

Homotopy classification of higher homotopy commutative loop spaces with finitely generated cohomology

Yusuke KAWAMOTO

(Received April 22, 1999)

(Revised July 9, 1999)

ABSTRACT. Suppose X is a simply connected mod p loop space such that the mod p cohomology $H^*(X)$ is finitely generated as an algebra. We show that if X is a C_n -space in the sense of Williams then X is the total space of a C_n -fibration over a finite C_n -space. By using this result, we can reduce problems about C_n -spaces with finitely generated cohomology to the case of finite C_n -spaces. In particular, we give classification theorems for C_2 -spaces and C_p -spaces with finitely generated cohomology.

1. Introduction

Loop space plays an important role in homotopy theory of Lie groups, and it has been investigated from several points of view (cf. [15], [19], [25], [26]). It is convenient to consider the loop space at a prime by using completion theory due to Bousfield-Kan [3]. Let p be a prime. A loop space which is completed at p is called a mod p loop space. Throughout the paper, homotopy equivalence means mod p homotopy equivalence and cohomology is mod p cohomology unless otherwise specified.

Dwyer-Wilkerson [11] defined the p -compact group and studied its properties. A loop space X is said to be a p -compact group if the classifying space BX is p -completed and the mod p cohomology $H^*(X)$ is finite dimensional. Besides compact Lie groups, other useful examples of p -compact groups are known. In fact, the p -completion of an odd dimensional sphere S^{2n-1} is a p -compact group if $n|(p-1)$. In recent years, many theorems have been proved about p -compact groups (cf. [11], [26]), and those theorems suggest that p -compact groups have similar properties to those of Lie groups.

In this paper, we consider loop spaces which need not be finite, but whose mod p cohomology rings are finitely generated. Recently, Broto and Crespo [4], [8] gave remarkable results for H -spaces with finitely generated cohomology. It follows from their results that a mod p loop space with

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55P35, 55P15, secondary 55R05.

Key words and phrases. C_n -space, p -compact group, Dror Farjoun localization functor.

Partially supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

finitely generated mod p cohomology is the total space of a loop fibration over a p -compact group.

The present paper is devoted to study the higher homotopy commutativity of mod p loop spaces with finitely generated cohomology. Such a notion was first introduced by Sugawara [36]. He used it to give a criterion of a homotopy commutative loop space to be the loop space of an H -space. McGibbon [22] proved that a connected finite C_p -space in the sense of Sugawara has the homotopy type of a torus, and Kawamoto-Lin [17] generalized his result to the case of finitely generated cohomology.

Later Williams [38] defined another kind of higher homotopy commutativity which is weaker than the one of Sugawara. If X is a loop space, then by using the Moore loop structure, we can choose a multiplication on X which is associative. C_1 -space means a loop space, and C_2 -space is just a homotopy commutative loop space. Let X be a C_2 -space, and $Q_2 : I \times X^2 \rightarrow X$ be a map satisfying that $Q_2(0, x_1, x_2) = x_1 \cdot x_2$ and $Q_2(1, x_1, x_2) = x_2 \cdot x_1$ for $x_1, x_2 \in X$. By using the map Q_2 , we can define a map $\tilde{Q}_3 : S^1 \times X^3 \rightarrow X$ such that $\tilde{Q}_3(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is a loop connecting $\{x_{\sigma(1)} \cdot x_{\sigma(2)} \cdot x_{\sigma(3)}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma_3}$ for $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$, where Σ_3 denotes the symmetric group on 3 letters. X is said to be a C_3 -space if there exists a map $Q_3 : D^2 \times X^3 \rightarrow X$ such that $Q_3|_{S^1 \times X^3} = \tilde{Q}_3$. In general, a C_n -space is defined as a loop space together with a C_n -form $\{Q_i : K_i \times X^i \rightarrow X\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfying certain boundary conditions (see §2), where K_i is homeomorphic to the $(i-1)$ -dimensional cell. If there exists a system of maps $\{Q_i : K_i \times X^i \rightarrow X\}_{i \geq 1}$ such that $\{Q_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is a C_n -form for $n \geq 1$, then X is said to be a C_∞ -space. It is known that the loop space of an H -space is a C_∞ -space, and in particular, Eilenberg-MacLane spaces are C_∞ -spaces. Similarly, a C_n -map is defined as a loop map preserving the C_n -forms (see §2). A C_n -fibration is a loop fibration consisting of C_n -spaces and C_n -maps.

Our main result is stated as follows:

THEOREM A. *Let p be an odd prime. If X is a simply connected C_n -space such that the mod p cohomology $H^*(X)$ is finitely generated as an algebra, then there exists a C_n -fibration*

$$K \rightarrow X \rightarrow F,$$

where F is a simply connected finite C_n -space and K is a finite product of $K(\mathbf{Z}, 2)$.

From Theorem A, we can reduce problems about C_n -spaces with finitely generated cohomology to the case of finite C_n -spaces. In the case of $p = 2$, Slack has shown the following classification theorem about homotopy commutative mod 2 H -spaces:

THEOREM 1.1 ([34; Cor. 0.2]). *If X is a simply connected homotopy commutative mod 2 H -space such that the mod 2 cohomology $H^*(X)$ is finitely generated as an algebra, then X is homotopy equivalent to a finite product of $K(\mathbf{Z}, 2)$.*

Broto-Crespo [4] reproved Theorem 1.1 by using another method. On the other hand, at odd primes, it is known that any connected mod p H -space admits an H -structure which is homotopy commutative by a result of Iriye-Kono [14]. Furthermore, there are examples of mod p loop spaces which have homotopy commutative loop structures.

Clark-Ewing [7] constructed many p -compact groups. Let $G \subset GL(l, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_p)$ be a finite pseudo-reflection group contained in the list [7]. We see that G acts on the mod p cohomology $H^*(BT^l)$, where BT^l denotes the classifying space of the l -dimensional torus. Clark-Ewing proved that if the order $|G|$ is coprime to p , then there exists a space $BX(G)$ such that

$$H^*(BX(G)) \cong H^*(BT^l)^G \cong \mathbf{Z}/p[y_1, \dots, y_l],$$

where $\deg y_i = 2t_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$ and the order $|G| = t_1 \dots t_l$. Moreover, Dwyer-Miller-Wilkerson [10] has shown that the homotopy type of $BX(G)$ is determined by the cohomology and the action of the mod p Steenrod algebra. If we put $X(G) = \Omega BX(G)$, then by a spectral sequence argument, the mod p cohomology $H^*(X(G))$ is finite dimensional, which implies that $X(G)$ is a p -compact group. The sequence of numbers (t_1, \dots, t_l) is called the type of $X(G)$.

McGibbon [21] studied the homotopy commutativity of compact Lie groups, and Saumell [32] generalized his result to the cases of several p -compact groups. From their results, we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 1.2 ([21; Thm. 2], [32; Thm. 1.1]). *Let p be an odd prime and G be a pseudo-reflection group contained in the Clark-Ewing list. If the pair (G, p) is contained in the following table, then the p -compact group $X(G)$ is a C_2 -space.*

G	p	$X(G)$	Types
G	$\geq 2t_l$	$S^{2t_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{2t_l-1}$	(t_1, \dots, t_l)
G_{2b}	≥ 3	$B_1(p)$	$(2, p + 1)$
G_9	17	$B_7(17)$	$(8, 24)$
G_{14}	19	$B_5(19)$	$(6, 24)$
G_{17}	41	$B_{19}(41)$	$(20, 60)$
G_{20}	19	$B_{11}(19)$	$(12, 30)$
G_{24}	11	$B_3(11) \times S^{11}$	$(4, 6, 14)$
G_{30}	19	$B_1(19) \times B_{11}(19)$	$(2, 12, 20, 30)$

Here $B_n(p)$ denotes the S^{2n+1} -bundle over $S^{2n+2p-1}$ whose mod p cohomology is given as $H^*(B_n(p)) \cong \Lambda(\sigma, \mathcal{P}^1(\sigma))$ with $\deg \sigma = 2n + 1$ (cf. [24]).

Let F be one of the p -compact groups $X(G)$ given in Theorem 1.2. From Theorem 1.2, we see that F is a C_2 -space with finitely generated cohomology. If the integral cohomology $H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$, then we can define a map $[p^i] : F \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)$ as $[p^i]^*(v) = p^i u$ for $i \geq 0$, where $u \in H^3(F; \mathbf{Z})$ and $v \in H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3); \mathbf{Z})$ denote the generators. Let $F\langle 3; p^i \rangle$ be the homotopy fiber of the map $[p^i] : F \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)$. In the case of $i = 0$, we see that $F\langle 3; 1 \rangle = F\langle 3 \rangle$ is the three-connected cover of F . From a result of Williams [38; Thm. 21, 23], we see that $F\langle 3; p^i \rangle$ is a C_2 -space, and by a spectral sequence argument the cohomology $H^*(F\langle 3; p^i \rangle)$ is not finite but finitely generated as an algebra for $i \geq 0$.

Now from Theorem A, we obtain a classification of C_2 -spaces with finitely generated cohomology.

THEOREM B. *Let p be an odd prime and X be a simply connected mod p loop space such that the mod p cohomology $H^*(X)$ is finitely generated as an algebra. Then X is a C_2 -space if and only if there exists a system $\{F_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq q}$ consisting of some of the finite C_2 -spaces $X(G)$ on the table in Theorem 1.2 such that*

$$X \simeq \prod_{i=1}^s F_i\langle 3 \rangle \times \prod_{i=s+1}^t F_i\langle 3; p^{e_i} \rangle \times \prod_{i=t+1}^q F_i \times K(\mathbf{Z}, 2)^r,$$

where $1 \leq e_i \leq e_{i+1}$ for $s + 1 \leq i \leq t - 1$.

The next theorem can be regarded as an odd prime version of Theorem 1.1 since C_2 -space is exactly a homotopy commutative loop space.

THEOREM C. *Let p be an odd prime. If X is a simply connected C_p -space such that the mod p cohomology $H^*(X)$ is finitely generated as an algebra, then X is homotopy equivalent to a finite product of $K(\mathbf{Z}, 2)$.*

We remark that Theorem C is extended to the case of connected C_p -spaces (see Corollary 3.12).

Hemmi [13; Thm. 1.1] proved that if X is a simply connected finite quasi C_p -space, then X is contractible, where quasi C_p -space is defined on the category of higher homotopy associative H -spaces. On the category of loop spaces, the quasi C_p -space is exactly the C_p -space of Williams, and Theorem C can be regarded as a generalization of his result in the case of finitely generated cohomology. Theorem C also generalizes results of Kawamoto and Lin [16], [17], [18], in which the same type of problems were treated in the cases of the loop spaces of H -spaces and C_p -spaces in the sense of Sugawara.

It is natural to ask the explicit higher homotopy commutativity of the

p -compact groups $X(G)$ on the table in Theorem 1.2. Saumell [33] gave a partial result for this problem. In §4, we determine the higher homotopy commutativity of almost all p -compact groups (see Theorems 4.2 and 4.5).

