

**Closure relations for orbits on affine symmetric spaces  
 under the action of parabolic subgroups.  
 Intersections of associated orbits**

Toshihiko MATSUKI  
 (Received May, 7, 1987)

**§ 1. Introduction**

Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group,  $\sigma$  an involution of  $G$  and  $H$  an open subgroup of  $G^\sigma = \{x \in G \mid \sigma x = x\}$ . Then the  $G$ -homogeneous manifold  $H \backslash G$  is called an affine symmetric space. Suppose that  $G$  is a real semisimple Lie group. Let  $P$  be a minimal parabolic subgroup of  $G$  and  $P'$  a parabolic subgroup of  $G$  containing  $P$ . Then the double coset decomposition  $H \backslash G/P$  is studied in [2], and [5], the relation between  $H \backslash G/P'$  and  $H \backslash G/P$  is studied in [3], and the closure relation for  $H \backslash G/P$  is studied in [4].

Let  $\theta$  be a Cartan involution of  $G$  such that  $\sigma\theta = \theta\sigma$ . Put  $K = G^\theta$  and let  $H^a$  be the open subgroup of  $G^{\sigma\theta}$  such that  $K \cap H = K \cap H^a$ . Then  $H^a \backslash G$  is called the affine symmetric space associated to  $H \backslash G$ . Let  $A$  be a  $\theta$ -stable split component of  $P$  and put  $U = \{x \in K \mid xAx^{-1} \text{ is } \sigma\text{-stable}\}$ .

There exists a natural one-to-one correspondence between the double coset decompositions  $H \backslash G/P'$  and  $H^a \backslash G/P'$  given by  $D \rightarrow D^a = H^a(D \cap U)P'$  for  $H - P'$  double cosets  $D$  in  $G$  ([2], [3]). Moreover it follows easily from Corollary of Theorem in [4] that this correspondence reverses the closure relations for the double coset decompositions. In this paper we prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be arbitrary  $H - P'$  double cosets in  $G$ . Then we have the following.*

- (i)  $D_1^{\sigma^1} \supset D_2 \Leftrightarrow D_1 \cap D_2^{\sigma^2} \neq \emptyset$ .
- (ii) *Let  $I(D_1, D_2)$  be the set of all the  $H - P'$  double cosets  $D$  in  $G$  such that  $D_1^{\sigma^1} \supset D^{\sigma^1} \supset D_2$ . Then*

$$(D_1 \cap D_2^{\sigma^2})^{\sigma^1} \cap D_2^{\sigma^2} = \bigcup_{D \in I(D_1, D_2)} D \cap D_2^{\sigma^2}.$$

- (iii) *Let  $x$  be an element of  $U$ . Then  $HxP' \cap H^axP' = (K \cap H)xP'$ .*
- (iv)  $D_1 \cap D_2^{\sigma^2}$  is nonempty and closed in  $G \Leftrightarrow D_1 = D_2$ .

*Example.* Let  $G_1$  be a connected semisimple Lie group,  $\theta_1$  a Cartan involution of  $G_1$ ,  $K_1 = \{x \in G_1 \mid \theta_1 x = x\}$ , and  $P_1$  a minimal parabolic subgroup of  $G_1$  with a  $\theta_1$ -stable split component  $A_1$ . Let  $P'_1$  and  $P''_1$  be parabolic subgroups of

$G_1$  containing  $P_1$ . Put  $G = G_1 \times G_1$ ,  $H = \{(x, x) \in G \mid x \in G_1\}$ ,  $H^a = \{(\theta_1 x, x) \in G \mid x \in G_1\}$  and  $P' = P'_1 \times P''_1$ . Then we have natural bijections

$$H \backslash G / P' \xrightarrow{\sim} P'_1 \backslash G_1 / P''_1$$

and

$$H^a \backslash G / P' \xrightarrow{\sim} \theta_1(P'_1) \backslash G_1 / P''_1$$

by the maps  $(x, y) \rightarrow x^{-1}y$  and  $(x, y) \rightarrow \theta_1(x^{-1})y$ , respectively. Hence by the Bruhat decomposition of  $G_1$ , every  $H - P'$  double coset and  $H^a - P'$  double coset have representatives in  $W(A_1) \times 1$ .

