

## Note on $\gamma$ -Operations in $KO$ -Theory

Teiichi KOBAYASHI

(Received January 17, 1974)

### §1. Introduction

Let  $p_i(\alpha)$  be the  $i$ -th (integral) Pontrjagin class of a real stable vector bundle  $\alpha$  over a finite  $CW$ -complex  $X$ , and let  $\gamma^i$  be the Grothendieck  $\gamma$ -operation in  $KO$ -theory. Let  $k$  be a positive integer. Consider the two conditions:  $p_k(\alpha)=0$  and  $\gamma^{2k}(\alpha)=0$ .

M. F. Atiyah has shown the following result in [3, §6] using the Chern character.

**THEOREM 1.1.** (M. F. Atiyah) *Suppose that  $H^*(X; Z)$  is free. Then, for any real stable vector bundle  $\alpha$  over  $X$  and for any positive integer  $k$ ,*

$$\gamma^{2k}(\alpha) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p_k(\alpha) = 0.$$

For integers  $n > 0$  and  $q > 1$ , we denote by  $L^n(q) (= S^{2n+1}/Z_q)$  the  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional standard lens space mod  $q$  and by  $RP^n (= S^n/Z_2)$  the real projective  $n$ -space. The purpose of this note is to prove the following

**THEOREM 1.2.** (i) *Assume that  $q$  is an odd integer  $> 1$ . Let  $\alpha$  be any real stable vector bundle over  $L^n(q)$  and  $k$  be any positive integer. Then*

$$\gamma^{2k}(\alpha) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p_k(\alpha) = 0,$$

*while the converse does not hold in general.*

(ii) *The same is true for  $RP^n$ .*

There are examples of vector bundles for which the equality  $\gamma^{2k}(\alpha)=0$  does not imply the equality  $p_k(\alpha)=0$ . Let  $CP^n (= S^{2n+1}/S^1)$  be the complex projective  $n$ -space, and  $D(m, n)$  be the Dold manifold of dimension  $m+2n$  obtained from  $S^m \times CP^n$  by identifying  $(x, z)$  with  $(-x, \bar{z})$ , where  $(x, z) \in S^m \times CP^n$ .

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Assume that  $n=2^r$  and  $m=2^s$  ( $r > s > 1$ ). Let  $\tau_0 = \tau - (m+2n)$  be the stable class of the tangent bundle  $\tau$  of  $D(m, n)$ , and put  $k = n/2 + m/4$ . Then  $\gamma^{2i}(-\tau_0) = 0$  for any  $i \geq k$ , but  $p_k(-\tau_0) \neq 0$ .*

Let  $\eta$  be the canonical complex line bundle over  $L^n(q)$ . In §2, we calculate the Pontrjagin class of a real stable vector bundle  $\alpha = r \sum_{i=1}^q a_i (\eta^i - 1)$ , where

$a_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, q-1$ ) are integers and  $r$  denotes the real restriction. In §3, following M. F. Atiyah [3], we recall the  $\gamma$ -operations in  $KO$ -theory and compute  $\gamma_r(\alpha)$  for the stable class  $\alpha$ . In §4, we apply the results of §2 and §3 to the proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof is mainly based on the structure of  $\widetilde{KO}(L^n(q))$  investigated by T. Kawaguchi and M. Sugawara [8], and that of  $\widetilde{KO}(RP^n)$  investigated by J. F. Adams [1]. In §5, we recall the cohomology structure of  $D(m, n)$  according to A. Dold [4], M. Fujii [5] and J. J. Ucci [12]. We prove Theorem 1.3 in §6 using the results in §5 and the results on  $\widetilde{KO}(D(m, n))$  (cf. M. Fujii and T. Yasui [6] and J. J. Ucci [12]). In the final section, §7, we consider the problem of immersing  $L^n(q)$  in  $CP^m$ .

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Professor M. Sugawara for valuable advice.

**§2. Pontrjagin classes**

According to N. Mahammed [11, Lemma 3.3], the following is known.

