

Nonimbedding Theorems of Lie Algebras

Terukiyo SATÔ

(Received February 17, 1972)

§ 1.

Let N be a Lie or associative algebra, and \mathfrak{D} a set of derivations of N which contains all the inner derivations. Two sequences of subspaces $\{N\mathfrak{D}^i\}$ and $\{N\mathfrak{D}_i\}$ are defined inductively as follows ([2, 3]):

$$N\mathfrak{D}^i = N\mathfrak{D}^{i-1}\mathfrak{D}$$

$$N\mathfrak{D}_i = \{x \in N; x\mathfrak{D} \subseteq N\mathfrak{D}_{i-1}\},$$

where $N\mathfrak{D}^0 = N$ and $N\mathfrak{D}_0 = 0$. N is called \mathfrak{D} -nilpotent of class n , when $N\mathfrak{D}^{n-1} \neq 0$ and $N\mathfrak{D}^n = 0$.

Several authors have investigated the nonimbedding of nilpotent algebras. Namely, Chao [1] showed that a non-abelian Lie algebra A such that its center is 1-dimensional or $\dim A/[A, A] = 2$ cannot be any $N\mathfrak{S}^i$, where \mathfrak{S} is the algebra of all inner derivations of a nilpotent Lie algebra N . Ravisankar [2] improved this result as follows: Such an algebra A cannot be any $N\mathfrak{D}^i$ of a \mathfrak{D} -nilpotent algebra N . Moreover, Tôgô and Maruo [3] proved the following theorems among other things:

Let N be a \mathfrak{D} -nilpotent algebra, and A a non-abelian subalgebra of N .

(a) If $\dim A/[A, A] = 2$, then it is impossible that

$$N\mathfrak{D}^i \supseteq A \supseteq N\mathfrak{D}^{i+1} \quad (i \geq 1).$$

(b) If A is mapped into $[A, A]$ by every derivation of A , then it is impossible that

$$N\mathfrak{D}^i \supseteq A \supseteq N\mathfrak{D}^{i+1} \quad (i \geq 1) \quad \text{if } A \text{ is Lie,}$$

$$A = N\mathfrak{D}^1 \text{ or } N\mathfrak{D}^i \supseteq A \supseteq N\mathfrak{D}^{i+1} \quad (i \geq 2) \quad \text{if } A \text{ is associative.}$$

The purpose of the present paper is to improve these two results about nonimbedding of algebras. Hereafter, we suppose that N is a Lie or associative algebra, $\mathfrak{S}(N)$ is the Lie algebra of all inner derivations of N , \mathfrak{D} is a subset of the derivation algebra of N which contains $\mathfrak{S}(N)$, and N is \mathfrak{D} -nilpotent of class n .

We shall need the following result stated in [3].

LEMMA. *The sequences $\{N\mathfrak{D}^i\}$ and $\{N\mathfrak{D}_i\}$ are monotone decreasing and*

increasing respectively, and

$$[N\mathfrak{D}^i, N\mathfrak{D}^j] \subseteq N\mathfrak{D}^{i+j+1}$$

$$[N\mathfrak{D}^i, N\mathfrak{D}_j] \subseteq N\mathfrak{D}_{j-i-1}.$$

§ 2.

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a non-abelian subalgebra of N . If $\dim A = \dim [A, A] + 2$, then it is impossible that*

$$N\mathfrak{D}^i \supseteq A \supseteq N\mathfrak{D}^{3i} \quad (i \geq 1)$$

except for the case where $[A, [A, A]] = 0$ and $3i \geq n - 1$.

PROOF. Let us suppose that $N\mathfrak{D}^i \supseteq A \supseteq N\mathfrak{D}^{3i}$ for $i \geq 1$.

At first we assume that $[A, [A, A]] = 0$. Then it is obvious that A is 3-dimensional. If $3i \leq n - 2$, then $A \supseteq N\mathfrak{D}^{3i} \supseteq N\mathfrak{D}^{n-2}$. On the other hand we have

$$[N\mathfrak{D}^{n-2}, A] \subseteq [N\mathfrak{D}^{n-2}, N\mathfrak{D}^1] \subseteq N\mathfrak{D}^n = 0,$$

and therefore $N\mathfrak{D}^{n-2}$ is contained in the center of A , which is 1-dimensional. However, this is contrary to the fact that $N\mathfrak{D}^{n-2} \supset N\mathfrak{D}^{n-1} \neq 0$. Hence it must be $3i \geq n - 1$.

