

Lie algebras whose inner derivations satisfy certain conditions

Yûji SHIMIZUIKE and Shigeaki TÔGÔ

(Received July 20, 1987)

Introduction

For a finite-dimensional Lie algebra I. M. Singer ([6]) introduced the condition (A) (§1, Definition 1), A. Jôichi ([4]) introduced the conditions (A_k) and (A_∞) (§1, Definition 2), and the properties of finite-dimensional Lie algebras satisfying these conditions had been investigated by several authors in [4, 6, 8, 9].

For a not necessarily finite-dimensional Lie algebra, we shall define the conditions (A), (A_k) and (A_∞) in the same manner and moreover introduce the condition (B_∞) strengthening the condition (A_∞) . The purpose of this paper is mainly to extend the known results on finite-dimensional Lie algebras satisfying these conditions to not necessarily finite-dimensional Lie algebras.

In Section 1, let L be a not necessarily finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field and let H be an ideal of L . We show that if L satisfies (A_{k+1}) (resp. (A_∞) , (B_∞)) then H satisfies (A_k) (resp. (A_∞) , (B_∞)) (Proposition 2). More generally we shall give similar results in case that H is a weakly ascendant subalgebra of L (Propositions 5 and 7).

In Section 2, for a Lie algebra L belonging to $L\mathfrak{N}$ (resp. \mathfrak{N}_k) we show that the conditions (A), (B_∞) and “abelian” (resp. (A), (B_∞) , (A_∞) , \dots , (A_{k+1}) , (A_k) and “abelian”) are equivalent (Theorem 8). For a Lie algebra L belonging to $L(\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{F})$ over a field of characteristic 0, we show that the conditions (A), (B_∞) and “abelian” are equivalent (Theorem 9).

In Section 3, for a Lie algebra L belonging to $L(\text{ser})\mathfrak{F}$ over a field of characteristic 0, we show that L satisfies (A) (resp. (B_∞)) if and only if L is the direct sum of the center and a semisimple ideal S of L satisfying (A) (resp. (B_∞)) (Theorem 11) and that the conditions (A) and (B_∞) are equivalent (Theorem 12). Finally for a Lie algebra L belonging to $L(\text{ser})\mathfrak{F}$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, we show that the conditions (A), (B_∞) and “abelian” are equivalent (Theorem 13).

§1.

Throughout this paper Φ is a field of arbitrary characteristic and all Lie algebras are not necessarily finite-dimensional over a field Φ unless otherwise specified.

For a Lie algebra L , by $H \leq L$ (resp. $H \triangleleft L$) we mean that H is a subalgebra (resp. an ideal) of L . We denote by \mathfrak{A} (resp. \mathfrak{F} , \mathfrak{N} , \mathfrak{N}_k , $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{A}$) the class of Lie algebras which are abelian (resp. finite-dimensional, nilpotent, nilpotent of class $\leq k$, soluble). For a class \mathfrak{X} of Lie algebras we denote by $L\mathfrak{X}$ the class of locally \mathfrak{X} -algebras.

Let D be a derivation of L and let k be an integer ≥ 2 . Then D is called k -nilpotent if $LD^k=0$ and nil if for each finite-dimensional subspace V of L there exists a positive integer $n=n(V)$ such that $VD^n=0$.

Now for a finite-dimensional Lie algebra L , the condition (A) was introduced by I. M. Singer ([6]) and the conditions (A_k) and (A_∞) were introduced by A. Jôichi ([4]) as follows.

DEFINITION 1. L is said to satisfy the condition (A) if any pair of elements x, y of L such that $[x, {}_2y]=0$ satisfies $[x, y]=0$.

DEFINITION 2. Let k be an integer ≥ 2 . L is said to satisfy the condition (A_k) if $\text{ad } L$ contains no non-zero k -nilpotent elements and L is said to satisfy the condition (A_∞) if $\text{ad } L$ contains no non-zero nilpotent elements.

For a not necessarily finite-dimensional Lie algebra L we define the conditions (A), (A_k) and (A_∞) in the same manner as above. Moreover we introduce the following condition.

DEFINITION 3. We say that L satisfies the condition (B_∞) , if $\text{ad } L$ contains no non-zero nil elements.

From now on we use the same notation (A) (resp. (A_k) , (A_∞) , (B_∞)) to express the class of Lie algebras satisfying the condition (A) (resp. (A_k) , (A_∞) , (B_∞)). For unexplained terminology and notation we refer to [1, 13].