(2.1) *The  $K$ -ring  $K(L^n(q))$  is a quotient ring*

$$Z[\eta]/\langle (\eta - 1)^{n+1}, \eta^a - 1 \rangle,$$

where  $Z[\eta]$  is the polynomial ring generated by  $\eta$  and  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is its ideal generated by  $a$  and  $b$ .

Let  $r: K(X) \rightarrow KO(X)$ ,  $c: KO(X) \rightarrow K(X)$  and  $t: K(X) \rightarrow K(X)$  denote the real restriction, the complexification and the conjugation, respectively. Then

$$(2.2) \quad rc = 2, cr = 1 + t \quad (\text{cf. [1, Lemma 3.9]}).$$

Let  $x$  be the first Chern class of  $\eta$ . Notice that  $H^2(L^n(q); Z) = Z_q$  is generated by  $x$  and that  $x^{n+1} = 0$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $d$  be any integer. The total Pontrjagin class  $p = \sum_i p_i$  of the real 2-plane bundle  $r\eta^d$  over  $L^n(q)$  is given by  $p(r\eta^d) = 1 + d^2x^2$ .*

PROOF. Denote by  $C = \sum_i c_i$  the total Chern class. Then  $p_i(r\eta^d) = (-1)^i c_{2i}(c r \eta^d) = (-1)^i c_{2i}((1+t)\eta^d) = (-1)^i c_{2i}(\eta^d + \eta^{-d})$  by the definition and (2.2). But  $C(\eta^d + \eta^{-d}) = C(\eta^d)C(\eta^{-d}) = (1+dx)(1-dx) = 1 - d^2x^2$ , as desired. q. e. d.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Suppose  $q$  is odd  $> 1$ . The total Pontrjagin class of a real stable bundle  $\alpha = r \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a_i(\eta^i - 1)$  ( $a_i \in Z$ ) is given by  $p(\alpha) = \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} A(l)x^{2l}$ , where*

$$(2.5) \quad A(l) = \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_{q-1} = l} \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} \binom{a_i}{j_i} i^{2j_i}.$$

**PROOF.** Since  $q$  is odd,  $H^*(L^n(q); \mathbb{Z})$  has no 2-torsion. Hence, by Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} p(\alpha) &= \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} p(r\eta^i)^{a_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (1 + i^2 x^2)^{a_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} \sum_{j_i=0}^{\lfloor a_i/2 \rfloor} \binom{a_i}{j_i} i^{2j_i} x^{2j_i} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left\{ \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_{q-1}=l} \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} \binom{a_i}{j_i} i^{2j_i} \right\} x^{2l}. \end{aligned} \quad \text{q. e. d.}$$

**§3.  $\gamma$ -operations**

Following M. F. Atiyah [3, §2], we recall the  $\gamma$ -operations in  $KO$ -theory. Let  $\lambda^t: KO(X) \rightarrow KO(X)$  be the exterior power operation and  $\lambda_t: KO(X) \rightarrow A(X)$  be the homomorphism with  $\lambda_t(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i(\alpha) t^i$  for  $\alpha \in KO(X)$ , where  $A(X)$  denotes the multiplicative group of formal power series in  $t$  with coefficients in  $KO(X)$  and constant term 1. The homomorphism  $\gamma_t: KO(X) \rightarrow A(X)$  is defined by  $\gamma_t = \lambda_{t/1-t}$ , and the operation  $\gamma^t: KO(X) \rightarrow KO(X)$  is given by  $\gamma^t(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma^i(\alpha) t^i$ .

The following is due to [7, Lemma (4.8)].

