is of characteristic $\neq 2$, then L admits an outer derivation in the radical of its derivation algebra if and only if $L^{(1)}$ does.*

PROOF. The statement (2) follows from Lemma 2.1. The statement (1) has been proved in the case where $L^{(1)} \neq L^{(2)}$ in [13; Theorem 3]. Therefore it remains to prove (1) in the cases where $\dim Z(L) \neq 1$ and where \mathfrak{O} is of characteristic 2.

The case where $\dim Z(L) \neq 1$: In this case, $\dim Z(L) \geq 2$. Choose $x \neq 0$ in T and let T_1 be a complementary subspace of (x) in T . Choose $z' \neq 0$ in $Z(L) \setminus (z)$. And define an endomorphism D of L in such a way that

$$Dx = z' \quad \text{and} \quad D(T_1 + L^{(1)}) = (0).$$

Then D belongs to $\mathfrak{C}(L)$ which is an abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$. D is not inner, since every inner derivation of L sends T into (z) .

The case where \mathfrak{O} is of characteristic 2: Let D be an endomorphism of L which is identity on T and zero on $L^{(1)}$. Then D is an outer derivation of L . Moreover it is immediate that

$$[D, \mathfrak{D}(L)] \subseteq \mathfrak{C}(L),$$

from which it follows that $(D) + \mathfrak{C}(L)$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$. It is a solvable ideal of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$, since $\mathfrak{C}(L)$ is abelian.

The proof is complete.

REMARK 2.3. As an illustration of Theorem 2.2 (2) we shall consider the example of non-solvable Lie algebra of type (T) given in [13; p. 270]. Let L be the Lie algebra over a field \mathfrak{O} of characteristic $\neq 2$ described in terms of a basis x_1, x_2, \dots, x_8 by the multiplication table:

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, x_2] &= x_8, & [x_3, x_4] &= 2x_4, & [x_3, x_5] &= -2x_5, \\ [x_4, x_5] &= x_3, & [x_3, x_6] &= -x_6, & [x_3, x_7] &= x_7, \\ [x_4, x_6] &= -x_7, & [x_5, x_7] &= -x_6, & [x_6, x_7] &= x_8. \end{aligned}$$

Then $L^{(1)} = L^{(2)} = (x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8)$ and $Z(L) = (x_8)$. A decomposition of L as a Lie algebra of type (T) is given by

$$L = T + L^{(1)} \quad \text{with} \quad T = (x_1, x_2).$$

The radical \mathfrak{R} of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ is

$$(\tilde{D}, \text{ad}_L x_6, \text{ad}_L x_7) + (\text{ad}_L x_1, \text{ad}_L x_2),$$

where \tilde{D} is a derivation such that

$$\tilde{D}x_i = \begin{cases} x_i & i=1, 2 \\ 0 & i=3, 4, 5 \\ x_i & i=6, 7 \\ 2x_i & i=8. \end{cases}$$

The radical \mathfrak{R}_1 of $\mathfrak{D}(L^{(1)})$ is

$$(D, \text{ad}_L x_6, \text{ad}_L x_7)$$

where D is the restriction of \tilde{D} to $L^{(1)}$. Therefore

$$\mathfrak{R} = \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1 + \mathfrak{C}(L).$$

This example shows that as for further study of Theorem 2.2 (2) it is a problem to find a semisimple outer derivation in the radical of the derivation algebra of a Lie algebra L over a field \mathcal{O} of characteristic $\neq 2$ such that $L=L^2$ and $\dim Z(L)=1$. This problem will be studied in the next section.

§ 3.

In this section we shall study the semisimple outer derivation of a Lie algebra L over a field of characteristic 0 such that $L=L^2$ and $\dim Z(L)=1$. We begin with the improvement of [13; Theorem 5].

