

Dimensions of scattered sets

Tae Sik KIM

(Received October 14, 1996)

(Revised May 8, 1998)

ABSTRACT. Hausdorff and packing dimensions are used to measure the complexity and irregularity of a set, though their calculations are in general not so easy. In this paper we define scattered sets which describe a typical form of explosions and then estimate their Hausdorff and packing dimensions.

1. Introduction

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $\mathcal{P}_n(X)$ denote the family of subsets of X having n elements. Then we call a set valued map $\phi : A \subset X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n(X)$, $n > 1$, an n -scattered map on A .

For a sequence $\Phi = \{\phi^k\}$ of n_k -scattered maps, $\phi^k : A^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n_k}(X)$, in which $\mathbf{A} = \{A^k\}$ is defined inductively by

$$A^0 = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_0}\} \quad \text{and} \quad A^k = \bigcup_{y_{k-1} \in A^{k-1}} \phi^k(y_{k-1})$$

for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, we call (\mathbf{A}, Φ) a *scattered system*. Here the image of $y_{k-1} \in A^{k-1}$ may also be denoted by $\phi^k(y_{k-1}) = \{y_{k-1j} : j = 1, 2, \dots, n_k\}$. Moreover, when there exists a function $f : \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $0 < a < 1$ such that

$$(1) \quad d(y_k, y_{k+1}) \leq f(y_k) - f(y_{k+1}),$$

$$(2) \quad d(y_k, y'_k) > (1+a)\{f(y_k) + f(y'_k)\},$$

$$(3) \quad a \leq f(y_{kj})/f(y_k) = f(y'_{kj})/f(y'_k) \quad \text{and} \quad f(y_k) \searrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \rightarrow \infty$$

for each $y_k, y'_k \in A^k$ and $y_{k+1}, y_{kj}, y'_{kj} \in A^{k+1}$, we call (\mathbf{A}, Φ) an f -bounded scattered system.

We recall the definition of Hausdorff and packing dimensions [2], [3]. Let F be a given set and $|U|$ denote the diameter of a set U . Then $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is called a δ -covering of F if $F \subset \bigcup_i U_i$ and $|U_i| < \delta$, and is called δ -packing of F if $\{U_i\}$ is pairwise disjoint, $\bar{U}_i \cap \bar{F} \neq \emptyset$ and $|U_i| < \delta$. Then s -dimensional Hausdorff measure $H^s(F)$ and Hausdorff dimension $\dim_{\text{H}}(F)$ are defined by

$H^s(F) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} H_\delta^s(F)$ where $H_\delta^s(F) = \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |U_i|^s : \{U_i\} \text{ is a } \delta\text{-covering of } F\}$, and $\dim_{\text{H}}(F) = \sup\{s \geq 0 : H^s(F) = \infty\}$ (or $\inf\{s \geq 0 : H^s(F) = 0\}$).

And let $\mathcal{P}(F) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{P}_\delta(F)$ where $\mathcal{P}_\delta(F) = \sup\{\sum_i |U_i|^s : \{U_i\} \text{ is a } \delta\text{-packing of } F\}$. Then s -dimensional packing measure $P^s(F)$ and packing dimension $\dim_{\text{P}}(F)$ are defined by $P^s(F) = \inf\{\sum_i \mathcal{P}(F_i) : F \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i\}$ and $\dim_{\text{P}}(F) = \sup\{s \geq 0 : P^s(F) = \infty\}$ (or $\inf\{s \geq 0 : P^s(F) = 0\}$).

In this paper, we define a scattered set from the scattered system and estimate its Hausdorff and packing dimensions. From now on, $B(y_n)$ denotes the closed ball $B(y_n, f(y_n))$.