As in [4, Proposition 1], we show

PROPOSITION 1. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ . Then we have the following implications for L :*

$$(A) \Rightarrow (B_\infty) \Rightarrow (A_\infty) \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow (A_{k+1}) \Rightarrow (A_k) \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow (A_2).$$

Moreover we have $(A_\infty) = \bigcap_{k \geq 2} (A_k)$.

PROOF. We only show the implication $(A) \Rightarrow (B_\infty)$. Assume that $L \in (A)$ and let $\text{ad}_L x$ be a nil element of $\text{ad } L$. Then for any $y \in L$, there exists an integer $k=k(y)$ ($k \geq 2$) such that $(y)(\text{ad}_L x)^k=0$. Because of $L \in (A)$, $[y, {}_{k-1}x]=0$. After repeating this procedure $k-2$ times, we have $[y, x]=0$. Since y is arbitrary, we have $\text{ad}_L x=0$. Therefore $L \in (B_\infty)$.

EXAMPLES. Let L_0 be the Lie algebra over a field Φ described in terms of a basis x, y, z by the table

$$[x, y] = z, \quad [y, z] = x, \quad [z, x] = y,$$

and let L be the direct sum of a non-empty set of Lie algebras which are isomorphic to L_0 . Then the following statements hold.

- (1) In case $\Phi = \mathbf{R}$, L belongs to $(A) \setminus \mathfrak{A}$.
- (2) In case $\Phi = \mathbf{C}$, L belongs to $(A_2) \setminus (A_3)$.

L. A. Simonjan ([5]) and T. Ikeda ([3]) constructed examples of the countable-dimensional Lie algebra M over a field Φ which is non-abelian, locally nilpotent and has no non-zero bounded left Engel elements. Evidently

- (3) M belongs to $(A_\infty) \setminus (B_\infty)$.

Denoting the center of L by $\zeta(L)$, we have

PROPOSITION 2. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ and let $H \triangleleft L$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *If $L \in (A_{k+1})$, then $H \in (A_k)$. Furthermore if $H \subseteq \zeta(L)$, then $L/H \in (A_k)$. ($k=2, 3, 4, \dots$)*
- (2) *If $L \in (A_\infty)$, then $H \in (A_\infty)$.*
- (3) *If $L \in (B_\infty)$, then $H \in (B_\infty)$.*

PROOF. (1) Assume that $L \in (A_{k+1})$. Let $\text{ad}_H x$ be a k -nilpotent element of $\text{ad } H$. Because of $H \triangleleft L$, $L(\text{ad}_L x)^{k+1} \subseteq H(\text{ad}_H x)^k = 0$ and therefore $\text{ad}_L x$ is $(k+1)$ -nilpotent. By assumption we have $\text{ad}_L x = 0$. Then $\text{ad}_H x = 0$ and $H \in (A_k)$. Furthermore assume that $H \subseteq \zeta(L)$. Let \bar{x} be the element of $\bar{L} = L/H$ corresponding to $x \in L$. Now let $\text{ad}_L \bar{x}$ be k -nilpotent. Then $L(\text{ad}_L x)^k \subseteq H$. Since $H \subseteq \zeta(L)$, $(\text{ad}_L x)^{k+1} = 0$ and therefore $\text{ad}_L x = 0$. This implies that $\bar{L} \in (A_k)$.

(2) We omit the proof.

(3) Assume that $L \in (B_\infty)$ and let $\text{ad}_H x$ be a nil element of $\text{ad } H$. Since $H \triangleleft L$, for each finite-dimensional subspace V of L $[V, x]$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of H . By assumption there exists an integer $k = k(V, x)$ such that $[V, x](\text{ad}_H x)^k = 0$. Therefore $V(\text{ad}_L x)^{k+1} = 0$. Thus $\text{ad}_L x = 0$. It follows that $\text{ad}_H x = 0$ and $H \in (B_\infty)$.

The following proposition clearly holds.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let L be a direct sum of ideals L_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$). Then $L \in (A)$ (resp. (A_k) , (A_∞) , (B_∞)) if and only if $L_\lambda \in (A)$ (resp. (A_k) , (A_∞) , (B_∞)) for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

We shall here discuss the statement of Proposition 2 under a weaker assumption instead of the assumption $H \triangleleft L$.

