

Comparison of the Classes of Wiener Functions

Fumi-Yuki MAEDA

(Received September 20, 1969)

Introduction

For a harmonic space satisfying the axioms of M. Brelot [1], one can define the notion of Wiener functions as a generalization of that for a Riemann surface or a Green space (see [2]). The class of Wiener functions may be used to see global properties of the harmonic space; in particular, in order to show that a compactification of the base space be resolutive with respect to the Dirichlet problem, it is enough to verify that every continuous function on the compactification is a Wiener function (see Theorem 4.4 in [2]). Thus, given two harmonic structures \mathfrak{H}_1 and \mathfrak{H}_2 on the same base space \mathcal{Q} , it may be useful to know when the inclusion $\mathbf{BW}^{(1)} \subset \mathbf{BW}^{(2)}$ holds, where $\mathbf{BW}^{(i)}$ ($i=1, 2$) is the class of bounded Wiener functions with respect to \mathfrak{H}_i ($i=1, 2$). In this paper, we shall give a sufficient condition for the above inclusion, which includes the conditions given in [4] and [5] for special cases.

1. Harmonic spaces and Wiener functions

In this paper, we assume that a harmonic space $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathfrak{H}) = \{\mathfrak{H}(G)\}_{G:\text{open}}$, satisfies Axioms 1, 2 and 3 of M. Brelot [1] and that \mathcal{Q} is non-compact. For an open set G in \mathcal{Q} , the set of all superharmonic functions on G with respect to $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathfrak{H})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(G)$. The set of all potentials with respect to $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathfrak{H})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{H}}$. In general, given a family \mathcal{A} of (extended) real-valued functions, we use the notation $\mathcal{A}^+ = \{f \in \mathcal{A}; f \geq 0\}$ and $\mathbf{BA} = \{f \in \mathcal{A}; f: \text{bounded}\}$.

We furthermore assume that $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathfrak{H})$ satisfies

Axiom 4. $1 \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{Q})$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{H}} \neq \{0\}$.

Remark that under Axiom 4 the following minimum principle holds (see [1]):

If $v \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{Q})$ and if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set K in \mathcal{Q} such that $v(x) > -\varepsilon$ on $\mathcal{Q} - K$, then $v \geq 0$.

Given an extended real-valued function f on \mathcal{Q} , we consider the classes

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{H}}(f) = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{Q}); \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a compact set } K_v \text{ in } \mathcal{Q} \\ \text{such that } v \geq f \text{ on } \mathcal{Q} - K_v \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{H}}(f) = \{-v; v \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{H}}(-f)\}.$$

In case $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{H}}(f)$ (resp. $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{H}}(f)$) is non-empty, we define

$$\bar{h}_f^{\mathfrak{H}} = \inf \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{H}}(f) \quad (\text{resp. } h_f^{\mathfrak{H}} = \sup \underline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{H}}(f)).$$

It is known (cf. [2]) that $\bar{h}_f^{\mathfrak{H}}$ (resp. $h_f^{\mathfrak{H}}$) $\in \mathfrak{H}(\mathcal{Q})$ if it exists. Remark that if f is bounded, then both $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{H}}(f)$ and $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{H}}(f)$ are non-empty and $\inf_{\mathcal{Q}} f \leq h_f^{\mathfrak{H}} \leq \bar{h}_f^{\mathfrak{H}} \leq \sup_{\mathcal{Q}} f$ (by Axiom 4 and the minimum principle).

In case $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{H}}(f)$ and $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{H}}(f)$ are both non-empty and $h_f^{\mathfrak{H}} = \bar{h}_f^{\mathfrak{H}}$, we say that f is \mathfrak{H} -harmonizable (cf. [2]) and denote $h_f^{\mathfrak{H}} = \bar{h}_f^{\mathfrak{H}}$ by $h_f^{\mathfrak{H}}$. Obviously, any function in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{H}}^+(\mathcal{Q})$ is \mathfrak{H} -harmonizable and if $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{H}}$, then $h_p^{\mathfrak{H}} = 0$.