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let L be a non-solvable Lie algebra over a field of characteristic 0. Then L admits a semisimple outer derivation in the radical of its derivation algebra if the radical of L does.*

PROOF. Let R be the radical of L and assume that R admits a semisimple outer derivation in the radical \mathfrak{R} of $\mathfrak{D}(R)$. Let $L=S+R$ be a Levi decomposition and $\mathfrak{A}(S)$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ consisting of all the derivations of L which map S into (0) . Then by Lemma 3 in [11], there exists a maximal toroidal subalgebra \mathfrak{A} of \mathfrak{R} which can be imbedded in $\mathfrak{A}(S)$. As in the proof of Theorem 5 in [13], we see that \mathfrak{A} contains a semisimple outer derivation.

Denote by \mathfrak{R}_1 the set of derivations in \mathfrak{R} which can be trivially extended to the derivations of L . Then $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_1$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$) be the set of trivial extensions of elements of \mathfrak{R}_1 (resp. \mathfrak{A}) to L . Then we assert that $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1 + \text{ad}_L R$ is a solvable ideal of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$. In fact, $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1$ is a solvable subalgebra and $\text{ad}_L R$ is a solvable ideal of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$. Hence $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1 + \text{ad}_L R$ is a solvable subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$.

Now it is easy to see that

$$[\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1, \text{ad}_L S] = (0) \quad \text{and} \quad [\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1, \mathfrak{A}(S)] \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1.$$

Since $\mathfrak{D}(L) = \mathfrak{A}(S) + \mathfrak{S}(L)[5]$, it follows that $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1 + \text{ad}_L R$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ and therefore a solvable ideal of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$. Thus the radical of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ contains $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1$ and therefore a semisimple outer derivation.

The proof is complete.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let L be a non-solvable Lie algebra over a field of characteristic 0. Then L admits a semisimple derivation with trace $\neq 0$ if and only if the radical of L does.*

PROOF. Assume that L has a semisimple derivation D with trace $\neq 0$. Let R be the radical of L and let $L = S + R$ be a Levi decomposition of L . Let $\mathfrak{A}(S)$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Then $\mathfrak{A}(S)$ is splittable. Let $\mathfrak{A}(S) = \mathfrak{C} + \mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{R}$ be a normal decomposition of $\mathfrak{A}(S)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}(L) &= \mathfrak{S}(L) + \mathfrak{A}(S) \\ &= (\text{ad}_L S + \mathfrak{C}) + \mathfrak{A} + \text{ad}_L R + \mathfrak{R}. \end{aligned}$$

By considering the components of D in the above factors, D may be supposed to belong to $\mathfrak{A} + \text{ad}_L R$. Then the restriction D' of D to R is a derivation of R and $\text{Tr } D' = \text{Tr } D \neq 0$. Let $\mathfrak{D}(R) = \mathfrak{C}' + \mathfrak{A}' + \mathfrak{R}'$ be a normal decomposition of $\mathfrak{D}(R)$. The component D_1 of D' in \mathfrak{A}' is a semisimple derivation with trace $\neq 0$.

Conversely, assume that R admits a semisimple derivation D_1 with trace $\neq 0$. Then D_1 is contained in a maximal toroidal subalgebra of the radical \mathfrak{R}_1 of $\mathfrak{D}(R)$. It is known [11] that there exists a maximal toroidal subalgebra \mathfrak{A}_1 of \mathfrak{R}_1 which can be imbedded in $\mathfrak{A}(S)$. By the conjugacy of maximal toroidal subalgebras of \mathfrak{R}_1 , \mathfrak{A}_1 contains a semisimple derivation with trace $\neq 0$ and therefore $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ does. By considering a Levi decomposition of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$, we see that the radical of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ contains a semisimple derivation with trace $\neq 0$, completing the proof.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field of characteristic 0. Let R be the radical (resp. the radical which is nilpotent) of L . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) L admits a derivation with trace $\neq 0$.
- (2) L admits a semisimple derivation with trace $\neq 0$.
- (3) L admits a semisimple (resp. semisimple outer) derivation with trace $\neq 0$ in the radical of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$.
- (4) R admits a derivation with trace $\neq 0$.
- (5) R admits a semisimple derivation with trace $\neq 0$.
- (6) R admits a semisimple (resp. semisimple outer) derivation with trace $\neq 0$.