DEFINITION 4 ([10]). Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ and $H \leq L$. For an ordinal λ , H is said to be a λ -step weakly ascendant subalgebra of L , provided there exists an ascending chain $\{M_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \lambda\}$ of subspaces of L such that

- (1) $M_0 = H$ and $M_\lambda = L$,
- (2) $[M_{\alpha+1}, H] \subseteq M_\alpha$ for any ordinal $\alpha < \lambda$,
- (3) $M_\beta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} M_\alpha$ for any limit ordinal $\beta \leq \lambda$.

We then write $H \leq^\lambda L$. H is said to be a weakly ascendant subalgebra of L if $H \leq^\lambda L$ for some ordinal λ . We then write $H \text{ wasc } L$. Especially H is said to be a weak subideal of L if $\lambda = n < \omega$.

LEMMA 4. Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ , let $H \text{ wasc } L$ and let x be an element of H . If $\text{ad}_H x$ is nil, then so is $\text{ad}_L x$.

PROOF. Let V be any finite-dimensional subspace of L . By [2, Lemma 2.1], there exists an integer $n = n(V, x)$ such that $[V, {}_n x] \subseteq H$. Then $[V, {}_n x]$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of H . Since $\text{ad}_H x$ is nil, there exists an integer $k = k([V, {}_n x])$ such that $[V, {}_n x](\text{ad}_H x)^k = 0$. Therefore $V(\text{ad}_L x)^{n+k} = 0$. Thus $\text{ad}_L x$ is nil.

PROPOSITION 5. Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ and let $H \text{ wasc } L$. If $L \in (\mathbf{B}_\infty)$, then $H \in (\mathbf{B}_\infty)$.

PROOF. Assume that $L \in (\mathbf{B}_\infty)$ and let $\text{ad}_H x$ be a nil element of $\text{ad } H$. By Lemma 4 $\text{ad}_L x$ is also nil and therefore $\text{ad}_L x = 0$. In particular $\text{ad}_H x = 0$ and $H \in (\mathbf{B}_\infty)$.

LEMMA 6. Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ . Let $H \leq^n L$ and let x be an element of H . If $\text{ad}_H x$ is m -nilpotent, then $\text{ad}_L x$ is $(m+n)$ -nilpotent.

PROOF. Since $H \leq^n L$, $L(\text{ad}_L x)^n \subseteq H$. By assumption $H(\text{ad}_H x)^m = 0$ and hence $L(\text{ad}_L x)^{m+n} = 0$. Therefore $\text{ad}_L x$ is $(m+n)$ -nilpotent.

As a consequence of Proposition 5 and Lemma 6, we have

PROPOSITION 7. Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ and let $H \leq^n L$. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) If $L \in (\mathbf{A}_{k+n})$, then $H \in (\mathbf{A}_k)$ ($k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$).
- (2) If $L \in (\mathbf{A}_\infty)$, then $H \in (\mathbf{A}_\infty)$.
- (3) If $L \in (\mathbf{B}_\infty)$, then $H \in (\mathbf{B}_\infty)$.

§ 2.

In this section, we study the relationship between the conditions (A), (\mathbf{A}_k) , (\mathbf{A}_∞) , (\mathbf{B}_∞) and "abelian" in case of locally nilpotent (resp. locally soluble-and-finite) Lie algebras. First we extend a result of A. Jôichi and show the following

THEOREM 8. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ . Then the following statements hold.*

(1) *If $L \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{R}$, then the conditions (A), (\mathfrak{B}_∞) and "abelian" are equivalent for L .*

(2) *If $L \in \mathfrak{R}_k$, then the conditions (A), (\mathfrak{B}_∞) , $(\mathfrak{A}_\infty), \dots, (\mathfrak{A}_{k+1}), (\mathfrak{A}_k)$ and "abelian" are equivalent for L ($k=2, 3, 4, \dots$).*

PROOF. (1) It is clear that if $L \in \mathfrak{A}$, then $L \in (\mathfrak{A})$. By Proposition 1, if $L \in (\mathfrak{A})$, then we have $L \in (\mathfrak{B}_\infty)$. Now assume that $L \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{R} \cap (\mathfrak{B}_\infty)$. For any element x of L and for any finite-dimensional subspace V of L , there exists a subalgebra H of L such that $\{x\} \cup V \subseteq H \in \mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{F}$. Then there exists an integer $n > 0$ such that $H^{n+1} = 0$. Thus $V(\text{ad}_L x)^n = 0$ and $\text{ad}_L x$ is nil. Therefore $\text{ad}_L x = 0$. Since x is arbitrary, we have $L \in \mathfrak{A}$.