(3.1) For the real 2-plane bundle  $r\eta^d$  over  $L^n(q)$ ,

$$\gamma_t(r\eta^d - 2) = 1 + (r\eta^d - 2)t - (r\eta^d - 2)t^2.$$

Let  $\Psi_r^t: KO(X) \rightarrow KO(X)$  (resp.  $\Psi_c^t: K(X) \rightarrow K(X)$ ) denote the real (resp. complex) Adams operation.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** Let  $q$  be an integer  $> 1$ , and  $a_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, q-1$ ) be integers. Denote by  $\sigma = \eta - 1$  the stable class of  $\eta$ . Then, for an element  $\alpha = r \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} a_i \eta^i - 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_t(\alpha) &= \sum_l \left\{ \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_{q-1}=l} \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} \binom{a_i}{j_i} (\Psi_r^t r\sigma)^{j_i} \right\} (t-t^2)^l \\ &= \sum_l \left\{ \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_{q-1}=l} \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} \binom{a_i}{j_i} \left( \sum_{s=1}^i \frac{i}{s} \binom{i+s-1}{2s-1} (r\sigma)^{s-1} \right)^{j_i} \right\} \\ &\quad (r\sigma)^l (t-t^2)^l. \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF.** Using (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_t(\alpha) &= \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (\gamma_t(r\eta^i - 2))^{a_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} (1 + (r\eta^i - 2)(t-t^2))^{a_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} \sum_{j_i} \binom{a_i}{j_i} (r\eta^i - 2)^{j_i} (t-t^2)^{j_i} \\ &= \sum_l \left\{ \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_{q-1}=l} \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} \binom{a_i}{j_i} (r\eta^i - 2)^{j_i} \right\} (t-t^2)^l. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 r\eta^i - 2 &= r\Psi_C^i \eta - 2 && \text{by [1, Theorem 5.1, (iii)]} \\
 &= \Psi_R^i r\eta - 2 = \Psi_R^i r\sigma && \text{by [2, Lemma A2]} \\
 &= \sum_{s=1}^i \frac{i}{s} \binom{i+s-1}{2s-1} (r\sigma)^s && \text{by [9, (4.2)].}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get the desired equalities.

q.e.d.

**§4. Proof of Theorem 1.2**

For the proof of the first part of Theorem 1.2, we make use of the following results of T. Kawaguchi and M. Sugawara [8, Theorem 1.1, Propositions 2.6 and 2.11]. Let  $L_0^n(q)$  be the  $2n$ -skeleton of  $L^n(q)$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** (T. Kawaguchi and M. Sugawara) (i) *Let  $q$  be an odd integer  $> 1$ . Then the ring  $\widetilde{KO}(L_0^n(q))$  is generated by  $r\sigma$ , the element  $(r\sigma)^{[n/2]}$  is of order  $q$ , and  $(r\sigma)^{[n/2]+1} = 0$ .*

(ii) *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $r \geq 1$ . Then the order of the element  $(r\sigma)^i$  of  $\widetilde{KO}(L^n(p^r))$  is equal to  $p^{r+[(n-2i)/(p-1)]}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq [n/2]$ .*

Also we need the results of J. F. Adams [1, Theorem 7.4]. Let  $\xi$  be the canonical line bundle over  $RP^n$  and let  $\lambda = \xi - 1$ .

**THEOREM 4.2.** (J. F. Adams)  *$\widetilde{KO}(RP^n)$  is a cyclic group of order  $2^{\phi(n)}$  generated by  $\lambda$ , where  $\phi(n)$  is defined as the number of integers  $s$  with  $0 < s \leq n$  and  $s \equiv 0, 1, 2$  or  $4 \pmod 8$ . The multiplicative structure is determined by  $\lambda^2 = -2\lambda$ ,  $\lambda^{\phi(n)+1} = 0$ .*

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.** (i) As is well-known [11],

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widetilde{KO}(L^n(q)) &= \widetilde{KO}(L_0^n(q)) + \widetilde{KO}(S^{2n+1}), \quad \widetilde{KO}(L_0^n(q)) = r\widetilde{K}(L^n(q)), \\
 \widetilde{KO}(S^{2n+1}) &= Z_2 \text{ if } n \equiv 0 \pmod 4, \quad = 0 \text{ if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can write  $\alpha = \alpha' + \beta$  where  $\alpha' \in \widetilde{KO}(L_0^n(q))$  and  $\beta \in \widetilde{KO}(S^{2n+1})$ . It is easy to see that  $\gamma^i(\beta) \in \widetilde{KO}(S^{2n+1})$  for  $i > 0$ . Hence  $\gamma^i(\alpha')\gamma^j(\beta) = 0$  for  $i > 0$  and  $j > 0$ , because  $\gamma^i(\alpha')$  ( $i > 0$ ) is zero or of odd order and  $\gamma^j(\beta)$  ( $j > 0$ ) is zero or of order 2. Consequently, we obtain

