

## Datko type characterizations for exponential instability in average of cocycles

Tian YUE

(Received March 14, 2023)

(Revised September 11, 2023)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we consider the problem of exponential instability behavior of random dynamical systems described by cocycles in Banach spaces. We prove some continuous and discrete versions of Datko type theorem for the exponential instability in average of cocycles. In addition, two characterizations of the exponential instability in average in terms of Lyapunov functions are given.

### 1. Introduction

It is well known that the topic of (non)uniform exponential behaviors of nonautonomous differential equations in Banach spaces has been intensively studied, both in the deterministic case and in the stochastic case. During the last couple of decades, various results concerning this subject have witnessed considerable development. One of the most important and fundamental results in the exponential stability theory of dynamical systems was given by Datko [8] in 1972.

**THEOREM 1.1** (Datko's Theorem). *A uniformly exponentially bounded evolution family  $\mathcal{U} = \{U(t, s)\}_{t \geq s \geq 0}$  on a Banach space  $X$  is uniformly exponentially stable if and only if there exists  $p \geq 1$  such that*

$$\sup_{s \geq 0} \int_s^\infty \|U(t, s)x\|^p dt < \infty,$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

In fact, the Theorem 1.1 was initially proved by Datko [7] in 1970 for  $C_0$ -semigroups acting on Hilbert spaces and  $p = 2$ . Later, using different

---

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province of China (Grant Nos. 2022CFB457, 2022CFB538) and the Industry-University Cooperation Collaborative Education Project of Ministry of Education (Grant Nos. 202002137038, 202101301022).

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37H30, 37L55; Secondary 34D05.

*Key words and phrases.* Cocycle, exponential instability in average, Datko type characterization, Lyapunov function.

techniques, Pazy in [23] proved the case  $p \geq 1$  on arbitrary Banach spaces. Also, we note that a discrete-time version of Datko's theorem was first established by Zabczyk in [32], for the particular case of the discrete semigroups on Banach spaces.

After the seminal work of Datko, with the help of Datko-Pazy theorem, Perron's methods, discrete-time methods, Banach function spaces, Lyapunov functions, Lyapunov norms and other ways, there has been a great number of papers devoted to this area (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27, 28]) and the references therein). For instance, in [27] and [28] Preda and his collaborators obtained Datko type conditions for the existence of the nonuniform exponential stability in the sense of Barreira and Valls [4] for linear skew-product semiflows and evolution families respectively. In [11] Dragičević gave some Datko type characterizations of strong nonuniform exponential behavior: stability, instability and dichotomy, for both discrete and continuous time cases. Recently, the work [11] motivated Lupa and Popescu [19] to describe the nonuniform exponential stability of evolution families, in terms of a class of admissible Banach spaces. Furthermore, we note that the techniques were gradually improved and expanded in the following directions: from uniform behavior to nonuniform behavior (see [1, 5, 10, 11, 18, 27, 28]), from exponential behavior to polynomial behavior (see [6, 15, 16]), from Datko type theorems to Barbashin type theorems (see [12, 25, 31]), from the deterministic case to the stochastic case (see [9, 17, 29, 30]).

Since some stochastic differential equations arising in nature or engineering involve the discussion of asymptotic properties of cocycles, the study of exponential behaviors of cocycles has attracted the attention of many researchers. In particular, Stoica [29] gave a Perron type characterization for uniform exponential dichotomy in mean square of stochastic cocycles in Hilbert spaces. Later, Barreira, Dragičević and Valls [2] characterized the exponential dichotomy in average of discrete-time cocycles in terms of an admissibility property (see [3] for related results in the case of continuous time). Moreover, a notable contribution in this direction was made by Dragičević in [9] who obtained an interesting Datko type theorem for exponential stability in average of cocycles.

**THEOREM 1.2** (see [9]). *Let  $\Phi$  be a cocycle, which is exponentially bounded in average. Then it is exponentially stable in average if and only if there exist  $C, p > 0$  such that*

$$\left( \int_s^\infty \left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^p d\tau \right)^{1/p} \leq C \int_\Omega \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega),$$

for all  $(s, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{F}$ .