This paper is organized as follows: In §2, we give a proof of Theorem A. It is shown that the Dror Farjoun localization functor [9] preserves C_n -forms (see Theorem 2.14), and by combining Theorem 2.14 with results of Broto and Crespo [4], [8], we can complete a proof of Theorem A. §3 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems B and C. By generalizing results of McGibbon [21] and Saumell [32], we give a classification for finite C_2 -spaces (see Theorem 3.3). Theorem B is proved by using Theorems A and 3.3. A result of Hemmi [13] about the classification of finite C_p -spaces is used to prove Theorem C. In §4, we study the higher homotopy commutativity of p -compact groups, by which we give a necessary condition for a mod p loop space with finitely generated cohomology to be a C_{p-1} -space (see Corollary 4.9).

The author would like to thank Prof. Y. Hemmi, Prof. J. P. Lin and Prof. M. Imaoka for their helpful suggestions and Prof. T. Matumoto for his encouragement. We also appreciate the referee for many useful comments.

2. Proof of Theorem A

Dror Farjoun [9] introduced the localization functor with respect to a space. In Theorem 2.14, we show that the localization functor preserves the higher homotopy commutativity of mod p loop spaces. By combining Theorem 2.14 with results of Broto and Crespo [4], [8], we can complete the proof of Theorem A. First we recall the localization functor.

Let A be a space. A space X is called A -local if the base point evaluation map $e : \text{Map}(A, X) \rightarrow X$ is a homotopy equivalence, or equivalently, if $\text{Map}_*(A, X)$ is contractible. Dror Farjoun constructed a localization functor $L_A : \mathcal{S}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_*$ with respect to the space A , where \mathcal{S}_* denotes the category of pointed spaces. For any space X , the localization $L_A(X)$ is A -local, and there exists a natural map $\phi_X : X \rightarrow L_A(X)$. It is known that the map ϕ_X is homotopically universal, that is, for an A -local space Z and a map $\zeta : X \rightarrow Z$, there exists a map $\tilde{\zeta} : L_A(X) \rightarrow Z$ unique up to homotopy so that $\tilde{\zeta}\phi_X \simeq \zeta$. Furthermore, the natural map ϕ_X induces a homotopy equivalence

$$(2.1) \quad (\phi_X)^* : \text{Map}_*(L_A(X), Z) \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(X, Z)$$

for any A -local space Z .

The localization functor L_A does not necessarily preserve a fibration. Dror Farjoun has shown the following:

PROPOSITION 2.2 ([9; Thm. 1.H.1, Cor. 3.D.3]). *Let F, E and B be*

connected spaces and $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ be a fibration. If the base space B is A -local or $L_A(F) \simeq *$, then the localization L_A preserves the fibration.

Let Y be a space and $Y^{(i)}$ denote the i -fold smash product of Y for $i \geq 1$. In the proof of Theorem 2.14, we need the following:

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let A be a space and Z be a A -local space. If X is a space and $\phi_X : X \rightarrow L_A(X)$ denotes the natural map, then $(\phi_X)^i : X^i \rightarrow L_A(X)^i$ and $(\phi_X)^{(i)} : X^{(i)} \rightarrow L_A(X)^{(i)}$ induce the following homotopy equivalences:*

$$(2.4) \quad ((\phi_X)^i)^* : \text{Map}_*(L_A(X)^i, Z) \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(X^i, Z)$$

$$(2.5) \quad ((\phi_X)^{(i)})^* : \text{Map}_*(L_A(X)^{(i)}, Z) \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(X^{(i)}, Z)$$

for $i \geq 1$.

To prove Proposition 2.3, we need the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.6. *Let X and Y be spaces and $\lambda_{X,Y} = L_A(\phi_X \wedge \phi_Y) : L_A(X \wedge Y) \rightarrow L_A(L_A(X) \wedge L_A(Y))$. Then $\lambda_{X,Y}$ is a homotopy equivalence and $\lambda_{X,Y}\phi_{X \wedge Y} \simeq \phi_{L_A(X) \wedge L_A(Y)}(\phi_X \wedge \phi_Y)$.*

PROOF. For a space W , we put $L(W) = L_A(W)$. From the definition of $\lambda_{X,Y}$, we have that $\lambda_{X,Y}\phi_{X \wedge Y} \simeq \phi_{L(X) \wedge L(Y)}(\phi_X \wedge \phi_Y)$.

Let $a : X \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(Y, L(X \wedge Y))$ be the adjoint map of $\phi_{X \wedge Y} : X \wedge Y \rightarrow L(X \wedge Y)$. From [9; 1.A.8 e.2], the mapping space $\text{Map}_*(Y, L(X \wedge Y))$ is A -local. By the universality of ϕ_X , there exists a map $\tilde{a} : L(X) \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(Y, L(X \wedge Y))$ with $\tilde{a}\phi_X \simeq a$. If $\tilde{\kappa}_{X,Y} : L(X) \wedge Y \rightarrow L(X \wedge Y)$ is the adjoint map of \tilde{a} , then $\tilde{\kappa}_{X,Y}(\phi_X \wedge 1_Y) \simeq \phi_{X \wedge Y}$. By using the same arguments, we have a map $\hat{\kappa}_{X,Y} : L(X) \wedge L(Y) \rightarrow L(X \wedge Y)$ with $\hat{\kappa}_{X,Y}(\phi_X \wedge \phi_Y) \simeq \phi_{X \wedge Y}$, and the universality of $\phi_{L(X) \wedge L(Y)}$ gives a map $\kappa_{X,Y} : L(L(X) \wedge L(Y)) \rightarrow L(X \wedge Y)$ with $\kappa_{X,Y}\phi_{L(X) \wedge L(Y)} \simeq \hat{\kappa}_{X,Y}$.

Since $\kappa_{X,Y}\lambda_{X,Y}\phi_{X \wedge Y} \simeq \kappa_{X,Y}\phi_{L(X) \wedge L(Y)}(\phi_X \wedge \phi_Y) \simeq \phi_{X \wedge Y}$, we have that $\kappa_{X,Y}\lambda_{X,Y} \simeq 1_{L(X \wedge Y)}$. Similarly, we see that $\lambda_{X,Y}\kappa_{X,Y}\phi_{L(X) \wedge L(Y)}(\phi_X \wedge \phi_Y) \simeq \phi_{L(X) \wedge L(Y)}(\phi_X \wedge \phi_Y)$, and by using the universality of ϕ_X , ϕ_Y and $\phi_{L(X) \wedge L(Y)}$, we have that $\lambda_{X,Y}\kappa_{X,Y} \simeq 1_{L(L(X) \wedge L(Y))}$. This completes the proof. \square

Now we prove Proposition 2.3 as follows:

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.3. First we show (2.4). By [9; 1.A.8 e.4], there exists a homotopy equivalence $\gamma_{X^i, X} : L_A(X^{i+1}) \rightarrow L_A(X^i) \times L_A(X)$ with $\gamma_{X^i, X}\phi_{X^{i+1}} \simeq \phi_{X^i} \times \phi_X$ for $i \geq 1$. If we define a map $\gamma_i : L_A(X^i) \rightarrow L_A(X)^i$ as $\gamma_i = (\gamma_{X, X} \times 1_{L_A(X)^{i-2}}) \cdots (\gamma_{X^{i-2}, X} \times 1_{L_A(X)})\gamma_{X^{i-1}, X}$, then γ_i is a homotopy equivalence and $(\phi_X)^i \simeq \gamma_i\phi_{X^i}$. By (2.1), $(\phi_{X^i})^*$ is a homotopy equivalence, and so $((\phi_X)^i)^* : \text{Map}_*(L_A(X)^i, Z) \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(X^i, Z)$ is a homotopy equivalence, which shows (2.4).

Next we show (2.5). By using the induction on $i \geq 1$, we show that there exists a homotopy equivalence $\zeta_i : L_A(X^{(i)}) \rightarrow L_A(L_A(X)^{(i)})$ satisfying the following homotopy commutative diagram:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{(\phi_X)^{(i)}} & L_A(X)^{(i)} \\ \phi_{X^{(i)}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_{L_A(X)^{(i)}} \\ L_A(X^{(i)}) & \xrightarrow{\zeta_i} & L_A(L_A(X)^{(i)}). \end{array}$$

For $i = 1$, we can put $\zeta_1 = \phi_{L_A(X)} : L_A(X) \rightarrow L_A(L_A(X))$. Now we assume that there exists a homotopy equivalence $\zeta_{i-1} : L_A(X^{(i-1)}) \rightarrow L_A(L_A(X)^{(i-1)})$ such that the diagram (2.7) is homotopy commutative. If we define a map $\zeta_i : L_A(X^{(i)}) \rightarrow L_A(L_A(X)^{(i)})$ by $\zeta_i = \kappa_{L_A(X)^{(i-1)}, L_A(X)} L_A(\zeta_{i-1} \wedge \phi_{L_A(X)}) \lambda_{X^{(i-1)}, X}$, where $\kappa_{L_A(X)^{(i-1)}, L_A(X)}$ is in the proof of Lemma 2.6, then ζ_i is a homotopy equivalence and the diagram (2.7) is homotopy commutative.

By taking the mapping spaces for the diagram (2.7), we have a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}_*(L_A(L_A(X)^{(i)}), Z) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\zeta_i^*} & \text{Map}_*(L_A(X^{(i)}), Z) \\ (\phi_{L_A(X)^{(i)}})^* \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow (\phi_{X^{(i)}})^* \simeq \\ \text{Map}_*(L_A(X)^{(i)}, Z) & \xrightarrow{((\phi_X)^{(i)})^*} & \text{Map}_*(X^{(i)}, Z), \end{array}$$

which shows (2.5). This completes the proof of Proposition 2.3. \square

Now we recall the definition of the C_n -space in the sense of Williams [38]. Let $\mathbf{n} = (1, \dots, n)$. A subsequence of \mathbf{n} is denoted as $\alpha_l = (a_1, \dots, a_l)$ for $l \geq 1$ with the inclusion $i_\alpha : \alpha_l \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$, and $\alpha : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ denotes the composite $i_\alpha j_\alpha$, where $j_\alpha : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \alpha_l$ is defined as $j_\alpha(i) = a_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. A (l, m) -partition of \mathbf{n} is an ordered pair (α_l, β_m) with $l + m = n$ of disjoint subsequences of \mathbf{n} satisfying that $i_\alpha(\alpha_l) \cup i_\beta(\beta_m) = \mathbf{n}$. If we consider $\mathbf{n} = (1, \dots, n)$ as a point of \mathbf{R}^n , then the symmetric group Σ_n on n letters acts on \mathbf{R}^n by permuting the coordinates. Let K_n denote the convex hull of the orbit of \mathbf{n} of this action. Then from the definition, we see that K_n is homeomorphic to an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional cell.

If we denote the boundary of K_n as $L_n = \partial K_n$, then it is the union of $(n - 2)$ -dimensional cells which are corresponding to partitions (α_l, β_m) of \mathbf{n} . Let $\varepsilon(\alpha_l, \beta_m) : K_l \times K_m \rightarrow L_n$ denote the inclusion.