$$\gamma_i(\alpha) = \gamma_i(\alpha')\gamma_i(\beta) = 1 + \sum_{i>0} (\gamma^i(\alpha') + \gamma^i(\beta))t^i.$$

Thus  $\gamma^i(\alpha) = 0$  implies  $\gamma^i(\alpha') = 0$ . Since  $p(\alpha) = p(\alpha')p(\beta) = p(\alpha')$ , we may assume

that  $\alpha = \alpha' \in \widetilde{KO}(L^n(q)) = r\widetilde{K}(L^n(q))$ .

Let  $\eta$  be the canonical complex line bundle over  $L^n(q)$ . By (2.1) we can write  $\alpha = r \sum_{i=1}^q a_i (\eta^i - 1)$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $x^{n+1} = 0$ , we may assume that  $n > 1$  and that  $k \leq [n/2]$ . By Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 4.1, (i) we have

$$\gamma^{2k}(\alpha) = (-1)^k A(k)(r\sigma)^k + \sum_{j=k+1}^{[n/2]} b_j (r\sigma)^j$$

for some coefficients  $b_j$  ( $j = k+1, k+2, \dots, [n/2]$ ) (cf. (2.5)). Suppose that  $\gamma^{2k}(\alpha) = 0$ . Multiplying  $(r\sigma)^{[n/2]-k}$  on both sides of the equality, we obtain  $A(k)(r\sigma)^{[n/2]} = 0$ , and so  $A(k) \equiv 0 \pmod q$ , by Theorem 4.1, (i). Therefore  $p_k(\alpha) = 0$  by Proposition 2.4.

In order to study the converse, assume that  $q$  is equal to the power  $p^r$  ( $r > 0$ ) of an odd prime  $p$  ( $> 1$ ) and consider an element  $\alpha = r(a(\eta - 1)) \in \widetilde{KO}(L^n(q))$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, by Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 4.1, (i),

$$\gamma^{2k}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=k}^{[n/2]} (-1)^i \binom{a}{i} \binom{i}{2i-2k} (r\sigma)^i.$$

Now, put  $n = p^{r+1} - 1$ ,  $a = p^{r+1}$  and  $k = (p^{r+1} - p)/2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{a}{k} &\not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r+1}}, \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}, \\ \binom{a}{i} &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r+1}} \quad \text{for } i = k+1, k+2, \dots, n/2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Theorem 4.1, (ii), we have  $\gamma^{2k}(\alpha) \neq 0$ . On the other hand, clearly,  $p_i(\alpha) = 0$  for any  $i \geq k$ , by Proposition 2.4.

(ii) Let  $\alpha$  be any real stable vector bundle over  $RP^n$ . According to Theorem 4.2,  $\alpha = a\lambda$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\gamma_t(\alpha) = (1 + \lambda t)^a = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{a}{i} \lambda^i t^i$$

by [3, §2]. Therefore, by Theorem 4.2,  $\gamma^{2k}(\alpha) = -2^{2k-1} \binom{a}{2k} \lambda$ , and hence the equality  $\gamma^{2k}(\alpha) = 0$  implies that

$$2^{2k-1} \binom{a}{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\phi(n)}}.$$

If  $4k < n + 1$ , then  $2k - 1 < \phi(n)$ , and so  $\binom{a}{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ . Then  $p_k(\alpha) = (-1)^k c_{2k}(c\alpha) = \binom{a}{2k} x^{2k} = 0$ , where  $x$  is the generator of  $H^2(RP^n; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ . If  $4k \geq n + 1$ , it is obvious that  $p_k(\alpha) = 0$ .