2. Main results

LEMMA 1. *Let (\mathbf{A}, Φ) be an f -bounded scattered system and let $\{y_k\}$ be any sequence such that $y_{k-1} \in A^{k-1}$ and $y_k \in \phi^k(y_{k-1})$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{y_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and so has a limit point.*

PROOF. Since $f(y_n) \searrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(y_k) < \varepsilon$ for $k \geq \mathcal{N}$ and $y_k \in A^k$. Then for any $n > m \geq \mathcal{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_m, y_n) &\leq d(y_m, y_{m+1}) + d(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}) + \cdots + d(y_{n-1}, y_n) \\ &\leq \{f(y_m) - f(y_{m+1})\} + \{f(y_{m+1}) - f(y_{m+2})\} + \cdots \\ &\quad + \{f(y_{n-1}) - f(y_n)\} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. □

From the above Lemma, we can define the following set,

DEFINITION 2. For a given f -bounded scattered system (\mathbf{A}, Φ) , its *scattered set* is defined by

$$A(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) = \{y \in X : y \text{ is the limit of a sequence } \{y_n\} \text{ satisfying the condition of Lemma 1}\}.$$

From the following Theorem, we can find some topological properties of the scattered set.

THEOREM 3. $A(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$ is perfect, totally bounded and compact.

PROOF. Let $y \in A(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$. Then there exists $\{y_n\}$ such that $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $f(y_n) \searrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(y_n) < \varepsilon/2$ and $d(y_n, y) < \varepsilon/2$ for each $n \geq \mathcal{N}$. And for this \mathcal{N} any sequence $\{y'_n\}$ with $y'_\ell = y_\ell$ for $\ell < \mathcal{N}$ and $y_{\mathcal{N}} \neq y'_{\mathcal{N}}$ satisfying the condition of Lemma 1 has a limit point y' in $A(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$. Since $d(y_k, y'_k) > f(y_k) + f(y'_k)$, $B(y_k) \cap B(y'_k) = \emptyset$ and since $d(y_k, y_{k+1}) \leq f(y_k) - f(y_{k+1})$ for

each $y_{k+1} \in \phi^{k+1}(y_k)$, $B(y_{k+1}) \subset B(y_k)$. Then $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} B(y_n) = \{y\}$, $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} B(y'_n) = \{y'\}$ and $y' \in B(y, \varepsilon) \setminus \{y\}$. Hence $\Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$ is a perfect set.

Now for any $\varepsilon > 0$, take an $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $f(y_n) < \varepsilon$ for any $y_n \in A^n$ with $n > \mathcal{N}$. Then as above, every $y \in \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$ is contained in $B(y_n)$ for some $y_n \in A^n$ and so $\Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) \subset \bigcup_{y_n \in A^n} B(y_n, \varepsilon)$. Hence $\Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$ is totally bounded.

The compactness follows from the above two properties. \square

We will estimate the Hausdorff and packing dimensions of the scattered set.

THEOREM 4. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{D} &= \sup \left\{ s \geq 0 : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{y_n \in A^n} f(y_n)^s = \infty \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ s \geq 0 : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{y_n \in A^n} f(y_n)^s = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\dim_{\text{H}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) = \underline{D}$.

PROOF. Since $f(y_n) \searrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\Phi(s, k) = \sum_{y_k \in A^k} f(y_k)^s$ is continuous and decreasing for s and for sufficiently large k . And since $\Phi(s, k) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Phi(0, k) = n_0 n_1 n_2 \cdots n_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $0 < \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(s, k) < \infty$ implies $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(s_1, k) = \infty$ and $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(s_2, k) = 0$ for $s_1 < s < s_2$, and so \underline{D} is well-defined. We may suppose $0 < \underline{D} < \infty$.

For $s > \underline{D}$ and for given $\delta > 0$, take an $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $f(y_n) < \delta/2$ for all $y_n \in A^n$. Then as in the proof of Theorem 3, we have $\Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) \subset \bigcup_{y_n \in A^n} B(y_n)$ and

$$H_{\delta}^s(\Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)) \leq \sum_{y_n \in A^n} |B(y_n)|^s = 2^s \sum_{y_n \in A^n} f(y_n)^s.$$

Hence $H^s(\Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^s \sum_{y_n \in A^n} f(y_n)^s < \infty$ for $s > \underline{D}$ or $\dim_{\text{H}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) \leq \underline{D}$.