$\neq 0$ in the radical of $\mathfrak{D}(N)$.

PROOF. Since $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ is splittable, (1) obviously implies (2). Now assume (2). Let D be a semisimple derivation with trace $\neq 0$. We consider a Levi decomposition of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$: $\mathfrak{D}(L) = \mathfrak{S} + \mathfrak{R}$. Then the component D_1 of D in \mathfrak{R} is a derivation with trace $\neq 0$. Since \mathfrak{R} is splittable, the semisimple component of D_1 belongs to \mathfrak{R} and has the trace $\neq 0$. In the special case where R is nilpotent, $\text{ad}_L R$ consists of nilpotent elements, and therefore the semisimple component of D_1 is obviously outer. Thus we have (3). Consequently (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent.

The equivalence of (4), (5) and (6) is a consequence of the proof stated above. By virtue of Lemma 3.2, (2) and (5) are equivalent. Therefore all the six statements are equivalent and the proof is complete.

DEFINITION 3.4. Let N be a nilpotent Lie algebra over a field Φ . Let $n = \dim N/N^2$. We define the following properties.

(Δ_0) : There exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m (m \leq n)$ in Φ which are not all zero and the subspaces $U_{1, \alpha_1}, U_{2, \alpha_2}, \dots, U_{m, \alpha_m}$ such that

$$N = U_{1, \alpha_1} + \dots + U_{m, \alpha_m} + N^2 \quad (\text{direct sum})$$

and, putting for any $\alpha \in \Phi$

$$\begin{aligned} V_\alpha &= \sum_{\alpha_j = \alpha} U_{j, \alpha_j} + \sum_{\alpha_j + \alpha_k = \alpha} [U_{j, \alpha_j}, U_{k, \alpha_k}] \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha_j + \alpha_k + \alpha_l = \alpha} ([[U_{j, \alpha_j}, U_{k, \alpha_k}], U_{l, \alpha_l}] + [U_{j, \alpha_j}, [U_{k, \alpha_k}, U_{l, \alpha_l}]]) \\ &\quad + \dots, \\ N &= \sum_{\alpha} V_\alpha \quad (\text{direct sum}). \end{aligned}$$

(Δ) : The property (Δ_0) with the further condition

$$\sum_{\alpha} \alpha \dim V_\alpha \neq 0.$$

The quasi-cyclicity of N is the property (Δ_0) with $m=1$ and $\alpha_1=1$ and is the property (Δ) with $m=1$ and $\alpha_1=1$ if Φ is of characteristic 0. It is easy to find the further examples of nilpotent Lie algebras with the property (Δ_0) or (Δ) in [3] and [7].

LEMMA 3.5. Let N be a nilpotent Lie algebra over a field Φ . Then N has the property (Δ_0) (resp. (Δ)) if and only if N has a non-zero derivation (resp. a derivation with trace $\neq 0$) which is diagonal for a suitable choice of basis of N .

PROOF. If N has the property (Δ_0) , define an endomorphism of L in such a way that for any $\alpha \in \Phi$

$$Dx = \alpha x \quad \text{if} \quad x \in V_\alpha.$$

Then D is a non-zero derivation of L and is diagonal if we choose a basis of L consisting of elements of V_α .

Conversely, if N has a non-zero diagonal derivation D , then we can choose x_1, \dots, x_n in $N \setminus N^2$ with $n = \dim N/N^2$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} N &= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + N^2, \\ Dx_j &= \alpha_j x_j \quad \text{for } j=1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Now it is immediate that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and $U_{1, \alpha_1} = (x_1), \dots, U_{n, \alpha_n} = (x_n)$ satisfy the condition of the property (Δ_0) .

