

## *Chain Conditions for Abelian, Nilpotent and Soluble Ideals in Lie Algebras*

Toshiharu IKEDA

(Received January 16, 1979)

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a class of Lie algebras over a field  $\mathfrak{f}$ , and let  $\text{Max-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{X}$  (resp.  $\text{Min-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{X}$ ) be the class of Lie algebras which satisfy the maximal (resp. minimal) condition for  $\mathfrak{X}$ -ideals. Amayo and Stewart have asked the following among "Some open questions" in [1]: *Are there any inclusions between  $\text{Max-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\text{Max-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{N}$ ,  $\text{Max-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{A}$ ;  $\text{Min-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\text{Min-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{N}$ ,  $\text{Min-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{A}$ ?*

Recently it was shown by Kubo [2] that  $\text{Max-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\text{Max-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{N}$  (resp.  $\text{Min-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\text{Min-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{N}$ ) do not necessarily coincide with each other. He showed these facts by considering a certain Lie algebra over the rational number field.

The purpose of this paper is to show the following theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** *Over any field*

$$\text{Max-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{N} \not\supseteq \text{Max-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Min-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{N} \not\supseteq \text{Min-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{A}.$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Over any field*

$$\text{Max-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{A} \not\supseteq \text{Max-}\triangleleft\mathfrak{N}.$$

Throughout the paper, we shall employ the notations and terminology in [1].

### 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $\mathfrak{f}$  be an arbitrary field and  $A$  an infinite extension field of  $\mathfrak{f}$ . Let  $\rho$  be the regular representation of  $A$ . Consider  $A$  as an abelian Lie algebra over  $\mathfrak{f}$ , so that  $\rho$  becomes a Lie homomorphism of  $A$  into  $\text{Der}(A)$ . Thus we can form the split extension

$$L = A \dot{+} \rho(A),$$

where  $A \triangleleft L$  and  $[a, \rho(b)] = ab$  for any  $a, b \in A$ .

We first show that any non-zero ideal of  $L$  contains  $A$ . Suppose  $0 \neq I \triangleleft L$ . Then  $0 \neq I \cap A \triangleleft L$ . In fact, if  $I \cap A = 0$ , then there exist  $a, b \in A$  with  $b \neq 0$  such

that  $a + \rho(b) \in I$ . Hence  $I \cap A \ni [1, a + \rho(b)] = b \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction. Observing that the Lie ideals of  $L$  contained in  $A$  are the associative ideals of  $A$  and that  $A$  is a field, we obtain  $I \cap A = A$ . Therefore  $I \supseteq A$ .

Now let  $I$  be an ideal of  $L$  such that  $I \not\supseteq A$ . Then there is a non-zero  $x \in A$  such that  $\rho(x) \in I$ . For any positive integer  $n$ ,  $0 \neq x^n = [x, {}_{n-1}\rho(x)] \in I^n$ . Hence  $I \notin \mathfrak{N}$ . Consequently  $A$  is the only non-zero nilpotent ideal of  $L$ . Thus  $L \in \text{Max-}\triangleleft \mathfrak{N} \cap \text{Min-}\triangleleft \mathfrak{N}$ .

Finally we choose a  $\mathfrak{f}$ -free subset  $\{e_i | i=1, 2, \dots\}$  of  $A$ . Since  $\rho$  is injective,  $\{\rho(e_i) | i=1, 2, \dots\}$  is  $\mathfrak{f}$ -free. For any  $n$  put

$$B_n = A + \langle \rho(e_1), \rho(e_2), \dots, \rho(e_n) \rangle,$$

$$C_n = A + \langle \rho(e_n), \rho(e_{n+1}), \dots \rangle.$$

Then  $\{B_n\}$  and  $\{C_n\}$  are respectively strictly ascending and strictly descending chains of soluble ideals of  $L$ . Therefore  $L \notin \text{Max-}\triangleleft \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{A} \cup \text{Min-}\triangleleft \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{A}$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra over  $\mathfrak{f}$  with basis  $\{e_{ij} | i < j; i, j=1, 2, \dots\}$  and multiplication