Now let $s < \underline{D}$. To define a mass distribution on X , let \mathcal{F}_n be the family of arbitrary unions of $B(y_n)$'s and \mathcal{F} be the completion of the smallest σ -algebra generated by $\bigcup \mathcal{F}_n$. And define a set function μ on $\{B(y_n) : y_n \in A^n, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ by

$$\mu(B(y_n)) = f(y_n)^s / \sum_{y'_n \in A^n} f(y'_n)^s.$$

Since $f(y'_{kj})/f(y'_k) = f(y_{kj})/f(y_k)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_{k+1}$,

$$\sum_{y'_{kj} \in \phi^{k+1}(y'_k)} \{f(y'_{kj})/f(y'_k)\}^s = \sum_{y_{kj} \in \phi^{k+1}(y_k)} \{f(y_{kj})/f(y_k)\}^s.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(B(y_{nj})) \\ &= f(y_{nj})^s / \sum_{y'_{n+1} \in A^{n+1}} f(y'_{n+1})^s \\ &= f(y_n)^s \cdot \{f(y_{nj})/f(y_n)\}^s \cdot \left[\sum_{y'_n \in A^n} f(y'_n)^s \left\{ \sum_{y'_{nj} \in \phi^{n+1}(y'_n)} (f(y'_{nj})/f(y'_n))^s \right\} \right]^{-1} \\ &= f(y_n)^s \cdot \{f(y_{nj}/f(y_n))\}^s \cdot \left[\sum_{y'_n \in A^n} f(y'_n)^s \cdot \sum_{y''_{nj} \in \phi^{n+1}(y_n)} (f(y''_{nj})/f(y_n))^s \right]^{-1} \\ &= \left\{ f(y_n)^s / \sum_{y'_n \in A^n} f(y'_n)^s \right\} \cdot \left\{ f(y_{nj})^s / \sum_{y''_{nj} \in \phi^{n+1}(y_n)} f(y''_{nj})^s \right\} \\ &= \mu(B(y_n)) \cdot \left\{ f(y_{nj})^s / \sum_{y_{n+1} \in \phi^{n+1}(y_n)} f(y_{n+1})^s \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and so $\sum_{y_{nj} \in \phi^{n+1}(y_n)} \mu(B(y_{nj})) = \mu(B(y_n))$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{y_n \in A^n} \mu(B(y_n)) \\ &= \sum_{y_{n-1} \in A^{n-1}} \sum_{y_{n-1i} \in \phi^n(y_{n-1})} \left[\mu(B(y_{n-1})) \cdot \left\{ f(y_{n-1i})^s / \sum_{y_{n-1j} \in \phi^n(y_{n-1})} f(y_{n-1j})^s \right\} \right] \\ &= \sum_{y_{n-1} \in A^{n-1}} \mu(B(y_{n-1})) = \cdots = \sum_{y_0 \in A^0} \mu(B(y_0)) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

So μ can be extended to a mass distribution on \mathcal{F} with support in $A(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$ [2]. Now consider $y \in A(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$ and $\{y_n\}$ with $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As in the proof of Theorem 2, $\{y\} = \bigcap B(y_n)$. For every small $r > 0$, take an n such that $f(y_{n+1}) \leq r < f(y_n)$. For $a > 0$ in the definition of f -bounded scattered system, $ar < af(y_n) < d(y_n, y'_n) - \{f(y_n) + f(y'_n)\}$ and so $B(y, ar) \subset \left\{ \bigcup_{y_n \neq y'_n \in A^n} B(y'_n) \right\}^c$ and $\mu(B(y, ar)) \leq \mu(B(y_n))$. For $0 < t < s$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(B(y, ar))/(ar)^t &\leq \mu(B(y_n))/\{a^t \cdot f(y_{n+1})^t\} \\
&\leq \mu(B(y_n))/\{a^{2t} f(y_n)^t\} \\
&= f(y_n)^{s-t} / \left\{ a^{2t} \sum_{y'_n \in A^n} f(y'_n)^s \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

so $\sup_{r \rightarrow 0} \mu(B(y, r))/r^t \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(y_n)^{s-t} / \{a^{2t} \cdot \sum_{y'_n \in A^n} f(y'_n)^s\} = 0$. Hence $H^t(\Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)) = \infty$ by the density theorem [2], and $\dim_{\text{H}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) \geq \underline{D}$. \square

THEOREM 5. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{D} &= \sup \left\{ s \geq 0 : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{y_n \in A^n} f(y_n)^s = \infty \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ s \geq 0 : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{y_n \in A^n} f(y_n)^s = 0 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\dim_{\text{P}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) = \bar{D}$.