So we assume that $[A, [A, A]] \neq 0$. Then $N\mathfrak{D}^{3i+2} \supseteq [A, [A, A]] \neq 0$ implies that $3i + 2 \leq n - 1$. In the case where $A = N\mathfrak{D}^{3i}$,

$$\dim N\mathfrak{D}^{3i+3} \leq \dim N\mathfrak{D}^{3i} - 3 = \dim A - 3 = \dim [A, [A, A]] \leq \dim N\mathfrak{D}^{9i+2}.$$

As $3i + 3 \leq n$ and $[A, [A, A]] \neq 0$, it must be $3i + 3 \geq 9i + 2$, so we get $6i \leq 1$, which is impossible. So we may assume that $N\mathfrak{D}^i \supseteq A \supset N\mathfrak{D}^{3i}$. Then we have

$$A \supset N\mathfrak{D}^{3i} \supset N\mathfrak{D}^{3i+1} \supset N\mathfrak{D}^{3i+2} \supseteq [A, [A, A]].$$

Therefore $\dim A/[A, [A, A]] = 3$ implies that $\dim A/N\mathfrak{D}^{3i} = 1$ and $[A, [A, A]] = N\mathfrak{D}^{3i+2}$. When we put $A = \{a\} + N\mathfrak{D}^{3i}$,

$$[A, A] = [a, N\mathfrak{D}^{3i}] + [N\mathfrak{D}^{3i}, N\mathfrak{D}^{3i}] \subseteq N\mathfrak{D}^{4i+1} \subseteq N\mathfrak{D}^{3i+2} = [A, [A, A]],$$

which is a contradiction. Hence the theorem is proved.

The following two examples show that there exist algebras N and A such that

$$N\mathfrak{D}^1 \supseteq A \supseteq N\mathfrak{D}^3, \quad \dim A/[A, A] = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad [A, [A, A]] = 0,$$

both for Lie and associative cases.

Example 1. Let N and A be the Lie algebras as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \{a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2, d\}, & A &= \{b_1, b_2, d\}, \\ [a_1, a_2] &= b_1, & [a_2, a_3] &= b_2, & [a_2, b_1] &= c_1, & [a_2, b_2] &= c_2, \\ [a_1, c_2] &= [a_3, c_1] = [b_1, b_2] & &= d. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we suppose that a product which is not in the table is 0, and $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{F}(N)$. Then we have

$$N\mathfrak{D}^1 = \{b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2, d\} \supset A \supset N\mathfrak{D}^3 = \{d\} = [A, A].$$

Example 2.¹⁾ We take associative subalgebras N and A of the matrices algebra of order 5 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \{e_{21}, e_{32}, e_{43}, e_{54}, e_{31}, e_{42}, e_{53}, e_{41}, e_{52}, e_{51}\} \\ A &= \{e_{31}, e_{53}, e_{51}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and we take $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{F}(N)$. Then $\dim A/[A, A] = 2$ and

$$N\mathfrak{D}^1 = \{e_{31}, e_{42}, e_{53}, e_{41}, e_{52}, e_{51}\} \supset A \supset N\mathfrak{D}^3 = \{e_{51}\}.$$

THEOREM 2. *Let A be a non-abelian subalgebra of N . If $\dim A/[A, A] = m$, then it is impossible that*

$$N\mathfrak{D}^i \supseteq A \supseteq N\mathfrak{D}^{i+1} \quad (i \geq m-1).$$

