

## A TOPOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF THE STRONG DISK PROPERTY ON OPEN RIEMANN SURFACES

MAKOTO ABE, GOU NAKAMURA AND HIROSHIGE SHIGA

### Abstract

In this paper, we give a topological characterization of a subdomain  $G$  of an open Riemann surface  $R$  which has the strong disk property. Namely, we show that the domain  $G$  satisfies the strong disk property in  $R$  if and only if the canonical homomorphism  $\pi_1(G) \rightarrow \pi_1(R)$  is injective.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be a Stein space and let  $G$  be an open set of  $R$ . If  $G$  is Stein and Runge in  $R$ , then  $G$  satisfies the *strong disk property* in  $R$ , that is, if  $\varphi : \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow R$  is a continuous map holomorphic on  $\Delta$  such that  $\varphi(\partial\Delta) \subset G$ , then we have that  $\varphi(\bar{\Delta}) \subset G$ , where  $\Delta$  is the open unit disk in  $\mathbf{C}$  (see Abe [1, Proposition 1]). The converse of this fact is not true in general. In fact, for every natural number  $n \geq 2$ , there exists a connected open set  $G$  of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  such that  $G$  satisfies the strong disk property but is not Runge in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  (see Abe [1, Theorem 7]).

On the other hand, by the theorem of Carathéodory, an open set  $G$  satisfies the strong disk property in  $\mathbf{C}$  if and only if  $G$  is Runge in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Moreover, an open set  $G$  of a planar open Riemann surface  $R$  satisfies the strong disk property in  $R$  if and only if every connected component of  $G$  is Runge in  $R$  (see Abe-Nakamura [3, Theorem 3.3]). In the present paper, we consider the same property on open Riemann surfaces which are not necessarily planar.

Let  $R$  be an open Riemann surface and let  $G$  be a *domain* in  $R$ , that is, a connected open set of  $R$ . We prove that  $G$  satisfies the strong disk property in  $R$  if and only if the canonical homomorphism  $\pi_1(G) \rightarrow \pi_1(R)$  is injective (see Theorem 3.1). As a corollary (Corollary 4.1), we may show that every Runge domain in an open Riemann surface  $R$  satisfies the strong disk property in  $R$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30F20, Secondary 32E30, 30E10.

*Key words and phrases.* Riemann surface, Runge domain, strong disk property.

The first author is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C)) Grant Number JP17K05301, the second author is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C)) Grant Number JP18K03348 and the third author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B)) Grant Number JP16H03933.

Received September 13, 2018; revised March 18, 2019.

It gives an alternative proof of Abe-Nakamura [3, Proposition 2.6]. We also prove that an open Riemann surface  $R$  is planar if and only if for every domain  $G$  in  $R$  the condition that  $G$  satisfies the strong disk property in  $R$  implies the condition that  $G$  is Runge in  $R$  (see Corollary 4.2). It answers the problem in Abe-Nakamura [3, Problem 3.5].

## 2. Preliminaries

An open set  $G$  of an open Riemann surface  $R$  is said to be *Runge* in  $R$  if for every  $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ , for every compact set  $K$  of  $G$ , and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $h \in \mathcal{O}(R)$  such that  $|f - h| < \varepsilon$  on  $K$ . We have the following characterization of a Runge open set of an open Riemann surface, which is originally due to Behnke-Stein [5] (see Kusunoki [6, Theorem 6.10] and Mihalache [7, Theorem 5.1]).

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be an open Riemann surface and  $G$  an open set of  $R$ . Then, the following three conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $G$  is Runge in  $R$ .
- (2) The canonical homomorphism  $H_1(G, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(R, \mathbf{Z})$  is injective.
- (3) No connected component of  $R \setminus G$  is compact.

Let  $\Delta := \{\zeta \in \mathbf{C} \mid |\zeta| < 1\}$  be the unit disk. An open set  $G$  of a Riemann surface  $R$  is said to have the *strong disk property* in  $R$  if  $G$  satisfies the following condition: if  $\varphi: \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow R$  is a continuous map holomorphic on  $\Delta$  such that  $\varphi(\partial\Delta) \subset G$ , then  $\varphi(\bar{\Delta}) \subset G$  (see Abe et al. [2], Abe [1], and Abe-Nakamura [3]).

We rephrase Proposition 2.6 in [3] in terms of open Riemann surfaces as follows.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be an open Riemann surface and  $G$  an open set of  $R$ . If every connected component of  $G$  is Runge in  $R$ , then  $G$  satisfies the strong disk property in  $R$ .*

A Riemann surface  $R$  is said to be *planar* if  $R$  is biholomorphic to a domain of the Riemann sphere. We have the following characterization of a Runge domain in a planar open Riemann surface.

**PROPOSITION 2.3** (see [3, Theorem 3.3]). *Let  $R$  be a planar open Riemann surface and  $G$  an open set of  $R$ . Then, the following two conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $G$  satisfies the strong disk property in  $R$ .
- (2) Every connected component of  $G$  is Runge in  $R$ .