Inspired by [9], it is natural to study the exponential instability in average of cocycles. The main purpose of this paper is to give some continuous and discrete versions of Datko type theorem for the exponential instability in average of cocycles in Banach spaces. In addition, we use our Datko type results to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of exponential instability in average in terms of proper Lyapunov functions. Variants for exponential instability in average of some well-known results in stability theory (Datko [8], Dragičević [9], Zabczyk [32], Preda et al. [27]) are obtained.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some notations and definitions that will be used in the sequel. We denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of natural numbers, by  $\mathbb{N}^*$  the set of positive integers, by  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of real numbers, by  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$  and by  $\mathcal{A} = \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : t \geq s\}$ . For any real number  $y$ , the largest integer less than or equal to  $y$  is denoted by  $[y]$ . Let  $\Omega = (\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space,  $X$  a Banach space,  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  the set of all invertible bounded linear operators acting on  $X$ . Let us consider

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ z : \Omega \rightarrow X : z \text{ is Bochner measurable and } \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) < \infty \right\},$$

which is a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|z\|_1 := \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega).$$

DEFINITION 2.1 (see [9]). A measurable map  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  is called a semiflow on  $\Omega$  if the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $\varphi(0, \omega) = \omega$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ;
- (ii)  $\varphi(t + s, \omega) = \varphi(t, \varphi(s, \omega))$  for all  $(t, s, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \Omega$ .

DEFINITION 2.2 (see [9]). Let  $\varphi$  be a semiflow on  $\Omega$ . A strongly measurable map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  (i.e.,  $(t, \omega) \mapsto \Phi(t, \omega)x$  is Bochner measurable for each  $x \in X$ ) is called a cocycle over  $\varphi$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\Phi(0, \omega) = \text{Id}$  (where  $\text{Id}$  is the identity operator on  $X$ ) for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ;
- (ii)  $\Phi(t + s, \omega) = \Phi(t, \varphi(s, \omega))\Phi(s, \omega)$  for all  $(t, s, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \Omega$ .

In what follows, we denote by

$$\Phi_{\omega}(t, s) = \Phi(t, \omega)\Phi(s, \omega)^{-1}, \quad \forall (t, s, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \Omega.$$

It is easy to see that  $\Phi_{\omega}(t, r) = \Phi_{\omega}(t, s)\Phi_{\omega}(s, r)$ , for all  $t \geq s \geq r \geq 0$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. A cocycle  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  is said to be exponentially bounded in average if there exist  $K > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \leq Ke^{\alpha(t-s)} \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $(t, s, z) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{F}$ .

Throughout the paper, for given a cocycle  $\Phi$  over a semiflow  $\varphi$ , we shall always assume that  $\Phi$  is exponentially bounded in average.

DEFINITION 2.4. A cocycle  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  is exponentially unstable in average if there are  $N > 0$  and  $v > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \geq Ne^{v(t-s)} \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega), \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $(t, s, z) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{F}$ .

REMARK 2.5. A cocycle  $\Phi$  is exponentially unstable in average if and only if there exist  $N > 0$  and  $v > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, t_0)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \geq Ne^{v(t-s)} \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(s, t_0)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega),$$

for all  $t \geq s \geq t_0 \geq 0$  and  $z \in \mathcal{F}$ .

### 3. Exponential instability in average

In this section, we present the main results of this paper. First, the continuous version of Datko type theorem for exponential instability in average of cocycles is established. Next, we prove two discrete characterizations of Datko type by using the continuous version. Finally, we show how exponential instability in average can be characterized in terms of Lyapunov functions.

The following Theorem 3.1 is a crucial result in this paper, which is an integral characterization of Datko type.

THEOREM 3.1. The cocycle  $\Phi$  is exponentially unstable in average if and only if there exist  $C > 0$  and  $p > 0$  such that

$$\left( \int_s^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-1}, \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $(s, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$ .

PROOF. Necessity. If the cocycle  $\Phi$  is exponentially unstable in average, then by Definition 2.4, there are  $N > 0$  and  $v > 0$  such that (2.2) holds. Take now any  $p > 0$ . By (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^\infty \left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \\ & \leq \int_s^\infty \left( N e^{v(\tau-s)} \int_\Omega \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \\ & = N^{-p} \left( \int_\Omega \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \int_s^\infty e^{-vp(\tau-s)} d\tau \\ & = N^{-p} \left( \int_\Omega \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \int_0^\infty e^{-vp\tau} d\tau \\ & = N^{-p}(vp)^{-1} \left( \int_\Omega \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(s, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$ . Hence, (3.1) holds with  $C = N^{-1}(vp)^{-1/p}$ .

