

On certain decomposition of bounded weak*-measurable functions taking their ranges in dual Banach spaces

Minoru MATSUDA

(Received February 29, 1996)

(Revised August 7, 1996)

ABSTRACT. For a subset C of bidual Banach spaces, we introduce the notion of C -Pettis integrability of Gelfand integrable functions, a general notion of Pettis integrability of such ones. We show that a geometric property called weak*- C -dentability assures the decomposability of bounded weak*-measurable functions taking their ranges in dual Banach spaces into a C -Pettis integrable part and a weak* scalarly null part. Some related results follow from this.

1. Introduction

We begin with the requisite definitions. Throughout this paper, X denotes an arbitrary real Banach space, X^* and X^{**} its topological dual and bidual, respectively, and $B(X)$ the closed unit ball of X . The triple (S, Σ, μ) refers to a complete finite measure space and Σ^+ to the sets in Σ with positive μ -measure. In the following we always assume that S is endowed with Σ and μ . For each $E \in \Sigma^+$, denote $\Delta_E = \{\chi_F/\mu(F) : F \subset E, F \in \Sigma^+\}$. If C is a subset of X^{**} , a function $f : S \rightarrow X^*$ is said to be C -measurable if the real-valued function $(x^{**}, f(s))$ is μ -measurable for each $x^{**} \in C$. Especially, if $C = B(X)$ (resp. $C = B(X^{**})$), we say that f is weak*-measurable (resp. weakly measurable). A function $f : S \rightarrow X^*$ is said to be weak* scalarly null if $(x, f(s)) = 0$ μ -a.e. on S for every $x \in X$. We say that a function $f : S \rightarrow X^*$ is weak*-equivalent to a C -measurable function $g : S \rightarrow X^*$ if $f - g$ is weak* scalarly null. A weak*-measurable function $f : S \rightarrow X^*$ is called Gelfand integrable if $x \circ f \in L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ for every $x \in X$, where $(x \circ f)(s) = (x, f(s))$ for every $s \in S$. If $f : S \rightarrow X^*$ is a Gelfand integrable function, by the closed graph theorem, we then obtain a bounded linear operator $T_f : X \rightarrow L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ given by $T_f(x) = x \circ f$ for every $x \in X$, and the dual operator of T_f is denoted by $T_f^* : L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow X^*$. If A is a bounded subset of X , \bar{A}^* denotes the weak*-closure of A in X^{**} . If K is a subset of X^* , $\overline{\text{co}}^*(K)$ denotes the weak*-

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28B05, 46G10, 46B07.

Key words and phrases. weak*-measurable function, weak*- C -dentability, C -Pettis integrability, C -Pettis decomposability.

closed convex hull of K . For a subset C of X^{**} and a Gelfand integrable function $f : S \rightarrow X^*$, let us define C -Pettis integrability of f as follows. This is a generalization of Pettis integrability of such functions.

DEFINITION 1. Let C be a subset of X^{**} and $f : S \rightarrow X^*$ a Gelfand integrable function. Then f is called C -Pettis integrable if the following two conditions are satisfied.

- (1) For every $x^{**} \in C$, $x^{**} \circ f \in L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$,
- (2) For each $E \in \Sigma$, it holds that

$$(x^{**}, T_f^*(\chi_E)) = \int_E (x^{**}, f(s)) d\mu(s)$$

for every $x^{**} \in C$.

Especially, if $C = B(X^{**})$, such a function is called *Pettis integrable*. That is, a Gelfand integrable function $f : S \rightarrow X^*$ is called Pettis integrable if $x^{**} \circ f \in L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ for every $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ and moreover for each $E \in \Sigma$ there exists an element x_E^* of X^* that satisfies

$$(x^{**}, x_E^*) = \int_E (x^{**}, f(s)) d\mu(s)$$

for every $x^{**} \in X^{**}$.

Note that C -Pettis integrability of Gelfand integrable functions f is a significant notion only when $X \ni C$, since it holds that $(x, T_f^*(\chi_E)) = \int_E (x, f(s)) d\mu(s)$ for every $E \in \Sigma$ and $x \in X$. So we always assume this condition. We then define a function to be C -Pettis decomposable as follows.

