

## On Flat Extensions of Krull Domains

Mitsuo SHINAGAWA

(Received May 20, 1975)

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Krull domains with  $A$  contained in  $B$ . We say that the condition "no blowing up", abbreviated to NBU, is satisfied if  $ht(\mathfrak{P} \cap A) \leq 1$  for every divisorial prime ideal  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $B$ . The main purpose of this paper is to give a criterion of the condition NBU by making use of the notion of divisorial modules, which was introduced in [5]. That is, the condition NBU is satisfied for Krull domains  $A$  and  $B$  if and only if  $B$  is divisorial as an  $A$ -module (Theorem 1). As an immediate consequence of the above criterion, we can obtain the well-known theorem: If  $B$  is flat over  $A$ , then the condition NBU is satisfied.

We shall also investigate the behavior of divisorial envelope under flat extensions of Krull domains. The main result is stated as follows: If, in addition to flatness,  $B$  is integral over  $A$ ,  $M \otimes B$  is a divisorial  $B$ -module for any codivisorial and divisorial  $A$ -module  $M$ .

We shall use freely the notation and the terminologies of [5] and [6].

### §1. Flat modules over a Krull domain

In this section, we understand that  $A$  is always a Krull domain and  $K$  is the quotient field of  $A$ .

It is known that an  $A$ -lattice  $M$  is divisorial if and only if every regular  $A$ -sequence of length two is a regular  $M$ -sequence (cf. [4], Chap. I, § 5, Coroll. 5.5. (f)). This result is valid for any torsion free divisorial module and to prove this, a similar method can be applied. Namely we have

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $M$  be a torsion-free  $A$ -module. Then  $M$  is divisorial if and only if every regular  $A$ -sequence of length two is a regular  $M$ -sequence.*

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Prop. 1.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $M$  is a flat  $A$ -module, then  $M$  is divisorial.*

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module and  $N$  be a flat  $A$ -module. Then we have:*

- (i) *If  $M$  is codivisorial, then so is  $M \otimes_A N$ .*
- (ii)  $\widetilde{M \otimes_A N} = \widetilde{M} \otimes_A N$ .

(iii) If  $M$  is codivisorial, then  $D(M \otimes_A N) = D(M) \otimes_A N$ .

PROOF. (i): Since  $N$  is flat,  $t(M) \otimes N = t(M \otimes N)$ . Hence we may assume that  $M$  is a torsion module. Furthermore, since  $M \otimes N \subseteq D(M) \otimes N$ , we can replace  $M$  by  $D(M)$ . Thus we may assume that  $M$  is a codivisorial and divisorial torsion module. By [5], Th. 4,  $M = \bigoplus_p M_p$ , where  $p$  runs over the primes of  $\text{Ass}_A(M)$ . Each  $M_p \otimes N$  is an  $A_p$ -module and hence it is a codivisorial and divisorial  $A$ -module by [5], Prop. 16 and Coroll. to Prop. 23. Therefore  $M \otimes N$  is codivisorial and divisorial by [5], Coroll. 1 to Prop. 12 and Coroll. 4 to Th. 3.

(ii): It is obvious that  $\tilde{M} \otimes N \subseteq \widetilde{M \otimes N}$  by [5], Coroll. to Prop. 5. Therefore, by [5], Prop. 3, it suffices to show that if  $M$  is codivisorial, then so is  $M \otimes N$ . This is done in (i).

(iii): It follows from the above facts (i) and (ii) that the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \otimes N \rightarrow D(M) \otimes N$  is an essentially isomorphic extension. Therefore it suffices to show that  $D(M) \otimes N$  is divisorial. To do this we can assume that  $M$  is a torsion module or torsion-free by [6], Coroll. 3 to Th. 5 and Prop. 36. The case of a torsion module has already been done in the proof of (i). Suppose now that  $M$  is torsion-free. Then  $E(M) = E(D(M)) = M \otimes K$ . Therefore  $E(M) \otimes N$  is a divisorial  $A$ -module by [5], Coroll. to Prop. 23. On the other hand,  $(E(D(M))/D(M)) \otimes N$  is codivisorial by (i); hence  $D(M) \otimes N$  is divisorial in  $E(D(M)) \otimes N$ . Now the conclusion follows from [5], Coroll. 1 to Prop. 6.

## §2. A flat extension of a Krull domain

In this section,  $A$  and  $B$  are always Krull domains with  $A$  contained in  $B$ . We denote by  $Q(A)$  (resp.  $Q(B)$ ) the quotient field of  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ).