Sufficiency. Let  $(t, s, z) \in \mathcal{A} \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$  and  $\tau \in [t, t + 1]$ . We note that it follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^p &= \left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(\tau, t)\Phi_\omega(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^p \\ &\leq \left( K e^{\alpha(\tau-t)} \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^p \\ &\leq K^p e^{\alpha p} \left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^p, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \leq K^p e^{\alpha p} \left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p}.$$

By integrating on  $[t, t + 1]$  and using (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} &\leq \int_t^{t+1} K^p e^{\alpha p} \left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \\ &\leq K^p e^{\alpha p} \int_s^\infty \left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \\ &\leq C^p K^p e^{\alpha p} \left( \int_\Omega \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \geq C^{-1}K^{-1}e^{-\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega), \quad (3.2)$$

for all  $(t, s, z) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{F}$ .

Taking now  $t \geq s \geq 0$ ,  $\tau \in [s, t]$  and  $z \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$ . By (3.2), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) &= \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, \tau)\Phi_{\omega}(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \\ &\geq C^{-1}K^{-1}e^{-\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \leq C^p K^p e^{2p\alpha} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p}.$$

Integrating now on  $[s, t]$  and using (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (t-s)^{1/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-1} &\leq \left( \int_s^t C^p K^p e^{2p\alpha} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq CK e^{\alpha} \left( \int_s^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C^2 K e^{\alpha} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$C \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \geq C^{-1}K^{-1}e^{-\alpha}(t-s)^{1/p} \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega), \quad (3.3)$$

for all  $(t, s, z) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{F}$ .

From (3.2) and (3.3) we observe that

$$\int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \geq \frac{1 + (t-s)^{1/p}}{(1+C)CKe^{\alpha}} \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega), \quad (3.4)$$

for all  $(t, s, z) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{F}$ .

It follows from (3.4) that there exists  $\xi \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \geq e \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega)$$

for all  $t - s \geq \xi$  and  $z \in \mathcal{F}$ . (3.5)

For each  $(t, s) \in \mathcal{A}$ , there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r \in [0, \xi)$  such that  $t - s = n\xi + r$ . By (3.5) and (3.2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) &= \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(n\xi + s + r, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \\ &\geq e \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}((n-1)\xi + s + r, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \\ &\geq \dots \\ &\geq e^n \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(s + r, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \\ &\geq C^{-1} K^{-1} e^{-\alpha} e^n \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \\ &= C^{-1} K^{-1} e^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{n+1} \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \\ &\geq C^{-1} K^{-1} e^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{(t-s)/\xi} \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

and thus (2.2) holds with  $N = C^{-1} K^{-1} e^{-(\alpha+1)}$  and  $v = 1/\xi$ . The proof is complete.

We now give below a discrete version of the Datko type theorem by making use of Theorem 3.1.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *The cocycle  $\Phi$  is exponentially unstable in average if and only if there exist  $\tilde{C}, p > 0$  such that*

$$\left( \sum_{n=[s]+1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(n, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \right)^{1/p} \leq \tilde{C} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-1}, \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $(s, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$ .

**PROOF.** Necessity. We suppose that the cocycle  $\Phi$  is exponentially unstable in average. Using (2.2) we deduce that for any  $p > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=[s]+1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(n, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=[s]+1}^{\infty} \left( N e^{v(n-s)} \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \\
& = N^{-p} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \sum_{n=[s]+1}^{\infty} e^{-vp(n-s)} \\
& = \frac{e^{vp(s-[s]-1)}}{1 - e^{-vp}} N^{-p} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \\
& \leq \frac{N^{-p}}{1 - e^{-vp}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p},
\end{aligned}$$

and we conclude that (3.6) holds with  $\tilde{C} = N^{-1}(1 - e^{-vp})^{-1/p}$ .

