

## Solvability of degenerate elliptic problems of higher order via Leray–Lions theorem

Pavel DRÁBEK and Francesco NICOLOSI

(Received May 25, 1992)

**ABSTRACT.** We present existence result for nonlinear degenerate elliptic boundary value problems of higher order. The weak solution is sought in a suitable weighted Sobolev space using Leray–Lions theorem.

### 1. Introduction

We study a general existence theorem for *degenerate* elliptic boundary value problems for equations of higher order of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha A_\alpha(x, u, \dots, D^m u) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha f_\alpha(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

on a closed subspace  $V$  satisfying

$$W_0^{m,p}(v, \Omega) \subseteq V \subseteq W^{m,p}(v, \Omega),$$

where  $W^{m,p}(v, \Omega)$  is a certain *weighted Sobolev space*. The degeneracy is determined by a vector function  $v(x) = (v_\alpha(x))$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq m$ , with positive components  $v_\alpha(x)$  in  $\Omega$  satisfying certain integrability assumptions.

When we deal with  $V \neq W_0^{m,p}(v, \Omega)$ , we always assume that  $\Omega$  satisfies the *cone property* (see e.g. Adams [1]). In fact the subspace  $V$  is determined by the *homogeneous boundary conditions* appearing in the boundary value problem for the equation (1.1). The case of  $V = W_0^{m,p}(v, \Omega)$  corresponds to the Dirichlet problem (where formally  $D^\beta u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  for  $|\beta| \leq m - 1$ ) and  $V = W^{m,p}(v, \Omega)$  corresponds to the Neumann problem (where formally  $D^\beta u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  for  $m \leq |\beta| \leq 2m - 1$ ). However, we can also deal with *nonhomogeneous boundary value problems* considering the equation

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha A_\alpha(x, u + u_0, \dots, D^\alpha(u + u_0)) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha f_\alpha(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J40, 35J70.

*Key words and phrases.* Degenerate boundary value problems, quasilinear elliptic equation, Leray–Lions theorem.

on  $V$ , where the traces of the function  $u_0$  and its derivatives on  $\partial\Omega$  correspond to nonhomogeneous boundary conditions (see e.g. Fučík, Kufner [4]).

In Section 2 we define weighted Sobolev spaces and formulate some useful imbeddings of the weighted Sobolev spaces into “classical” Sobolev spaces. In Section 3 we formulate some growth assumptions on “coefficients”  $A_\alpha(x, \xi)$ . The main result is presented in Section 4. The proof of the main result which consists in the verification of the *Leray–Lions theorem* is given in Section 5. In Section 6 we present some concrete applications in order to illustrate our (somewhat complicated) assumptions.

This paper may be regarded as a continuation of preceding papers of Guglielmino, Nicolosi [6] and Drábek, Nicolosi [3] concerning second order degenerate quasilinear elliptic equations. Since for higher order equations we cannot apply the truncation method due to De Giorgi [5] (see also Stampacchia [10]), we are not able to deal with either the case of unbounded domain  $\Omega$  or prove the boundedness in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  of the weak solution to (1.1) as in [3], [6]. The solvability of degenerate equations of the form (1.1) via the degree theory is studied in Drábek, Kufner, Nicolosi [2], where the degeneracy is included in the terms  $A_\alpha(x, \xi)$  of order  $|\alpha| = m$ . In our paper the degeneration may be included in all terms  $A_\alpha(x, \xi)$  for any  $|\alpha| \leq m$  in a way similar to Murthy, Stampacchia [9], where the case  $m = 1$  is considered. It should also be mentioned that in our paper more general assumptions than those in [2] and [9] are made concerning the degeneracy (see (2.5) and Example 6.1 below).

