

On an Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebra Satisfying the Maximal Condition for Subalgebras

Fujio KUBO

(Received May 10, 1976)

R. K. Amayo and I. Stewart have asked the following among "some open questions" at the end of their book [1]: Do there exist Lie algebras satisfying the maximal condition for subalgebras that are not finite-dimensional? The purpose of this paper is to give the affirmative answer to this question.

They have shown in [1, p. 177] that the Lie algebra W over a field \mathfrak{f} of characteristic 0 with basis $\{w(1), w(2), \dots\}$ and multiplication

$$[w(i), w(j)] = (i-j)w(i+j)$$

satisfies the maximal condition for subideals. We shall show that the same Lie algebra W actually satisfies the maximal condition for subalgebras.

We first show the following

LEMMA. *Let S be a subset of \mathbf{N} satisfying the condition: If $s, t \in S$ and $s \neq t$, $s+t \in S$. Then there exist the different elements s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r of S such that*

- (i) s_1 is the smallest element of S ,
- (ii) $S = \{s_1\} \cup \{s_2 + ns_1 \mid n=0, 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \dots \cup \{s_r + ns_1 \mid n=0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

PROOF. We define recursively subsets S_i of S and integers s_i for integers $i \geq 1$ as follows: Define s_1 as the smallest element of S and put $S_1 = \{s_1\}$. Let $i \geq 1$ and assume that S_i, s_i are already defined and $S_i \neq S$. Let s_{i+1} be the smallest element of $S \setminus S_i$ and put $S_{i+1} = S_i \cup \{s_{i+1} + ns_i \mid n=0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then $\{s \in S \mid s \leq s_{i+1}\} \subseteq S_{i+1}$ and, for $T_{i+1} = \{s \in S \mid s \geq s_{i+1}\}$, if $s \in T_{i+1}$ and t is the smallest element of T_{i+1} such that $t > s$ then $t-s \leq s_1 - i + 1$. Therefore the construction terminates after a finite number of steps. Thus there exists an integer r such that $S = S_r$.

We now show the following

THEOREM. *W satisfies the maximal condition for subalgebras.*

PROOF. For any element x of W , let $m(x)$ be the integer m such that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i w(i), \quad \alpha_m \neq 0.$$

Let H be any subalgebra of W and let S be the set of all $m(x)$ for $x \in H$. If $s, t \in S$

and $s \neq t$, then

$$s = m(x), t = m(y) \quad \text{for some } x, y \in H.$$

and therefore

$$s + t = m([x, y]) \in S.$$

Hence there exist the elements s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r of S satisfying the conditions (i), (ii) in the lemma. For $i=1, 2, \dots, r$, we take an element z_i of H such that $m(z_i) = s_i$. We assert that any element x of H belongs to $\langle z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r \rangle$.

Let us define recursively elements x_i of H and integers p_i for integers $i \geq 0$ as follows. Put $x_0 = x$ and $p_0 = m(x)$. Assume that x_i and $p_i = m(x_i)$ are already defined and that $x_i \notin \langle z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r \rangle$. If $p_i = s_1$, then $m(x_i - \beta z_1) < s_1$ for some $\beta \in \mathfrak{f}$. Since $x_i - \beta z_1 \in H$, we have $x_i - \beta z_1 = 0$ by the minimality of s_1 . This contradicts our assumption. Therefore

$$p_i = s_{\mu(i)} + n_i s_1, \quad \mu(i) \neq 1.$$

Then there exists a γ_i in \mathfrak{f} such that

$$m(x_i - \gamma_i [z_{\mu(i)}, n_i z_1]) < p_i.$$

We now define x_{i+1} and p_{i+1} by

$$x_{i+1} = x_i - \gamma_i [z_{\mu(i)}, n_i z_1] \quad \text{and} \quad p_{i+1} = m(x_{i+1}).$$

Since $p_{i+1} < p_i$, the recursive construction terminates after a finite number of steps. This shows that $x_n \in \langle z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r \rangle$ for some n . It follows that $x \in \langle z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r \rangle$.

Thus we conclude that $H = \langle z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r \rangle$. Consequently every subalgebra of W is finitely generated. It is now immediate that W satisfies the maximal condition for subalgebras.

We denote, as usual, by Max, Min and Min \leftarrow respectively the classes of Lie algebras satisfying the maximal condition for subalgebras, the minimal condition for subalgebras and for ideals. Then we have the following

COROLLARY. Max $\not\subseteq$ Min and Max $\not\subseteq$ Min \leftarrow .

PROOF. Let I_n be the subspace of W spanned by all $w(i)$ with $i \geq n$. Then $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots$ is a strictly descending series of ideals of W . Therefore $W \notin$ Min \leftarrow and a priori $W \notin$ Min.

Reference

- [1] R. K. Amayo and I. Stewart, *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras*, Noordhoff, Leyden, 1974.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*