The set of all continuous \mathfrak{H} -harmonizable functions (called \mathfrak{H} -Wiener functions) will be denoted by $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{H}}$. We define (the class of \mathfrak{H} -Wiener potentials)

$$\mathcal{W}_0^{\mathfrak{H}} = \{f \in \mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{H}}; h_f^{\mathfrak{H}} = 0\}.$$

It is known ([2]) that $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $\mathcal{W}_0^{\mathfrak{H}}$ are real linear spaces; if $f, g \in \mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{H}}$ and λ, μ are reals, then $h_{\lambda f + \mu g}^{\mathfrak{H}} = \lambda h_f^{\mathfrak{H}} + \mu h_g^{\mathfrak{H}}$. Also, constant functions belong to $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $0 \leq h_1^{\mathfrak{H}} \leq 1$.

We can easily prove the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. *If $f \in \mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{H}}$ and g is a bounded function on \mathcal{Q} , then*

$$\bar{h}_{f+g}^{\mathfrak{H}} = h_f^{\mathfrak{H}} + \bar{h}_g^{\mathfrak{H}} \quad \text{and} \quad h_{f+g}^{\mathfrak{H}} = h_f^{\mathfrak{H}} + h_g^{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

2. Comparison of the classes of Wiener functions

Now we consider two harmonic structures \mathfrak{H}_1 and \mathfrak{H}_2 on the same space \mathcal{Q} (non-compact). We assume that both $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathfrak{H}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ satisfy Axioms 1~4. For simplicity, we replace the index \mathfrak{H}_i by (i) , e.g., we write $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{H}_1}(G)$ for $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{H}_1}(G)$, $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{(2)}(f)$ for $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{H}_2}(f)$, $h_f^{(1)}$ for $h_f^{\mathfrak{H}_1}$, etc.

LEMMA 2. *Suppose $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{W}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{B}\mathcal{W}^{(2)}$. Then, $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{W}_0^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{B}\mathcal{W}_0^{(2)}$ if and only if $h_f^{(2)} = h_{f_1}^{(2)}$ for any $f \in \mathcal{B}\mathcal{W}^{(1)}$, where $f_1 = h_f^{(1)}$.*

PROOF. The “if” part is obvious. Suppose now that $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{W}_0^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{B}\mathcal{W}_0^{(2)}$ and let $f \in \mathcal{B}\mathcal{W}^{(1)}$. Then $f - f_1 \in \mathcal{B}\mathcal{W}_0^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{B}\mathcal{W}_0^{(2)}$. Hence $h_{f-f_1}^{(2)} = 0$, so that $h_f^{(2)} = h_{f_1}^{(2)}$.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose the following condition (C) is satisfied:*

(C) *There exists $p \in \mathcal{P}_{(2)}$ such that for any $v \in \mathcal{D}_{(1)}^+(\mathcal{Q})$ with $0 \leq v \leq 1$ there is $w \in \mathcal{D}_{(2)}^+(\mathcal{Q})$ with the property that $|v - w| \leq p$ on \mathcal{Q} .*

Then $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{W}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{B}\mathcal{W}^{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{W}_0^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{B}\mathcal{W}_0^{(2)}$.

PROOF. By Lemma 2, it is enough to show that if $f \in \mathcal{W}^{(1)}$ and $0 \leq f \leq 1$, then $f \in \mathcal{W}^{(2)}$ and $h_f^{(2)} = h_{f_1}^{(2)}$, where $f_1 = h_f^{(1)}$. Given such an f , let $v \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{(1)}^+(f)$ and $0 \leq v \leq 1$. By condition (C), there exists $w \in \mathcal{D}_{(2)}^+(\mathcal{Q})$ such that $|v - w| \leq p$. Since $w + p \in \mathcal{D}_{(2)}(\mathcal{Q})$ and $w + p \geq v \geq f$ outside a compact set in \mathcal{Q} , we have $w + p \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{(2)}(f)$. Hence $w + p \geq \bar{h}_f^{(2)}$, and hence $v + 2p \geq w + p \geq \bar{h}_f^{(2)}$. Taking the infimum of $v \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{(1)}(f)$, we have