$$[e_{ij}, e_{mn}] = \delta_{jm}e_{in} - \delta_{in}e_{mj}.$$

This is one of the McLain Lie algebras ([1], p. 111). Put

$$I_{0n} = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1,$$

$$I_{mn} = \langle e_{ij} | i \leq m < n \leq j \rangle \quad \text{for } 1 \leq m < n$$

and furthermore

$$I_m = I_{12} + I_{23} + \dots + I_{m m+1}.$$

We prepare two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If  $I$  is a non-zero ideal of  $L$ , then there is a positive integer  $n$  such that  $I_{1 n+1} \leq I$ .*

PROOF. Let  $0 \neq x = \sum_{i < j} \alpha_{ij} e_{ij} \in I$ . Put  $n = \max \{j | \alpha_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i\}$  and  $m = \max \{i | \alpha_{in} \neq 0\}$ . Then we have  $I \ni [e_{1m}, [x, e_{n n+1}]] = [e_{1m}, \sum_i \alpha_{in} e_{i n+1}] = \alpha_{mn} e_{1 n+1}$ . Thus  $I_{1 n+1} \leq I$ .

LEMMA 2.  *$I_n \in \text{Max-}L$  for any  $n \geq 1$ .*

PROOF. Since  $\text{Max-}L$  is  $\mathfrak{B}$ -closed and  $I_n/I_{n n+1} \in \mathfrak{F} \leq \text{Max-}L$ , it is sufficient

to show that  $I_{i+1n+1}/I_{in+1} \in \text{Max-}L$  for  $i=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Let  $J$  be an ideal of  $L$  such that  $I_{in+1} < J \leq I_{i+1n+1}$ . We can find  $x \in J$  such that  $x = \sum_j \alpha_j e_{i+1j} \neq 0$ . Put  $m = \max \{j | \alpha_j \neq 0\}$ . Then we have  $J \ni [x, e_{mm+1}] = \alpha_m e_{i+1m+1}$ . Hence  $I_{i+1m+1} \leq J$  and  $I_{i+1n+1}/J \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Therefore  $I_{i+1n+1}/I_{in+1} \in \text{Max-}L$ .

By making use of these lemmas we can now establish Theorem 2. Let  $0 < A_1 \leq A_2 \leq \dots$  be an ascending chain of abelian ideals of  $L$ . Put  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ . Then  $A$  is an abelian ideal of  $L$ . By Lemma 1, there is a positive integer  $n$  such that  $I_{1n+1} \leq A$ . We first claim that  $A \leq I_n$ . For any non-zero  $a = \sum_{i < j} \alpha_{ij} e_{ij} \in A$ , put  $k = \max \{i | \alpha_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ for some } j\}$ . Then we have  $[e_{1k}, a] = \sum_j \alpha_{kj} e_{1j} \neq 0$ . If  $k \geq n+1$ , we have  $[e_{1k}, a] = 0$  since  $e_{1k} \in I_{1n+1} \leq A \in \mathfrak{A}$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $k \leq n$ . Thus  $A \leq I_n$ , as claimed.

By Lemma 2,  $I_n \in \text{Max-}L$ . Since  $A_i \leq A \leq I_n$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots$ , there is a positive integer  $m$  such that  $A_m = A$ . Thus  $L \in \text{Max-}\triangleleft \mathfrak{A}$ . However  $L \notin \text{Max-}\triangleleft \mathfrak{N}$ , since  $\{I_i\}$  is obviously a strictly ascending chain of nilpotent ideals of  $L$ .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author is grateful to Professor S. Tôgô for his various comments.

### References

- [1] R. K. Amayo and I. Stewart, *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras*, Noordhoff, Leyden, 1974.
- [2] F. Kubo, *Finiteness conditions for abelian ideals and nilpotent ideals in Lie algebras*, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **8** (1978), 301-303.

*Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science,  
Hiroshima University*