If X is a loop space, then by using the Moore loop structure, we can assume that X is an associative H -space. A C_n -form on X in the sense of Williams [38] is defined as a sequence of maps $\{Q_i : K_i \times X^i \rightarrow X\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$

satisfying the following conditions:

$$(2.8) \quad Q_1 = 1_X : K_1 \times X \rightarrow X,$$

where $K_1 \times X = \{1\} \times X$ is identified with X . For a partition (α_r, β_s) of \mathbf{i} ,

$$(2.9) \quad Q_i(\varepsilon(\alpha_r, \beta_s)(\rho, \sigma), x_1, \dots, x_i) = Q_r(\rho, x_{\alpha(1)}, \dots, x_{\alpha(r)}) \cdot Q_s(\sigma, x_{\beta(1)}, \dots, x_{\beta(s)}),$$

where $\rho \in K_r$ and $\sigma \in K_s$.

$$(2.10) \quad Q_i(\tau, x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, *, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i) = Q_{i-1}(s_j(\tau), x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i),$$

where $s_j : K_i \rightarrow K_{i-1}$ denotes the degeneracy map for $1 \leq j \leq i$ (see [38; Lemma 4]). A mod p loop space X together with a C_n -form is said to be a C_n -space. If X has a sequence of maps $\{Q_i : K_i \times X^i \rightarrow X\}_{i \geq 1}$ such that $\{Q_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is a C_n -form on X for any $n \geq 1$, then X is called a C_∞ -space.

Let X and Y be C_n -spaces with the C_n -forms $\{Q_i : K_i \times X^i \rightarrow X\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $\{R_i : K_i \times Y^i \rightarrow Y\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$, respectively. A loop map $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a C_n -map if there exists a sequence of maps $\{D_i : I \times K_i \times X^i \rightarrow Y\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$(2.11) \quad D_i(t, \tau, x_1, \dots, x_i) = \begin{cases} R_i(\tau, \psi(x_1), \dots, \psi(x_i)) & \text{if } t = 0, \\ \psi(Q_i(\tau, x_1, \dots, x_i)) & \text{if } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

For a partition (α_r, β_s) of \mathbf{i} ,

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} D_i(t, \varepsilon(\alpha_r, \beta_s)(\rho, \sigma), x_1, \dots, x_i) \\ = D_r(t, \rho, x_{\alpha(1)}, \dots, x_{\alpha(r)}) \cdot D_s(t, \sigma, x_{\beta(1)}, \dots, x_{\beta(s)}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho \in K_r$ and $\sigma \in K_s$.

$$(2.13) \quad D_i(t, \tau, x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, *, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i) = D_{i-1}(s_j(\tau), x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq i$.

The following theorem is the key in the proof of Theorem A:

THEOREM 2.14. *Let A be a space. If X is a C_n -space, then the localization $L_A(X)$ is a C_n -space and the natural map $\phi_X : X \rightarrow L_A(X)$ is a C_n -map.*

LEMMA 2.15. *Let A and B be spaces and $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a homotopy equivalence. If (K, L) denotes a relative CW-complex, then the following statements hold:*

(1) *If there are maps $f : K \rightarrow B$ and $g : L \rightarrow A$ with $\phi g = f|_L$, then there exists a map $h : K \rightarrow A$ such that $h|_L = g$ and $\phi h \simeq f \text{ rel } L$.*

(2) *If $h, k : K \rightarrow A$ are maps satisfying that $h|_L = k|_L$ and $\phi h \simeq \phi k \text{ rel } L$, then $h \simeq k \text{ rel } L$.*

Now we prove Theorem 2.14 as follows:

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.14. Since X is a mod p loop space, there exists a space Z such that $X = \Omega Z$. By a result of Dror Farjoun [9; Thm. 3.A.1], there exists a homotopy equivalence $\zeta : L_A(X) \rightarrow \Omega L_{\Sigma A}(Z)$ so that $\zeta \phi_X \simeq \Omega(\phi_Z) : X \rightarrow \Omega L_{\Sigma A}(Z)$. Then it is sufficient to show that $Y = \Omega L_{\Sigma A}(Z)$ is a C_n -space so that $\psi = \Omega(\phi_Z) : X \rightarrow Y$ is a C_n -map. By using the following homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Map}_*(Y^i, Y) & \xrightarrow{(\psi^i)^*} & \text{Map}_*(X^i, Y) \\
 (\zeta^i)^* \downarrow \simeq & & \parallel \\
 \text{Map}_*(L_A(X)^i, Y) & \xrightarrow{((\phi_X)^i)^*} & \text{Map}_*(X^i, Y) \\
 \zeta_* \uparrow \simeq & & \simeq \uparrow \zeta_* \\
 \text{Map}_*(L_A(X)^i, L_A(X)) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{((\phi_X)^i)^*} & \text{Map}_*(X^i, L_A(X)),
 \end{array}$$

we have a homotopy equivalence

$$(2.16) \quad (\psi^i)^* : \text{Map}_*(Y^i, Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(X^i, Y)$$

since $((\phi_X)^i)^* : \text{Map}_*(L_A(X)^i, L_A(X)) \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(X^i, L_A(X))$ is a homotopy equivalence by (2.4). By using (2.5) and the same arguments as above, we have that

$$(2.17) \quad (\psi^{(i)})^* : \text{Map}_*(Y^{(i)}, Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(X^{(i)}, Y)$$

is a homotopy equivalence for $i \geq 1$.

Since X is a C_n -space, there exists a system of maps $\{Q_i : K_i \times X^i \rightarrow X\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfying the conditions (2.8)–(2.10). By using induction on i , we shall construct C_n -forms $\{R_i : K_i \times Y^i \rightarrow Y\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $\{D_i : I \times K_i \times X^i \rightarrow Y\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfying the conditions (2.8)–(2.10) and (2.11)–(2.13), which implies that Y is a C_n -space and $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a C_n -map. In the case of $i = 1$, we can define maps $R_1 : K_1 \times Y \rightarrow Y$ and $D_1 : I \times K_1 \times X \rightarrow Y$ as $R_1(1, y) = y$ and $D_1(t, 1, x) = \psi(x)$.

Now we assume that there exist maps $R_j : K_j \times Y^j \rightarrow Y$ and $D_j : I \times K_j \times X^j \rightarrow Y$ for $1 \leq j \leq i - 1$ satisfying the conditions (2.8)–(2.10) and (2.11)–(2.13). Let $S_i = I \times (L_i \times X^i \cup K_i \times X^{[i]}) \cup \{1\} \times K_i \times X^i$. If we define a map $E : S_i \rightarrow Y$ as

$$E(t, \varepsilon(\alpha_r, \beta_s)(\rho, \sigma), x_1, \dots, x_i) = D_r(t, \rho, x_{\alpha(1)}, \dots, x_{\alpha(r)}) \cdot D_s(t, \sigma, x_{\beta(1)}, \dots, x_{\beta(s)}),$$

$$E(t, \tau, x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, *, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i) = D_{i-1}(s_j(\tau), x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i)$$

and

$$E(1, \tau, x_1, \dots, x_i) = \psi(Q_i(\tau, x_1, \dots, x_i)),$$

then we have the extension $\tilde{E} : I \times K_i \times X^i \rightarrow Y$ with $\tilde{E}|_{S_i} = E$ by using the homotopy extension property. Let $F : K_i \times X^i \rightarrow Y$ be a map defined as $F(\tau, x_1, \dots, x_i) = \tilde{E}(0, \tau, x_1, \dots, x_i)$ and $\gamma : K_i \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(X^i, Y)$ denote the adjoint map of F . If we set a map $\lambda : L_i \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(Y^i, Y)$ as

$$\lambda(\varepsilon(\alpha_r, \beta_s)(\rho, \sigma), y_1, \dots, y_i) = R_r(\rho, y_{\alpha(1)}, \dots, y_{\alpha(r)}) \cdot R_s(\sigma, y_{\beta(1)}, \dots, y_{\beta(s)}),$$

then we see that $(\psi^i)^*\lambda = \gamma|_{L_i}$. By Lemma 2.15 and (2.16), there exists a map $\theta : K_i \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(Y^i, Y)$ such that $\theta|_{L_i} = \lambda$ and $(\psi^i)^*\theta \simeq \gamma \text{ rel } L_i$.

To construct a map $R_i : K_i \times Y^i \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the conditions (2.8)–(2.10), we show that the induced map

$$(2.18) \quad (\psi^{[i]})^* : \text{Map}_*(Y^{[i]}, Y)_f \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(X^{[i]}, Y)_{(\psi^{[i]})^*f}$$

is a homotopy equivalence, where $Y^{[i]}$ denotes the i -fold fat wedge of Y defined as

$$Y^{[i]} = \{(y_1, \dots, y_i) \in Y^i \mid y_j = * \text{ for some } 1 \leq j \leq i\},$$

and $f : Y^{[i]} \rightarrow Y$ is a map defined as $f(y_1, \dots, y_i) = (\dots(y_1 \cdot y_2) \dots) \cdot y_i$. Since Y is a mod p loop space, if we define a map $v_1 : \text{Map}_*(Y^{[i]}, Y)_c \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(Y^{[i]}, Y)_f$ and $v_2 : \text{Map}_*(X^{[i]}, Y)_c \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(X^{[i]}, Y)_{(\psi^{[i]})^*f}$ as $v_1(g) = \mu_Y(g \times f)\mathcal{A}_{Y^{[i]}}$ and $v_2(h) = \mu_Y(h \times (\psi^{[i]})^*f)\mathcal{A}_{X^{[i]}}$, then the following diagram is homotopy commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}_*(Y^{[i]}, Y)_c & \xrightarrow{(\psi^{[i]})^*} & \text{Map}_*(X^{[i]}, Y)_c \\ v_1 \downarrow \simeq & & v_2 \downarrow \simeq \\ \text{Map}_*(Y^{[i]}, Y)_f & \xrightarrow{(\psi^{[i]})^*} & \text{Map}_*(X^{[i]}, Y)_{(\psi^{[i]})^*f}, \end{array}$$

where c denote the constant maps and the vertical arrows are homotopy equivalences. Hence it is sufficient to show that the top horizontal arrow is a homotopy equivalence. There is the following homotopy commutative diagram of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}_*(Y^{(i)}, Y)_{C_1} & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{(\psi^{(i)})^*} & \text{Map}_*(X^{(i)}, Y)_{C_2} \\ (\rho_Y)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (\rho_X)^* \\ \text{Map}_*(Y^i, Y)_c & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{(\psi^i)^*} & \text{Map}_*(X^i, Y)_c \\ (\iota_Y)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (\iota_X)^* \\ \text{Map}_*(Y^{[i]}, Y)_c & \xrightarrow{(\psi^{[i]})^*} & \text{Map}_*(X^{[i]}, Y)_c, \end{array}$$

where $C_1 = \{g : Y^{(i)} \rightarrow Y \mid (\rho_Y)^*g \simeq c\}$ and $C_2 = \{h : X^{(i)} \rightarrow Y \mid (\rho_X)^*h \simeq c\}$. By using the homotopy exact sequences for the fibrations and the five lemma, the bottom horizontal arrow is a homotopy equivalence, and so we have (2.18).