DEFINITION 2. Let C be a subset of X^{**} and $f : S \rightarrow X^*$ a weak*-measurable function. Then we say that f is C -Pettis decomposable if there exist functions g and h such that g is C -Pettis integrable and h is weak* scalarly null and $f = g + h$.

Now, in this paper, let us consider the following problem: Given a subset C of X^{**} and a bounded weak*-measurable function $f : S \rightarrow X^*$. What conditions assure the C -Pettis decomposability of f ? In [10] and [2], such a type problem has been considered in the case where $C = B(X^{**})$. In fact, Talagrand has obtained a result (cf. (b) of Proposition (7-3-15) in [10] or Theorem 3 in [2]) concerning this by making use of a measure theoretic property, so-called the RS-property.

Here, suggested by an approach due to Girardi and Uhl [5] for a simpler proof of the fact that dentability implies the Radon-Nikodym property, we pay attention to a following geometric property of subsets in dual Banach

spaces. This has been introduced in [3] and is a generalization of weak*-scalar dentability of subsets in dual Banach spaces.

DEFINITION 3. Let K be a bounded subset of X^* . A weak*-open (resp. weak*) slice of K is a set of the form:

$$S(x, \alpha, K) = \left\{ x^* \in K : (x, x^*) > \sup_{y^* \in K} (x, y^*) - \alpha \right\}$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } \bar{S}(x, \alpha, K) = \left\{ x^* \in K : (x, x^*) \geq \sup_{y^* \in K} (x, y^*) - \alpha \right\} \right)$$

where $x \in X$ and $\alpha > 0$.

Let C be a subset of X^{**} . Then the set K is said to be weak*- C -dentable if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x^{**} \in C$, there exists a weak*-open slice $S(x, \alpha, K)$ of K such that $O(x^{**}|S(x, \alpha, K)) (= \sup\{|(x^{**}, x^*) - (x^{**}, y^*)| : x^*, y^* \in S(x, \alpha, K)\})$, the oscillation of x^{**} on $S(x, \alpha, K) < \varepsilon$.

If K is weak*- $B(X^{**})$ -dentable, then K is said to be weak*-scalarly dentable.

Note that the set K is weak*- C -dentable if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x^{**} \in C$, there exists a weak* slice $\bar{S}(x, \alpha, K)$ such that $O(x^{**}|\bar{S}(x, \alpha, K)) < \varepsilon$, since $\bar{S}(x, \alpha/2, K) \subset S(x, \alpha, K) \subset \bar{S}(x, \alpha, K)$ for every $x \in X$ and $\alpha > 0$.

Further, following [4], for a bounded weak*-measurable function $f : S \rightarrow X^*$ and $E \in \Sigma$ we define the weak*-core of f over E , denoted by $\text{cor}_f^*(E)$, to be a subset of X^* given by

$$\text{cor}_f^*(E) = \cap \{ \bar{c} \bar{o}^* f(E \setminus A) : \mu(A) = 0 \}.$$

Then we know from Proposition 1 in [1] that $\text{cor}_f^*(E)$ is a nonempty weak*-compact convex subset of X^* and $\text{cor}_f^*(E) = \bar{c} \bar{o}^*(T_f^*(\Delta_E))$ for every $E \in \Sigma^+$. Observing Andrews's way in [1] (or our way in [8]) carefully, we are aware that this geometric property (weak*- C -dentability) of $\text{cor}_f^*(E)$ is an effective means to an end. Indeed, we can show that the notion of weak*- C -dentability presents a geometric sufficient condition insuring the C -Pettis decomposability of bounded weak*-measurable functions taking their ranges in dual Banach spaces. That is, we have the following Theorem, which is the main result of our paper.

THEOREM. Let C be a subset of X^{**} , (S, Σ, μ) a complete finite measure space and $f : S \rightarrow X^*$ a bounded weak*-measurable function. Assume that the set $\text{cor}_f^*(E)$ is weak*- C -dentable for every $E \in \Sigma^+$. Then f is C -Pettis decomposable. Especially, when $C = B(X^{**})$, f is Pettis decomposable if the set $\text{cor}_f^*(E)$ is weak*-scalarly dentable for every $E \in \Sigma^+$.