## 2. Imbedding Theorems

Let  $\mathbf{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) be the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space with elements  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\Omega$  be an open nonempty bounded set in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Denote by  $M(j)$  the number of distinct multiindices  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  such that the components  $\alpha_i$  are nonnegative and the length  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$  does not exceed  $j$ . For a given differentiable function  $u$  defined in  $\Omega$  we denote

$$D^\alpha u(x) = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} u(x)}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}, \quad D^k u(x) = \{D^\alpha u(x); |\alpha| = k\}.$$

Let us denote by  $W(\Omega)$  the set of all weight functions  $v(x)$ , i.e.  $v(x)$  is measurable and positive a.e. in  $\Omega$ . For  $v_0(x) \in W(\Omega)$  and  $1 \leq p < +\infty$  we define the *weighted Lebesgue space*  $L^p(v_0, \Omega)$  as the space of all real-valued functions  $u(x)$  for which

$$\|u\|_{p, v_0} = \left( \int_{\Omega} v_0(x) |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

Let  $p > 1$  be a real number,  $m \geq 1$  an integer and let  $v(x) = (v_\alpha(x))$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq m$ , be a vector function with components  $v_\alpha(x) \in W(\Omega)$ . Further, we suppose that every component  $v_\alpha(x)$  satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad v_\alpha(x) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{v_\alpha(x)} \in L^{1/(p-1)}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$$

for any  $|\alpha| \leq m$ .

Now we denote by  $W^{m,p}(v, \Omega)$  the function space of all real-valued functions  $u$  such that the derivatives in the sense of distributions satisfy:

$$(v_\alpha)^{1/p} D^\alpha u \in L^p(\Omega) \quad \text{for all } |\alpha| \leq m.$$

Condition (2.1) implies that  $C^\infty_0(\Omega)$  is a subspace of  $W^{m,p}(v, \Omega)$  and, consequently, we can introduce the subspace  $W^{m,p}_0(v, \Omega)$  of  $W^{m,p}(v, \Omega)$  as the closure of  $C^\infty_0(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{m,p,v} = \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} v_\alpha(x) |D^\alpha u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Moreover, condition (2.2) implies that  $W^{m,p}(v, \Omega)$  as well as  $W^{m,p}_0(v, \Omega)$  are reflexive Banach spaces.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose that*

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{v_\alpha(x)} \in L^{g^*}(\Omega)$$

for any  $|\alpha| \leq m$  with some  $g^* > 0$ . Then the space  $W^{m,p}(v, \Omega)$  is continuously imbedded into the "classical" Sobolev space  $W^{m,p_1}(v, \Omega)$ , where

$$(2.4) \quad p_1 = \frac{pg^*}{g^* + 1}.$$

The proof follows from (2.3), (2.4) and Hölder inequality (see Leonardi [7]). In the subsequent discussions we will assume that

$$(2.5) \quad g^* > \frac{n}{p + n(p-1)}, \quad n \geq 2$$

and write

$$(2.6) \quad \kappa_1 = m - \frac{n}{p_1} = \frac{mpg^* - n(g^* + 1)}{pg^*}.$$

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $k < m$  denote a nonnegative integer. Then the following continuous imbeddings are valid:*

(i) for  $k < \kappa_1$ ,

$$W^{m,p}(v, \Omega) \hookrightarrow C^k(\bar{\Omega});$$

(ii) for  $k = \kappa_1$

$$W^{m,p}(v, \Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{k,r}(\Omega)$$

with arbitrary  $r$ ,  $1 < r < +\infty$ ;

(iii) for  $k > \kappa_1$ ,

$$W^{m,p}(v, \Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{k,r_k}(\Omega),$$

where  $r_k$  satisfies

$$1 \leq r_k \leq q_k = \frac{p_1 n}{n - p_1(m - k)} = \frac{pg^*n}{n(g^* + 1) - pg^*(m - k)}.$$

Moreover, the imbeddings (i) and (ii) are compact, i.e.  $W^{m,p}(v, \Omega) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow C^k(\bar{\Omega})$  for  $k < \kappa_1$  and  $W^{m,p}(v, \Omega) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow W^{m,r}(\Omega)$  for  $k = \kappa_1$ , and the imbedding (iii) is compact, i.e.  $W^{m,p}(v, \Omega) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow W^{k,r_k}(\Omega)$ , if  $r_k < q_k$ .