(2) By Proposition 1, it suffices to prove that if $L \in \mathfrak{R}_k \cap (\mathfrak{A}_k)$ then $L \in \mathfrak{A}$. Assume that $L \in \mathfrak{R}_k \cap (\mathfrak{A}_k)$. For any element x of L , $(\text{ad}_L x)^k = 0$. That is, $\text{ad}_L x$ is k -nilpotent and by assumption $\text{ad}_L x = 0$. Since x is arbitrary, we have $L \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ . Then by $\rho(L)$ and $\sigma(L)$, we denote the Hirsch-Protkin radical (that is, $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{R}$ -radical) and $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{F})$ -radical of L respectively.

A Lie algebra L over a field Φ is said to be ideally finite, if any finite subset of L is contained in a finite-dimensional ideal of L . We denote by $\mathfrak{L}(\triangleleft)\mathfrak{F}$ the class of ideally finite Lie algebras ([7]).

THEOREM 9. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ of characteristic 0 and assume that $L \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{F})$. Then the following statements hold.*

(1) *The conditions (A), (\mathfrak{B}_∞) and "abelian" are equivalent for L .*

(2) *If $\rho(L) \in \mathfrak{R}_k$, then the conditions (A), (\mathfrak{B}_∞) , $(\mathfrak{A}_\infty), \dots, (\mathfrak{A}_{k+2}), (\mathfrak{A}_{k+1})$ and "abelian" are equivalent for L ($k=1, 2, 3, \dots$).*

(3) *In particular if $L \in \mathfrak{L}(\triangleleft)\mathfrak{F}$, then the conditions (A), (\mathfrak{B}_∞) , (\mathfrak{A}_∞) and "abelian" are equivalent for L .*

PROOF. (1) It suffices to prove that if $L \in (\mathfrak{B}_\infty)$ then $L \in \mathfrak{A}$. Assume that $L \in (\mathfrak{B}_\infty)$. Since $\rho(L) \triangleleft L$, $\rho(L) \in (\mathfrak{B}_\infty)$ by Proposition 2(3) and therefore $\rho(L) \in \mathfrak{A}$ by Theorem 8(1). On the other hand, because of $L \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{F})$ we have $[L, L] \subseteq \rho(L)$ by [1, Corollary 13.3.13] and [13, Corollary 8.3.5]. For any $y \in L$ and for any $z \in \rho(L)$ we have $[y, z, z] \in [\rho(L), \rho(L)] = 0$. That is, $y(\text{ad}_L z)^2 = 0$. Since y is arbitrary, $(\text{ad}_L z)^2 = 0$. Therefore $\text{ad}_L z = 0$ because of $L \in (\mathfrak{B}_\infty)$. It follows that $[L, \rho(L)] = 0$. Now for any $x, y \in L$, we have $[y, x, x] \in [\rho(L), L] = 0$ and therefore $y(\text{ad}_L x)^2 = 0$. Since y is arbitrary, $(\text{ad}_L x)^2 = 0$. Then $\text{ad}_L x = 0$

because of $L \in (\mathbf{B}_\infty)$. Therefore $L \in \mathfrak{A}$.

(2) It suffices to prove that if $L \in (\mathbf{A}_{k+1})$ then $L \in \mathfrak{A}$. Assume that $L \in (\mathbf{A}_{k+1})$. For $k=1$, $\rho(L) \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ by assumption and therefore $\rho(L) \in \mathfrak{A}$. For $k \geq 2$, since $\rho(L) \triangleleft L$, $\rho(L) \in (\mathbf{A}_k)$ by Proposition 2(1). It follows from Theorem 8(2) that $\rho(L) \in \mathfrak{A}$. Arguing as in the proof of (1), we have $[L, \rho(L)] = 0$ and conclude that $L \in \mathfrak{A}$.

(3) Assume that $L \in \mathfrak{L}(\triangleleft) \mathfrak{F} \cap (\mathbf{A}_\infty)$. For any $x \in \rho(L)$, it follows from [12, Lemma 7.3] that $\text{ad}_L x$ is nilpotent. By assumption we have $\text{ad}_L x = 0$ and therefore $[L, \rho(L)] = 0$. Now as in the proof of (1), we have $L \in \mathfrak{A}$.

§3.

In this section, we investigate the case of serially finite Lie algebras.