We obtain an example, for which the converse does not hold, by setting  $n = 2^r - 1$ ,  $a = 2^r$  and  $k = 2^{r-3}$  ( $r > 3$ ). q.e.d.

### §5. Dold manifold $D(m, n)$

We recall the cohomology of the Dold manifold  $D(m, n)$  according to A. Dold [4, Satz 1] and M. Fujii [5, Proposition (1.6)].

Let  $(c^i, d^j)$  be the  $(i+2j)$ -dimensional cohomology class of  $D(m, n)$  which is dual to the homology class determined by the  $(i+2j)$ -cell  $(C^i, D^j)$  (cf. [4] or [5]). For the simplicity, we use the same notation for the integral class and its mod 2 reduction.

**THEOREM 5.1.** (M. Fujii)  $H^*(D(m, n); \mathbb{Z})$  is a direct sum of a free abelian group generated by elements  $(c^0, d^{2j})$  and  $(c^m, d^{2j+\varepsilon})$  ( $\varepsilon=0$  for odd  $m$ ,  $\varepsilon=1$  for even  $m$ ), and a torsion group generated by elements  $(c^{2i}, d^{2j})$  and  $(c^{2i-1}, d^{2j+1})$  of order 2, where  $i=1, 2, \dots, [m/2]$ , and  $j=0, 1, \dots, [n/2]$ .

Let  $(c^i, d^j)$  be the corresponding cohomology class for  $D(m', n')$  where  $m' \leq m$  and  $n' \leq n$ . If  $h: D(m', n') \rightarrow D(m, n)$  is the standard inclusion, then it holds that  $h^*(c^i, d^j) = (c^i, d^j)$ .

**THEOREM 5.2.** (A. Dold)  $H^*(D(m, n); \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}]/(\mathbf{c}^{m+1}, \mathbf{d}^{n+1})$ , where  $\mathbf{c} = (c^1, d^0) \in H^1(D(m, n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $\mathbf{d} = (c^0, d^1) \in H^2(D(m, n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{c}'$  and  $\mathbf{d}'$  be the corresponding cohomology classes for  $D(m', n')$  where  $m' \leq m$  and  $n' \leq n$ . If  $h: D(m', n') \rightarrow D(m, n)$  is the standard inclusion, then it holds that  $h^*(\mathbf{c}'\mathbf{d}') = \mathbf{c}'\mathbf{d}'$ .

Let  $\pi: D(m, n) \rightarrow RP^m$  be the natural projection. Then  $\pi$  is the projection of the fibre bundle with fibre  $CP^n$ . Let  $i: CP^n \rightarrow D(m, n)$  be the inclusion of the fibre in the total spec. The following results are due to [12, Proposition (1.4)].

**THEOREM 5.3.** (J. J. Ucci) (i) Let  $\xi_1 = \pi^1 \xi$  be the real line bundle over  $D(m, n)$  induced by  $\pi$  from the canonical line bundle  $\xi$  over  $RP^m$ . Then the total Stiefel-Whitney class  $w = \sum_i w_i$  is given by  $w(\xi_1) = 1 + \mathbf{c}$ .

(ii) There exists a real 2-plane bundle  $\mu_1$  over  $D(m, n)$  such that  $i^1 \mu_1 = r\mu$  and  $w(\mu_1) = 1 + \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d}$ , where  $r\mu$  is the real restriction of the complex line bundle over  $CP^n$ .

Let  $c$  denote the complexification and  $C = \sum_i c_i$  denote the total Chern class.

**LEMMA 5.4.** (i)  $C(c\xi_1) = 1 + \mathbf{c}^2$  ( $m \geq 2$ ),

(ii)  $C(c\mu_1) = 1 + \mathbf{c}^2 - \mathbf{d}^2$  ( $m \geq 2, n \geq 2$ ),

where  $\mathbf{c}^2 = (c^2, d^0) \in H^2(D(m, n); \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\mathbf{d}^2 = (c^0, d^2) \in H^4(D(m, n); \mathbb{Z})$ .