1. The condition that, for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Ht}_1(B)$ ,  $\text{height}(\mathfrak{P} \cap A) \leq 1$  is known as the condition *NBU*. Here we give some criteria for the condition *NBU*.

THEOREM 1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The condition NBU is satisfied for  $A$  and  $B$ .*
- (ii) *Every codivisorial  $B$ -module is a codivisorial  $A$ -module.*
- (iii)  *$B$  is divisorial as an  $A$ -module.*

PROOF. (i) implies (ii): Let  $M$  be a codivisorial  $B$ -module. Then, for any element  $x$  of  $M$ , the order ideal  $O_B(x)$  is a divisorial ideal of  $B$  by [5], Prop. 5. Then there are prime ideals  $\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_r \in \text{Ht}_1(B)$  such that  $O_B(x) = \mathfrak{P}_1^{(n_1)} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{P}_r^{(n_r)}$ , where  $\mathfrak{P}_i^{(n_i)}$  means the  $n_i$ -th symbolic power of  $\mathfrak{P}_i$ . Hence  $O_A(x) = O_B(x) \cap A = \cap (\mathfrak{P}_i^{(n_i)} \cap A)$ . Thus, to see that  $O_A(x)$  is a divisorial ideal of  $A$ , we must show that  $\mathfrak{P}^{(n)} \cap A$  is a divisorial ideal of  $A$  for any  $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Ht}_1(B)$  and for any positive integer  $n$ . Put  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{P} \cap A$ . Then  $\mathfrak{P}^{(n)} \cap A = (\mathfrak{P}^n B_{\mathfrak{P}} \cap A_{\mathfrak{q}}) \cap A$ . By the assumption,

$ht(q) \leq 1$ , which implies that  $A_q$  is a field or principal valuation ring, and so  $\mathfrak{P}^{(n)} \cap A$  is a divisorial ideal of  $A$ .

(ii) implies (iii): Clearly  $Q(B)$  is a divisorial  $A$ -module. Since  $Q(B)/B$  is a codivisorial  $B$ -module, it is a codivisorial  $A$ -module. This implies that  $B$  is divisorial in  $Q(B)$  as  $A$ -modules. By [5], Coroll. 1 to Prop. 6 we can see that  $B$  is a divisorial  $A$ -module.

(iii) implies (i): By [5], Th. 4,  $B = \bigcap B_p$ , where  $p$  runs over the primes of  $Ht_1(A)$ . We may consider  $Ht_1(B_p)$  as the subset of  $Ht_1(B)$  which consists of the primes  $\mathfrak{P} \in Ht_1(B)$  such that  $\mathfrak{P} \cap A = 0$  or  $p$ . By [7], Th. 2.6 or [4], Prop. 3.15,  $Ht_1(B) = \bigcup Ht_1(B_p)$ . This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 1.** *We suppose that the conditions of Th. 1 are satisfied. If  $M$  is a divisorial torsion-free  $B$ -module, then  $M$  is divisorial as an  $A$ -module.*

**PROOF.** The assertion follows immediately from [5], Coroll. 1 to Prop. 6 and the fact that  $E_B(M) = M \otimes Q(B)$  is a divisorial  $A$ -module.

Also, as a corollary to Th. 1, we can obtain the following well-known result (cf. [3], § 1,  $n^\circ 10$ , Prop. 15).

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $B$  is flat over  $A$ , then the condition NBU is satisfied.*

**PROPOSITION 3.** *We suppose that the condition NBU is satisfied. If  $M$  is a pseudo-null  $A$ -module, then  $M \otimes B$  is a pseudo-null  $B$ -module.*

**PROOF.** By [5], Prop. 18, we need to show that  $M \otimes B_{\mathfrak{P}} = 0$  for every prime  $\mathfrak{P} \in Ht_1(B)$ . Put  $q = \mathfrak{P} \cap A$ . Then, by the assumption,  $ht(q) \leq 1$ . Since  $M$  is a pseudo-null  $A$ -module,  $M_q = 0$ . Hence  $M \otimes_A B_{\mathfrak{P}} = M_q \otimes_{A_q} B_{\mathfrak{P}} = 0$ .