DEFINITION 5 ([1, §13.2]). Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ and let $H \leq L$. For a totally ordered set Σ , H is said to be a serial subalgebra of type Σ of L , provided there exists a collection $\{A_\sigma, V_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ of subalgebras of L such that

- (1) $H \subseteq A_\sigma$ and $H \subseteq V_\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$,
- (2) $A_\tau \subseteq V_\sigma \subseteq A_\sigma$ if $\tau < \sigma$,
- (3) $L \setminus H = \cup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} (A_\sigma \setminus V_\sigma)$,
- (4) $V_\sigma \triangleleft A_\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

We then write $H \text{ ser } L$. L is said to be serially finite, if any finite subset of L is contained in a finite-dimensional serial subalgebra of L . We denote by $\mathfrak{L}(\text{ser})\mathfrak{F}$ the class of serially finite Lie algebras.

A locally finite Lie algebra L is said to be semisimple if $\sigma(L) = 0$.

Now we quote the following two results.

THEOREM A ([1, Theorem 13.4.2] and [12, (1.3)]). *Let L be a Lie algebra belonging to $\mathfrak{L}(\text{ser})\mathfrak{F}$ over a field Φ of characteristic 0. Then L is semisimple if and only if L is a direct sum of finite-dimensional non-abelian simple ideals.*

THEOREM B ([1, Theorem 13.5.7] and [12, (1.5)]). *Let L be a Lie algebra belonging to $\mathfrak{L}(\text{ser})\mathfrak{F}$ over a field Φ of characteristic 0. Then there exists a semisimple subalgebra S of L such that $L = \sigma(L) + S$ and $\sigma(L) \cap S = 0$.*

First we extend a result of M. Sugiura and show the following

PROPOSITION 10. *Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra belonging to $\mathfrak{L}(\text{ser})\mathfrak{F}$ over a field Φ of characteristic 0. Then the conditions (A), (\mathbf{B}_∞) and (\mathbf{A}_∞) are equivalent for L .*

PROOF. By Theorem A, $L = \bigoplus_\lambda S_\lambda$, where each S_λ is a finite-dimensional

non-abelian simple ideal of L . By [8, Theorem 1], the conditions (A) and (A_∞) are equivalent for each S_λ and therefore so are the conditions (A), (B_∞) and (A_∞) . Now the assertion follows from Proposition 3.

THEOREM 11. *Let L be a Lie algebra belonging to $\mathcal{L}(\text{ser})\mathfrak{F}$ over a field Φ of characteristic 0. Then the following statements hold.*

(1) $L \in (A)$ (resp. (B_∞)) if and only if $L = \zeta(L) \oplus S$ where S is a semisimple ideal of L belonging to (A) (resp. (B_∞)).

(2) Let $\rho(L) \in \mathfrak{N}_k$. Then $L \in (A_{k+2})$ if and only if $L = \zeta(L) \oplus S$ where S is a semisimple ideal of L belonging to (A_{k+2}) ($k=0, 1, 2, \dots$).

(3) In particular if $L \in \mathcal{L}(\triangleleft)\mathfrak{F}$, then the statement (1) holds for the condition (A_∞) .

PROOF. Put $R = \sigma(L)$.

(1) We only prove the statement on (B_∞) . Assume that $L \in (B_\infty)$. Since $R \triangleleft L$, we have $R \in (B_\infty)$. Since $R \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{F})$, $R \in \mathfrak{A}$ by Theorem 9 (1). For any $x \in L$ and for any $y \in R$, we have $[x, y, y] \in [R, R] = 0$. Then $x(\text{ad}_L y)^2 = 0$. Since x is arbitrary, $(\text{ad}_L y)^2 = 0$. Because of $L \in (B_\infty)$, $\text{ad}_L y = 0$. This implies that $[L, R] = 0$ and therefore $R = \zeta(L)$. By Theorem B, there exists a semisimple subalgebra S of L such that $L = R + S$ and $R \cap S = 0$. It follows that $S \triangleleft L$. That is, S is a semisimple ideal of L and $L = R \oplus S$. Because of $L \in (B_\infty)$, we have $S \in (B_\infty)$ by Proposition 3. The converse is clear.

(2) Assume that $L \in (A_{k+2})$. For $k=0$ $[R, R] \subseteq \rho(L) = 0$, that is, $R \in \mathfrak{A}$. For $k \geq 1$ $R \in (A_{k+1})$ by Proposition 2 and since $\rho(R) = \rho(L)$ by [13, Proposition 8.3.3], $R \in \mathfrak{A}$ by Theorem 9(2). Now, the rest of the proof is similar to that of (1).

(3) When $L \in \mathcal{L}(\triangleleft)\mathfrak{F}$, assume that $L \in (A_\infty)$. Since $R \triangleleft L$, we have $R \in (A_\infty)$. Since $R \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{F}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\triangleleft)\mathfrak{F}$, $R \in \mathfrak{A}$ by Theorem 9(3). Now, as in the proof of (1), we have the assertion of (3).