The proof of this lemma follows from the preceding Lemma 2.1 and Sobolev imbedding theorems (see e.g. Adams [1], Leonardi [7]).

REMARK 2.1. (i). The number  $\kappa_1$  given by (2.6) may be negative (or nonpositive) for certain values  $g^*$ ,  $m$ ,  $n$ ,  $p$ . In this case we have no imbedding theorems of the type (i) and (ii) (or (i)), respectively.

(ii) It follows from our assumption (2.5) that  $q_k > 1$  for any nonnegative integer  $k < m$ . Hence  $W^{k,r_k}(\Omega)$  in Lemma 2.2 (iii) make sense as Sobolev spaces.

### 3. Growth conditions

Assume that  $A_\alpha(x, \xi)$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq m$ , are Carathéodory functions, i.e.  $A_\alpha(\cdot, \xi)$  is measurable in  $\Omega$  for all values of  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{M(m)}$  and  $A_\alpha(x, \cdot)$  is continuous in  $\mathbf{R}^{M(m)}$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and for all  $|\alpha| \leq m$ . Let  $g_1: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a positive, continuous and nondecreasing function. Denote by  $p'$  the exponent conjugate to  $p$ , i.e.  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ .

We then suppose that  $A_\alpha(x, \xi)$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq m$ , satisfy the following growth conditions.

(i) Let  $|\alpha| = m$ . Then we assume

$$A_\alpha(x, \xi) \leq g_1(|\xi_0|) v_\alpha^{1/p} \left[ a_\alpha(x) + \sum_{\kappa_1 \leq |\beta| < m} |\xi_\beta|^{p_\beta} + \sum_{|\beta|=m} v_\beta^{1/p'} |\xi_\beta|^{p-1} \right],$$

where  $\xi_0 = \{\xi_\beta: |\beta| < \kappa_1\}$ ,  $a_\alpha(x) \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ ,

$$1 < p_\beta = \frac{r_{|\beta|}}{p'} < \frac{(p-1)g^*n}{n(g^*+1) - pg^*(m-|\beta|)}$$

if  $\kappa_1 < |\beta| < m$  and

$$1 < p_\beta < +\infty \text{ is arbitrary if } |\beta| = \kappa_1 .$$

(ii) Let  $\kappa_1 < |\alpha| < m$ . Then we assume

$$|A_\alpha(x, \xi)| \leq g_1(|\xi_0|) \left[ a_\alpha(x) + \sum_{\kappa_1 \leq |\alpha| < m} |\xi_\beta|^{p_{\alpha\beta}} + \sum_{|\beta|=m} v_\beta^{t_\alpha} |\xi_\beta|^{p_{t_\alpha}} \right],$$

where  $a_\alpha(x) \in L^{1/t_\alpha}(\Omega)$ ,

$$t_\alpha = \frac{1}{r'_{|\alpha|}} < \frac{pg^*n - n(g^*+1) + pg^*(m-|\alpha|)}{pg^*n},$$

$$1 < p_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{r_{|\beta|}}{r'_{|\alpha|}} < \frac{pg^*n - n(g^*+1) + pg^*(m-|\alpha|)}{n(g^*+1) - pg^*(m-|\beta|)}$$

if  $\kappa_1 < |\beta| < m$  and

$$1 < p_{\alpha\beta} < +\infty \text{ is arbitrary if } |\beta| = \kappa_1 .$$

(iii) Let  $|\alpha| = \kappa_1$ . Then we assume

$$|A_\alpha(x, \xi)| \leq g_1(|\xi_0|) \left[ a_\alpha(x) + \sum_{\kappa_1 \leq |\alpha| < m} |\xi_\beta|^{r_\beta} + \sum_{|\beta|=m} v_\beta^\sigma |\xi_\beta|^{\tilde{p}} \right],$$

where  $a_\alpha(x) \in L^{1/\sigma}(\Omega)$ ,  $0 < \sigma < 1$ ,  $1 < \tilde{p} < p$ ,