The proof is similar for the property (Δ) . Therefore we omit it.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field \mathbb{O} of characteristic 0 of type (T) such that $L^{(1)} = L^{(2)}$ and $\dim Z(L) = 1$. Then L admits a semisimple outer derivation in the radical of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ in each of the following cases:*

- (1) *The radical N of $L^{(1)}$ admits a non-zero semisimple derivation in the radical of $\mathfrak{D}(N)$.*
- (2) *N admits a derivation with trace $\neq 0$.*
- (3) *N has the property (Δ) .*

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{R} and \mathfrak{R}_1 be the radicals of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ and $\mathfrak{D}(L^{(1)})$ respectively. With the notations in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we know that $\mathfrak{R} = \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1 + \mathfrak{C}(L)$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1$ is the set of trivial extensions of elements of \mathfrak{R}_1 for some Levi decomposition of $L^{(1)}$. Since $\mathfrak{C}(L)$ consists of inner derivations, it follows that \mathfrak{R} contains a semisimple outer derivation if and only if \mathfrak{R}_1 does.

Combining this fact with Proposition 3.1, we see that if (1) is satisfied then L admits a semisimple outer derivation in \mathfrak{R} .

By Proposition 3.3, we see that (2) implies (1).

Finally assume that (3) is satisfied. Then by Lemma 3.5, N has a semisimple derivation with trace $\neq 0$. Let $\mathfrak{D}(N) = \mathfrak{S} + \mathfrak{R}_2$, $\mathfrak{R}_2 = \mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{X}$ be a normal decomposition of $\mathfrak{D}(N)$. Then $\mathfrak{A} \neq (0)$, for if $\mathfrak{A} = (0)$ every D in $\mathfrak{D}(N)$ has the trace 0. Thus we see that (3) implies (1).

The proof is complete.

REMARK 3.7. As an illustration of Theorem 3.6, we continue to consider a Lie algebra L in Remark 2.3. The radical N of $L^{(1)}$ is (x_6, x_7, x_8) . The radical of $\mathfrak{D}(N)$ is

$$(D) + (\text{ad}_N x_6, \text{ad}_N x_7),$$

where D is a derivation of N such that

$$Dx_i = \begin{cases} x_i & i=6, 7 \\ 2x_i & i=8. \end{cases}$$

Putting $S = (x_3, x_4, x_5)$, $L^{(1)} = S + N$ is a Levi decomposition. The set \mathfrak{R}_1 of elements of the radical of $\mathfrak{D}(N)$ which can be trivially extended to derivations

of $L^{(1)}$ is (D) . Let \tilde{D} be the trivial extension of D to $L^{(1)}$. Then the radical of $\mathfrak{D}(L^{(1)})$ is identical to $(\tilde{D}) + \text{ad}_{L^{(1)}}N$. Furthermore N has obviously the property (Δ) .

§ 4.

At the end of the paper [12], we have tried to study the outer automorphism of a Lie algebra L over an arbitrary field of characteristic 0. It has been defined as an automorphism which is not in the connected algebraic group corresponding to $\mathfrak{S}(L)^*$. This section is devoted to the study of the outer derivations which do not belong to $\mathfrak{S}(L)^*$.

In [11], we have studied among other things the properties of the Lie algebra L such that $\mathfrak{D}(L) = \mathfrak{S}(L)^*$. Therefore we are now only concerned with the properties corresponding to Theorem 3 in [13].

In the proof of the following theorems we shall use the results on algebraic Lie algebras in [1], [2] without references. As in [13], we denote \mathfrak{A}_0 (resp. \mathfrak{C}_0) the abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ consisting of all derivations which map L into L^2 (resp. $Z(L)$) and L^2 (resp. $Z(L)$) into (0) .