Consider the intersection  $I = H(w, 1)P' \cap H^a(w', 1)P'$  for  $w, w' \in W(A_1)$ . Since  $H \cap H^a = \{(x, x) \mid x \in K_1\}$  and since  $G_1 = K_1 P_1$  by the Iwasawa decomposition of  $G_1$ ,  $I$  contains elements of the form  $(x, 1)$  with  $x \in G_1$  if  $I$  is nonempty. We have easily

$$(x, 1) \in I \iff x \in P'_1 w P''_1 \cap \theta_1(P'_1) w' P''_1.$$

Thus we have as a corollary of Theorem (i),

$$(1.1) \quad (P'_1 w P''_1)^{c_1} \supset P'_1 w' P''_1 \iff P'_1 w P''_1 \cap \theta_1(P'_1) w' P''_1 \neq \emptyset.$$

Especially we have

$$(1.2) \quad (P_1 w P_1)^{c_1} \supset P_1 w' P_1 \iff P_1 w P_1 \cap \theta_1(P_1) w' P_1 \neq \emptyset.$$

*Remark.* In [1], V. V. Deodhar studied explicitly the above type of intesection  $P_1 w P_1 \cap \bar{P}_1 w' P_1$  when  $G_1$  is a semisimple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field. Here  $\bar{P}_1 = w_0 P_1 w_0^{-1}$  with the longest element  $w_0$  of the Weyl group. He gave (1.2) as a corollary of his results in this case (replace  $\theta_1(P_1)$  by  $\bar{P}_1$ ).

The author is grateful to J. A. Wolf who suggested him the importance of the intersections of  $H$ -orbits and  $H^a$ -orbits on  $G/P$ . In fact, Theorem (iv) was conjectured by him.

## §2. Notations and elementary lemmas

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Let  $\sigma$  and  $\theta$  be the involutions of  $\mathfrak{g}$  induced from the involutions  $\sigma$  and  $\theta$  of  $G$ , respectively. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{q}$  and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}^a + \mathfrak{q}^a$  be the decompositions of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into the  $+1$  and  $-1$  eigenspaces for  $\sigma$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\sigma\theta$ , respectively.

Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be the Lie algebra of  $A$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  denote the root system of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ . Then  $P$  can be written as

$$P = P(\mathfrak{a}, \Sigma^+) = Z_G(\mathfrak{a}) \exp \mathfrak{n}$$

with a positive system  $\Sigma^+$  of  $\Sigma$ . Here  $Z_G(\mathfrak{a})$  is the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}; \alpha)$  ( $\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}; \alpha) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [Y, X] = \alpha(Y)X \text{ for all } Y \in \mathfrak{a}\}$ ).

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\mathfrak{P}'$  be a parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{h}^{\mathfrak{a}} + \mathfrak{P}' = \mathfrak{g}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $X$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{P}'$ . Then

$$\theta X = X - (X + \sigma X) + (\sigma X + \theta X) \in \mathfrak{P}' + \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{h}^{\mathfrak{a}}.$$

Hence  $\theta \mathfrak{P}' \subset \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{h}^{\mathfrak{a}} + \mathfrak{P}'$ . Since  $\mathfrak{P}' + \theta \mathfrak{P}' = \mathfrak{g}$ , we have  $\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{h}^{\mathfrak{a}} + \mathfrak{P}' \supset \mathfrak{g}$ . Q. E. D.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be arbitrary  $H$ - $P'$  double cosets in  $G$ . Then we have the following.*

(i)  $(D_1 \cap D_2^{\mathfrak{a}})^{c_1} \cap D_2^{\mathfrak{a}} = D_1^{c_1} \cap D_2^{\mathfrak{a}}$ .

(ii)  $D_1^{c_1} \supset D_2 \Rightarrow D_1 \cap D_2^{\mathfrak{a}} \neq \emptyset$ .

PROOF. (i) It is clear that  $(D_1 \cap D_2^{\mathfrak{a}})^{c_1} \cap D_2^{\mathfrak{a}} \subset D_1^{c_1} \cap D_2^{\mathfrak{a}}$ . Let  $x$  be an element of  $D_1^{c_1} \cap D_2^{\mathfrak{a}}$ . Then we have only to show that  $x \in (D_1 \cap D_2^{\mathfrak{a}})^{c_1}$ . For any neighborhood  $V$  of the identity in  $H^{\mathfrak{a}}$ , the set  $HVxP'$  contains a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $G$  by Lemma 1. Hence  $D_1 \cap HVxP' \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $HD_1P' = D_1$ , we have

$$D_1 \cap Vx \neq \emptyset.$$

On the other hand,  $Vx \subset D_2^{\mathfrak{a}}$ . Hence  $(D_1 \cap D_2^{\mathfrak{a}}) \cap Vx \neq \emptyset$  and we have proved that  $x \in (D_1 \cap D_2^{\mathfrak{a}})^{c_1}$ .