Sufficiency. Let  $(t, s, z) \in \mathcal{A} \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$ . If  $s \leq t \leq [s] + 1$ , then by (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}([s] + 1, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) &= \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}([s] + 1, t)\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \\
&\leq K e^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega). \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.6) and (3.7) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} &\leq K^p e^{xp} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}([s] + 1, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \\
&\leq \tilde{C}^p K^p e^{xp} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating now on  $[s, [s] + 1]$  we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_s^{[s]+1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} dt \\
& \leq \tilde{C}^p K^p e^{xp} \int_s^{[s]+1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} dt \\
& \leq \tilde{C}^p K^p e^{xp} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p}. \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

If  $t \geq [s] + 1$ , we denote by  $i = [t]$ . Then, it follows from (2.1) that



$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(i+1, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) &= \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(i+1, t)\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \\ &\leq Ke^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_i^{i+1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} dt \\ \leq K^p e^{2p} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(i+1, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

From (3.9) and (3.6) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[s]+1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} dt \\ = \sum_{i=[s]+1}^{\infty} \int_i^{i+1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} dt \\ \leq K^p e^{2p} \sum_{i=[s]+1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(i+1, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \\ = K^p e^{2p} \sum_{i=[s]+2}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(i, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \\ \leq \tilde{C}^p K^p e^{2p} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Combining (3.8) with (3.10), we have that

$$\int_s^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} dt \leq 2\tilde{C}^p K^p e^{2p} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p}.$$

Therefore, (3.1) holds with  $C = 2^{1/p} \tilde{C} K e^{\alpha}$ . Now by Theorem 3.1, we conclude that  $\Phi$  is exponentially unstable in average.

Another discrete characterization of the exponential instability in average is given by:

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Assume that the cocycle  $\Phi$  has exponential decay in average, that is, there exist  $\tilde{K} > 0$  and  $\tilde{\alpha} > 0$  such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \geq \tilde{K} e^{-\tilde{\alpha}(t-s)} \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \tag{3.11}$$

for all  $(t, s, z) \in \Delta \times \mathcal{F}$ . Then it is exponentially unstable in average if and only if there exist  $\tilde{C}, p > 0$  such that

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(s+n, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \right)^{1/p} \leq \tilde{C} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-1}, \quad (3.12)$$

for all  $(s, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$ .

**PROOF.** Necessity. It is a simple verification that (3.12) holds for any  $p > 0$  and  $\tilde{C} = N^{-1}(1 - e^{-vp})^{-1/p}$ , where  $N, v$  are given by Definition 2.4.

Sufficiency. Let  $(s, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$ . Using (3.11) and (3.12) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{s+n}^{s+n+1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{s+n}^{s+n+1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(\tau, s+n)\Phi_{\omega}(s+n, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{s+n}^{s+n+1} \tilde{K}^{-p} e^{\tilde{\alpha}p(\tau-s-n)} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(s+n, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{K}^{-p} e^{\tilde{\alpha}pn} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(s+n, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \\ &\leq \tilde{C}^p \tilde{K}^{-p} e^{\tilde{\alpha}pn} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.1) holds with  $C = \tilde{C}K^{-1}e^{\tilde{\alpha}}$ . By virtue of Theorem 3.1, we conclude that  $\Phi$  is exponentially unstable in average.

**REMARK 3.4.** Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 are certain versions of the classical exponential stability results due to Datko [8], Dragičević [9], and Zabczyk [32], for exponential instability in average of cocycles.

In the following, we give two characterizations for the exponential instability in average of cocycles by using Lyapunov functions.

**THEOREM 3.5.** The cocycle  $\Phi$  is exponentially unstable in average if and only if there exist  $p > 0$  and  $W : \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that:

- (i) there exists  $L > 0$  such that  $W(t, z) \leq L \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p}$ , for all  $(t, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$ ;

- (ii)  $W(t, \Phi_\omega(t, s)z(\omega)) + \int_s^t (\int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega))^{-p} d\tau = W(s, z(\omega))$ , for all  $(t, s, z) \in \mathcal{A} \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$ , where  $\Phi_\omega(t, s)z(\omega) = \Phi(t, \omega)\Phi(s, \omega)^{-1}z(\omega)$ .