PROOF. As in Theorem 4, \bar{D} is well defined. Let $0 < s < \bar{D}$. Take an $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $f(y_k) < \varepsilon/2$ for each $y_k \in A^k$ with $k \geq \mathcal{N}$. Then for each $y_n \in A^n$ with $n \geq \mathcal{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\varepsilon^s(B(y_n) \cap \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)) &\geq \sup_{k \geq n} \sum_{\substack{y_k \in A^k \\ B(y_k) \subset B(y_n)}} |B(y_k)|^s \\
&\geq 2^s \cdot f(y_n)^s \cdot \left\{ \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{y_k \in A^k} f(y_k)^s / \sum_{y_n \in A^n} f(y_n)^s \right\} = \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $P^s(B(y_n) \cap \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)) = \infty$. Now consider any $\{A_n\}$ which satisfies $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$. Since $\Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$ is compact, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{A}_n = \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$. By the Baire category theorem, there exists a \bar{A}_{n_0} whose interior in $\Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$ is not empty, so there exists a large n such that $B(y_n) \cap \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) \subset \bar{A}_{n_0}$. Since $P^s(A_{n_0}) = P^s(\bar{A}_{n_0}) \geq P^s(B(y_n) \cap \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)) = \infty$, we have $P^s(\Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)) = \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P^s(A_n) : \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) = \bigcup A_n\} = \infty$. Hence $\dim_{\text{P}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) \geq \bar{D}$.

Now take an $s > \bar{D}$ and let μ be the mass distribution defined as in Theorem 3. Consider $y \in \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$ and $\langle y_n \rangle$ satisfying $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For given $r > 0$, take an n such that $f(y_{n+1}) \leq r/2 < f(y_n)$. For $t > s$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(B(y, r))/r^t &\geq \mu(B(y_{n+1}))/ (2f(y_n))^t \\
&\geq (a/2)^t \cdot \mu(B(y_{n+1}))/f(y_{n+1})^t \\
&= (a/2)^t \cdot f(y_{n+1})^{s-t} \left/ \sum_{y'_{n+1} \in \mathcal{A}^{n+1}} f(y'_{n+1})^s \right.,
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \mu(B(y, r))/r^t \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a/2)^t \cdot f(y_{n+1})^{s-t} \left/ \sum_{y'_{n+1} \in \mathcal{A}^{n+1}} f(y'_{n+1})^s \right. = \infty.$$

By the packing density theorem [3], $P^t(\Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)) = 0$ and $\dim_{\text{p}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) \leq \bar{D}$. \square

THEOREM 6. *Let s_n be the number satisfying*

$$\sum_{y_n \in \phi^n(y_{n-1})} (f(y_n)/f(y_{n-1}))^{s_n} = 1.$$

And put $\underline{s} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$ and $\bar{s} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$. Then

$$\underline{s} \leq \dim_{\text{H}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) \leq \dim_{\text{p}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) \leq \bar{s}.$$

PROOF. We may suppose $0 < \underline{s} \leq \bar{s} < \infty$. Take an s with $0 < s < \underline{s}$, then there exists an $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $s < s_k$ for all $k > \mathcal{N}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
(1+a)f(y_{n+1}) &< d(y_{n+1}, y'_{n+1}) \\
&\leq d(y_{n+1}, y_n) + d(y_n, y'_{n+1}) < 2f(y_n) - f(y_{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

for each $y_{n+1}, y'_{n+1} \in \phi^{n+1}(y_n)$, we have $f(y_{n+1})/f(y_n) < 2/(2+a) < 1$ for each $y_{n+1} \in \phi^{n+1}(y_n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{y_n \in \mathcal{A}^n} f(y_n)^s &= \sum_{y_0 \in \mathcal{A}^0} f(y_0)^s \cdot \prod_{k=0}^n \sum_{y_{k+1} \in \phi^{k+1}(y_k)} \{f(y_{k+1})/f(y_k)\}^s \\
&\geq c \cdot \prod_{k=\mathcal{N}}^n \left[\sum_{y_k \in \phi^k(y_{k-1})} \{(f(y_k)/f(y_{k-1}))^{s_k} \cdot (2/2+a)^{s-s_k}\} \right] \\
&\geq c \cdot (2/2+a)^{(s-s_k)(n-\mathcal{N}+1)},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c = \sum_{y_0 \in \mathcal{A}^0} f(y_0)^s \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{\mathcal{N}-1} \sum_{y_{k+1} \in \phi^{k+1}(y_k)} \{f(y_{k+1})/f(y_k)\}^s.$$

So $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{y_n \in \mathcal{A}^n} f(y_n)^s = \infty$ and $\underline{s} \leq \underline{D}$.