Our proof of Theorem is given by a more strict argument than in one of the fact that (c) implies (d) in Theorem of [8]. It heavily depends on the theory of lifting ([6]) and the exhaustion argument. §2 is devoted to the proof of Theorem. In §3, as an application of Theorem, we give new characterizations of K -weakly precompact sets A (see definition in §3) in terms of \vec{A}^* -Pettis decomposability.

2. Proof of Theorem

Let us recall the definition of the lifting on $L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$. A lifting ρ of $L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is a map: $L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ (the set of all bounded μ -measurable functions on S) that is linear, multiplicative, positive such that $\rho(1) = 1$, and such that $\rho(f)$ belongs to the class of f for each $f \in L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$. On (S, Σ, μ) , such liftings exist and so we always take an arbitrary, but fixed lifting ρ . For each $E \in \Sigma$, $\rho(\chi_E)$ is the characteristic function of a uniquely determined set belonging to Σ , which is denoted by $\rho(E)$. So we have $\chi_{\rho(E)} = \rho(\chi_E)$ for each $E \in \Sigma$. The map $\rho: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ thus obtained satisfies that (1) $\rho(E) \equiv E$, (2) $\rho(E) = \rho(F)$ if $E \equiv F$, (3) $\rho(S) = S$, $\rho(\phi) = \phi$, (4) $\rho(E \cap F) = \rho(E) \cap \rho(F)$, and (5) $\rho(E \cup F) = \rho(E) \cup \rho(F)$. Here $E \equiv F$ ($E, F \in \Sigma$) means that $\mu((E \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus E)) = 0$.

Let $f: S \rightarrow X^*$ be a bounded weak*-measurable function such that $\|f(s)\| \leq L$ on S . Then, in virtue of the lifting theory, we have a weak*-measurable function $\theta(f): S \rightarrow X^*$ such that $(x, \theta(f)(s)) = \rho(x \circ f)(s)$ for every $x \in X$ and every $s \in S$. Note that $\sup_{s \in E} (x, \theta(f)(s)) = \text{ess} - \sup_{s \in E} (x, f(s))$ for every $E \in \Sigma^+$ with $\rho(E) = E$, $\|\theta(f)(s)\| \leq L$ on S and $\theta(f)$ is weak*-equivalent to f . Hence, in order to prove Theorem, we have only to show that $\theta(f)$ is C -Pettis integrable under the assumption that the set $\text{cor}_f^*(E)$ is weak*- C -dentable for every $E \in \Sigma^+$. To this end, we first prove the following Lemma 1, which is suggested by the part (iv) of proof of Theorem in [8] and whose proof is the almost same as in it. But, for the sake of completeness, we here wish to state the proof.

LEMMA 1. *Assume the same conditions as in Theorem. Then, for every $x^{**} \in C$, $E \in \Sigma^+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an element F of Σ^+ with $F \subset E$ such that $O(x^{**} | \overline{\text{co}}^*(\theta(f)(F))) < \varepsilon$.*

PROOF. Take $x^{**} \in C$, $E \in \Sigma^+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, and set $D = \rho(E)$ ($\in \Sigma^+$). Since $M (= \text{cor}_f^*(D) = \overline{\text{co}}^*(T_f^*(A_D)))$ is weak*- C -dentable by the assumption, there exists a weak* slice $\bar{S}(x, \alpha, M)$ such that $O(x^{**} | \bar{S}(x, \alpha, M)) < \varepsilon$. Then we have

that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}(x, \alpha, M) &= \left\{ x^* \in M : (x, x^*) \geq \sup_{y^* \in M} (x, y^*) - \alpha \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x^* \in M : (x, x^*) \geq \sup_{y^* \in T_f^*(D_D)} (x, y^*) - \alpha \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x^* \in M : (x, x^*) \geq \sup \left(\int_G (x, f(s)) d\mu(s) / \mu(G) : G \subset D, G \in \Sigma^+ \right) - \alpha \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x^* \in M : (x, x^*) \geq \text{ess} - \sup_{s \in D} (x, f(s)) - \alpha \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x^* \in M : (x, x^*) \geq \sup_{s \in D} (x, \theta(f)(s)) - \alpha \right\} \end{aligned}$$