$$1 < r_\beta < q_{|\beta|} = \frac{pg^*n}{n(g^*+1) - pg^*(m-|\beta|)}$$

if  $\kappa_1 < |\beta| < m$  and

$$1 < r_\beta < +\infty \text{ is arbitrary if } |\beta| = \kappa_1 .$$

(iv) Let  $|\alpha| < \kappa_1$ . Then we assume

$$|A_\alpha(x, \xi)| \leq g_1(|\xi_0|) \left[ a_\alpha(x) + \sum_{\kappa_1 \leq |\alpha| < m} |\xi_\beta|^{q_{|\beta|}} + \sum_{|\beta|=m} v_\beta |\xi_\beta|^p \right],$$

where  $a_\alpha(x) \in L^1(\Omega)$ .

**REMARK 3.1.** For  $\kappa_1 \leq 0$  it is obvious that  $\xi_0 = \phi$ . Hence set  $g_1(t) \equiv 1$  in this case.

DEFINITION 3.1. We will denote by **CAR** the set of all functions  $A(x, \xi): \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^{M(m)} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  which satisfy the Carathéodory conditions and, moreover, satisfy the growth conditions (i)–(iv).

Let us denote by  $G_\alpha$  the Nemytskii operator associated with the function  $A_\alpha(x, \xi)$ , i.e.

$$G_\alpha: u(x) \mapsto A_\alpha(x, u(x), \dots, u_{M(m)}(x)),$$

where  $u(x) = (u_1(x), \dots, u_{M(m)}(x))$  is a vector function,  $|\alpha| = m$ . Let

$$X = \prod_{|\beta| \leq m} X_\beta,$$

where  $X_\beta = C^{|\beta|}(\overline{\Omega})$  for  $|\beta| < \kappa_1$ ;  $X_\beta = L^{r_\beta}(\Omega)$  for  $\kappa_1 \leq |\beta| < m$ ;  $X_\beta = L^p(v_\beta, \Omega)$  for  $|\beta| = m$ .

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $A_\alpha(x, \xi) \in \mathbf{CAR}$  for any  $|\alpha| \leq m$ . Then the Nemytskii operator  $G_\alpha$  is bounded and continuous from the space  $X$  into the dual space  $X_\alpha^*$  for any  $|\alpha| \leq m$ .

PROOF. Let  $|\alpha| \leq m$ , and fix any  $\alpha$ . Using the growth conditions (i)–(iv) and the necessary and sufficient condition for the continuity of the Nemytskii operator between weighted Lebesgue spaces (see e.g. Drábek, Kufner, Nicolosi [2], Leonardi [7]), we see that  $G_\alpha$  is a bounded and continuous operator

- (i) from  $X$  into  $[L^p(v_\alpha, \Omega)]^*$  if  $|\alpha| = m$ ,
- (ii) from  $X$  into  $[L^{r_\alpha}(\Omega)]^*$  if  $\kappa_1 \leq |\alpha| < m$ ,
- (iii) from  $X$  into  $L^1(\Omega) \subset [L^\infty(\Omega)]^* \subset X_\alpha^*$  if  $|\alpha| < \kappa_1$ .

Let  $f_\alpha$  be the functions appearing on the right hand side of (1.1). We will assume that functions  $f_\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq m$ , satisfy the following conditions

- (a)  $f_\alpha(x) \in [L^p(v_\alpha, \Omega)]^* = L^{p'}(v_\alpha^{-(p'/p)}, \Omega)$  if  $|\alpha| = m$ ,
- (b)  $f_\alpha(x) \in [L^{r_\alpha}(\Omega)]^* = L^{r'_\alpha}(\Omega)$  if  $\kappa_1 \leq |\alpha| < m$ ,
- (c)  $f_\alpha(x) \in L^1(\Omega)$  if  $|\alpha| < \kappa_1$ .

It follows from (a)–(c) that  $f_\alpha \in X_\alpha^*$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq m$ . We denote  $f = (f_\alpha(x))$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq m$ , i.e.  $f \in X^*$ .