**PROOF.** As (i) is obtained immediately from Theorem 5.3, (i), we only give a proof of (ii). Notice that

$$w(rc\mu_1) = w(2\mu_1) = w(\mu_1)^2 = (1 + \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d})^2 = 1 + \mathbf{c}^2 + \mathbf{d}^2,$$

by (2.2) and Theorem 5.3, (ii). Hence the mod 2 reduction of  $c_1(c\mu)$  is  $\mathbf{e}^2$  and that of  $c_2(c\mu_1)$  is  $\mathbf{d}^2$ . Since the mod 2 reduction  $H^2(D(m, n); \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(D(m, n); \mathbf{Z}_2)$  is isomorphic, we have  $C(c\mu_1) = 1 + \mathbf{e}^2 + l\mathbf{d}^2$ , where  $l$  is some odd integer. On the other hand, by (2.2) and Theorem 5.3, (ii),  $i^*C(c\mu_1) = C(i^1c\mu_1) = C(ci^1\mu_1) = C(cr\mu) = C(\mu)C(\bar{\mu}) = (1+z)(1-z) = 1 - z^2$ , where  $z$  is the generator of  $H^2(CP^n; \mathbf{Z})$ . Since  $i^*\mathbf{d}^2 = z^2$ , we have  $l = -1$ , as desired. q. e. d.

**§6. Proof of Theorem 1.3**

**LEMMA 6.1.** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers such that*

$$[m/2] \equiv \binom{m}{i} \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ for any } i \text{ with } 0 < i \leq [m/2], \text{ and}$$

$$\binom{n + [n/2] + [m/2]}{n} \binom{[n/2] + [m/2]}{[m/2]} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Put  $k = [n/2] + [m/4]$ . Then  $p_k(-\tau_0) \neq 0$ , where  $\tau_0 = \tau - (m + 2n)$  is the stable class of the tangent bundle  $\tau$  of  $D(m, n)$ .

**PROOF.** According to [5, Theorem (2.8)] or [12, Theorem (1.5)]

$$-\tau_0 = -m(\xi_1 - 1) - (n + 1)(\mu_1 - 2).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 5.4,

$$C(-\tau_0) = C(-mc\xi_1)C(-(n + 1)c\mu_1) = (1 + \mathbf{e}^2)^{-m}(1 + \mathbf{e}^2 - \mathbf{d}^2)^{-n-1}.$$

Now,  $(1 + \mathbf{e}^2)^m = 1$ , by the assumption, since  $\mathbf{e}^2$  is of order 2 and  $(\mathbf{e}^2)^{[m/2]+1} = 0$ . While,

$$(1 + \mathbf{e}^2 - \mathbf{d}^2)^{-n-1} = \sum_i (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} (\mathbf{e}^2)^j (-\mathbf{d}^2)^{i-j}.$$

The coefficient of the monomial  $(\mathbf{e}^2)^{[m/2]}(\mathbf{d}^2)^{[n/2]}$  in this expansion is

$$(-1)^{[m/2]} \binom{n + [n/2] + [m/2]}{[n/2] + [m/2]} \binom{[n/2] + [m/2]}{[m/2]}$$

and this is odd by the assumption. Thus  $p_k(-\tau_0) = (-1)^k c_{2k}(-\tau_0) \neq 0$ , as desired. q. e. d.

We need the following results on the structure of  $\widetilde{KO}(D(m, n))$  (cf. [12, Theorem (2.8)] and [6, Theorems 5 and 6]).

**THEOREM 6.2.** (J. J. Ucci, M. Fujii and T. Yasui) *Set  $\xi_1 - 1 = v$  and  $\mu_1 - \xi_1 - 1 = y$ . Then  $\widetilde{KO}(D(m, n))$  contains a summand isomorphic to*

$$Z_{2^{s(m)}} + Z + \cdots + Z \quad ([n/2]\text{-copies})$$

generated by  $v, y, y^2, \dots, y^{[n/2]}$  with the relations:  $v^2 = -2v, v^{\phi(m)+1} = 0, vy = 0, y^{[n/2]+1+\varepsilon} = 0$ , where  $\varepsilon = 0$  if  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \varepsilon = 1$  if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

LEMMA 6.3. Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $2[n/2] + 1 \geq \phi(m)$ . Then  $\gamma^i(-\tau_0) = 0$  for any  $i \geq 2[n/2] + 2 + 2\varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is as in Theorem 6.2.