since $\rho(D) = D$. Set $F_0 = \left\{ t \in D : (x, \theta(f)(t)) \geq \sup_{s \in D} (x, \theta(f)(s)) - \alpha \right\}$. Then $F_0 \in \Sigma^+$, since $\sup_{s \in D} (x, \theta(f)(s)) = \text{ess} - \sup_{s \in D} (x, \theta(f)(s))$. Furthermore we have that $\theta(f)(F_0) \subset M$. Indeed, suppose that there exists an element t of F_0 such that $\theta(f)(t) \notin M$. Then, by the separation theorem, there exists an element a of X such that

$$\begin{aligned} (a, \theta(f)(t)) &> \beta = \sup_{y^* \in M} (a, y^*) \\ &= \text{ess} - \sup_{s \in D} (a, f(s)) \\ &= \sup_{s \in D} (a, \theta(f)(s)), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we know that $\theta(f)(F_0) \subset \bar{S}(x, \alpha, M)$ and so $\overline{\text{co}^*}(\theta(f)(F_0)) \subset \bar{S}(x, \alpha, M)$, since $\bar{S}(x, \alpha, M)$ is a weak*-compact convex subset of X^* . Hence it holds that $O(x^{**} | \overline{\text{co}^*}(\theta(f)(F_0))) \leq O(x^{**} | \bar{S}(x, \alpha, M)) < \varepsilon$. Finally, letting $F = F_0 \cap E$, we know that F is a desired set, as $D = \rho(E) \equiv E$. This completes the proof.

In virtue of Lemma 1 and the well-known exhaustion argument, we easily have:

LEMMA 2. *Assume the same conditions as in Theorem. Then, for every $x^{**} \in C$, $E \in \Sigma^+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a disjoint sequence (possibly finite) $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of subsets of E , all of positive μ -measure, such that $\mu(E \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 1} F_n) = 0$ and $O(x^{**} | \overline{\text{co}^*}(\theta(f)(F_n))) < \varepsilon$ for every $n \geq 1$.*

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem.

PROOF OF THEOREM. Set $\theta(f) = g$ and take an element x^{**} of C . In order to show that $x^{**} \circ g \in L_1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$, we have only to prove that it is μ -measurable, since g is a bounded function on S . This is easily proved as follows. Take any positive number ε . Then, in virtue of Lemma 2, there exists a disjoint sequence $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \Sigma^+$ such that $\mu(S \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 1} F_n) = 0$ and $O(x^{**} | \overline{c\overline{o}}^*(g(F_n))) < \varepsilon$ for every $n \geq 1$. Hence, letting $h(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} x^{**}(x_n^*) \chi_{F_n}(s)$ (Here, $x_n^* \in g(F_n)$ for every $n \geq 1$), we get that $|x^{**} \circ g(s) - h(s)| < \varepsilon$ μ -a.e. on S , since $O(x^{**} \circ g|_{F_n}) = O(x^{**}|_{g(F_n)}) \leq O(x^{**} | \overline{c\overline{o}}^*(g(F_n))) < \varepsilon$ for every $n \geq 1$. This implies the μ -measurability of $x^{**} \circ g$.

Next let us show that

$$(x^{**}, T_g^*(\chi_E)) = \int_E (x^{**}, g(s)) d\mu(s)$$

for every $x^{**} \in C$ and $E \in \Sigma$. Take $x^{**} \in C$ and $E \in \Sigma$. Since this equality clearly holds if $\mu(E) = 0$, we may assume that $E \in \Sigma^+$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. In virtue of Lemma 2 there exists a disjoint sequence $\{E_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of subsets of E , all of positive μ -measure such that $\mu(E \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 1} E_n) = 0$ and $O(x^{**} | \overline{c\overline{o}}^*(g(E_n))) < \varepsilon$ for every $n \geq 1$. So, letting $a_n^* = T_g^*(\chi_{E_n})/\mu(E_n)$ for every $n \geq 1$, then we have that $a_n^* \in \overline{c\overline{o}}^*(g(E_n))$, which is easily shown by the separation theorem. Further it holds that $\sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(E_n) \cdot a_n^* = T_g^*(\chi_E)$, since for every $x \in X$ and every $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & |(x, \sum_{1 \leq n \leq k} \mu(E_n) \cdot a_n^* - T_g^*(\chi_E))| \\ &= \left| \sum_{1 \leq n \leq k} \int_{E_n} (x, g(s)) d\mu(s) - \int_E (x, g(s)) d\mu(s) \right| \\ &\leq L \|x\| \cdot \mu(E \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq n \leq k} E_n). \end{aligned}$$