Let  $V$  be a closed subspace of  $W^{m,p}(v, \Omega)$  such that

$$W_0^{m,p}(v, \Omega) \subseteq V \subseteq W^{m,p}(v, \Omega).$$

Then  $V$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{m,p,v}$  is a reflexive Banach space. Let us denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the pairing between the dual space  $V^*$  and  $V$ .

REMARK 3.2. Due to Lemma 2.2 we have  $f \in V^*$  and for any  $\varphi \in V$

$$D^\alpha \varphi \in X_\alpha$$

for  $|\alpha| \leq m$ .

DEFINITION 3.2. A function  $u \in V$  satisfying the identity

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} [A_{\alpha}(x, u, \dots, D^m u) - f_{\alpha}(x)] D^{\alpha} \varphi(x) dx = 0$$

for any  $\varphi \in V$  is called a *weak solution* of the boundary value problem for the equation (1.1) on the space  $V$ .

#### 4. Main result

Let  $g_2: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a continuous, positive and nonincreasing function,  $g_3: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a continuous, positive and nondecreasing function. Let us denote  $M_0 = M(m) - M(m-1)$ . We will assume that the following *ellipticity condition* is satisfied:

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_{\alpha}(x, \eta, \zeta) \zeta_{\alpha} \geq g_2(|\eta_0|) \sum_{|\beta|=m} v_{\beta}(x) |\zeta_{\beta}|^p - g_3(|\eta_0|) \sum_{\kappa_1 \leq |\beta| < m} |\eta_{\beta}|^{r_{\beta}}$$

for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , for  $\zeta = \{\zeta_{\alpha}; |\alpha| = m\}$  and  $\eta = \{\eta_{\beta}; |\beta| < m\}$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{M_0}$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbf{R}^{M(m-1)}$ , where  $\eta_0 = \{\eta_{\beta}; |\beta| < \kappa_1\}$  and  $r_{\beta}$  is defined in Section 3.

Moreover we assume that the following *monotonicity condition in the principal part* is fulfilled:

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{|\alpha|=m} [A_{\alpha}(x, \eta, \zeta) - A_{\alpha}(x, \eta, \zeta')](\zeta_{\alpha} - \zeta'_{\alpha}) > 0$$

for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $\eta = \{\eta_{\beta}; |\beta| < m\}$  and  $\zeta, \zeta' \in \mathbf{R}^{M_0}$  such that  $\zeta \neq \zeta'$ .

Let  $c > 0$  be a positive constant. Then we assume that the following *coercivity condition* is satisfied

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_{\alpha}(x, \xi) \xi_{\alpha} \geq c \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} v_{\alpha}(x) |\xi_{\alpha}|^p$$

for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{M(m)}$ .

THEOREM 4.1. Let  $A_{\alpha} \in \mathbf{CAR}$  for any  $|\alpha| \leq m$  and assume that (a)–(c), (4.1)–(4.3) be fulfilled. Then the boundary value problem for the equation (1.1) on the space  $V$  has at least one weak solution.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 consists in the verification of the assumptions of the Leray–Lions theorem (see e.g. Leray–Lions [8], Fučík, Kufner [4])

#### 5. Proof of theorem 4.1.

We begin by defining a mapping

$$A(u, v): V \times V \rightarrow V^*$$

by

$$(5.1) \quad \langle A(u, v), w \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{\Omega} A_{\alpha}(x, u(x), \dots, D^{m-1}u(x), D^m v(x)) D^{\alpha} w(x) dx \\ + \sum_{|\alpha| < m} \int_{\Omega} A_{\alpha}(x, u(x), \dots, D^{m-1}u(x), D^m u(x)) D^{\alpha} w(x) dx$$

for  $u, v, w \in V$ .

LEMMA 5.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 the mapping  $A(u, v)$  defined in (5.1) is bounded and continuous.*

PROOF. Let  $u, v \in V$ . In view of Remark 3.2 we infer  $D^{\alpha}u(x) \in X_{\alpha}$  for  $|\alpha| \leq m$  and  $D^{\alpha}v(x) \in X_{\alpha}$  for  $|\alpha| = m$ . The assertion now follows directly from Lemma 3.1.