THEOREM 4.1. *Let L be a Lie algebra in \mathfrak{D} over a field Φ of characteristic 0 and not of type (T) . Assume that L is ad-splittable. Then there exists an abelian ideal \mathfrak{A} of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ such that $\mathfrak{A} \setminus \mathfrak{S}(L)^* \neq \emptyset$.*

PROOF. (i) The case where L has no non-zero abelian direct summands: By Proposition 10 in [9], we may assume that L is a splittable linear Lie algebra. Hence we have a normal decomposition of L as follows:

$$L = S + R, \quad R = A + N, \quad [S, A] = (0),$$

where S is a maximal semisimple subalgebra of L , A is a maximal toroidal subalgebra and N is the ideal of nilpotent elements of R . Since S and N are algebraic, we have

$$L^* = S + R^*, \quad R^* = A^* + N, \quad [S, A^*] = (0).$$

If $A = (0)$, $L = L^*$ and therefore by Lemma 1 in [10]

$$(\text{ad } L)^* = \text{ad}_L L^* = \text{ad } L.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3 in [13], take an ideal M of L of codimension 1 such that $Z(M) \subseteq L^2$. Let $L = (x_1) + M$. The endomorphism D of L defined in such a way that

$$Dx_1 = z \in Z(M) \setminus [L, Z(M)] \quad \text{and} \quad DM = (0)$$

is an outer derivation in $\mathfrak{A}_0 \setminus (\text{ad } L) = \mathfrak{A}_0 \setminus (\text{ad } L)^*$.

We now assume that $A \neq (0)$. Take x_1 in A and the subspace A_1 of A

complementary to (x_1) . Put $M = S + A_1 + N$. Then M is a maximal ideal of L of codimension 1. Denoting by $C_{L^*}(M)$ the centralizer of M in L^* we assert that

$$C_{L^*}(M) \subseteq Z(M) + A^*.$$

In fact, let $x \in C_{L^*}(M)$. Then

$$x = s + a + n \quad \text{with} \quad s \in S, \quad a \in A^*, \quad n \in N.$$

From $[x, S] = (0)$ it follows that $s = 0$. Since $[a, S + A_1^*] \subseteq [A^*, S] + [A^*, A^*] = (0)$, it follows that $[n, S + A_1] = (0)$. Take a subspace U of N in such a way that

$$N = U + N^2, \quad [a, U] \subseteq U, \quad U \cap N^2 = (0).$$

Since $[a + n, N] = 0$, we have $[a, U] \subseteq [n, U] \subseteq N^2$ and therefore $[a, U] = (0)$. U generating N , we have $[a, N] = (0)$. It follows that $[n, N] = (0)$. Thus $[n, M] = (0)$ and therefore $n \in Z(M)$. Hence $x = a + n \in A^* + Z(M)$, as was asserted. We now have

$$[x_1, C_{L^*}(M)] \subseteq [x_1, A^* + Z(M)] = [x_1, Z(M)]$$

and therefore $[x_1, C_{L^*}(M)] = [x_1, Z(M)]$. Take an endomorphism D of L so that

$$Dx_1 = z \in Z(M) \setminus [L, Z(M)] \quad \text{and} \quad DM = (0).$$

Then D is a derivation of L . Moreover $D \notin \mathfrak{S}(L)^*$. In fact, assume that D is in $(\text{ad } L)^*$. Then $D = \text{ad}_L x$ with $x \in L^*$ by Lemma 1 in [10]. Since $(\text{ad } x)M = 0$, we have $x \in C_{L^*}(M)$. It follows that

$$z = Dx_1 = [x, x_1] \in [x_1, C_{L^*}(M)] = [x_1, Z(M)],$$

contradicting the choice of z . Thus we conclude that $D \in \mathfrak{R}_0 \setminus \mathfrak{S}(L)^*$.

(ii) The case where L has a non-zero abelian direct summand L_1 : In this case $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$ where L_2 is an ideal such that $Z(L_2) \subset L_2^2$.