If we define a map $\omega : K_i \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(Y^{[i]}, Y)_f$ as

$$\omega(\tau)(y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, *, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_i) = R_{i-1}(s_j(\tau), y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_i)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq i$, then we see that $(\psi^{[i]})^*(\iota_Y)^*\theta \simeq (\psi^{[i]})^*\omega \text{ rel } L_i$. By Lemma 2.15 and (2.18), we have that $(\iota_Y)^*\theta \simeq \omega \text{ rel } L_i$, and so there exists a map $\xi : I \times K_i \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(Y^{[i]}, Y)_f$ such that

$$\xi(t, \tau) = \begin{cases} (\iota_Y)^*\theta(\tau) & \text{if } (t, \tau) \in \{0\} \times K_i \cup I \times L_i, \\ \omega(\tau) & \text{if } (t, \tau) \in \{1\} \times K_i. \end{cases}$$

Let $T_i = I \times (L_i \times Y^i \cup K_i \times Y^{[i]}) \cup \{0\} \times K_i \times Y^i$. For a map $G : T_i \rightarrow Y$ defined as $G(t, \tau, y_1, \dots, y_i)$

$$= \begin{cases} \theta(\tau)(y_1, \dots, y_i) & \text{if } (t, \tau, y_1, \dots, y_i) \in I \times L_i \times Y^i \cup \{0\} \times K_i \times Y^i, \\ \xi(t, \tau)(y_1, \dots, y_i) & \text{if } (t, \tau, y_1, \dots, y_i) \in I \times K_i \times Y^{[i]}, \end{cases}$$

we have the extension $\tilde{G} : I \times K_i \times Y^i \rightarrow Y$ with $\tilde{G}|_{T_i} = G$ by using the homotopy extension property. If we define a map $R_i : K_i \times Y^i \rightarrow Y$ as $R_i(\tau, y_1, \dots, y_i) = \tilde{G}(1, \tau, y_1, \dots, y_i)$, then R_i satisfies the conditions (2.8)–(2.10). Since $R_i(1_{K_i} \times \psi^i) \simeq F \text{ rel } L_i \times X^i$, there exists a map $H : I \times K_i \times X^i \rightarrow Y$ satisfying that

$$H(t, \tau, x_1, \dots, x_i) = \begin{cases} R_i(\tau, \psi(x_1), \dots, \psi(x_i)) & \text{if } t = 0, \\ \psi(Q_i(\tau, x_1, \dots, x_i)) & \text{if } t = 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$H(t, \varepsilon(\alpha_r, \beta_s)(\rho, \sigma), x_1, \dots, x_i) = D_r(t, \rho, x_{\alpha(1)}, \dots, x_{\alpha(r)}) \cdot D_s(t, \sigma, x_{\beta(1)}, \dots, x_{\beta(s)}).$$

If we set a map $M : I \times K_i \times X^{[i]} \rightarrow Y$ as

$$M(t, \tau, x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, *, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i) = D_{i-1}(s_j(\tau), x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq i$, then $H|_{(\partial I \times K_i \cup I \times L_i) \times X^{[i]}} = M|_{(\partial I \times K_i \cup I \times L_i) \times X^{[i]}}$. By [38; Remark 10], there exists a map $D_i : I \times K_i \times X^i \rightarrow Y$ such that $D_i|_{(\partial I \times K_i \cup I \times L_i) \times X^i} = H|_{(\partial I \times K_i \cup I \times L_i) \times X^i}$ and $D_i|_{I \times K_i \times X^{[i]}} = M$. Hence we see that the map D_i satisfies the conditions (2.11)–(2.13), which implies that Y is a C_i -space and $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a C_i -map. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.14. \square

Now we give a proof of Theorem A as follows:

PROOF OF THEOREM A. Let X be a simply connected C_n -space with finitely generated cohomology. From Theorem 2.14, the localization $L_{BZ/p}(X)$ is a

C_n -space and the natural map $\phi_X : X \rightarrow L_{B\mathbf{Z}/p}(X)$ is a C_n -map. By using results of Broto and Crespo [4], [8], there exists an H -fibration

$$(2.19) \quad K \rightarrow X \rightarrow F,$$

where F is a simply connected mod p finite H -space and $K = K(\mathbf{Z}, 2)^m$ for some $m \geq 0$. Since the mod p cohomology $H^*(F)$ is finite dimensional, by Miller [23; Thm. A] F is $B\mathbf{Z}/p$ -local. By Proposition 2.2 the localization functor $L_{B\mathbf{Z}/p}$ preserves the H -fibration (2.19), and so we have the following homotopy commutative diagram of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \tilde{K} & \longrightarrow & * \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 K & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & F \\
 \phi_K \downarrow & & \phi_X \downarrow & & \phi_F \downarrow \simeq \\
 L_{B\mathbf{Z}/p}(K) & \longrightarrow & L_{B\mathbf{Z}/p}(X) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & L_{B\mathbf{Z}/p}(F),
 \end{array}$$

where \tilde{K} denotes the homotopy fiber of $\phi_X : X \rightarrow L_{B\mathbf{Z}/p}(X)$. It is shown in [2; Remark 9.5] that $L_{B\mathbf{Z}/p}(K) \simeq *$, which implies that $L_{B\mathbf{Z}/p}(X) \simeq F$ and $K \simeq \tilde{K}$. Since $\phi_X : X \rightarrow L_{B\mathbf{Z}/p}(X)$ is a C_n -map, by Williams [38; Thm. 21], the homotopy fiber \tilde{K} is a C_n -space and the fiber inclusion $\tilde{K} \rightarrow X$ is a C_n -map. This completes the proof of Theorem A. \square

3. Proofs of Theorems B and C

Let X be a simply connected C_n -space with finitely generated cohomology. Then from Theorem A, X is the total space of a C_n -fibration over a simply connected finite C_n -space, and so we can reduce the problems to the cases of finite C_n -spaces.

If F is a simply connected finite C_2 -space, then by a result of Browder [6; Thm. 8.6] the mod p cohomology $H^*(F)$ is an exterior algebra generated by the odd dimensional elements. By a spectral sequence argument $H^*(BF)$ is a polynomial algebra, where BF denotes the classifying space of F . We can put

$$H^*(BF) = \mathbf{Z}/p[x_1, \dots, x_l],$$

where $\deg x_i = 2t_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. From results of Adams-Wilkerson [1] and Dwyer-Miller-Wilkerson [10], there exists a pseudo-reflection group $G(F) \subset GL(l, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_p)$ such that $H^*(BF) \cong H^*(BT^l)^{G(F)}$, where BT^l denotes the classifying space of the l -dimensional torus. This implies that the type (t_1, \dots, t_l) of F is a union of irreducible types on the Clark-Ewing list [7].

The topological realizations of the invariant rings $H^*(BT^l)^G$ for pseudo-reflection groups $G \subset GL(l, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_p)$ have been investigated by Clark-Ewing [7] and many others (cf. [26; §3]). Notbohm [27] studied the topological realization of a family of pseudo-reflection groups. Let p be an odd prime, $q > 1, r \geq 1$ and $l > 1$ such that $q|(p-1)$ and $r|q$. A subgroup $G(q, r, l) \subset GL(l, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_p)$ is defined as the semidirect product $G(q, r, l) = A(q, r, l) \rtimes \Sigma_l$, where

$$A(q, r, l) = \{(z_1, \dots, z_l) \in (\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_p)^l \mid z_i^q = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq l \text{ and } (z_1 \dots z_l)^r = 1\},$$

and Σ_l denotes the symmetric group on l letters. Here an element of $A(q, r, l)$ is considered as a diagonal matrix and Σ_l acts on $(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_p)^l$ by the permutation of the coordinates. It is known that $G(q, r, l)$ is generated by the pseudo-reflections (cf. [27; Remark 1.3]), and $G(q, r, l)$ acts on the mod p cohomology $H^*(BT^l)$. From [27; Prop. 1.4], the invariant ring is given as

$$H^*(BT^l)^{G(q, r, l)} = \mathbf{Z}/p[s_1, \dots, s_l]^{G(q, r, l)} \cong \mathbf{Z}/p[y_1, \dots, y_{l-1}, e],$$

where $y_i = \sigma_i(s_1^q, \dots, s_l^q)$ for $1 \leq i \leq l-1$ and $e = (s_1 \dots s_l)^r$. We see that the invariant ring has the type of no. 2a on the Clark-Ewing list [7]. The following result is due to Notbohm:

THEOREM 3.1 ([27; Thm. 1.5, 1.6]). *Let p be an odd prime. If $q > 1, r \geq 1$ and $l > 1$ such that $q|(p-1)$ and $r|q$, then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *There exists a space $BX(q, r, l)$ such that the mod p cohomology*

$$H^*(BX(q, r, l)) \cong \mathbf{Z}/p[y_1, \dots, y_{l-1}, e].$$

- (2) *If Y is a space such that the mod p cohomology $H^*(Y) \cong H^*(BX(q, r, l))$ as algebras over the mod p Steenrod algebra, then $Y \cong BX(q, r, l)$.*

By generalizing results of Saumell [32; Prop. 3.3, 4.1], we have the following:

LEMMA 3.2. *Let p be an odd prime. If $q > 1, r \geq 1$ and $l > 1$ such that $q|(p-1)$ and $r|q$, then the p -compact group $X(q, r, l) = \Omega BX(q, r, l)$ is not a C_2 -space.*

PROOF. If $p > l$ or $r = q$, then we have the required result by results of Saumell [32; Prop. 3.3, 4.1], and so we assume that $p \leq l$ and $r < q$. Let $s = (p-1)/q$. Then $s < l$ since $s|(p-1)$ and $p \leq l$. If D^3 denotes the 3-fold decomposable module of $H^*(BX(q, r, l))$ defined as $D^3 = \hat{H}^*(BX(q, r, l)) \cdot \hat{H}^*(BX(q, r, l)) \cdot \hat{H}^*(BX(q, r, l))$, then D^3 is closed under the action of the mod p Steenrod algebra, and by [32; Lemma 3.2], the action of \mathcal{P}^1 on $H^*(BX(q, r, l))/D^3$ is given as

$$\mathcal{P}^1(y_i) = \begin{cases} (-1)^s(s+i)q\tilde{y}_{s+i} + \sum_{j=1}^s(-1)^{s+1}jq\tilde{y}_j\tilde{y}_{s+i-j} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq l-s, \\ \sum_{j=i+s-l}^s(-1)^{s+1}jq\tilde{y}_j\tilde{y}_{s+i-j} & \text{if } l-s+1 \leq i \leq l-1, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{P}^1(e) = (-1)^{s+1}sr\tilde{y}_s e,$$

where $\tilde{y}_i = y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l-1$ and $\tilde{y}_l = e^{q/r}$. By applying a result of McGibbon [21; Lemma 3.2] (see also Lemma 4.6 in §4) to $e \in H^*(BX(q, r, l))$ and \mathcal{P}^1 , we see that $X(q, r, l) = \Omega BX(q, r, l)$ is not a C_2 -space. This completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 3.3. *If F is a simply connected finite C_2 -space, then there exists a system $\{F_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ consisting of some of the p -compact groups $X(G)$ on the following table such that the classifying space $BF \simeq \prod_{i=1}^k BF_i$.*

G	p	$X(G)$	Types
G	$\geq 2t_l$	$S^{2t_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{2t_l-1}$	(t_1, \dots, t_l)
G_{2b}	≥ 3	$B_1(p)$	$(2, p+1)$
G_9	17	$B_7(17)$	$(8, 24)$
G_{14}	19	$B_5(19)$	$(6, 24)$
G_{17}	41	$B_{19}(41)$	$(20, 60)$
G_{20}	19	$B_{11}(19)$	$(12, 30)$
G_{24}	11	$B_3(11) \times S^{11}$	$(4, 6, 14)$
G_{30}	19	$B_1(19) \times B_{11}(19)$	$(2, 12, 20, 30)$

PROOF. By results of Dwyer-Wilkerson [12] and Notbohm [28], there exists a decomposition $BF \simeq \prod_{i=1}^k BF_i$ with simple p -compact groups F_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$ (cf. [11], [26]). From a result of Dwyer-Miller-Wilkerson [10], $H^*(BF_i) \cong H^*(BT)^G$ for some pseudo-reflection group G since the cohomology $H^*(BF_i)$ is a polynomial algebra. This implies that the type of F_i is obtained from the Clark-Ewing list [7] for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Since $F \simeq \prod_{i=1}^k F_i$ as p -compact groups, we can show that F_i is a C_2 -space for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Hence it is sufficient to consider the homotopy commutativity of p -compact groups on the Clark-Ewing list.