$$(1) \quad h_f^{(1)} + 2p \geq \bar{h}_f^{(2)}.$$

By applying the above result to the function $1 - f$, we have $h_{1-f}^{(1)} + 2p \geq \bar{h}_{1-f}^{(2)}$. By virtue of Lemma 1, this inequality can be written as

$$(2) \quad h_1^{(1)} - h_f^{(1)} + 2p \geq h_1^{(2)} - h_f^{(2)}.$$

(1) and (2) imply

$$\bar{h}_f^{(2)} - 2p \leq h_f^{(1)} = f_1 \leq h_f^{(2)} + h_1^{(1)} - h_1^{(2)} + 2p \leq h_f^{(2)} + (1 - h_1^{(2)}) + 2p.$$

Since $1 - h_1^{(2)} \in \mathcal{D}_{(2)}$, it follows that f is \mathfrak{S}_2 -harmonizable and $h_f^{(2)} = h_{f_1}^{(2)}$. Hence we have the theorem.

The following theorem is an easy consequence of the above theorem:

THEOREM 2. *If there exists a compact set K (may be empty) such that $B\mathcal{D}_{(1)}^+(\mathcal{Q} - K) \subset B\mathcal{D}_{(2)}^+(\mathcal{Q} - K)$, then $B\mathcal{W}^{(1)} \subset B\mathcal{W}^{(2)}$ and $B\mathcal{W}_0^{(1)} \subset B\mathcal{W}_0^{(2)}$.*

PROOF. Since $\mathcal{D}_{(2)} \neq \{0\}$ by assumption, there exists $p \in \mathcal{D}_{(2)}$ such that $p \geq 1$ on a neighborhood of K . Given $v \in \mathcal{D}_{(1)}^+(\mathcal{Q})$ such that $0 \leq v \leq 1$, let $w = \inf(1, v + p)$. Since $v|_{\mathcal{Q} - K} \in B\mathcal{D}_{(1)}^+(\mathcal{Q} - K) \subset B\mathcal{D}_{(2)}^+(\mathcal{Q} - K)$, $w|_{\mathcal{Q} - K} \in \mathcal{D}_{(2)}^+(\mathcal{Q} - K)$. Also, $w(x) \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of K . Hence $w \in \mathcal{D}_{(2)}^+(\mathcal{Q})$. On the other hand, we see $0 \leq w - v = \inf(1 - v, p) \leq p$. Thus condition (C) of Theorem 1 is satisfied, and hence our conclusion holds.

P. A. Loeb [3] defined that $\mathfrak{S}_1 \geq \mathfrak{S}_2$ if there exists a compact set K in \mathcal{Q} such that $\mathfrak{S}_1^+(G) \subset \mathcal{D}_{(2)}^+(G)$ for any open set G contained in $\mathcal{Q} - K$. In this case, we have $\mathcal{D}_{(1)}^+(\mathcal{Q} - K) \subset \mathcal{D}_{(2)}^+(\mathcal{Q} - K)$ (cf. [3]). Hence we have

COROLLARY. *If $\mathfrak{S}_1 \geq \mathfrak{S}_2$ in Loeb's sense, then $B\mathcal{W}^{(1)} \subset B\mathcal{W}^{(2)}$ and $B\mathcal{W}_0^{(1)} \subset B\mathcal{W}_0^{(2)}$.*

3. Applications to the solutions of $\Delta u - qu = 0$

Now let \mathcal{Q} be a locally Euclidean space having a Green function (or a hyperbolic Riemann surface) and consider the differential equation $\Delta u - qu = 0$ on \mathcal{Q} , where q is a locally Hölder continuous non-negative function on \mathcal{Q} . Then the solutions of this equation form a harmonic space $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathfrak{S}_q)$ satisfying

Axioms 1~4 (see [4]). We denote by $G^q(x, y)$ the Green function on Ω for this equation.

If q_1 and q_2 are two locally Hölder continuous non-negative functions on Ω , then we obtain the following result as a consequence of Theorem 1:

PROPOSITION. *If*

$$\int G^{q_2}(x, y) \max(q_1(y) - q_2(y), 0) dy < +\infty$$

for some $x \in \Omega$, then $\mathbf{BW}^{(1)} \subset \mathbf{BW}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbf{BW}_0^{(1)} \subset \mathbf{BW}_0^{(2)}$, where we put $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{H}_{q_1}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_2 = \mathfrak{H}_{q_2}$.