2. We understand, in the rest of this section, that  $B$  is always flat over  $A$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.** *If  $M$  is a codivisorial  $A$ -module, then  $M \otimes B$  is a codivisorial  $B$ -module.*

**PROOF.** We can readily see that  $t_A(M) \otimes B = t_B(M \otimes B)$ ; therefore we may assume that  $M$  is a codivisorial torsion module. By [5], Prop. 29, we may, furthermore, assume that  $M$  is finitely generated. Since  $M \otimes B \subset D_A(M) \otimes B$ ,  $M \otimes B$  can be considered as a submodule of a finite direct sum of  $B$ -modules of the type  $A_p/p^n A_p \otimes_A B$ , where  $p$  is a prime of  $Ht_1(A)$ , by [5], Th. 4 and by [6], Th. 7. Since  $p^n A_p$  is a free  $A_p$ -module,  $p^n A_p \otimes_A B$  is a free  $A_p \otimes_A B$ -module and hence  $p^n A_p \otimes_A B$  is a divisorial  $A_p \otimes_A B$ -module. Therefore  $A_p/p^n A_p \otimes_A B \cong A_p \otimes_B A/p^n A_p \otimes B$  is a codivisorial  $A_p \otimes_A B$ -module by [5], Coroll. 1 to Prop. 11. By noting that  $A_p \otimes_A B$  is a localization of  $B$ , we can see that  $A_p/p^n A_p \otimes_A B$  is a codivisorial  $B$ -module by Th. 1 and Coroll. 2 to Th. 1. Thus  $M \otimes_A B$  is

a codivisorial  $B$ -module as a submodule of a direct sum of codivisorial  $B$ -modules.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module. Then  $N_A(M) \otimes_A B = N_B(M \otimes_A B)$ , where  $N_A(M) = \widetilde{M}$  as an  $A$ -module and  $N_B(M \otimes_A B) = \widetilde{M \otimes_A B}$  as a  $B$ -module.*

**PROOF.** It is clear that  $N_A(M) \otimes B \subset N_B(M \otimes B)$  by Prop. 3. Since  $M/N_A(M)$  is a codivisorial  $A$ -module by [5], Prop. 3,  $M \otimes_A B/N_A(M) \otimes B \cong M/N_A(M) \otimes B$  is a codivisorial  $B$ -module by Prop. 4. Therefore,  $N_A(M) \otimes B \supset N_B(M \otimes_A B)$  by [5], Prop. 3.

**PROPOSITION 5.** *Let  $M$  be a codivisorial  $A$ -module. Then we have*

$$D_B(M \otimes_A B) = D_B(D_A(M) \otimes_A B).$$

**PROOF.** By [5], Prop. 4,  $D_A(M)$  is a codivisorial  $A$ -module and hence  $D_A(M) \otimes B$  is a codivisorial  $B$ -module by Prop. 4. Therefore, by [5], Prop. 13, Coroll. 1 to Prop. 18 and Prop. 20, it suffices to show that  $(M \otimes B)_{\mathfrak{P}} = (D_A(M) \otimes B)_{\mathfrak{P}}$  for every  $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Ht}_1(B)$ . Put  $\mathfrak{q} = A \cap \mathfrak{P}$ . Then  $ht(\mathfrak{q}) \leq 1$  by Th. 1 and Coroll. 2 to Th. 1. By [5], Coroll. 2 to Th. 3,  $(D_A(M) \otimes_A B)_{\mathfrak{P}} = D_A(M)_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}} B_{\mathfrak{P}} = M_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}} B_{\mathfrak{P}} = (M \otimes_A B)_{\mathfrak{P}}$ . This completes the proof.

**PROPOSITION 6.** *If  $M$  is a divisorial torsion-free  $A$ -module, then  $M \otimes_A B$  is a divisorial  $B$ -module.*

**PROOF.** Since  $M$  is torsion-free,  $E_A(M) \cong M \otimes Q(A)$ . Hence  $M \otimes Q(A)/M$  is codivisorial because  $M$  is divisorial. Thus,  $M \otimes Q(A) \otimes B/M \otimes B \cong (M \otimes Q(A)/M) \otimes B$  is a codivisorial  $B$ -module by Prop. 4, i.e.,  $M \otimes B$  is divisorial in  $M \otimes Q(A) \otimes B$ . On the other hand,  $M \otimes Q(A) \otimes B$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of  $Q(A) \otimes B$  and, since  $Q(A) \otimes B$  is a localization of  $B$ ,  $Q(A) \otimes B$  is a divisorial  $B$ -module by [5], Prop. 23. This implies that  $M \otimes Q(A) \otimes B$  is a divisorial  $B$ -module as a direct sum of divisorial  $B$ -modules. Combining this fact with [5], Coroll. 1 to Prop. 6, we can see that  $M \otimes B$  is a divisorial  $B$ -module.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $M$  be a torsion-free  $A$ -module. Then we have*

$$D_B(M \otimes_A B) = D_A(M) \otimes_A B.$$

The assertion follows immediately from Prop. 5 and Prop. 6.