So we have that

$$(x^{**}, T_g^*(\chi_E)) = (x^{**}, \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(E_n) \cdot a_n^*) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(E_n) \cdot (x^{**}, a_n^*).$$

On the other hand, we have by the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\int_E (x^{**}, g(s)) d\mu(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{E_n} (x^{**}, g(s)) d\mu(s).$$

Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (x^{**}, T_g^*(\chi_E)) - \int_E (x^{**}, g(s)) d\mu(s) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \left| \mu(E_n) \cdot (x^{**}, a_n^*) - \int_{E_n} (x^{**}, g(s)) d\mu(s) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{E_n} |(x^{**}, a_n^*) - (x^{**}, g(s))| d\mu(s) \\
&\leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{E_n} O(x^{**} | \overline{\text{co}}^*(g(E_n))) d\mu(s) \\
&\leq \varepsilon \cdot \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(E_n) = \varepsilon \cdot \mu(E).
\end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we have that

$$(x^{**}, T_g^*(\chi_E)) = \int_E (x^{**}, g(s)) d\mu(s).$$

Thus the proof of Theorem is completed.

In virtue of Theorem, we easily have:

COROLLARY 1. *In Theorem, assume further that X is separable. Then f is C -Pettis integrable.*

3. K -weakly precompact sets

Let A be a bounded subset of X and K a weak*-compact (not necessarily convex) subset of X^* . Then we say that A is K -weakly precompact if every sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in A has a pointwise convergent subsequence $\{x_{n(k)}\}_{k \geq 1}$ on K , (that is, for every $x^* \in K$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_{n(k)}, x^*)$ exists). In the recent paper [8], we have made a study of K -weakly precompact sets A and have obtained various characterizations of such sets, which can be regarded as generalizations and refinements of some results in [3].

Now we are going to note in the following Corollary 2 that our Theorem also yields some more characterizations of K -weakly precompact sets A . The main part of this Corollary 2 is the equivalence among the statements (1), (2) and (3) (especially, (2) implies (3)). That is, we ought to take notice that characterizations of such sets in terms of \overline{A}^* -Pettis decomposability can be obtained by the medium of the notion of weak*- \overline{A}^* -dentability. In Corollary 2, $[0, 1]$ is endowed with the σ -algebra of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of $[0, 1]$ and the Lebesgue measure.

COROLLARY 2. *Let A be a bounded subset of X and K a weak*-compact subset of X^* . Then the following statements about A and K are equivalent.*

- (1) *The set A is K -weakly precompact.*
- (2) *For every (S, Σ, μ) and every weak*-measurable function $f : S \rightarrow K$, the set $\overline{\text{co}}^*(T_f^*(A_E))$ is weak*- \overline{A}^* -dentable for every $E \in \Sigma^+$.*
- (3) *For every (S, Σ, μ) and every weak*-measurable function $f : S \rightarrow K$, f is \overline{A}^* -Pettis decomposable.*

(4) Every weak*-measurable function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow K$ is \bar{A}^* -Pettis decomposable.

(5) For every (S, Σ, μ) and every weak*-measurable function $f : S \rightarrow K$, f is weak*-equivalent to a \bar{A}^* -measurable function.

(6) Every weak*-measurable function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow K$ is weak*-equivalent to a \bar{A}^* -measurable function.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2). This can be shown by the same argument as in the corresponding part of Theorem in [8].

(2) \Rightarrow (3). This immediately follows from Theorem by setting $C = \bar{A}^*$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (6) and (3) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6). These are clear.