Let

$$(5.2) \quad Au = A(u, u)$$

for any  $u \in V$ . By Lemma 5.1 the operator  $A: V \rightarrow V^*$  defined by (5.2) is bounded and continuous. Applying the coercivity condition (4.3) we obtain

$$\langle Au, u \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} A_{\alpha}(x, u, \dots, D^m u) D^{\alpha} u dx \\ \geq c \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} v_{\alpha}(x) |D^{\alpha} u(x)|^p dx = c \|u\|_{m, p, v}^p$$

for any  $u \in V$ . Hence  $A$  is coercive.

In virtue of the monotonicity condition (4.2) we have

$$\langle A(u, u) - A(u, v), u - v \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{\Omega} [A_{\alpha}(x, u, \dots, D^{m-1}u, D^m u) \\ - A_{\alpha}(x, u, \dots, D^{m-1}u, D^m v)] (D^{\alpha}u - D^{\alpha}v) dx \geq 0$$

for any  $u, v \in V$ , i.e.  $A(u, v)$  is monotone in the principal part.

LEMMA 5.2. *Assume that  $u_n$  converges to  $v \in V$  weakly,  $v \in V$  and that  $A(u_n, v)$  converges weakly to  $v^*$  in  $V^*$ .*

Then

$$(5.3) \quad \langle A(u_n, v), u_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle v^*, u \rangle.$$

PROOF. By the definition of  $A(u, v)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle A(u_n, v) - A(u, v), u_n - u \rangle &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{\Omega} [A_{\alpha}(x, u_n, \dots, D^{m-1}u_n, D^m v) \\
&\quad - A_{\alpha}(x, u, \dots, D^{m-1}u, D^m v)](D^{\alpha}u_n - D^{\alpha}v) dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|<m} \int_{\Omega} [A_{\alpha}(x, u_n, \dots, D^{m-1}u_n, D^m u_n) \\
&\quad - A_{\alpha}(x, u, \dots, D^{m-1}u, D^m u)](D^{\alpha}u_n - D^{\alpha}u) dx .
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{u_n\} \subset V$  is bounded in  $V$  and  $D^{\alpha}u_n$  converge strongly to  $D^{\alpha}u$  in  $X_{\alpha}$  for  $|\alpha| < m$  (see Lemma 2.2), we apply Lemma 3.1 to obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} [A_{\alpha}(x, u_n, \dots, D^{m-1}u_n, D^m v) - A_{\alpha}(x, u, \dots, D^{m-1}u, D^m v)](D^{\alpha}u_n - D^{\alpha}u) dx \rightarrow 0$$

for  $|\alpha| = m$ , and

$$\int_{\Omega} [A_{\alpha}(x, u_n, \dots, D^{m-1}u_n, D^m u_n) - A_{\alpha}(x, u, \dots, D^{m-1}u, D^m u)](D^{\alpha}u_n - D^{\alpha}u) dx \rightarrow 0$$

for  $|\alpha| < m$ .

Hence

$$\langle A(u_n, v) - A(u, v), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0 ,$$

and as we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle A(u_n, v), u_n \rangle &= \langle A(u_n, v) - A(u, v), u_n - u \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle A(u, v), u_n - u \rangle + \langle A(u_n, v), u \rangle \rightarrow \langle v^*, u \rangle .
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5.3. *Let  $u_n$  converge to  $u$  weakly in  $V$  and*

$$(5.4) \quad \langle A(u_n, u_n) - A(u_n, u), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0 .$$

*Then  $v_{\alpha}^{1/p} D^{\alpha} u_n$  converge to  $v_{\alpha}^{1/p} D^{\alpha} u$  strongly in  $L^p(\Omega)$  for any  $|\alpha| = m$ .*

Using the ellipticity condition (4.1) and monotonicity condition in the principal part (4.2) the proof of this lemma is obtained by following the lines of the proof of Lemma 4.4 in Drábek, Kufner, Nicolosi [2].