**PROPOSITION 7.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $A$ -lattices. If  $N$  is divisorial, then we have*

$$(N : M) \otimes_A B = (N \otimes_A B) : (M \otimes_A B).$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a prime of  $\text{Ht}_1(B)$  and put  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{P} \cap A$ . Then  $ht(\mathfrak{q}) \leq 1$  by Th. 1 and Coroll. 2 to Th. 1. We have  $(N : M) \otimes_A B_{\mathfrak{P}} = (N : M)_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}} B_{\mathfrak{P}}$ .

By [1], Chap. III, § 8, Coroll. 8.4,  $(N : M)_q = N_q : M_q$ ; and hence  $(N : M) \otimes B_{\mathfrak{p}} = (N_q : M_q) \otimes_{A_q} B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $M_q$  is a finitely generated free  $A_q$ -module,  $(N_q : M_q) \otimes_{A_q} B_{\mathfrak{p}} = (N_q \otimes_{A_q} B_{\mathfrak{p}}) : (M_q \otimes_{A_q} B_{\mathfrak{p}}) = (N \otimes_A B_{\mathfrak{p}}) : (M \otimes_A B_{\mathfrak{p}}) = (N \otimes_A B \otimes_B B_{\mathfrak{p}}) : (M \otimes_A B \otimes_B B_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Since  $N$  is a divisorial  $A$ -lattice,  $N : M$  is a divisorial  $A$ -lattice by [4], Prop. 2.6. Therefore  $N \otimes_A B$  and  $(N : M) \otimes_A B$  are divisorial  $B$ -lattices by Prop. 6. Hence,  $(N \otimes_A B : M \otimes_A B) \otimes_B B_{\mathfrak{p}} = (N \otimes_A B \otimes_B B_{\mathfrak{p}}) : (M \otimes_A B \otimes_B B_{\mathfrak{p}})$  and our assertion follows from [5], Th. 4.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $A$ -lattices. Then*

$$D_A(N : M) \otimes B = D_B(N \otimes B : M \otimes B).$$

**PROOF.** By [6], Prop. 32,  $D_A(N : M) = D_A(N) : D_A(M)$ . Since  $D_A(N)$  is a divisorial  $A$ -lattice,  $(D_A(N) : D_A(M)) \otimes B = D_A(N) \otimes B : D_A(M) \otimes B$  by Prop. 7. By Coroll. to Prop. 6,  $D_A(N) \otimes B = D_B(N \otimes B)$  and  $D_A(M) \otimes B = D_B(M \otimes B)$ . Therefore,  $D_A(N : M) \otimes B = D_B(N \otimes B) : D_B(M \otimes B)$ . Again, by [6], Prop. 32,  $D_B(N \otimes B) : D_B(M \otimes B) = D_B(N \otimes B : M \otimes B)$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $B$  is a Dedekind domain and  $M, N$  are  $A$ -lattices, then  $(N : M) \otimes B = N \otimes B : M \otimes B$ .*

**PROOF.** By Coroll. to Prop. 6,  $D_A(N : M) \otimes B = D_B((N : M) \otimes B)$ . Since  $B$  is a Dedekind domain,  $D_B((N : M) \otimes B) = (N : M) \otimes B$  by [5], Remark 3. Also, by Cor. 1,  $D_A(N : M) \otimes B = D_B(N \otimes B : M \otimes B) = N \otimes B : M \otimes B$ . Hence, we have  $(N : M) \otimes B = N \otimes B : M \otimes B$ .

**REMARK.** It is not necessarily true that  $D_A(M) \otimes_A B = D_B(M \otimes_A B)$ , even if  $M$  is a codivisorial  $A$ -module.

**EXAMPLE.** Put  $A = Z$  and  $B = Z[X]$ , where  $X$  is an indeterminate. Let  $p$  be a prime number. Then  $Z/(p)$  is codivisorial and divisorial as a  $Z$ -module. However,  $Z/p \otimes Z[X] = Z[X]/pZ[X]$  is not a divisorial  $Z[X]$ -module. Otherwise,  $Z[X]/pZ[X] = Z[X]/pZ[X] \otimes_{Z[X]} Z[X]_{pZ[X]} = Q(Z[X]/pZ[X])$  by [5], Th. 4, where  $Q(Z[X]/pZ[X])$  is the quotient field of  $Z[X]/pZ[X]$ . Hence  $pZ[X]$  must be a maximal ideal and this is a contradiction.