If $Z(L)$ is not a direct summand, the endomorphism $D \neq 0$ of L such that

$$DL_1 \subseteq Z(L_2) \quad \text{and} \quad DL_2 = (0)$$

is in $\mathfrak{R}_0 \cap \mathfrak{C}(L)$. Moreover $D \notin (\text{ad } L)^*$ since $(\text{ad } L)^*L_1 = [L^*, L_1] = (0)$.

If $Z(L)$ is a direct summand and $L/Z(L) \neq (L/Z(L))^2$, every non-zero endomorphism D of L such that

$$DL_2 \subseteq Z(L) = L_1 \quad \text{and} \quad D(L_1 + L_2^2) = (0)$$

belongs to \mathfrak{C}_0 . Moreover $D \notin \mathfrak{S}(L)^*$, since $(\text{ad } L)^*L_2 \subseteq L_2$.

If $Z(L)$ is a direct summand and $L/Z(L) = (L/Z(L))^2$, the endomorphism D of L such that D is the identity on L_1 and $DL_2 = (0)$ is in $Z(\mathfrak{D}(L))$. Moreover $D \notin \mathfrak{S}(L)^*$, since $(\text{ad } L)^*L_1 = (0)$.

Thus the proof is complete.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let L be a Lie algebra of type (T) over a field \mathbb{O} of characteristic 0. If $L^{(1)} \neq L^{(2)}$ or $\dim Z(L) \neq 1$, then $\mathfrak{R} \setminus \mathfrak{S}(L)^* \neq \emptyset$, where \mathfrak{R} is the radical of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$. If $L^{(1)} = L^{(2)}$ and $\dim Z(L) = 1$, then $\mathfrak{R} \setminus \mathfrak{S}(L)^* \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathfrak{R}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{S}(L^{(1)})^* \neq \emptyset$, where \mathfrak{R}_1 is the radical of $\mathfrak{D}(L^{(1)})$.*

PROOF. By Ado's theorem, we may assume that L is a linear Lie algebra. Since L is of type (T) , there exists a subspace T such that

$$L = T + L^2, \quad T \cap L^2 = (0), \quad [T, L^2] = (0), \quad [T, T] = (z_0) \subseteq Z(L).$$

(i) The case where $L^{(1)} \neq L^{(2)}$: We have obviously $L^{(1)} = (z_0) + L^{(2)}$, from which it follows that L is the direct sum of the ideals L_1 and L_2 , where $L_1 = T + (z_0)$ and $L_2 = L^{(2)}$. The endomorphism D of L such that

$$Dx = x \quad \text{for any } x \in T, \quad Dz_0 = 2z_0, \quad DL_2 = (0)$$

is a derivation in \mathfrak{R} . Since $(\text{ad } L)^* z_0 = [L^*, z_0] = (0)$, it follows that $D \in \mathfrak{R} \setminus \mathfrak{S}(L)^*$.

(ii) The case where $\dim Z(L) \geq 2$: The endomorphism D of L such that

$$DT \subseteq Z(L) \setminus (z_0) \quad \text{and} \quad DL^{(1)} = (0)$$

is in $\mathfrak{D}(L)$. Since

$$(\text{ad } L)^* T = [L^*, T] = [T^* + L^{(1)}, T] = [T^*, T] = [T, T] = (z_0),$$

it follows that $D \in \mathfrak{C}(L) \setminus \mathfrak{S}(L)^*$.

(iii) The case where $L^{(1)} = L^{(2)}$ and $\dim Z(L) = 1$: In this case, with the notation in the proof of Lemma 1.1.,

$$\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{C}(L) + \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ad } L = \mathfrak{C}(L) + \text{ad}_L L^{(1)}.$$

$\mathfrak{C}(L)$ consists of nilpotent elements and $\text{ad}_L L^{(1)} = (\text{ad } L)^2$. Hence both of them are algebraic and therefore $\text{ad } L$ is algebraic. Hence $\mathfrak{R} \setminus \mathfrak{S}(L)^* \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_1 \setminus (\text{ad}_L L^{(1)})^* \neq \emptyset$ and therefore if and only if $\mathfrak{R}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{S}(L^{(1)})^* \neq \emptyset$.