PROOF. Necessity. If the cocycle  $\Phi$  is exponentially unstable in average, then by Definition 2.4, there are  $N > 0$  and  $v > 0$  such that (2.2) holds. Take an arbitrary  $p > 0$ . We define  $W : \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by the formula

$$W(t, z) = \int_t^\infty \left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(\tau, t)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau. \tag{3.13}$$

It follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} W(t, z) &\leq \int_t^\infty \left( N e^{v(\tau-t)} \int_\Omega \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \\ &= N^{-p} \left( \int_\Omega \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \int_t^\infty e^{-vp(\tau-t)} d\tau \\ &= N^{-p}(vp)^{-1} \left( \int_\Omega \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the first assertion holds with  $L = N^{-p}(vp)^{-1}$ . Moreover, by (3.13), it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} W(t, \Phi_\omega(t, s)z(\omega)) &= \int_t^\infty \left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(\tau, t)\Phi_\omega(t, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \\ &= \int_t^\infty \left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \\ &= W(s, z(\omega)) - \int_s^t \left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Sufficiency. We note that

$$\int_s^t \left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \leq W(s, z(\omega)) \leq L \left( \int_\Omega \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p},$$

for all  $(t, s, z) \in \mathcal{A} \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$ . Now, taking the limit for  $t \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$\left( \int_s^\infty \left( \int_\Omega \|\Phi_\omega(\tau, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} d\tau \right)^{1/p} \leq L^{1/p} \left( \int_\Omega \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-1},$$

for all  $(s, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$ . By Theorem 3.1, we conclude that  $\Phi$  is exponentially unstable in average.

**THEOREM 3.6.** *The cocycle  $\Phi$  is exponentially unstable in average if and only if there exist  $p > 0$  and  $W : \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that:*

- (i) *there exists  $L > 0$  such that  $W(s, z) \leq L(\int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega))^{-p}$ , for all  $(s, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$ ;*
- (ii)  *$W(s + n, \Phi_{\omega}(s + n, s)z(\omega)) + \sum_{i=[s]+1}^{[s]+n} (\int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(i, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega))^{-p} = W(s, z(\omega))$ , for all  $(n, s, z) \in \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$ .*

PROOF. Necessity. Take an arbitrary  $p > 0$ . We define

$$W : \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+,$$

$$W(s, z) = \sum_{i=[s]+1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(i, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p}. \quad (3.14)$$

Using (2.2), it can easily be verified that the first assertion holds. In addition, it follows from (3.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} & W(s + n, \Phi_{\omega}(s + n, s)z(\omega)) \\ &= \sum_{i=[s]+n+1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(i, s + n)\Phi_{\omega}(s + n, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \\ &= \sum_{i=[s]+n+1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(i, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \\ &= W(s, z(\omega)) - \sum_{i=[s]+1}^{[s]+n} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(i, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(n, s, z) \in \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$ .

Sufficiency. Since

$$\sum_{i=[s]+1}^{[s]+n} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(i, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \leq W(s, z(\omega)) \leq L \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p}$$

for all  $(n, s, z) \in \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$ , it follows that

$$\left( \sum_{i=[s]+1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\Phi_{\omega}(i, s)z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-p} \right)^{1/p} \leq L^{1/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|z(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right)^{-1},$$

for all  $(s, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\})$ . By Theorem 3.2, we conclude that  $\Phi$  is exponentially unstable in average.

REMARK 3.7. *Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 are variants for the case of exponential instability in average of a well-known result due to Preda et al. (see Theorem 3.3 in [27]) for (non)uniform exponential stability.*

### Acknowledgments

The author is sincerely grateful to the editor and the referee for carefully reading the manuscript and for valuable suggestions which led to the improvement of this paper.