Let  $u_n$  converge to  $u$  weakly in  $V$  and (5.4) hold. Then by Lemma 2.2 we have  $D^{\alpha}u_n \rightarrow D^{\alpha}u$  in  $X_{\alpha}$  for  $|\alpha| < m$  and in virtue of Lemma 5.3 we have  $D^{\alpha}u_n \rightarrow D^{\alpha}u$  in  $X_{\alpha}$  for  $|\alpha| = m$ . Applying Lemma 3.1 we conclude that  $A(u_n, v)$  converge to  $A(u, v)$  strongly in  $V^*$  for any  $v \in V$ .

Since all the assumptions of the *Leray-Lions theorem* are verified, there exists at least one  $u \in V$  such that

$$A(u, u) = f ,$$

for any  $f \in V^*$ , i.e. the boundary value problem for the equation (1.1) on the space  $V$  has at least one weak solution.

## 6. Applications

EXAMPLE. Let us consider the plane domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\Omega = ]-1, 1[ \times ]-1, 1[$  and put (for  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega$ )

$$(6.1) \quad v(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x_1 \leq 0 \\ x_2^\lambda (1 - x_1)^\gamma & \text{for } x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0 \\ |x_2|^\mu (1 - x_1)^\gamma & \text{for } x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0 \end{cases}$$

with  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\gamma$  real parameters (cf. Drábek, Kufner, Nicolosi [2]). We have  $v(x) \in W(\Omega)$ . Consider the differential equation

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha (v(x) |D^\alpha u(x)|^{p-2} D^\alpha u(x)) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha f_\alpha(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

In our case, it is

$$A_\alpha(x, \xi) = v(x) |\xi_\alpha|^{p-2} \xi_\alpha \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq m.$$

Obviously, we have  $A_\alpha(x, \xi) \in \text{CAR}$  for any  $|\alpha| \leq m$  and the ellipticity, monotonicity and coercivity conditions are satisfied. The appropriate weighted Sobolev space is

$$W^{m,p}(v, \Omega) = \left\{ u = u(x); \int_\Omega |D^\alpha u(x)|^p v(x) < +\infty, |\alpha| \leq m \right\}.$$

The *degeneracy* (or *singularity*) in (6.2) is determined by  $v(x) = v(x_1, x_2)$  which is given by (6.1) and is included in all terms  $A_\alpha(x, \xi)$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq m$ . Moreover the degeneracy is on a part of

$$\Gamma_1 = \{x = (x_1, x_2); x_1 = 1, x_2 \in ]-1, 1[ \},$$

as well as on a segment  $\Gamma_2$  in  $\Omega$ :

$$\Gamma_2 = \{x = (x_1, x_2); x_1 \in ]0, 1[, x_2 = 0 \}.$$

Conditions (2.1) and (2.2) indicate that we have to choose exponents  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  from the interval  $]-1, p - 1[$  (while no conditions on  $\gamma$  are imposed). Condition (2.5) indicates that  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\gamma$  have to be less than  $\frac{3p-2}{2}$ . Thus, we have the following conditions on  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\gamma$ :

$$(6.3) \quad \lambda, \mu \in ]-1, p - 1[, \quad \gamma \in \left] -\infty, \frac{3p-2}{2} \right[.$$

If we compare the conditions in (6.3) with an analogous conditions in Example 5.7 in [2], we put the same limitation for singularity but more general restriction for degeneracy. Note that  $\gamma$  can be taken as  $\gamma = 1/2$  for any  $p > 1$ .

We now check the number  $\kappa_1$  in the formula (2.6). In view of (2.5) we can take

$$g^* = \frac{2}{3p-2} + \varepsilon,$$

with some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We infer from (2.6) that

$$\kappa_1 = m - \frac{2}{p} \frac{3p + \varepsilon(3p-2)}{2 + \varepsilon(3p-2)},$$

and hence

$$\kappa_1 \in \left] m - 3, m - \frac{2}{p} \left[$$

(depending on the value of  $\varepsilon > 0$ ).