In a similar way, we have $\limsup \sum_{y_n \in A^n} f(y_n)^s = 0$ for $s > \bar{s}$ and $\bar{D} \leq \bar{s}$. Therefore from the above two theorems, $\underline{s} \leq \dim_{\text{H}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) \leq \dim_{\text{P}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) \leq \bar{s}$. \square

COROLLARY 7. *If the sequence $\{s_n\}$ in $\Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$ satisfying*

$$\sum_{y_n \in \phi^n(y_{n-1})} (f(y_n)/f(y_{n-1}))^{s_n} = 1$$

has a limit s , then

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) = \dim_{\text{P}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) = s.$$

3. Examples

Now we will give two examples.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $X = [0, 1]$, $A^0 = \{x/3 + 1/6 : x = 0, 2\}$ and let \mathcal{N}^* be a fixed positive integer. For each positive integer k represented by $k = m\mathcal{N}^* + l$ for non-negative integers m and l with $0 \leq l < \mathcal{N}^*$, put $a_k = 1/2 \cdot \{m\mathcal{N}^*(\mathcal{N}^* + 1) + (l + 1)(l + 2)\}$. Define $\phi^k : A^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{2^{l+1}}(X)$ by

$$\phi^k(y_{k-1}) = \left\{ y_{k-1} + \sum_{i=a_{k-1}}^{a_k} x_i/3^i + 1/2 \cdot (1/3^{a_k} - 1/3^{a_{k-1}}) : x_i = 0, 2 \right\}$$

where $A^k = \phi^k(A^{k-1})$, and define $f : \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by $f(y_k) = 1/(2 \cdot 3^{a_k})$. Then $\Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$ is an f -bounded scattered system. Since $\sum_{y_k \in \phi^k(y_{k-1})} \{f(y_k)/f(y_{k-1})\}^{s_k} = 1$ for each $s_k = \log 2/\log 3$,

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) = \dim_{\text{P}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) = \log 2/\log 3.$$

EXAMPLE 2. For the same X and A^0 as in above, we define another f -bounded scattered system by

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi^k(y_{k-1}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \{y_{k-1} + \sum_{i=3n-1}^{3n} x_i/3^i - 4/27^n : x_{3n-1} = 0, 2, x_{3n} = 2\}, & (k = 2n-1) \\ \{y_{k-1} + x_{3n+1}/3^{3n+1} - 1/(3 \cdot 27^n) : x_{3n+1} = 0, 2\}, & (k = 2n), \end{cases} \\ & f(y_k) = \begin{cases} 1/(2 \cdot 27^n), & (k = 2n-1), \\ 1/(6 \cdot 27^n), & (k = 2n), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $y_{k-1} \in A^{k-1}$ and for $y_k \in A^k$. Then for this f -bounded scattered system $\Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$, $s_k = \log 2/(2 \log 3)$ for $k = 2n - 1$ and $s_k = \log 2/\log 3$ for $k = 2n$. Hence

$$\log 2/(2 \log 3) \leq \dim_{\text{H}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) \leq \dim_{\text{P}} \Lambda(\mathbf{A}, \Phi) \leq \log 2/\log 3.$$

Acknowledgement

I would to thank support from the KOSEF through the Global Analysis Research Center at Seoul National University.

References

- [1] I. S. Baek, *Dimensions of the perturbed Cantor set*, Real Analysis Exchange **19** (1993–1994), 269–273.
- [2] K. J. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry*, John Wiley & Sons. (1990).
- [3] S. J. Taylor and C. Tricot, *The packing measure and its evaluation for a Brownian path*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **288** (1985), 679–699.

*Department of Mathematics,
Pohang University of Science and Technology,
Pohang 790-784, Korea
e-mail: tskim@euclid.postech.ac.kr*