(ii) is clear from (i) since  $D_2 \cap D_2^{\mathfrak{a}} \neq \emptyset$ . Q. E. D.

### §3. Proof of Theorem (i) and (ii)

By Lemma 2 (i), Theorem (ii) follows from Theorem (i).

PROOF OF THEOREM (i). By Theorem 1 in [2], we can write  $D_1 = HxP' \supset HxP$  with  $x \in U$ . Considering  $xPx^{-1}$  and  $xP'x^{-1}$  as  $P$  and  $P'$ , respectively, we may assume that  $D_1 = HP'$  and that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is  $\sigma$ -stable. By Lemma 2 (ii), we have only to prove the following.

$$D_1 \cap D_2^{\mathfrak{a}} \neq \emptyset \implies D_1^{c_1} \supset D_2.$$

Suppose that  $D_1 \cap D_2^{\mathfrak{a}} \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $HP \cap D_2^{\mathfrak{a}} \neq \emptyset$  since  $D_2^{\mathfrak{a}}P' = D_2^{\mathfrak{a}}$ . Hence there exists an element  $y$  of  $D_2^{\mathfrak{a}} \cap U = D_2 \cap U$  such that  $HP \cap HyP^{\mathfrak{a}} \neq \emptyset$ . On the other hand, if  $(HP)^{c_1} \supset HyP$  for some  $y \in D_2$ , then it is clear that  $D_1^{c_1} \supset D_2$ . Thus we have only to prove the following.

(3.1) If  $HP \cap H^{\mathfrak{a}}yP \neq \emptyset$  for  $y \in U$ , then  $(HP)^{c_1} \supset HyP$ .

We will prove (3.1) by induction on the real rank of  $G$  ( $=\dim \mathfrak{a}$ ). Suppose that  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{q}$  and that  $\text{Ad}(y)\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ . Then by [2] Proposition 1 and Proposition 2,  $HP$  is open in  $G$  and  $HyP$  is closed in  $G$ . By [4] Proposition, we have always  $(HP)^{c1} \supset HyP$ . Hence we may assume that

$$(3.2) \quad \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{h} \neq \{0\}$$

or that

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Ad}(y)\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{q} \neq \{0\}.$$

We first show that the case (3.3) is reduced to the case (3.2). Assume the condition (3.3). Then  $\text{Ad}(y)\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{\mathfrak{a}} \neq \{0\}$  since  $\text{Ad}(y)\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Consider  $\text{Ad}(y)\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathfrak{a}}$  and  $yPy^{-1}$  as  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $P$  in the case (3.2), respectively. Then we have in the proof of the case (3.2) that

$$H^{\mathfrak{a}}yPy^{-1} \cap HPy^{-1} \neq \emptyset \implies (H^{\mathfrak{a}}yPy^{-1})^{c1} \supset H^{\mathfrak{a}}Py^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$HP \cap H^{\mathfrak{a}}yP \neq \emptyset \implies (H^{\mathfrak{a}}yP)^{c1} \supset H^{\mathfrak{a}}P.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(HP)^{c1} \supset HyP \iff (H^{\mathfrak{a}}yP)^{c1} \supset H^{\mathfrak{a}}P$$

for  $y \in U$  by Corollary of [4] Theorem. Thus the case (3.3) is reduced to the case (3.2) and so we may assume (3.2) in the following.

By [4] Theorem (iv), there exists a sequence  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  of simple roots in  $\Sigma^+$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad (HP)^{c1} = H((L \cap H)P_L)^{c1}wPL_{\alpha_n} \cdots L_{\alpha_1}.$$

Here  $w = w_{\alpha_1} \cdots w_{\alpha_n}$ ,  $L$  is the analytic subgroup of  $G$  for  $1 = [\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{h}), \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{h})]$ ,  $P_L = L \cap P (= L \cap wPw^{-1})$  and  $L_{\alpha} = Z_G(\mathfrak{a}^{\alpha})$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}^{\alpha} = \{Y \in \mathfrak{a} \mid \alpha(Y) = 0\}$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ .

LEMMA 3.  $HwP = (K \cap H)(L \cap H)_0wP$ . ( $(L \cap H)_0$  is the connected component of  $L \cap H$  containing the identity.)

PROOF. Put  $L_1 = Z_G(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{h})$  and define a parabolic subgroup  $P_1$  of  $G$  by  $P_1 = L_1wPw^{-1}$  as in [4] §1. Then  $P_1 \cap H_0$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $H_0$  and we have  $H_0 = (K \cap H)_0(P_1 \cap H)_0$  by the Iwasawa decomposition of  $H_0$ . On the other hand,  $K \cap H$  intersects with every connected component of  $H$  since  $H = (K \cap H) \cdot \exp(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h})$ . Hence

$$(3.5) \quad H = (K \cap H)(P_1 \cap H)_0.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{n}_1$  be the nilpotent radical of the Lie algebra of  $P_1$ . Then  $P_1 = L_1 \exp \mathfrak{n}_1$  is a Langlands decomposition of  $P_1$ . Since  $L_1$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_1$  are  $\sigma$ -stable, we have

$$(3.6) \quad (P_1 \cap H)_0 = (L_1 \cap H)_0 \exp(\mathfrak{n}_1 \cap \mathfrak{h}).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{z}$  be the center of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{l}_1$  of  $L_1$ . Then  $\mathfrak{l}_1 = \mathfrak{z} + \mathfrak{l}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{z}$  and  $\mathfrak{l}$  are  $\sigma$ -stable, we have  $\mathfrak{l}_1 \cap \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{z} \cap \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}$  and therefore

$$(3.7) \quad (L_1 \cap H)_0 = (L \cap H)_0 \exp(\mathfrak{z} \cap \mathfrak{h}).$$

We get the desired formula from (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) since  $\exp \mathfrak{n}_1 \subset {}_w P w^{-1}$  and  $\exp \mathfrak{z} \subset {}_w P w^{-1}$ . Q. E. D.

Now we will continue the proof of Theorem (i). Suppose that  $HP \cap H^a y P \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $HP \subset H w P L_{\alpha_n} \cdots L_{\alpha_1}$ , we have

$$H w P \cap H^a y P L_{\alpha_1} \cdots L_{\alpha_n} \neq \emptyset.$$

By Lemma 3, we have

$$(3.8) \quad (L \cap H)_0 \cap H^a y P L_{\alpha_1} \cdots L_{\alpha_n} w^{-1} \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $y'$  be an element of the left hand side of (3.8) and  $y''$  an element of  $(L \cap H^a)_0 y' P_L \cap U$ . Then

$$(3.9) \quad H^a y'' w P \subset H^a y P L_{\alpha_1} \cdots L_{\alpha_n}$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad (L \cap H)_0 P_L \cap (L \cap H^a)_0 y'' P_L \neq \emptyset.$$

Since  $\sigma L = \theta L = L$  and  $\dim(\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{a}) < \dim \mathfrak{a}$ , we have

$$((L \cap H)_0 P_L)^{\sigma_1} \supset (L \cap H)_0 y'' P_L$$

by the assumption of induction. By (3.4), we have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} (HP)^{\sigma_1} &\supset H(L \cap H)_0 y'' P_L w P L_{\alpha_n} \cdots L_{\alpha_1} \\ &\supset H y'' w P L_{\alpha_n} \cdots L_{\alpha_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the formula (3.9) which can be rewritten as

$$y \in H^a y'' w P L_{\alpha_n} \cdots L_{\alpha_1}.$$

As in the proof of [4] Theorem (vi), we can choose a  $y_1 \in y'' w P L_{\alpha_n} \cdots L_{\alpha_1} \cap U$  so that  $y \in H^a y_1 P$ . Since  $y \in U$ , it follows from [2] Theorem 1 that  $y \in (K \cap H) y_1 P$ . Hence

$$(3.12) \quad y \in (K \cap H)y''wPL_{\alpha_n} \cdots L_{\alpha_1}.$$

From (3.11) and (3.12), we have  $(HP)^{c^1} \supset HyP$  as desired. Q. E. D.

#### §4 Proof of Theorem (iii) and (iv)

Theorem (iv) follows from (ii) and (iii). So we have only to prove (iii) in this section. Recall the definition of  $P = P(\alpha, \Sigma^+)$  in §2 and let  $\Psi$  denote the set of all the simple roots in  $\Sigma^+$ .