Recently, Notbohm [30] determined the condition for which a type on the Clark-Ewing list is realizable as the cohomology of a space. From results of Notbohm [29; Thm. 1.4], [30; Thm. 1.4, 1.5], McGibbon [21; Thm. 2], Saumell [32; Thm. 1.1] and Lemma 3.2, we see that each F_i must be contained in the table given in the theorem for $1 \leq i \leq k$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3. \square

Now we proceed to the proof of Theorem B. Let F be a finite C_2 -space. Then by Theorem 3.3, there exists a homotopy equivalence $BF \simeq \prod_{i=1}^k BF_i$, where F_i is one of the p -compact groups $X(G)$ on the table of Theorem 3.3 for $1 \leq i \leq k$. By permuting F_i if necessary, we can assume that $H^3(F_i; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and F_i is 3-connected for $r + 1 \leq i \leq k$. Thus the p -localized cohomology of F is given as

$$H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}\{u_1, \dots, u_r\},$$

where $u_i \in H^3(F_i; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ is the generator for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Since $H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3); \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$, we put the p -localized cohomology of $K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m$ as

$$H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}\{v_1, \dots, v_m\},$$

where v_j denotes the generator of $H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3); \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Let $\phi : F \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m$ be a map and $\phi^* : H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \rightarrow H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ be the induced homomorphism. Then it is easy to see that there exist systems of generators $\{\tilde{v}_j \in H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ and $\{\tilde{u}_i \in H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ satisfying that

$$(3.4) \quad \phi^*(\tilde{v}_j) = \begin{cases} \tilde{u}_j & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq s_1, \\ p^{e_j} \tilde{u}_j & \text{if } s_1 + 1 \leq j \leq s_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } s_2 + 1 \leq j \leq m, \end{cases}$$

where $s_1 \leq s_2 \leq r$ and $1 \leq e_j \leq e_{j+1}$ for $s_1 + 1 \leq j \leq s_2 - 1$.

In the proof of Theorem B, we need the following fact:

PROPOSITION 3.5. *If F is a finite C_2 -space and $\phi : F \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m$ is a map, then there exist systems of generators $\{\tilde{v}_j \in H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ and $\{\tilde{u}_i \in H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ satisfying (3.4), and moreover, there is a homotopy equivalence $\omega : F \rightarrow F$ such that $\omega^*(\tilde{u}_i) = u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ on the p -localized cohomology $H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$.*

By permuting F_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$, we assume that $F_i = B_1(p) \times \tilde{F}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $F_i = S^3 \times \tilde{F}_i$ for $q + 1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $\rho^* : H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \rightarrow H^3(F)$ denote the mod p reduction map and $\sigma_i = \rho^*(u_i) \in H^3(F)$ be the mod p reduction of u_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Since the mod p cohomology of $B_1(p)$ is

$$H^*(B_1(p)) = A(\sigma, \mathcal{P}^1(\sigma))$$

with $\deg \sigma = 3$, we can assume that $\mathcal{P}^1(\sigma_i) \neq 0$ in $H^{2p+1}(F)$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $\mathcal{P}^1(\sigma_i) = 0$ for $q + 1 \leq i \leq r$.

The following lemma is used to prove Proposition 3.5:

LEMMA 3.6. *Let F and $\phi : F \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m$ be as in Proposition 3.5. Then we can choose systems of generators $\{\tilde{v}_j \in H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ and*

$\{\hat{u}_i \in H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ such that the following conditions hold:
 (1)

$$\phi^*(\hat{v}_j) = \begin{cases} p^{f_j} \hat{u}_j & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq t_1, \\ p^{g_j} \hat{u}_{q-t_1+j} & \text{if } t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq t_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } t_2 + 1 \leq j \leq m, \end{cases}$$

where $t_1 \leq q$, $t_2 \leq r - q + t_1$, $0 \leq f_j \leq f_{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t_1 - 1$ and $0 \leq g_j \leq g_{j+1}$ for $t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq t_2 - 1$.

(2) If we put $\hat{\sigma}_i = \rho^*(\hat{u}_i) \in H^3(F)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, then $\mathcal{P}^1(\hat{\sigma}_i) \neq 0$ in $H^{2p+1}(F)$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $\mathcal{P}^1(\hat{\sigma}_i) = 0$ for $q + 1 \leq i \leq r$.

PROOF. By using induction on $r \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$, we show the lemma. If $\phi^* = 0$, then we can put that $\hat{v}_j = v_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $\hat{u}_i = u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and the result follows. Thus we assume that $\phi^* \neq 0$.

In the case of $r = 1$, we can set $\phi^*(v_j) = p^{a_j} c_j u$, where $a_j \geq 0$ and $(c_j, p) = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. By permuting v_j , we can assume that $a_1 \leq a_j$ for $2 \leq j \leq m$. If we put that $e = a_1$, $\hat{v}_1 = v_1$, $\hat{v}_j = v_j - p^{a_j-e} (c_j/c_1) v_1$ for $2 \leq j \leq m$ and $\hat{u} = c_1 u$, then the result follows.

In the case of $m = 1$, we can set

$$\phi^*(v) = \sum_{i=1}^q p^{a_i} c_i u_i + \sum_{i=q+1}^r p^{b_i} d_i u_i,$$

where $a_i, b_i \geq 0$ and $(c_i, p) = 1, (d_i, p) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. By permuting u_i , we can assume that $a_1 \leq a_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq q$ and $b_{q+1} \leq b_i$ for $q + 2 \leq i \leq r$. If $a_1 \leq b_{q+1}$, then we put $f = a_1$ and choose generators $\hat{u}_i \in H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ as $\hat{u}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^q p^{a_i-f} c_i u_i + \sum_{i=q+1}^r p^{b_i-f} d_i u_i$ and $\hat{u}_i = u_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$. In this case, we see that $\mathcal{P}^1(\hat{\sigma}_1) = \mathcal{P}^1(\rho^*(\hat{u}_1)) = \sum_{i=1}^q p^{a_i-f} c_i \mathcal{P}^1(\sigma_i) \neq 0$, and the result follows. If $a_1 > b_{q+1}$, then we put $g = b_{q+1}$, $\hat{u}_{q+1} = \sum_{i=1}^q p^{a_i-g} c_i u_i + \sum_{i=q+1}^r p^{b_i-g} d_i u_i$ and $\hat{u}_i = u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq q, q+2 \leq i \leq r$. In this case, $\mathcal{P}^1(\hat{\sigma}_{q+1}) = \sum_{i=q+1}^r p^{b_i-g} d_i \mathcal{P}^1(\sigma_i) = 0$ since $a_i - g \geq a_1 - g > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$, and so we have the required conclusion.

Now we assume that the result holds if r and m are replaced by $r - 1$ and $m - 1$. In the case of $r, m > 1$, the induced homomorphism $\phi^* : H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3))^m; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \rightarrow H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ is give as

$$\phi^*(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^q p^{a_{i,j}} c_{i,j} u_i + \sum_{i=q+1}^r p^{b_{i,j}} d_{i,j} u_i$$

for $1 \leq j \leq m$, where $a_{i,j}, b_{i,j} \geq 0$ and $(c_{i,j}, p) = 1, (d_{i,j}, p) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$. By using the similar arguments to the cases of $r = 1$ and $m = 1$, there exist systems of generators $\{\hat{v}_j \in H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3))^m; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ and

$\{\bar{u}_i \in H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ satisfying (2) and either of the following conditions:

$$\phi^*(\bar{v}_1) = p^{f_1} \bar{u}_1,$$

$$\phi^*(\bar{v}_j) = \sum_{i=2}^q p^{\bar{a}_{i,j}} \bar{c}_{i,j} \bar{u}_i + \sum_{i=q+1}^r p^{\bar{b}_{i,j}} \bar{d}_{i,j} \bar{u}_i$$

for $2 \leq j \leq m$ or

$$\phi^*(\bar{v}_1) = p^{g_1} \bar{u}_{q+1},$$

$$\phi^*(\bar{v}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^q p^{\bar{a}_{i,j}} \bar{c}_{i,j} \bar{u}_i + \sum_{i=q+2}^r p^{\bar{b}_{i,j}} \bar{d}_{i,j} \bar{u}_i$$

for $2 \leq j \leq m$, where $0 \leq f_1 \leq \bar{a}_{i,j}, \bar{b}_{i,j}, 0 \leq g_1 \leq \bar{a}_{i,j}, \bar{b}_{i,j}$ and $(\bar{c}_{i,j}, p) = (\bar{d}_{i,j}, p) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $2 \leq j \leq m$. From the inductive hypothesis, we can choose systems of generators $\{\hat{v}_j \in H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ and $\{\hat{u}_i \in H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ satisfying the required conditions. \square

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.5. Let $\{\hat{v}_j \in H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ and $\{\hat{u}_i \in H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ be the systems of generators of Lemma 3.6. We construct maps $f_i : B_1(p) \rightarrow F$ and $g_i : S^3 \rightarrow F$ such that $f_i^*(\hat{u}_i) = u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $g_i^*(\hat{u}_i) = u_i$ for $q + 1 \leq i \leq r$.

By the universal coefficient theorem, we have that $\pi_3(F) \cong H_3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}\{\hat{u}_1, \dots, \hat{u}_r\}$, and so there exists a map $g_i : S^3 \rightarrow F$ such that $g_i^*(\hat{u}_i) = u$ in the p -localized cohomology for $1 \leq i \leq r$. If $\sigma \in H^3(S^3)$ denotes the mod p reduction of $u \in H^3(S^3; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$, then we have that $g_i^*(\hat{\sigma}_i) = \sigma$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

From the mod p cohomology $H^*(B_1(p))$, the cell structure of $B_1(p)$ is given as

$$B_1(p) \simeq S^3 \cup_{\alpha} e^{2p+1} \cup_{\beta} e^{2p+4},$$

where $\alpha \in \pi_{2p}(S^3) \cong \mathbf{Z}/p$ is the generator and $\beta : S^{2p+3} \rightarrow S^3 \cup_{\alpha} e^{2p+1}$ denotes some attaching map. In the case of $1 \leq i \leq q$, $\mathcal{P}^1(\hat{\sigma}_i) \neq 0$ in $H^{2p+1}(F)$ by Lemma 3.6. If we consider a cofibration

$$S^{2p} \xrightarrow{\alpha} S^3 \xrightarrow{\gamma} S^3 \cup_{\alpha} e^{2p+1},$$

then $g_i \alpha \simeq *$ since $\mathcal{P}^1(\hat{\sigma}_i) \neq 0$, and so there exists a map $h_i : S^3 \cup_{\alpha} e^{2p+1} \rightarrow F$ such that $h_i \gamma \simeq g_i$. By Theorem 3.3, [24; Thm. 3.2] and [37; Thm. 3], we see that $\pi_{2p+3}(F) = 0$. If we consider the following cofibration:

$$S^{2p+3} \xrightarrow{\beta} S^3 \cup_{\alpha} e^{2p+1} \xrightarrow{\delta} B_1(p),$$

then $h_i \beta \simeq *$, and so there exists a map $f_i : B_1(p) \rightarrow F$ such that $f_i \delta \simeq h_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$.