### References

- [1] M. A. Alhalawa and D. Dragičević, New conditions for (non)uniform behaviour of linear cocycles over flows, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **473** (2019), no. 1, 367–381.
- [2] L. Barreira, D. Dragičević and C. Valls, Admissibility for exponential dichotomies in average, *Stoch. Dyn.*, **15** (2015), no. 3, 1550014, 16 pp.
- [3] L. Barreira, D. Dragičević and C. Valls, Exponential dichotomies in average for flows and admissibility, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **89** (2016), no. 4, 415–439.
- [4] L. Barreira and C. Valls, *Stability of nonautonomous differential equations*, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [5] A. J. G. Bento, N. Lupa, M. Megan and C. M. Silva, Integral conditions for nonuniform  $\mu$ -dichotomy on the half-line, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, **22** (2017), no. 8, 3063–3077.
- [6] R. Boruga and M. Megan, Datko type characterizations for nonuniform polynomial dichotomy, *Carpathian J. Math.*, **37** (2021), no. 1, 45–51.
- [7] R. Datko, Extending a theorem of A. M. Liapunov to Hilbert space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **32** (1970), no. 3, 610–616.
- [8] R. Datko, Uniform asymptotic stability of evolutionary processes in a Banach space, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **3** (1972), no. 3, 428–445.
- [9] D. Dragičević, A version of a theorem of R. Datko for stability in average, *Systems Control Lett.*, **96** (2016), 1–6.
- [10] D. Dragičević, Datko-Pazy conditions for nonuniform exponential stability, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, **24** (2018), no. 3, 344–357.
- [11] D. Dragičević, Strong nonuniform behaviour: a Datko type characterization, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **459** (2018), no. 1, 266–290.
- [12] D. Dragičević, Barbashin-type conditions for exponential stability of linear cocycles, *Monatsh. Math.*, **192** (2020), no. 4, 813–826.
- [13] P. V. Hai, Continuous and discrete characterizations for the uniform exponential stability of linear skew-evolution semiflows, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **72** (2010), no. 12, 4390–4396.
- [14] P. V. Hai, On two theorems regarding exponential stability, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, **5** (2011), no. 2, 240–258.
- [15] P. V. Hai, On the polynomial stability of evolution families, *Appl. Anal.*, **95** (2016), no. 6, 1239–1255.
- [16] P. V. Hai, Polynomial stability and polynomial instability for skew-evolution semiflows, *Results Math.*, **74** (2019), no. 4, 175, 19 pp.
- [17] P. V. Hai, Polynomial behavior in mean of stochastic skew-evolution semiflows, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.04214> (2019), 19 pp.
- [18] N. Lupa, M. Megan and I.-L. Popa, On weak exponential stability of evolution operators in Banach spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **73** (2010), no. 8, 2445–2450.
- [19] N. Lupa and L. H. Popescu, Admissible Banach function spaces and nonuniform stabilities, *Mediterr. J. Math.*, **17** (2020), no. 4, 105, 12 pp.

- [20] M. Megan, A. L. Sasu and B. Sasu, Banach function spaces and exponential instability of evolution families, *Arch. Math. (Brno)*, **39** (2003), no. 4, 277–286.
- [21] M. Megan, A. L. Sasu and B. Sasu, Exponential instability of linear skew-product semiflows in terms of Banach function spaces, *Results Math.*, **45** (2004), no. 3–4, 309–318.
- [22] M. Megan, A. L. Sasu and B. Sasu, Exponential stability and exponential instability for linear skew-product flows, *Math. Bohem.*, **129** (2004), no. 3, 225–243.
- [23] A. Pazy, On the applicability of Lyapunov’s theorem in Hilbert space, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **3** (1972), no. 2, 291–294.
- [24] C. Preda and P. Preda, The Lyapunov operator equation for the exponential dichotomy of one-parameter semigroups, *Systems Control Lett.*, **58** (2009), no. 4, 259–262.
- [25] C. Preda and P. Preda, An extension of a theorem of E. A. Barbashin to the dichotomy of abstract evolution operators, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, **17** (2010), no. 4, 705–715.
- [26] C. Preda and P. Preda, Lyapunov theorems for the asymptotic behavior of evolution families on the half-line, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **54** (2011), no. 2, 364–369.
- [27] C. Preda, P. Preda and F. Bătăran, An extension of a theorem of R. Datko to the case of (non)uniform exponential stability of linear skew-product semiflows, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **425** (2015), no. 2, 1148–1154.
- [28] C. Preda, P. Preda and A. Craciunescu, A version of a theorem of R. Datko for nonuniform exponential contractions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **385** (2012), no. 1, 572–581.
- [29] D. Stoica, Uniform exponential dichotomy of stochastic cocycles, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **120** (2010), no. 10, 1920–1928.
- [30] D. Stoica and M. Megan, On nonuniform dichotomy for stochastic skew-evolution semiflows in Hilbert spaces, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **62** (2012), no. 4, 879–887.
- [31] T. Yue, Barbashin type characterizations for the uniform polynomial stability and instability of evolution families, *Georgian Math. J.*, **29** (2022), no. 6, 953–966.
- [32] J. Zabczyk, Remarks on the control of discrete-time distributed parameter systems, *SIAM J. Control*, **12** (1974), no. 4, 721–735.

*Tian Yue*

*School of Mathematics, Physics and Optoelectronic Engineering  
Hubei University of Automotive Technology  
Shiyan 442002, China  
E-mail: yuet@huat.edu.cn*