PROOF. According to J. J. Ucci [12, p. 289]

$$\gamma^i(-\tau_0) = \pm 2^{i-1} \binom{m+n+i}{i} v + \sum_{j=\lfloor (i+1)/2 \rfloor}^i \alpha_{ij} y^j,$$

where  $\alpha_{ij}$  are non-zero integers. If  $i \geq 2[n/2] + 2 + 2\varepsilon$ , we see, by the assumption and Theorem 6.2,  $2^{i-1}v = 0$  and  $y^j = 0$  for any  $j \geq \lfloor (i+1)/2 \rfloor$ . Thus  $\gamma^i(-\tau_0) = 0$ .  
q. e. d.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3. Let  $n = 2^r$  and  $m = 2^s$  ( $r > s > 1$ ), and put  $k = n/2 + m/4$ . Then  $p_k(-\tau_0) \neq 0$  by Lemma 6.1, and  $\gamma^{2^i}(-\tau_0) = 0$  for any  $i \geq k$  by Lemma 6.3.  
q. e. d.

### §7. Immersions of lens spaces in complex projective spaces

The results of §2 and §3 can be used to study the problem of finding a condition that a map of  $L^n(q)$  in some manifold is homotopic to a differentiable immersion. In [10], we have been concerned with immersions and embeddings of  $L^n(q)$  in  $L^m(q)$ .

In this section we consider the immersions of  $L^n(q)$  in  $CP^m$ . For a given integer  $d$ , a continuous map  $f: L^n(q) \rightarrow CP^m$  is said to have degree  $d$  (written  $\deg(f)$ ), if  $f^*z = dx$  for the distinguished generators  $z \in H^2(CP^m; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $x \in H^2(L^n(q); \mathbb{Z})$ . If  $n < m$ , the homotopy classes of maps of  $L^n(q)$  in  $CP^m$  are in one-to-one correspondence with  $H^2(L^n(q); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_q$ . Thus the homotopy class of a map  $f: L^n(q) \rightarrow CP^m, n < m$ , is determined by  $\deg(f) \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ .

In a way similar to [10, (2.3)], we have

PROPOSITION 7.1. Suppose  $q$  is odd  $> 1$ . If  $m \geq n + [n/2] + 1$ , any map of  $L^n(q)$  in  $CP^m$  is homotopic to an immersion.

Let  $\mu$  and  $\eta$  be the canonical complex line bundles over  $CP^m$  and  $L^n(q)$  respectively. The following is evident.

(7.2) Let  $f: L^n(q) \rightarrow CP^m$  be a map with degree  $d$ . Then  $f^*\mu = \eta^d$ .

THEOREM 7.3. Suppose  $q$  is odd  $> 1$ . Let  $n$  and  $m$  be integers such that  $m \leq n + [n/2]$ . If a map  $f: L^n(q) \rightarrow CP^m$  with degree  $d$  is homotopic to an immersion, then



$$\sum_{i+j=l} (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i} \binom{m+1}{j} (\Psi_R^d r\sigma)^j (r\sigma)^i = 0$$

for any  $l \geq m - n$ .

PROOF. Let  $g$  be an immersion which is homotopic to  $f$ . Then  $g$  is of degree  $d$ . As  $g$  has the maximal rank  $2n + 1$ , we must have  $m - n > 0$ . Let  $v$  be the normal bundle of  $g$ . Then  $v + \tau(L^n(q)) = g^* \tau(CP^m)$ , where  $\tau(M)$  denotes the tangent bundle of  $M$ . Since  $\tau(L^n(q)) + 1 = (n + 1)r\eta$  by [7, (4.6)] and  $g^*(\tau(CP^m) + 2) = g^*((m + 1)r\mu) = (m + 1)rg^*\mu = (m + 1)r\eta^d$  by (7.2), we obtain  $v + 1 + (n + 1)r\eta = (m + 1)r\eta^d$ . Let  $\alpha = v - (2m - 2n - 1)$  be the stable class of  $v$ . Then