If we put $\tilde{F} = \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{F}_i \times \prod_{i=r+1}^k F_i$, where $F_i = B_1(p) \times \tilde{F}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $F_i = S^3 \times \tilde{F}_i$ for $q + 1 \leq i \leq r$, then $F = B_1(p)^q \times (S^3)^{r-q} \times \tilde{F}$. Let $\varepsilon : \tilde{F} \rightarrow F$ denote the inclusion map. Since F is a loop space, by using the maps $f_i : B_1(p) \rightarrow F$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$, $g_i : S^3 \rightarrow F$ for $q + 1 \leq i \leq r$ constructed above and $\varepsilon : \tilde{F} \rightarrow F$, we can construct a map $\omega : F \rightarrow F$ which induces an isomorphism on the mod p cohomology.

By permuting the generators obtained in Lemma 3.6, we have systems of generators $\{\tilde{u}_i \in H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ and $\{\tilde{v}_j \in H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ satisfying the required conditions. This completes the proof. \square

Now we can prove Theorem B as follows:

PROOF OF THEOREM B. If X is a simply connected C_2 -space with finitely generated mod p cohomology, then by Theorem A, there exists a C_2 -fibration

$$(3.7) \quad K \rightarrow X \rightarrow F,$$

where F is a simply connected finite C_2 -space and $K = K(\mathbf{Z}, 2)^m$ for some $m \geq 0$. By extending the fibration (3.7), we have an H -fibration

$$X \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{\phi} K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m,$$

and we may assume that the p -localized cohomology of $K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m$ and F are given as

$$H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$$

and

$$H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}\{u_1, \dots, u_r\},$$

where $v_j \in H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3); \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $u_i \in H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ denote the generators. By Proposition 3.5, we can choose systems of generators $\{\tilde{v}_j \in H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ and $\{\tilde{u}_i \in H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ such that the induced homomorphism $\phi^* : H^3(K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}) \rightarrow H^3(F; \mathbf{Z}_{(p)})$ is given as

$$\phi^*(\tilde{v}_j) = \begin{cases} \tilde{u}_j & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq s_1, \\ p^{e_j} \tilde{u}_j & \text{if } s_1 + 1 \leq j \leq s_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } s_2 + 1 \leq j \leq m, \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq e_j \leq e_{j+1}$ for $s_1 + 1 \leq j \leq s_2 - 1$. Furthermore, there exists a homotopy equivalence $\omega : F \rightarrow F$ satisfying that $\omega^*(\tilde{u}_i) = u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. If $\zeta : K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m$ is a map defined as $\zeta^*(\tilde{v}_j) = v_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, then ζ is a homotopy equivalence, and there exists the following homotopy commutative diagram of fibrations:

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \longrightarrow & F & \xrightarrow{\psi} & K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m \\ \kappa \downarrow & & \omega \downarrow \simeq & & \zeta \downarrow \simeq \\ X & \longrightarrow & F & \xrightarrow{\phi} & K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m, \end{array}$$

where

$$Y = \prod_{i=1}^{s_1} F_i \langle 3 \rangle \times \prod_{i=s_1+1}^{s_2} F_i \langle 3; p^{e_i} \rangle \times \prod_{i=s_2+1}^k F_i \times K(\mathbf{Z}, 2)^{m-s_2}$$

and $\psi : F \rightarrow K(\mathbf{Z}, 3)^m$ is a map defined as

$$\psi^*(v_j) = \begin{cases} u_j & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq s_1, \\ p^{e_j} u_j & \text{if } s_1 + 1 \leq j \leq s_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } s_2 + 1 \leq j \leq m. \end{cases}$$

By using the homotopy exact sequences for the fibrations (3.8) and the five lemma, we see that $\kappa : Y \rightarrow X$ is also a homotopy equivalence. This completes the proof of Theorem B. \square

REMARK 3.9. In the proof of Theorem B, if X is a C_n -space, then F is a finite C_n -space by Theorem A. Since $BF \simeq \prod_{i=1}^k BF_i$, by using the next lemma, we have that F_i is a C_n -space for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

LEMMA 3.10. *Let X, Y and Z be loop spaces. If Z is a C_n -space and $Z \simeq X \times Y$ as loop spaces, then X and Y are C_n -spaces.*

PROOF. Let $\iota_X : X \rightarrow Z$ be the inclusion map and $\rho_X : Z \rightarrow X$ be the projection map. Since Z is a C_n -space, there exists a C_n -form $\{Q_i : K_i \times Z^i \rightarrow Z\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfying the conditions (2.8)–(2.10). If we define a map $Q_i^X : K_i \times X^i \rightarrow X$ as $Q_i^X = \rho_X Q_i(1_{K_i} \times (\iota_X)^i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then the system $\{Q_i^X : K_i \times X^i \rightarrow X\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfies the conditions (2.8)–(2.10), which implies that X is a C_n -space. By using similar arguments, we see that Y is a C_n -space. This completes the proof. \square

Next we proceed to the proof of Theorem C. By using Theorem A, we can reduce the problem to the case of finite C_p -spaces.

Hemmi [13] introduced the concept of the quasi C_n -space. Let X be an A_n -space in the sense of Stasheff [35] and $P_i(X)$ denote the i -th projective space of X for $1 \leq i \leq n$. From the construction of $P_i(X)$, we have the inclusion map $\iota_i : P_i(X) \rightarrow P_{i+1}(X)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and the projection map $\rho_i : P_i(X) \rightarrow (\Sigma X)^{(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $J_i(\Sigma X)$ denote the i -th James reduced product space of ΣX and $\pi_i : J_i(\Sigma X) \rightarrow (\Sigma X)^{(i)}$ be the projection map for $i \geq 1$. A quasi C_n -form on X is a system of maps $\{\psi_i : J_i(\Sigma X) \rightarrow P_i(X)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfying the following

conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 &= 1_{\Sigma X} : \Sigma X \rightarrow \Sigma X, \\ \psi_i|_{J_{i-1}(\Sigma X)} &= \iota_{i-1}\psi_{i-1} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n, \\ \rho_i\psi_i &\simeq \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_i} \sigma \right) \pi_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \end{aligned}$$

where the action of the symmetric group Σ_i on $(\Sigma X)^{(i)}$ is given as the permutation of the coordinates, and the summation on the right hand side is defined by using a co- H -structure on $(\Sigma X)^{(i)}$. An A_n -space X together with a quasi C_n -form is said to be a quasi C_n -space. Hemmi has shown the following result:

THEOREM 3.11 ([13; Thm. 1.1]). *Let p be an odd prime. If X is a simply connected finite quasi C_p -space, then X is contractible.*

By using the above theorem, we can prove Theorem C as follows:

PROOF OF THEOREM C. Let X be a simply connected C_p -space with finitely generated mod p cohomology. By Theorem B and Remark 3.9, there exists a homotopy equivalence

$$X \simeq \prod_{i=1}^s F_i \langle 3 \rangle \times \prod_{i=s+1}^t F_i \langle 3; p^{e_i} \rangle \times \prod_{i=t+1}^q F_i \times K(\mathbf{Z}, 2)^r,$$

where F_i is a simply connected finite C_p -space for $1 \leq i \leq q$. On the category of loop spaces, the quasi C_p -space is exactly the C_p -space in the sense of Williams by Hemmi [13; Thm. 2.2]. Then by Theorem 3.11, we see that $F_i \simeq *$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$, and so $X \simeq K(\mathbf{Z}, 2)^r$. This completes the proof of Theorem C. \square

In the case of connected C_p -spaces, we have the following:

COROLLARY 3.12. *Let p be an odd prime. If X is a connected C_p -space such that the mod p cohomology $H^*(X)$ is finitely generated as an algebra, then X is homotopy equivalent to a finite product of $K(\mathbf{Z}, 1)$, $K(\mathbf{Z}, 2)$ and $K(\mathbf{Z}/p^i, 1)$ for $i \geq 1$.*

To prove Corollary 3.12, we need the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.13. *If X is a connected C_n -space, then the universal cover \tilde{X} is a C_n -space and the covering projection map $\omega : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a C_n -map.*

PROOF. We give an outline of the proof. Let $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow X$ denote the associative H -structure which makes X a C_n -space. By [25; Thm. II.4.2, 4.3],

there exists an associative H -structure $\tilde{\mu} : \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ on \tilde{X} such that $\omega\tilde{\mu} = \mu(\omega \times \omega)$.

Since X is a C_n -space, there exists a C_n -form $\{Q_i : K_i \times X^i \rightarrow X\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfying the conditions (2.8)–(2.10). By the covering lifting property (cf. [25; Lemma II.1.7]), there exists a map $\tilde{Q}_i : K_i \times \tilde{X}^i \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that $\omega\tilde{Q}_i = Q_i(1_{K_i} \times \omega^i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. By using the uniqueness of the lifting, we see that the system $\{\tilde{Q}_i : K_i \times \tilde{X}^i \rightarrow \tilde{X}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfies the conditions (2.8)–(2.10), and thus \tilde{X} is a C_n -space. This completes the proof. \square

The proof of Corollary 3.12 is given as follows:

PROOF OF COROLLARY 3.12. If \tilde{X} denotes the universal cover of X , then there exists an H -fibration

$$(3.14) \quad \tilde{X} \rightarrow X \rightarrow K(\pi_1(X), 1),$$

where $K(\pi_1(X), 1)$ is a finite product of $K(\mathbf{Z}, 1)$ and $K(\mathbf{Z}/p^i, 1)$ for $i \geq 1$. By Lemma 3.13, \tilde{X} is a simply connected C_p -space, and by using a spectral sequence argument, we see that $H^*(\tilde{X})$ is finitely generated as an algebra. By applying Theorem C to \tilde{X} , we have that $\tilde{X} \simeq K(\mathbf{Z}, 2)^r$ for some $r \geq 0$. By a result of Browder [5], we can use a spectral sequence for the H -fibration (3.14). Then the E_2 -term is given as

$$E_2^{*,*} \cong H^*(K(\pi_1(X), 1)) \otimes H^*(\tilde{X}),$$

and by the DHA lemma [15; p. 14], the spectral sequence collapses, and so we have that

$$H^*(X) \cong H^*(K(\pi_1(X), 1)) \otimes H^*(\tilde{X}).$$

Since $\tilde{X} \simeq K(\mathbf{Z}, 2)^r$, there exists a map $\zeta : X \rightarrow K(\pi_1(X), 1) \times K(\mathbf{Z}, 2)^r$ which induces an isomorphism on the mod p cohomology, which implies the required conclusion. This completes the proof of Corollary 3.12. \square

4. Higher homotopy commutativity of p -compact groups

In §3, we proved the classification theorems for C_2 -spaces and C_p -spaces with finitely generated cohomology. In this section, we are interested in C_n -spaces for $2 < n < p$. If X is a simply connected C_n -space such that the mod p cohomology $H^*(X)$ is finitely generated as an algebra, then by Theorem B and Remark 3.9, there exists a homotopy equivalence

$$X \simeq \prod_{i=1}^s F_i \langle 3 \rangle \times \prod_{i=s+1}^t F_i \langle 3; p^{e_i} \rangle \times \prod_{i=t+1}^q F_i \times K(\mathbf{Z}, 2)^r,$$

where F_i is a simply connected finite C_n -space for $1 \leq i \leq q$. So we can reduce the classification of C_n -spaces with finitely generated cohomology to the higher homotopy commutativity of the p -compact groups $X(G)$ given in Theorem 1.2. We can give another interpretation of the higher homotopy commutativity of loop spaces by using the generalized higher Whitehead product due to Porter [31].