THEOREM 12. *Let L be a Lie algebra belonging to $\mathcal{L}(\text{ser})\mathfrak{F}$ over a field Φ of characteristic 0. Then the conditions (A) and (B_∞) are equivalent for L . In particular if $L \in \mathcal{L}(\triangleleft)\mathfrak{F}$, then the conditions (A), (B_∞) and (A_∞) are equivalent for L .*

PROOF. Assume that $L \in (B_\infty)$. By Theorem 11(1), there exists a semisimple ideal S of L such that $L = \zeta(L) \oplus S$ and $S \in (B_\infty)$. By Proposition 10 $S \in (A)$. Since $\zeta(L) \in \mathfrak{A} \subseteq (A)$, by Proposition 3 it follows that $L \in (A)$. The converse is evident. In case that $L \in \mathcal{L}(\triangleleft)\mathfrak{F}$, the assertion follows from Proposition 10 and Theorem 11(3).

Finally we show the following

THEOREM 13. *Let L be a Lie algebra belonging to $\mathcal{L}(\text{ser})\mathfrak{F}$ over an alge-*

braically closed field Φ of characteristic 0. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) The conditions (A), (B_∞) and "abelian" are equivalent for L .
- (2) If $\rho(L) \in \mathfrak{R}_k$, then the conditions (A), (B_∞) , $(A_\infty), \dots, (A_{k+3}), (A_{k+2})$ and "abelian" are equivalent for L ($k=1, 2, 3, \dots$).
- (3) In particular if $L \in \mathcal{L}(\triangleleft)\mathfrak{F}$, then the conditions (A), (B_∞) , (A_∞) and "abelian" are equivalent for L .

PROOF. (1) It suffices to prove that if $L \in (B_\infty)$ then $L \in \mathfrak{A}$. Assume that $L \in (B_\infty)$. By Theorem 11(1), there exists a semisimple ideal S of L such that $L = \zeta(L) \oplus S$ and $S \in (B_\infty)$. Then we assert that $S = 0$. In fact, if $S \neq 0$, by Theorem A $S = \bigoplus S_\lambda$, where each S_λ is a finite-dimensional non-abelian simple ideal of L . By [4, Lemma 3], $S_\lambda \notin (A_3)$ and therefore by Proposition 1 we have $S_\lambda \notin (B_\infty)$. On the other hand, $S_\lambda \in (B_\infty)$ by Proposition 3. Thus we have a contradiction. Therefore $L = \zeta(L)$ and $L \in \mathfrak{A}$.

(2) is similarly proved and (3) follows from (1) and Theorem 12.

References

- [1] R. K. Amayo and I. Stewart, Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, Noordhoff, Leyden, 1974.
- [2] M. Honda, Joins of weakly ascendant subalgebras of Lie algebras, Hiroshima Math. J. **14** (1984), 333–358.
- [3] T. Ikeda, Hyperabelian Lie algebras, Hiroshima Math. J. **15** (1985), 601–617.
- [4] A. Jôichi, On certain properties of Lie algebras, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-1, **31** (1967), 25–33.
- [5] L. A. Simonjan, Certain examples of Lie groups and algebras, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. **12** (1971), 837–843, translated in Siberian Math. J. **12** (1971), 602–606.
- [6] I. M. Singer, Uniformly continuous representations of Lie groups, Ann. of Math. **56** (1952), 242–247.
- [7] I. Stewart, Lie algebras generated by finite dimensional ideals, Pitman Publishing, 1975.
- [8] M. Sugiura, On a certain property of Lie algebras, Sci. Pap. Coll. Gen. Edu. Univ. Tokyo, **5** (1955), 1–12.
- [9] S. Tôgô, On a class of Lie algebras, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-1, **32** (1968), 55–83.
- [10] S. Tôgô, Weakly ascendant subalgebras of Lie algebras, Hiroshima Math. J. **10** (1980), 175–184.
- [11] S. Tôgô, Serially finite Lie algebras, Hiroshima Math. J. **16** (1986), 443–448.
- [12] S. Tôgô, Infinite-dimensional algebraic and splittable Lie algebras, Hiroshima Math. J. **17** (1987), 91–116.
- [13] S. Tôgô, Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras (in Japanese), Maki, Tokyo, 1987 (to appear).

*Department of Mathematics,
Hiroshima Institute of Technology*