LEMMA 4. *Suppose that  $H^aP$  is not open in  $G$ . Then there exists an  $\alpha \in \Psi$  such that  $\dim H^aP_\alpha > \dim H^aP$  (here  $P_\alpha$  is the parabolic subgroup of  $G$  defined by  $P_\alpha = PL_\alpha$ ).*

PROOF. By [2] Theorem 1, we may assume that  $\sigma\alpha = \alpha$ . By [2] Proposition 1,  $\Sigma^+$  is not  $\sigma$ -compatible or  $\alpha \cap \mathfrak{h}$  is not maximal abelian in  $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ . First suppose that  $\Sigma^+$  is not  $\sigma$ -compatible. Then by [4] Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, there exists an  $\alpha \in \Psi$  such that  $H^aP_\alpha = H^aP \cup H^a w_\alpha P$  and that  $\dim H^a w_\alpha P > \dim H^aP$ . Hence we may assume that  $\Sigma^+$  is  $\sigma$ -compatible and that  $\alpha \cap \mathfrak{h}$  is not maximal abelian in  $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ .

Put  $I_1 = \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(\alpha \cap \mathfrak{h})$ . Suppose that there exists an  $\alpha \in \Psi \cap \Sigma(I_1; \alpha)$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha; \alpha) \cap \mathfrak{q}^a \neq \{0\}$ . Here  $\Sigma(I_1; \alpha)$  is the root system of the pair  $(I_1, \alpha)$ , and it is clear that  $\alpha \in \Sigma(I_1; \alpha)$  if and only if  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ ,  $\sigma\alpha = -\alpha$ . Then by [4] Lemma 3 (F),  $\dim H^aP_\alpha > \dim H^aP$ . Hence we may assume that

$$(4.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}(\alpha; \alpha) \cap \mathfrak{q}^a = \{0\} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Psi \cap \Sigma(I_1; \alpha).$$

Let  $\beta$  be a root in  $\Sigma(I_1; \alpha) \cap \Sigma^+$  and write  $\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi} n_\alpha \alpha$ . Choose an element  $Y \in \alpha \cap \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $\alpha(Y) > 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Sigma^+ - \Sigma(I_1; \alpha)$  by [4] Lemma 4. If  $n_\alpha > 0$  for some  $\alpha \in \Psi - \Sigma(I_1; \alpha)$ , then  $\beta(Y) > 0$ . But since  $\beta(Y) = 0$ , we have proved that  $\beta$  is written as a linear combination of roots in  $\Psi \cap \Sigma(I_1; \alpha)$ . By (4.1) and Lemma 6 in §5, we have  $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha; \beta) \subset \mathfrak{h}^a$ . Hence

$$\alpha \cap \mathfrak{q} + \sum_{\beta \in \Sigma(I_1; \alpha)} \mathfrak{g}(\alpha; \beta) \subset \mathfrak{h}^a.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(\alpha \cap \mathfrak{h}) = I_1 = \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{t}(\alpha) + \alpha + \sum_{\beta \in \Sigma(I_1; \alpha)} \mathfrak{g}(\alpha; \beta)$ ,  $\alpha \cap \mathfrak{h}$  is a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}^a$ . But this is a contradiction to the assumption on  $\alpha \cap \mathfrak{h}$ . Q. E. D.

LEMMA 5. *If  $HP$  is closed in  $G$ , then  $HP = (K \cap H)P$ .*

PROOF. If  $HP = (K \cap H)xP$  for some  $x \in HP$ , then  $HP = (K \cap H)P$ . So taking a conjugate of  $P$ , we may assume that  $\sigma\alpha = \alpha$ . Since  $\Sigma^+$  is  $\sigma$ -compatible, we can apply Lemma 3 for  $w = 1$  to get

$$HP = (K \cap H)(L \cap H)_0 P.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{h}$  is maximal abelian in  $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ , we have  $L \subset H^a$  by [4] Lemma 6 (i). Since  $H \cap H^a = K \cap H$ , we have  $HP = (K \cap H)(L \cap H^a \cap H)_0 P = (K \cap H)P$  as desired.