**THEOREM 2.** *For any codivisorial and divisorial  $A$ -module  $M$ ,  $M \otimes_A B$  is a divisorial  $B$ -module if and only if  $Q(A)/A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A B \cong Q(A) \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} B_{\mathfrak{p}}/B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a divisorial  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for every prime  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ht}_1(A)$ . In particular, if  $B$  is integral over  $A$ , then the above condition is satisfied.*

**PROOF.** Since  $Q(A)/A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a codivisorial and divisorial  $A$ -module by [5], Prop. 23, the “only if” part is clear.

Suppose therefore that  $Q(A)/A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes B$  is a divisorial  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for every

$\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ht}_1(A)$ . Let  $M$  be a codivisorial and divisorial  $A$ -module. By Prop. 6 and [6], Coroll. 3 to Th. 5, we may assume that  $M$  is a torsion module. By [2], Prop. 2.3, 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6,  $E_A(M)$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of  $Q(A)/A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ht}_1(A)$ . Since  $Q(A)/A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A B$  is a codivisorial and divisorial  $B$ -module by Prop. 4 and [5], Prop. 23,  $E_A(M) \otimes_A B$  is a divisorial  $B$ -module by [5], Coroll. 4 to Th. 3. Since  $E_A(M)/M$  is a codivisorial  $A$ -module,  $(E_A(M) \otimes B)/(M \otimes B) \cong E_A(M)/M \otimes B$  is a codivisorial  $B$ -module by Prop. 4. This implies that  $M \otimes B$  is divisorial in  $E_A(M) \otimes B$  as  $B$ -modules. Hence  $M \otimes B$  is a divisorial  $B$ -module.

The last assertion follows from [5], Coroll. to Prop. 23 and the facts that a Krull domain of Krull dimension 1 is a Dedekind domain and every module over a Dedekind domain is divisorial.

**PROPOSITION 8.** *Let  $M$  be a divisorial  $B$ -module. Then  $M$  is a divisorial  $A$ -module.*

**PROOF.** By the assumption  $E_B(M)/M$  is codivisorial  $B$ -module and hence is a codivisorial  $A$ -module by Th. 1. Therefore  $M$  is divisorial in  $E_B(M)$  as  $A$ -modules. It is well known that any injective  $B$ -module is injective as an  $A$ -module, in case that  $B$  is flat over  $A$ . Hence  $E_B(M)$  is an injective  $A$ -module and this implies that  $M$  is a divisorial  $A$ -module by [5], Coroll. 1 to Prop. 6.

**3. PROPOSITION 9.** *Let  $N$  be a codivisorial  $A$ -module and  $M$  be a submodule of  $N$ . If  $N$  is an essential extension of  $M$ , then  $N \otimes B$  is an essential extension of  $M \otimes B$  as  $B$ -modules.*

**PROOF.** It is easy to see that  $N$  is an essential extension of  $M$  if and only if  $t(N)$  is an essential extension of  $t(M)$  and  $N/t(N)$  is an essential extension of  $M/t(M)$ . Therefore we may assume that  $N$  is a torsion module.

Since  $N \otimes B$  is a codivisorial  $B$ -module by Prop. 4, it suffices to show that  $(N \otimes B)_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is an essential extension of  $(M \otimes B)_{\mathfrak{q}}$  as  $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -modules for every  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ht}_1(B)$  by [5], Coroll. to Prop. 20. Put  $\mathfrak{q} = A \cap \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $ht(\mathfrak{q}) \leq 1$  by Th. 1. Since  $(N \otimes B)_{\mathfrak{q}} = N_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}} B_{\mathfrak{q}}$  and  $(M \otimes B)_{\mathfrak{q}} = M_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}} B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , we may assume that  $B$  is a principal valuation ring and  $A$  is a principal valuation ring or a field. To show that  $N \otimes B$  is an essential extension of  $M \otimes B$ , we may assume that  $N$  is finitely generated. Since  $A$  is a principal valuation ring or a field,  $N = \bigoplus Ay_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Put  $M' = \bigoplus (M \cap Ay_i)$ . Then  $M' \subset M$  and  $N$  is an essential extension of  $M'$ . Since  $N \otimes B = \bigoplus (Ay_i \otimes B)$  and  $M' \otimes B = \bigoplus ((M \cap Ay_i) \otimes B)$ , we may assume that  $N$  is cyclic. Then  $N \otimes B$  is also cyclic and hence  $N \otimes B$  is a coirreducible  $B$ -module because  $B$  is a principal valuation ring. Therefore  $N \otimes B$  is an essential extension of  $M \otimes B$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $M$  be a codivisorial  $A$ -module. Then we have*