(6) \Rightarrow (1). This has been obtained in Theorem of [8]. Hence the Corollary 2 holds.

REMARK. We wish to note here that the implication (1) \Rightarrow (3) also can be proved by the effective use of the Bourgain property. The outline of its proof is as follows. Assume (1). Then, by the same argument as in Lemma 1 of [7], we first know that the family $\{x \circ \theta(f) : x \in A\}$ has the Bourgain property. Hence, by the same argument as in Theorem 13 of [9], $\theta(f)$ is \bar{A}^* -Pettis integrable. So (3) holds. Furthermore, we easily get by this observation and the argument developed in the proof of Theorems in [7] and [8] that every statement in Corollary 2 is equivalent to each of the following statements (α), (β), (γ) and (δ).

(α) For every (S, Σ, μ) and every weak*-measurable function $f : S \rightarrow K$, $\{x \circ \theta(f) : x \in A\}$ has the Bourgain property.

(β) For every weak*-measurable function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow K$, $\{x \circ \theta(f) : x \in A\}$ has the Bourgain property.

(γ) For every weak*-measurable function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow K$, $\{x \circ f_n : x \in A, n \geq 1\}$ has the Bourgain property (Here f_n is the usual dyadic martingale associated with f).

(δ) For every weak*-measurable function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow K$,

$$\inf_{n \geq 1} \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} \|x \circ f_n - x \circ f_{n+1}\|_1 \right\} = 0 \quad (\text{Here } \|\cdot\|_1 \text{ denotes the } L_1\text{-norm}).$$

In virtue of Corollary 2, we can obtain characterizations of Pettis sets, weak Radon-Nikodym sets and weakly precompact sets (see definitions of these notions in [8]) in terms of \bar{A}^* -Pettis decomposability, by varying A and K . For instance, setting $A = B(X)$, we have the following Corollary 3 on Pettis sets. As the other cases are analogous, we omit statements in them.

COROLLARY 3. Let K be a weak*-compact subset of X^* . Then the following statements about K are equivalent.

- (1) *The set K is a Pettis set.*
- (2) *For every (S, Σ, μ) and every weak*-measurable function $f : S \rightarrow K$, the set $\overline{\text{co}}^*(T_f^*(\Delta_E))$ is weak*-dentable for every $E \in \Sigma^+$.*
- (3) *For every (S, Σ, μ) and every weak*-measurable function $f : S \rightarrow K$, f is Pettis decomposable.*
- (4) *Every weak*-measurable function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow K$ is Pettis decomposable.*
- (5) *For every (S, Σ, μ) and every weak*-measurable function $f : S \rightarrow K$, f is weak*-equivalent to a weakly measurable function.*
- (6) *Every weak*-measurable function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow K$ is weak*-equivalent to a weakly measurable function.*

References

- [1] K. T. Andrews, Universal Pettis integrability, *Can. J. Math.*, **37** (1985), 141–159.
- [2] E. M. Bator, A decomposition of bounded scalarly measurable functions taking their ranges in dual Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **102** (1988), 850–854.
- [3] E. M. Bator and P. W. Lewis, Weak precompactness and the weak RNP, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.*, **37** (1989), 443–452.
- [4] R. F. Geitz, Geometry and the Pettis integral, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **269** (1982), 535–548.
- [5] M. Girardi and J. J. Uhl, Jr, Slices, RNP, strong regularity, and martingales, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **41** (1990), 411–415.
- [6] A. Ionescu Tulcea and C. Ionescu Tulcea, *Topics in the theory of lifting*, *Ergebnisse Math.*, **48**, Springer, 1969.
- [7] M. Matsuda, A characterization of Pettis sets in terms of the Bourgain property, *Math. Japon.*, **41** (1995), 433–439.
- [8] M. Matsuda, On localized weak precompactness in Banach spaces, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **32** (1996), 473–491.
- [9] L. H. Riddle and E. Saab, On functions that are universally Pettis integrable, *Illinois J. Math.*, **29** (1985), 509–531.
- [10] M. Talagrand, *Pettis integral and measure theory*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **307**, Providence (1984).

*Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Shizuoka University
Ohya, Shizuoka 422, Japan*