Q. E. D.

**PROOF OF THEOREM (iii).** Choose  $x' \in xP' \cap U$  so that  $Hx'P$  has the minimum dimension among the  $H-P$  double cosets contained in  $HxP'$ . Clearly  $HxP' \cap H^a xP' = (Hx'P \cap H^a xP')P'$ . Since  $(Hx'P)^{c1} \cap HxP' = Hx'P$ , it follows from Theorem (i) that  $Hx'P \cap H^a xP' = Hx'P \cap H^a x'P$ . So we have only to prove that

$$(4.2) \quad Hx'P \cap H^a x'P = (K \cap H)x'P \quad \text{for } x' \in U.$$

We will prove (4.2) by induction on the codimension of  $H^a x'P$ . Rewriting  $x'Px'^{-1}$  by  $P$ , we may assume that  $x' = 1$  and that  $\sigma\alpha = \alpha$ .

Suppose that  $H^a P$  is open in  $G$ . Then  $HP$  is closed in  $G$  by [2] §3 Corollary and  $HP = (K \cap H)P \subset H^a P$  by Lemma 5. Hence we may assume that  $H^a P$  is not open in  $G$ .

By Lemma 4, there exists an  $\alpha \in \Psi$  such that  $\dim H^a P_\alpha > \dim H^a P$ . Then by [4] Lemma 3, there are two cases (B<sup>a</sup>):  $\sigma\theta\alpha \neq \pm\alpha$ ,  $\sigma\theta\alpha \in \Sigma^+$  and (D<sup>a</sup>):  $\sigma\theta\alpha = \alpha$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha; \alpha) \cap \mathfrak{q}^a \neq \{0\}$ . Put  $z = w_\alpha$  in the case (B<sup>a</sup>) and put  $z = c_\alpha$  in the case (D<sup>a</sup>). Then we have  $(HzP)^{c1} \cap HP_\alpha = HzP$  by [4] Lemma 3 (A) and (F) (since  $\theta|_\alpha = -1$ , we have (B<sup>a</sup>)=(A):  $\sigma\alpha \neq \pm\alpha$ ,  $\sigma\alpha \notin \Sigma^+$  and (D<sup>a</sup>)=(F):  $\sigma\alpha = -\alpha$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha; \alpha) \cap \mathfrak{q}^a \neq \{0\}$ ). Applying Theorem (i), we have

$$(4.3) \quad HzP \cap H^a P_\alpha = HzP \cap H^a zP.$$

Let  $y$  be an element of  $HP \cap H^a P$ . Then we have only to show that  $y \in (K \cap H)P$  since it is clear that  $(K \cap H)P \subset HP \cap H^a P$ . Let  $y'$  be an element of  $HzP \cap yP_\alpha$ . Then by (4.3) and the assumption of induction, we have

$$y' \in HzP \cap H^a P_\alpha \cap yP_\alpha = HzP \cap H^a zP \cap yP_\alpha = (K \cap H)zP \cap yP_\alpha$$

and therefore

$$y \in (K \cap H)zP_\alpha = (K \cap H)P_\alpha.$$

Since  $y \in H^a P$ , we have

$$y \in (K \cap H)P_\alpha \cap H^a P = (K \cap H)(P_\alpha \cap H^a)P = (K \cap H)JP.$$

Here  $J$  is the image of  $P_\alpha \cap H^a$  under the projection  $P_\alpha \rightarrow L_\alpha$  with respect to the Langlands decomposition  $P_\alpha = L_\alpha \exp \mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ . We consider the two cases (B<sup>a</sup>) and (D<sup>a</sup>) separately.

First consider the case (B<sup>a</sup>). We have only to show that  $J \subset L_\alpha \cap P$ . Let  $L_\alpha^s$  denote the analytic subgroup of  $G$  for the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  generated by  $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha; \alpha) + \mathfrak{g}(\alpha; -\alpha)$  as in [4] §3. Since  $L_\alpha^s \cap J \supset \exp(\mathfrak{g}(\alpha; \alpha) + \mathfrak{g}(\alpha; 2\alpha))$ , we have  $L_\alpha^s -$

$(L_\alpha^s \cap J)w_\alpha(L_\alpha^s \cap P) \subset L_\alpha^s \cap P$  by the Bruhat decomposition of  $L_\alpha^s$ . Since  $L_\alpha/L_\alpha \cap P \simeq L_\alpha^s/L_\alpha^s \cap P$ , we have  $L_\alpha - Jw_\alpha(L_\alpha \cap P) \subset L_\alpha \cap P$ . On the other hand, we have  $J(L_\alpha \cap P) \cap Jw_\alpha(L_\alpha \cap P) = \emptyset$  since  $H^a P \cap H^a w_\alpha P \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $J \subset L_\alpha \cap P$ .