**EXAMPLE 6.2.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $v(x) = v(x_1, x_2)$  be as in Example 6.1. Assume that  $p > 2$ . We now suppose that the exponents  $\lambda, \mu$  and  $\gamma$  satisfy

$$(6.4) \quad \lambda, \mu \in \left] -1, \frac{p-2}{2} \left[ , \quad \gamma \in \left] -\infty, \frac{p-2}{2} \left[ .$$

Then the weight function  $v(x)$  satisfies (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) with  $g^* = \frac{2+\delta}{p-2}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . It follows from (2.6) that  $\kappa_1 > 0$ .

Consider the differential equation

$$(6.5) \quad - \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( v(x_1, x_2) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + e^{u^2} = f \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where  $v(x_1, x_2)$  is defined for  $\lambda, \mu$  and  $\gamma$  satisfying (6.4) by (6.1). In this case

$$A_{(1,0)}(x, \xi) = v(x) |\xi_{(1,0)}|^{p-2} \xi_{(1,0)},$$

$$A_{(0,1)}(x, \xi) = v(x) |\xi_{(0,1)}|^{p-2} \xi_{(0,1)},$$

$$A_{(0,0)}(x, \xi) = e^{\xi_{(0,0)}^2},$$

and the associated weighted Sobolev space is

$$W^{m,p}(v, \Omega) = \left\{ u = u(x); \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^p v(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 < +\infty, \right. \\ \left. i = 1, 2, \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx_1 dx_2 < +\infty \right\}$$

(namely, we take  $v_{(1,0)}(x) = v_{(0,1)}(x) = v(x)$ ,  $v_{(0,0)}(x) \equiv 1$ ).

Obviously, all of the assumptions are fulfilled in this case. Hence the boundary value problem for the equation (6.5) on  $V$  has at least one weak solution for an arbitrary forcing term  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  on the right-hand side.

### References

- [ 1 ] R. A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, Academia Press, Inc., New York 1975.
- [ 2 ] P. Drábek, A. Kufner and F. Nicolosi, On the solvability of degenerate quasilinear elliptic equations of higher order, to appear.
- [ 3 ] P. Drábek and F. Nicolosi, Existence of bounded solutions for some degenerated quasilinear elliptic equations, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, (in print).
- [ 4 ] S. Fučík and A. Kufner, Nonlinear Differential Equations, Elsevier, The Netherlands 1980.
- [ 5 ] E. De Giorgi, Sulla differenziabilità e l'analicità delle estremali degli integrali multipli regolari, Memorie Acc. Scienze Torino, (3) 3 (1957), 25–43.
- [ 6 ] F. Guglielmino and F. Nicolosi, Teoremi di esistenza per i problemi al contorno relativi alle equazioni ellittiche quasilineari, Ricerche di Matematica 37 (1988), fasc. 1°, 157–176.
- [ 7 ] S. Leonardi, On imbedding theorems and Nemytskii's operators in weighted Sobolev space, Ricerche di Matematica 43 (1994), fasc. 1°, 35–44.
- [ 8 ] J. Leray and J. L. Lions, Quelques résultats de Višik sur les problèmes elliptiques non linéaires par les méthodes de Minty-Browder, Bull. Soc. Math. France 93 (1965), 97–107.
- [ 9 ] M. K. V. Murthy and G. Stampacchia, Boundary value problems for some degenerate elliptic operators, Annali di Matematica (4), 80 (1968), 1–22.
- [10] G. Stampacchia, Contributi alla regolarizzazione delle soluzioni dei problemi al contorno per equazioni del secondo ordine ellittiche, Annali Scuola Normale Pisa, (3) 12 (1958), 223–245.

*Department of Mathematics,  
University of West Bohemia,  
Americká 42, 306 14 Plzeň,  
Czechoslovakia.*

*Dipartimento di Matematica,  
Università di Catania,  
Viale A. Doria, 6-95125 Catania,  
Italia.*