PROOF. On the contrary, we suppose that there exists such a subalgebra A . Obviously we may assume that $A \supset N\mathfrak{D}^{i+1}$. Then,

$$N\mathfrak{D}^i \supseteq A \supset N\mathfrak{D}^{i+1} \supset N\mathfrak{D}^{i+2} \supset \dots \supset N\mathfrak{D}^{2i+1} \supseteq [A, A]. \quad (1)$$

$$m = \dim A/[A, A] \geq \dim A/N\mathfrak{D}^{2i+1} \geq i+1 \geq m$$

implies that $i = m-1$, $[A, A] = N\mathfrak{D}^{2i+1}$ and

$$\dim A/N\mathfrak{D}^{i+1} = \dim N\mathfrak{D}^{i+1}/N\mathfrak{D}^{i+2} = \dots = \dim N\mathfrak{D}^{2i}/N\mathfrak{D}^{2i+1} = 1.$$

Then we may express A as $A = \{a\} + N\mathfrak{D}^m$. Hence we have

$$N\mathfrak{D}^{2m-1} = [A, A] \subseteq [N\mathfrak{D}^{m-1}, N\mathfrak{D}^m] \subseteq N\mathfrak{D}^{2m},$$

which implies that $N\mathfrak{D}^{2m-1} = [A, A] = N\mathfrak{D}^{2m} = 0$. This contradicts our assumption. Hence the theorem is proved.

THEOREM 3. *Let A be a non-abelian subalgebra of N . If $\dim A/[A, A] = m$, then it is impossible that*

¹⁾ This example is due to the communication from Prof. Tôgô.

$$A \subseteq N\mathfrak{D}^{m-1} \quad \text{and} \quad N\mathfrak{D}_i \supseteq A \supseteq N\mathfrak{D}_{i-1} \quad (i \geq 1).$$

PROOF. We can prove this theorem as same as Theorem 2. We have only to replace (1) by the following

$$N\mathfrak{D}_i \supseteq A \supset N\mathfrak{D}_{i-1} \supset \cdots \supset N\mathfrak{D}_{i-m+1} \supset N\mathfrak{D}_{i-m} \supseteq [N\mathfrak{D}^{m-1}, N\mathfrak{D}_i] \supseteq [A, A].$$

THEOREM 4. *Let A be a non-abelian subalgebra of N , and we denote by $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ the derivation algebra of A . If*

$$A\mathfrak{D}(A) \subseteq [A, A],$$

then it is impossible that

$$N\mathfrak{D}^i \supseteq A \supseteq N\mathfrak{D}^{i+1} \quad (i \geq 1).$$

This theorem was proved by Tôgô and Maruo [3] except for the case where $i=1$ and N is an associative algebra, but it remains valid also in this exceptive case as follows. The first half of the proof is same as [3].

PROOF. We suppose that there exists such a subalgebra A . Since A is a \mathfrak{D} -ideal as easily seen,

$$N\mathfrak{D}^{i+2} = N\mathfrak{D}^{i+1}\mathfrak{D} \subseteq A\mathfrak{D} \subseteq A\mathfrak{D}(A) \subseteq [A, A] \subseteq N\mathfrak{D}^{2i+1}.$$

Hence we have $i+2 \geq 2i+1$, which implies $i=1$ and $[A, A] = N\mathfrak{D}^3$. Then we get

$$N\mathfrak{D}^4 = [A, A]\mathfrak{D} \subseteq [A\mathfrak{D}, A] \subseteq [[A, A], A] \subseteq N\mathfrak{D}^5,$$

from which it follows $[A, [A, A]] = N\mathfrak{D}^4 = [A, A]\mathfrak{D} = 0$, that is $[A, A] \subseteq N\mathfrak{D}_1$. Then since $A\mathfrak{D} \subseteq [A, A] \subseteq N\mathfrak{D}_1$, we get $A \subseteq N\mathfrak{D}_2$. So from the preceding lemma it follows that

$$[A, A] \subseteq [N\mathfrak{D}^1, N\mathfrak{D}_2] \subseteq N\mathfrak{D}_0 = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence we have the assertion.

References

- [1] C.-Y. Chao, A nonimbedding theorem of nilpotent Lie algebras, Pacific J. Math., **22** (1967), 231-234.
- [2] T.S. Ravisankar, Characteristically nilpotent algebras, Canad. J. Math., **23** (1971), 222-235.
- [3] S. Tôgô and O. Maruo, Nonimbedding theorems of algebras, Hiroshima Math. J., **1** (1971), 5-16.

*Faculty of Engineering,
Ibaraki University*