Let X be a loop space and $X^{(n)}$ denote the n -fold smash product of X . By Porter [31; Thm. 1.2], there exists a map $\omega_n : \Sigma^{n-1} X^{(n)} \rightarrow (\Sigma X)^{[n]}$ such that

$$\Sigma^{n-1} X^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\omega_n} (\Sigma X)^{[n]} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_n} (\Sigma X)^n$$

is a cofibration sequence, where $(\Sigma X)^{[n]}$ is the n -fold fat wedge of ΣX and $\varepsilon_n : (\Sigma X)^{[n]} \rightarrow (\Sigma X)^n$ denotes the inclusion. Let $\iota : \Sigma X \rightarrow BX$ be the adjoint map of the homotopy equivalence $X \rightarrow \Omega BX$. Then the n -fold generalized Whitehead product of ι is defined as

$$[\iota, \dots, \iota] = \{W(\psi) = \psi\omega_n \mid \psi : (\Sigma X)^{[n]} \rightarrow BX \text{ with } \psi|_{\Sigma X} = \iota \text{ for each factors}\}.$$

Williams [39] studied the connection of the C_n -space and the higher Whitehead product, and by using his result, Saumell [33] determined the higher homotopy commutativity in the case that a p -compact group X is a finite product of odd dimensional spheres. The following lemma is due to Saumell:

LEMMA 4.1 ([39; Cor. 1.5], [33; Thm. 3.2]). *Let $n \geq 2$ and X be a loop space. Then X is a C_n -space if and only if the k -fold generalized Whitehead product $[\iota, \dots, \iota]$ contains zero for $2 \leq k \leq n$.*

Now we can prove the following result:

THEOREM 4.2. *Let p be an odd prime. Then we have the following:*

- (1) $S^{2t_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{2t_l-1}$ is a C_n -space if $p \geq nt_1$.
- (2) $B_1(p)$ is a $C_{(p-1)/2}$ -space.
- (3) $B_5(19)$ is a C_3 -space.
- (4) $B_{11}(19)$ is a C_3 -space.
- (5) $B_1(19) \times B_{11}(19)$ is a C_3 -space.

PROOF. In the case of $X = S^{2t_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{2t_l-1}$, the result follows from [33; Thm. B].

Let $X = B_1(p)$ and $G = S^3 \cup_{\alpha} e^{2p+1}$, where $\alpha \in \pi_{2p}(S^3) \cong \mathbf{Z}/p$ denotes the generator. By the cell structure of X , we see that $G \subset X$. A result of McGibbon [20] implies that ΣG is a retract of ΣX , and so there exists a map $r : \Sigma X \rightarrow \Sigma G$ with $r(\Sigma i) \simeq 1_{\Sigma G}$, where $i : G \rightarrow X$ denotes the inclusion map. Let $\kappa = \iota(\Sigma i) : \Sigma G \rightarrow BX$. As in the proof of [21; Thm. 4], we can assume that $\kappa r \simeq \iota$, and so it is sufficient to show that the k -fold generalized Whitehead product $[\kappa, \dots, \kappa]$ contains zero for $2 \leq k \leq (p-1)/2$.

If $k = 2$, then $[\kappa, \kappa]$ is the ordinary generalized Whitehead product, and so $[\kappa, \kappa] = 0$ since X is a C_2 -space. Now we assume that the $(k - 1)$ -fold generalized Whitehead product contains zero. Then there exists a map $\psi : (\Sigma G)^{[k]} \rightarrow BX$ with $\psi|_{\Sigma G} = \iota$ for each factors. To show $W(\psi) = \psi\omega_k \simeq *$, it is sufficient to construct a map $\tilde{\psi} : (\Sigma G)^k \rightarrow BX$ with $\tilde{\psi}|_{(\Sigma G)^{[k]}} = \psi$, and the obstructions for the existence of $\tilde{\psi}$ belong to the following cohomology groups:

$$(4.3) \quad H^{i+1}((\Sigma G)^k, (\Sigma G)^{[k]}; \pi_i(BX)) \cong \tilde{H}^{i+1}((\Sigma G)^{(k)}; \pi_i(BX))$$

for $i \geq 1$. By [37; Thm. 3], the homotopy groups of BX are given as

$$(4.4) \quad \pi_i(BX) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/p & \text{if } i = 2p + 2j(p - 1) + 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq j < p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise for } i < 2p^2 + 1. \end{cases}$$

By the cell structure of $(\Sigma G)^{(k)}$, the obstruction groups (4.3) are zero unless $i = 4k + 2j(p - 1) - 1$ for $0 \leq j \leq k$. Since $2 \leq k \leq (p - 1)/2$, we have that $2p + 1 + 2(j - 1)(p - 1) < 4k + 2j(p - 1) - 1 < 2p + 1 + 2j(p - 1)$ for $0 \leq j \leq k$, which implies that the obstruction groups are zero by (4.4). By using similar arguments, we can obtain the required results for (3) and (4).

In the case of $X = B_1(19) \times B_{11}(19)$, we put that $G = (S^3 \cup_{\alpha} e^{39}) \vee (S^{23} \cup_{\beta} e^{59})$, where $\alpha \in \pi_{38}(S^3) \cong \mathbf{Z}/19$ and $\beta \in \pi_{58}(S^{23}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/19$ denote the generators. Since ΣG is a retract of ΣX , we can show the required result as in the case of (2). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2. \square

Next we show the following result:

THEOREM 4.5. *Let p be an odd prime. Then we have the following:*

- (1) $S^{2t_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{2t_l-1}$ is not a C_n -space if $p < nt_l$.
- (2) $B_1(p)$ is not a C_p -space.
- (3) $B_7(17)$ is not a C_3 -space.
- (4) $B_5(19)$ is not a C_4 -space.
- (5) $B_{19}(41)$ is not a C_3 -space.
- (6) $B_{11}(19)$ is not a C_4 -space.
- (7) $B_3(11) \times S^{11}$ is not a C_3 -space.
- (8) $B_1(19) \times B_{11}(19)$ is not a C_4 -space.

Let X be a simply connected p -compact group. If the mod p cohomology $H^*(X)$ is an exterior algebra, then the mod p cohomology of BX is a polynomial algebra. For $n \geq 1$, we define the n -fold decomposable module of $H^*(BX)$ as $D^1 = \tilde{H}^*(BX)$ and $D^n = D^{n-1} \cdot \tilde{H}^*(BX)$. Then we see that $D^{n+1} \subset D^n$, and D^n is closed under the action of the mod p Steenrod algebra for $n \geq 1$. In the proof of Theorem 4.5, we need the following lemma:

LEMMA 4.6 ([13; Lemma 4.8], [33; Prop. 4.1]). *Let $2 \leq n \leq p - 1$ and X be a simply connected p -compact group. If there exists an element $x \in H^*(BX)$*

satisfying that $\theta(x) = 0 \pmod{D^n}$ and $\theta(x) \neq 0 \pmod{D^{n+1} + \theta(D^n)}$ for some Steenrod operation $\theta \in \mathcal{A}_p$, then X is not a C_n -space.

By using Lemma 4.6, we can prove Theorem 4.5 as follows:

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.5. In the cases of $X = S^{2t_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{2t_l-1}$ and $X = B_1(p)$, we have the required results from [33; Thm. B] and Theorem 3.10, respectively.

Let $X = B_7(17)$. Then the mod 17 cohomology of BX is given as $H^*(BX) \cong \mathbf{Z}/17[x, y]$ with $\mathcal{P}^1(x) = y$, where $\deg x = 16$ and $\deg y = 48$, and we can set that $\mathcal{P}^1(y) = a_1x^5 + a_2x^2y$ for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbf{Z}/17$. If we assume that $a_2 = 0$, then

$$(\mathcal{P}^1)^7(y) = 7a_1^2x^5y^4 + 3a_1^3x^{11}y^2 + 3a_1^4x^{17},$$

which contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{P}^8(x) = x^{17}$, and so $a_2 \neq 0$. Since $\mathcal{P}^2(x) = 0 \pmod{D^3}$ and $\mathcal{P}^2(x) \neq 0 \pmod{D^4 + \mathcal{P}^2(D^3)}$, by applying Lemma 4.6 to $x \in H^*(BX)$ and \mathcal{P}^2 , X is not a C_3 -space.

If $X = B_5(19)$, then $H^*(BX) \cong \mathbf{Z}/19[x, y]$ with $\mathcal{P}^1(x) = y$, where $\deg x = 12$ and $\deg y = 48$. For the dimensional reason, we can set that $\mathcal{P}^1(y) = a_1x^7 + a_2x^3y$ for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbf{Z}/19$. If $a_2 = 0$, then $\mathcal{P}^1(y) = a_1x^7$, and by using a routine calculation, we have that $(\mathcal{P}^1)^5(y) = 4a_1x^3y^4 + 13a_1^2x^{11}y^2 + 4a_1^3x^{19}$. Since $\mathcal{P}^6(x) = x^{19}$, this causes a contradiction, and so $a_2 \neq 0$. Hence $\mathcal{P}^2(x) = 0 \pmod{D^4}$ and $\mathcal{P}^2(x) \neq 0 \pmod{D^5 + \mathcal{P}^2(D^4)}$, which implies that X is not a C_4 -space.

In the case of $X = B_{19}(41)$, the mod 41 cohomology of BX is given as $H^*(BX) \cong \mathbf{Z}/41[x, y]$ with $\mathcal{P}^1(x) = y$, where $\deg x = 40$ and $\deg y = 120$. For the dimensional reason, we can set that $\mathcal{P}^1(y) = a_1x^5 + a_2x^2y$ for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbf{Z}/41$. If we assume that $a_2 = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{P}^1)^{19}(y) &= 5a_1^4x^5y^{12} + 35a_1^5x^{11}y^{10} + 9a_1^6x^{17}y^8 \\ &\quad + 7a_1^7x^{23}y^6 + 29a_1^8x^{29}y^4 + 25a_1^9x^{35}y^2 + 22a_1^{10}x^{41}, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{P}^{20}(x) = x^{41}$, and so we have that $a_2 \neq 0$. Hence $\mathcal{P}^2(x) = 0 \pmod{D^3}$ and $\mathcal{P}^2(x) \neq 0 \pmod{D^4 + \mathcal{P}^2(D^3)}$, which implies that X is not a C_3 -space.