$$\alpha = -(n + 1)(r\eta - 2) + (m + 1)(r\eta^d - 2)$$

and  $g \cdot \dim \alpha \leq 2m - 2n - 1$ . Taking account of the fact that  $\gamma^i(\alpha) = 0$  for  $i > g \cdot \dim \alpha$  [3, Proposition (2.3)], we find the result from Proposition 3.2. q.e.d.

COROLLARY 7.4. Let  $p$  be an odd prime  $> 1$ . Set

$$m = n + \max \left\{ l \leq [n/2] \mid \binom{n+l}{l} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r+l(n-2l)/(p-1)}} \right\}.$$

If a map  $f: L^n(p^r) \rightarrow CP^m$  has degree 0, then  $f$  is not homotopic to an immersion.

PROOF. This follows from Theorems 4.1 and 7.3. q.e.d.

Since the existence of an immersion  $L^n(q) \rightarrow CP^m$  with degree 0 is equivalent to the existence of an immersion of  $L^n(q)$  in Euclidean  $2m$ -space, Corollary 7.4 has already been obtained by T. Kawaguchi and M. Sugawara [8, Corollary 3.6].

COROLLARY 7.5. Suppose  $q$  is odd  $> 1$ . Let  $n$  and  $m$  be integers with  $m \leq n + [n/2]$ . Then a map  $f: L^n(q) \rightarrow CP^m$  with degree  $\pm 1$  is not homotopic to an immersion.

PROOF. If  $f$  is homotopic to an immersion, we have  $\binom{m-n}{l} (r\sigma)^l = 0$  for any  $l \geq m - n$ , since  $\Psi_R^{\pm 1}$  is the identity (cf. [1, Theorem 5.1, (vii)]). Thus  $(r\sigma)^{m-n} = 0$ , which contradicts to the fact that  $(r\sigma)^i \neq 0$  for  $0 < i \leq [n/2]$  (cf. Theorem 4.1, (i)). q.e.d.

### References

- [ 1 ] J. F. Adams, *Vector fields on spheres*, Ann. of Math. **75** (1962), 603–632.
- [ 2 ] J. F. Adams and G. Walker, *On complex Stiefel manifolds*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **61** (1965), 81–103.
- [ 3 ] M. F. Atiyah, *Immersions and embeddings of manifolds*, Topology **1** (1962), 125–132.

- [ 4 ] A. Dold, *Erzeugende der Thom'schen Algebra  $\mathfrak{R}$* , Math. Zeit. **65** (1956), 25–35.
- [ 5 ] M. Fujii,  *$K_U$ -groups of Dold manifolds*, Osaka J. Math. **3** (1966), 49–64.
- [ 6 ] M. Fujii and T. Yasui,  *$K_O$ -cohomologies of the Dold manifolds*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **16** (1973), 55–84.
- [ 7 ] T. Kambe, *The structure of  $K_A$ -rings of the lens space and their applications*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **18** (1966), 135–146.
- [ 8 ] T. Kawaguchi and M. Sugawara,  *$K$ - and  $KO$ -rings of the lens space  $L^n(p^2)$  for odd prime  $p$* , Hiroshima Math. J. **1** (1971), 273–286.
- [ 9 ] T. Kobayashi, *Non-immersion theorems for lens spaces. II*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A–I **32** (1968), 285–292.
- [10] T. Kobayashi, *Immersion and embeddings of lens spaces*, Hiroshima Math. J. **2** (1972), 345–352.
- [11] N. Mahammed, *A propos de la  $K$ -théorie des espaces lenticulaires*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **271** (1970), 639–642.
- [12] J. J. Ucci, *Immersion and embeddings of Dold manifolds*, Topology **4** (1965), 283–293.

*Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science,  
Hiroshima University*