The following corollaries are immediate from Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field of characteristic 0. In each of the following cases, $\mathfrak{R} \setminus \mathfrak{S}(L)^* \neq \emptyset$.*

- (1) $L \neq L^{(1)}$, $L^{(1)} \neq L^{(2)}$, $Z(L) \neq (0)$ and L is ad-splittable.
- (2) L is solvable and ad-splittable, and $Z(L) \neq (0)$.
- (3) L is nilpotent.

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let L be an ad-algebraic Lie algebra in \mathfrak{D} over a field of characteristic 0. If L is not of type (T) , then there exists an abelian ideal \mathfrak{A} of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ such that $\mathfrak{A} \setminus \mathfrak{S}(L)^* \neq \emptyset$.*

REMARK 4.5. In Theorem 4.1, we have assumed that L is ad-splittable.

The assumption was only used to prove the statement in the case (i) where L has no non-zero abelian direct summands. We shall here give an example which shows that, if L is not ad-splittable, the case (i) cannot be proved by our method used in the proof of Theorem 3 in [13].

Let L be a Lie algebra over a field of characteristic 0 described in terms of basis x_1, x_2, \dots, x_5 by the following multiplication table:

$$[x_1, x_2] = x_5, \quad [x_1, x_3] = x_3, \quad [x_1, x_4] = -x_4, \quad [x_3, x_4] = x_5.$$

Then L is not ad-splittable and $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ is solvable. Every outer derivation constructed by choosing, as in the proof of Theorem 3 in [13], a maximal ideal M of L of codimension 1 such that $Z(M) \subseteq L^2$ belongs to $(\text{ad } L)^*$. In fact, such a maximal ideal of L of codimension 1 is

$$M = (\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5), \quad \alpha \neq 0$$

and every derivation obtained as stated above is a linear combination of D and $\text{ad } x_2$, where D is the derivation such that

$$Dx_2 = x_5 \quad \text{and} \quad Dx_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i=1, 3, 4, 5.$$

Here D is the nilpotent component of $\text{ad } x_1$, whence $D \in \mathfrak{S}(L)^* \setminus \mathfrak{S}(L)$. Therefore we have the assertion.

References

- [1] C. Chevalley, *Théorie des Groupes de Lie*, Tome II, Groupes algébriques, Paris, 1951.
- [2] C. Chevalley, *Théorie des Groupes de Lie*, Tome III, Théorèmes généraux sur les algèbres de Lie, Paris, 1955.
- [3] J. Dixmier, *Sur les représentations unitaires des groupes de Lie nilpotents III*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **10** (1958), pp. 321-348.
- [4] J. Dozias, *Sur les dérivations des algèbres de Lie*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **259** (1964), pp. 2748-2750.
- [5] G. Hochschild, *Semi-simple algebras and generalized derivations*, *Amer. J. Math.*, **64** (1942), pp. 677-694.
- [6] N. Jacobson, *A note on automorphisms and derivations of Lie algebras*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **6** (1955), pp. 281-283.
- [7] V. V. Morozov, *Classification of nilpotent Lie algebras of six order*. (Russian) *Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika* 1958, no. 4 (5), pp. 161-171.
- [8] T. Satô, *On derivations of nilpotent Lie algebras*, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **17** (1965), pp. 244-249.
- [9] S. Tôgô, *On splittable linear Lie algebras*, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A*, **18** (1955), pp. 289-306.
- [10] S. Tôgô, *Derivations of Lie algebras*, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-I*, **28** (1964), pp. 133-158.
- [11] S. Tôgô, *Lie algebras which have few derivations*, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-I*, **29** (1965), pp. 29-41.
- [12] S. Tôgô, *Derivations of Lie algebras*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72** (1966), pp. 690-692.
- [13] S. Tôgô, *Outer derivations of Lie algebras*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **128** (1967), pp. 264-276.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*