$$E_B(M \otimes B) = E_B(E_A(M) \otimes B).$$

**THEOREM 3.** *For every codivisorial and injective  $A$ -module  $M$ ,  $M \otimes B$  is an injective  $B$ -module if and only if  $Q(B) = Q(A) \otimes B$  and  $B_p$  is a Dedekind domain for any prime  $p$  of  $Ht_1(A)$ . In particular, if  $B$  is integral over  $A$ , then the above condition is satisfied.*

**PROOF.** First we show the “only if” part. It is easy to see that  $Q(B) = Q(A) \otimes B$ . Since  $Q(A)/A_p$  is a codivisorial and injective  $A$ -module for any  $p \in Ht_1(A)$ ,  $Q(A) \otimes B/A_p \otimes B = Q(B)/B_p$  is an injective  $B$ -module. In particular,  $Q(B)/B_p$  is an injective  $B_p$ -module by Prop. 4 and [5], Coroll. 1 to Th. 3. Therefore  $B_p$  is a Dedekind domain by [4], Chap. III, § 3, Th. 13.1 (d).

Next we show the “if” part. Let  $M$  be a codivisorial and injective  $A$ -module. Then  $M$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of  $Q(A)$  and  $Q(A)/A_p$ ,  $p \in Ht_1(A)$  by [2], Prop. 2.3, 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6. By the assumption,  $Q(A) \otimes B = Q(B)$  and  $Q(A)/A_p \otimes B = Q(B)/B_p$  is an injective  $B_p$ -module because  $B_p$  is a Dedekind domain. In particular,  $Q(A) \otimes B$  and  $Q(A)/A_p \otimes B$  are codivisorial and injective  $B$ -modules. Hence  $M \otimes B$  is an injective  $B$ -module by [2], Prop. 2.7. The last assertion is clear.

**4.** From now on, we assume that  $B$  is always faithfully flat over  $A$ .

**PROPOSITION 10.** *Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module.*

- (i) *If  $M \otimes B$  is a codivisorial  $B$ -module, then  $M$  is a codivisorial  $A$ -module.*
- (ii) *If  $M \otimes B$  is a codivisorial and divisorial  $B$ -module, then  $M$  is a divisorial  $A$ -module.*
- (iii) *If  $M \otimes B$  is a codivisorial and injective  $B$ -module, then  $M$  is an injective  $A$ -module.*

The assertions follow from Coroll. to Prop. 4, Prop. 5 and Coroll. to Prop. 9.

**PROPOSITION 11.** *Suppose that  $B$  is integral over  $A$ . Let  $M$  be a codivisorial  $A$ -module. Then*

- (i)  *$D_B(M \otimes B) = D_A(M) \otimes B$ . In particular,  $M \otimes B$  is a divisorial  $B$ -module if and only if  $M$  is a divisorial  $A$ -module.*
- (ii)  *$E_B(M \otimes B) = E_A(M) \otimes B$ . In particular,  $M \otimes B$  is an injective  $B$ -module if and only if  $M$  is an injective  $A$ -module.*

The assertions follow from Coroll. to Prop. 4, Prop. 5, [5], Coroll. to Prop. 19, Th. 2, Th. 3 and Prop. 10.

### References

- [1] H. BASS, Algebraic K-theory, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [2] I. BECK, Injective modules over a Krull domain, *J. Algebra*, **17** (1971), 116–131.
- [3] N. BOURBAKI, Éléments de mathématique, Algèbre commutative, Chapitre 7, Hermann, Paris, 1965.
- [4] R. M. FOSSUM, The divisor class group of a Krull domain, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg-New York, 1973.
- [5] M. NISHI and M. SHINAGAWA, Codivisorial and divisorial modules over completely integrally closed domains (I), *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **5** (1975).
- [6] M. NISHI and M. SHINAGAWA, Codivisorial and divisorial modules over completely integrally closed domains (II), *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **6** (1975).
- [7] O. ZARISKI and P. SAMUEL, Commutative algebra, Vol. II, Van Nostrand, 1960.

*Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science,  
Kyoto University*