Next consider the case (D<sup>a</sup>). We have only to show that  $J \subset (K \cap H)(L_\alpha \cap P)$ . In this case,  $J \supset L_\alpha^s \cap H^a$  and it follows easily from the proof of [4] Lemma 3 (D) that

$$L_\alpha = D(1) \cup D(w_\alpha) \cup D(c_\alpha) \cup D(c_\alpha^{-1}).$$

Here  $D(x) = (L_\alpha^s \cap H^a)x(L_\alpha \cap P)$  for  $x \in L_\alpha$ . We also have

$$(4.4) \quad J(L_\alpha \cap P) = \begin{cases} D(1) & \text{if } w_\alpha \notin N_{K \cap H}(\mathfrak{a})Z_K(\mathfrak{a}) \\ D(1) \cup D(w_\alpha) & \text{if } w_\alpha \in N_{K \cap H}(\mathfrak{a})Z_K(\mathfrak{a}) \end{cases}$$

since  $(H^a P \cup H^a w_\alpha P) \cap (H^a c_\alpha P \cup H^a c_\alpha^{-1} P) = \emptyset$ . Since  $D(1)$  and  $D(w_\alpha)$  are closed in  $L_\alpha$ , we have

$$(4.5) \quad D(x) = (L_\alpha^s \cap K \cap H)x(L_\alpha \cap P) \quad \text{for } x = 1 \text{ and } w_\alpha$$

by Lemma 5 (Note that  $L_\alpha/L_\alpha \cap P \simeq L_\alpha^s/L_\alpha^s \cap P$ ). From (4.4) and (4.5), we get

$$J(L_\alpha \cap P) \subset (K \cap H)(L_\alpha \cap P)$$

as desired. Q. E. D.

## § 5. Appendix

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra with a Cartan involution  $\theta$  and the corresponding Cartan decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\Sigma$  the root system of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ . Let  $\Psi$  be a fundamental system (the set of simple roots in a positive system of  $\Sigma$ ) of  $\Sigma$ .

LEMMA 6. *Let  $\mathfrak{s}$  be a  $\theta$ -stable subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}; \beta) \subset \mathfrak{s}$  for all  $\beta \in \Psi$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}; \beta) \subset \mathfrak{s}$  for all  $\beta \in \Sigma$ .*

PROOF. Since  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}; 2\beta) = [\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}; \beta), \mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}; \beta)]$ , we have only to prove  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}; \beta) \subset \mathfrak{s}$  for all  $\beta \in \Sigma_0 = \{\beta \in \Sigma \mid 1/2\beta \notin \Sigma\}$  (the set of reduced roots in  $\Sigma$ ). Let  $\gamma$  be a root in  $\Psi$  and  $X$  a nonzero element of  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}; \gamma)$ . Then  $w_\gamma = \exp c(X + \theta X) \in \exp \mathfrak{s}$  represents the reflection in  $\mathfrak{a}$  with respect to  $\gamma$  for some  $c \in \mathbf{R}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}; w_\gamma \beta) = \text{Ad}(w_\gamma)\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}; \beta)$  for  $\beta \in \Sigma$ , we have

$$(5.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}; w_\gamma \beta) \subset \mathfrak{s} \text{ if and only if } \mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}; \beta) \subset \mathfrak{s}.$$

Since the Weyl group  $W$  of  $\Sigma$  is generated by  $\{w_\beta Z_K(\mathfrak{a}) \mid \beta \in \Psi\}$  and since  $\Sigma_0 = W\Psi$ , we get the desired assertion from (5.1). Q. E. D.



**References**

- [ 1 ] V. V. Deodhar, On some geometric aspects of Bruhat orderings I. A finer decomposition of Bruhat cells, *Invent. Math.* **79** (1985), 499–511.
- [ 2 ] T. Matsuki, The orbits of affine symmetric spaces under the action of minimal parabolic subgroups, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **31** (1979), 331–357.
- [ 3 ] T. Matsuki, Orbits on affine symmetric spaces under the action of parabolic subgroups, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **12** (1983), 307–320.
- [ 4 ] T. Matsuki, Closure relations for orbits on affine symmetric spaces under the action of minimal parabolic subgroups, to appear.
- [ 5 ] W. Rossmann, The structure of semisimple symmetric spaces, *Canad. J. Math.* **31** (1979), 157–180.

*Faculty of General Education,  
Tottori University*