Let $X = B_{11}(19)$. Then the mod 19 cohomology of BX is given as $H^*(BX) \cong \mathbf{Z}/19[x, y]$ with $\mathcal{P}^1(x) = y$, where $\deg x = 24$ and $\deg y = 60$. For the dimensional reason, we can set that $\mathcal{P}^1(y) = ax^4$ for $a \in \mathbf{Z}/19$. If we assume that $a = 0$, then $(\mathcal{P}^1)^{11}(y) = 0$, which contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{P}^{12}(x) = x^{19}$, which implies that $a \neq 0$. Hence $\mathcal{P}^1(y) = 0 \pmod{D^4}$ and $\mathcal{P}^1(y) \neq 0 \pmod{D^5 + \mathcal{P}^1(D^4)}$, and so by Lemma 4.6, X is not a C_4 -space.

In the case of $X = B_3(11) \times S^{11}$, the mod 11 cohomology of BX is given as $H^*(BX) \cong \mathbf{Z}/11[x, y, z]$ with $\mathcal{P}^1(x) = y$, where $\deg x = 8$, $\deg y = 28$ and $\deg z = 12$. For the dimensional reasons, we can set that

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^1(z) &= a_1x^4 + a_2xz^2, \\ \mathcal{P}^1(y) &= b_1xyz + b_2z^4 + b_3x^3z^2 + b_4x^6, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i, b_j \in \mathbf{Z}/11$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$. If we assume that $a_2 = b_1 = 0$, then by using (4.8), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{P}^1)^3(y) &= (2a_1^2b_3 + 6b_4^2)x^{11} + (a_1^2b_2 + 9b_3b_4)z^2x^8 + 9a_1b_3zx^6y \\ &\quad + (3b_3^2 + 6b_2b_4)z^4x^5 + 5a_1b_2z^3x^3y + 6b_3z^2xy^2 \\ &\quad + 3b_2b_3z^6x^2 + 8b_4x^4y^2, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{P}^4(x) = x^{11}$, and so $a_2 \neq 0$ or $b_1 \neq 0$.

If $a_2 \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{P}^1(z) = 0 \pmod{D^3}$ and $\mathcal{P}^1(z) \neq 0 \pmod{D^4} + \mathcal{P}^1(D^3)$, and so by Lemma 4.6, X is not a C_3 -space. In the case of $b_1 \neq 0$, we see that $\mathcal{P}^2(x) = 0 \pmod{D^3}$ and $\mathcal{P}^2(x) \neq 0 \pmod{D^4} + \mathcal{P}^2(D^3)$, and so X is not a C_3 -space.

Finally, we consider the case of $X = B_1(19) \times B_{11}(19)$. In this case, the mod 19 cohomology of BX is given as

$$H^*(BX) \cong \mathbf{Z}/19[x, y, z, w],$$

where $\deg x = 4$, $\deg y = 24$, $\deg z = 40$, $\deg w = 60$, $\mathcal{P}^1(x) = z$ and $\mathcal{P}^1(y) = w$. For the dimensional reason, we can set that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^1(w) &= a_1x^{24} + a_2x^{18}y + a_3x^{12}y^2 + a_4x^6y^3 + a_5y^4 + a_6x^{14}z \\ &\quad + a_7x^8yz + a_8x^2y^2z + a_9x^4z^2 + a_{10}x^9w + a_{11}x^3yw, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}/19$ for $1 \leq i \leq 11$. If (x, z) denotes the ideal of $H^*(BX)$ generated by x and z , then (x, z) is closed under the action of \mathcal{P}^1 since $\mathcal{P}^1(z) = 2x^{19} \in (x, z)$. If we assume that $a_5 = 0$, then $\mathcal{P}^1(w) \in (x, z)$, which implies that $(\mathcal{P}^1)^{11}(w) \in (x, z)$. This contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{P}^{12}(y) = y^{19} \notin (x, z)$, and so we have that $a_5 \neq 0$. Hence $\mathcal{P}^1(w) = 0 \pmod{D^4}$ and $\mathcal{P}^1(w) \neq 0 \pmod{D^5} + \mathcal{P}^1(D^4)$, which shows that X is not a C_4 -space. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.5. \square

From Theorems 4.2 and 4.5, we can determine the higher homotopy commutativity of almost all the p -compact groups on the table in Theorem 1.2. In the following table, n denotes the maximal number for which X is a C_n -space but not a C_{n+1} -space.

X	p	Types	n
$S^{2t_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{2t_l-1}$	$\geq 2t_l$	(t_1, \dots, t_l)	$\lfloor p/t_l \rfloor$
$B_1(p)$	3	(2, 4)	2
—	≥ 5	$(2, p+1)$	$(p-1)/2 \leq n \leq p-1$
$B_7(17)$	17	(8, 24)	2
$B_5(19)$	19	(6, 24)	3
$B_{19}(41)$	41	(20, 60)	2
$B_{11}(19)$	19	(12, 30)	3
$B_3(11) \times S^{11}$	11	(4, 6, 14)	2
$B_1(19) \times B_{11}(19)$	19	(2, 12, 20, 30)	3

By using Theorem B, Remark 3.9 and Theorem 4.5, we have the following corollary:

COROLLARY 4.9. *Let p be an odd prime. If X is a simply connected C_{p-1} -space such that the mod p cohomology $H^*(X)$ is finitely generated as an algebra, then X is homotopy equivalent to a finite product of $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$, $B_1(p)$, $B_1(p)\langle 3 \rangle$ and $B_1(p)\langle 3; p^i \rangle$ for $i \geq 1$.*

Kawamoto-Lin [17; Thm. C] has shown the same result under the assumption that X is a C_{p-1} -space in the sense of Sugawara. Corollary 4.9 implies [17; Thm. C] since it is shown in [22; Prop. 6] that the higher homotopy commutativity of Williams is weaker than the one of Sugawara.

It is natural to ask if the converse of Corollary 4.9 holds. In the case of $p = 3$, it is known that $B_1(3)$ has the homotopy type of $Sp(2)$. McGibbon [21; Thm. 2] proved that $Sp(2)$ is a C_2 -space, and moreover, $Sp(2)\langle 3 \rangle$ and $Sp(2)\langle 3; 3^i \rangle$ are C_2 -spaces for $i \geq 1$. At present, the author does not know the corresponding result for $p > 3$. However, it seems to be reasonable to conjecture that $B_1(p)$ is a C_{p-1} -space for $p > 3$.

References

- [1] J. F. Adams and C. W. Wilkerson, Finite H -spaces and algebras over the Steenrod algebra, *Ann. of Math.* **111** (1980), 95–143.
- [2] J. Aguadé, C. Broto and D. Notbohm, Homotopy classification of spaces with interesting cohomology and a conjecture of Cooke, Part I, *Topology* **33** (1994), 455–492.
- [3] A. Bousfield and D. Kan, Homotopy limits, completions and localizations, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **304** (1972).
- [4] C. Broto and J. A. Crespo, H -spaces with noetherian mod two cohomology algebra, *Topology* **38** (1999), 353–386.

- [5] W. Browder, The cohomology of covering spaces of H -spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **65** (1959), 140–141.
- [6] ———, Homotopy commutative H -spaces, *Ann. of Math.* **75** (1962), 283–311.
- [7] A. Clark and J. Ewing, The realization of polynomial algebras as cohomology rings, *Pacific J. Math.* **50** (1974), 425–434.
- [8] J. A. Crespo, Structure of mod p H -spaces with finiteness conditions, Preprint.
- [9] E. Dror Farjoun, Cellular spaces, null spaces and homotopy localization, *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.* **1622** (1996).
- [10] W. G. Dwyer, H. R. Miller and C. W. Wilkerson, The homotopical uniqueness of classifying spaces, *Topology* **31** (1992), 29–45.
- [11] W. G. Dwyer and C. W. Wilkerson, Homotopy fixed point methods for Lie groups and finite loop spaces, *Ann. of Math.* **139** (1994), 395–442.
- [12] ———, Product splittings of p -compact groups, *Fund. Math.* **147** (1995), 275–300.
- [13] Y. Hemmi, Higher homotopy commutativity of H -spaces and the mod p torus theorem, *Pacific J. Math.* **149** (1991), 95–111.
- [14] K. Iriye and A. Kono, Mod p retracts of G -product spaces, *Math. Z.* **190** (1985), 357–363.
- [15] R. M. Kane, The homology of Hopf spaces, *North-Holland Math. Library* **40** (1988).
- [16] Y. Kawamoto, Loop spaces of H -spaces with finitely generated cohomology, *Pacific J. Math.* **190** (1999), 311–328.
- [17] Y. Kawamoto and J. P. Lin, Homotopy commutativity of H -spaces with finitely generated cohomology, Preprint.
- [18] J. P. Lin, Loops of H -spaces with finitely generated cohomology rings, *Topology Appl.* **60** (1994), 131–152.
- [19] ———, H -spaces with finiteness conditions, *Handbook of Algebraic Topology*, edited by I. M. James, North-Holland (1995), 1095–1141.
- [20] C. McGibbon, Some properties of H -spaces of rank 2, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81** (1981), 121–124.
- [21] ———, Homotopy commutativity in localized groups, *Amer. J. Math.* **106** (1984), 665–687.
- [22] ———, Higher forms of homotopy commutativity and finite loop spaces, *Math. Z.* **201** (1989), 363–374.
- [23] H. R. Miller, The Sullivan conjecture on maps from classifying spaces, *Ann. of Math.* **120** (1984), 39–87.
- [24] M. Mimura and H. Toda, Cohomology operations and the homotopy of compact Lie groups-I, *Topology* **9** (1970), 317–336.
- [25] ———, Topology of Lie groups, I and II, *Trans. Math. Monographs* **91** (1991), Amer. Math. Soc.
- [26] D. Notbohm, Classifying spaces of compact Lie groups and finite loop spaces, *Handbook of Algebraic Topology*, edited by I. M. James, North-Holland (1995), 1049–1094.
- [27] ———, Topological realization of a family of pseudoreflexion groups, *Fund. Math.* **155** (1998), 1–31.
- [28] ———, Unstable splittings of classifying spaces of p -compact groups, Preprint.
- [29] ———, For which pseudo reflection groups are the p -adic polynomial invariants again a polynomial algebra? Preprint.
- [30] ———, Spaces with polynomial mod- p cohomology, Preprint.
- [31] G. J. Porter, Higher order Whitehead products, *Topology* **3** (1965), 123–135.
- [32] L. Saumell, Homotopy commutativity of finite loop spaces, *Math. Z.* **207** (1991), 319–334.

- [33] ———, Higher homotopy commutativity in localized groups, *Math. Z.* **219** (1995), 203–213.
- [34] M. Slack, A classification theorem for homotopy commutative mod 2 H -spaces with finitely generated cohomology rings, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **92** (1991).
- [35] J. D. Stasheff, Homotopy associativity of H -spaces I, II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **108** (1963), 275–292, 293–312.
- [36] M. Sugawara, On the homotopy commutativity of groups and loop spaces, *Mem. College Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A* **33** (1960), 257–269.
- [37] H. Toda, On homotopy groups of S^3 -bundles over spheres, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **2** (1963), 193–207.
- [38] F. D. Williams, Higher homotopy-commutativity, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139** (1969), 191–206.
- [39] ———, Higher Samelson products, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **2** (1972), 249–260

*Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Hiroshima University,
Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan*

Current address. Department of Mathematics, National Defense Academy, Yokosuka 239-8686, Japan

e-mail: yusuke@cc.nda.ac.jp