PROOF. Under the condition of the proposition,

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{c_d} \int G^{q_2}(x, y) \max(q_1(y) - q_2(y), 0) dy$$

(see [4] for the constant c_d) is an \mathfrak{H}_2 -potential, i.e., $p \in \mathcal{D}_{(2)}$. Given $v \in \mathcal{D}_{(1)}^+(\Omega)$ such that $0 \leq v \leq 1$, let $w = v + p$. Then, in the distribution sense, we have (cf. [4]) $\Delta v - q_1 v \leq 0$ and $\Delta p - q_2 p = -\max(q_1 - q_2, 0)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w - q_2 w &= \Delta v - q_2 v + \Delta p - q_2 p \\ &\leq (q_1 - q_2)v - \max(q_1 - q_2, 0) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $w \in \mathcal{D}_{(2)}^+(\Omega)$ (see [4]) and $0 \leq w - v = p$. Therefore condition (C) of Theorem 1 is satisfied and the proposition is proved.

COROLLARY 1. *If there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $q_1 \leq \alpha q_2$ outside a compact set in Ω , then $\mathbf{BW}^{(1)} \subset \mathbf{BW}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbf{BW}_0^{(1)} \subset \mathbf{BW}_0^{(2)}$.*

PROOF. We may prove only the case $\alpha \geq 1$. In this case $q_1 - q_2 \leq (\alpha - 1)q_2$ outside a compact set. Since

$$\int G^{q_2}(x, y) q_2(y) dy \leq c_d$$

for all $x \in \Omega$ (cf. [4]), the condition in the above proposition is easily verified.

COROLLARY 2. (a) For any $q (\geq 0)$, $\mathbf{BW} \subset \mathbf{BW}^{(q)}$ and $\mathbf{BW}_0 \subset \mathbf{BW}_0^{(q)}$; (b) If $\int G(x, y) q(y) dy < +\infty$, then $\mathbf{BW}^{(q)} = \mathbf{BW}$ and $\mathbf{BW}_0^{(q)} = \mathbf{BW}_0$. Here, $\mathbf{W}^{(q)}$ (resp. $\mathbf{W}_0^{(q)}$) is the class of \mathfrak{H}_q -Wiener functions (resp. \mathfrak{H}_q -Wiener potentials) and \mathbf{W} (resp. \mathbf{W}_0) is the class of ordinary Wiener functions (resp. Wiener potentials).

REMARK. The above proposition and Corollary 1 show that our results contain the results given by Hidematu Tanaka [5]. Also, Theorem 3. 1, (i)

and Corollary 2 to Theorem 3.2 in [4] are immediate consequences of the above corollaries.

Added in proof: We can improve Lemma 2 as follows: If $\mathbf{BW}^{(1)} \subset \mathbf{BW}^{(2)}$, then $\mathbf{BW}_0^{(1)} \subset \mathbf{BW}_0^{(2)}$ and $h_f^{(2)} = h_{f_1}^{(2)}$ for any $f \in \mathbf{BW}^{(1)}$, where $f_1 = h_f^{(1)}$.

References

- [1] M. Brelot, *Lectures on potential theory*, Part IV, Tata Inst. of F. R., Bombay, 1960.
- [2] C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, *Compactifications of harmonic spaces*, Nagoya Math. J., **25** (1965), 1-57.
- [3] P. A. Loeb, *An axiomatic treatment of pairs of elliptic differential equations*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **16/2** (1966), 167-208.
- [4] F-Y. Maeda, *Boundary value problems for the equation $\Delta u - qu = 0$ with respect to an ideal boundary*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ., Ser. A-I, **32** (1968), 85-146.
- [5] Hidematu Tanaka, *On Wiener compactification of a Riemann surface associated with the equation $\Delta u = pu$* , Proc. Japan Acad., **45** (1969), 675-679.

*Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Hiroshima University*