## 3. Main theorem

Let  $R$  be an open Riemann surface and  $G$  a domain of  $R$ . For a base point  $p_0$  in  $G$ ,  $\iota_*: \pi_1(G, p_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(R, p_0)$  denotes the homomorphism given by the

inclusion  $\iota : G \hookrightarrow R$ . We show that the strong disk property of  $G$  in  $R$  is characterized by  $\iota_*$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *A subdomain  $G$  of an open Riemann surface  $R$  has the strong disk property in  $R$  if and only if  $\iota_*$  is injective.*

*Proof.* We may assume that the open Riemann surface  $R$  is hyperbolic, that is,  $\Delta$  is the universal covering surface of  $R$ . Our proof still works for  $R = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . Let  $\pi : \Delta \rightarrow R$  be the universal covering map and  $\tilde{G} \subset \Delta$  a connected component of  $\pi^{-1}(G)$ . We take a Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  so that  $\Delta/\Gamma = R$ .

First, we shall show “only if” part. Suppose that  $\iota_*$  is not injective. Then there exists an element  $[\gamma]$  in  $\pi_1(G, p_0)$  such that  $\iota_*([\gamma]) = [\{p_0\}] \in \pi_1(R, p_0)$  while  $[\gamma] \neq [\{p_0\}]$  in  $\pi_1(G, p_0)$ . Let  $\tilde{\gamma}$  be a connected component of  $\pi^{-1}(\gamma)$  contained in  $\tilde{G}$ . Since  $\gamma$  is homotopic to a trivial curve in  $R$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is a closed curve in  $\tilde{G}$  passing through a point  $\tilde{p}_0$  in  $\pi^{-1}(p_0)$ . Furthermore,  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is not homotopic to a trivial curve in  $\tilde{G}$ . Indeed, if it is homotopic to a trivial curve in  $\tilde{G}$ , the homotopy is projected to a homotopy in  $G$  between  $\gamma$  and a trivial curve. It is a contradiction. Thus, we see that  $\tilde{G}$  is not simply connected in  $\Delta$ . Hence, there exists a compact connected component  $\tilde{E}$  of  $\Delta \setminus \tilde{G}$ .

Let  $\tilde{G}'$  be a connected component of  $\pi^{-1}(G)$ . We show that  $\tilde{E} \cap \tilde{G}' = \emptyset$ . It is obvious if  $\tilde{G}' = \tilde{G}$ . Suppose that  $\tilde{G}' \neq \tilde{G}$ . Then, there exists a non-trivial element  $g \in \Gamma$  such that  $\tilde{G}' = g(\tilde{G})$ . If  $\tilde{E} \cap \tilde{G}' \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\tilde{G}' \subset \tilde{E}$  since  $\tilde{G}$  and  $\tilde{G}'$  are mutually disjoint. Hence we have  $g(\tilde{E}) \subset \tilde{E}$ . However, it is absurd because  $\Gamma$  acts properly discontinuously on  $\Delta$  while  $g^n(\tilde{E}) \subset \tilde{E}$  holds for the compact set  $\tilde{E}$  for every  $n$ . Thus, we conclude that  $\tilde{E} \cap \tilde{G}' = \emptyset$  and  $\pi(\tilde{E}) \cap G = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\tilde{\alpha}$  be a simple closed curve in  $\tilde{G}$  which surrounds  $\tilde{E}$ . We take a Riemann map  $F : \Delta \rightarrow D(\tilde{\alpha})$  from  $\Delta$  onto a Jordan domain  $D(\tilde{\alpha})$  bounded by  $\tilde{\alpha}$ . Then,  $f := \pi \circ F$  is a holomorphic map from  $\Delta$  to  $R$  with homeomorphic extension on  $\bar{\Delta}$  and  $f(\partial\Delta) = \pi(\tilde{\alpha}) \subset G$ . However,  $f(\Delta) \not\subset G$  since  $f(\Delta) \supset \pi(\tilde{E})$ . Thus, we see that  $G$  does not have the strong disk property in  $R$ .

Next we shall show “if” part. Suppose that  $\iota_*$  is injective. If  $\pi_1(G, p_0)$  is the trivial group, then  $G$  is a simply connected domain and any connected component of  $\pi^{-1}(G)$  is simply connected in  $\Delta$ . If  $\pi_1(G, p_0)$  is not the trivial group, then any connected component  $\tilde{G}$  of  $\pi^{-1}(G)$  is also simply connected in  $\Delta$ . Indeed, if  $\tilde{G}$  is not simply connected, then  $\Delta \setminus \tilde{G}$  has a compact connected component  $\tilde{E}$ . Let  $\tilde{\alpha}$  be a simple closed curve in  $\tilde{G}$  surrounding  $\tilde{E}$ . Then,  $\alpha := \pi(\tilde{\alpha}) \subset G$  is homotopic to a trivial curve in  $R$  because  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is a closed curve. It is also homotopic to a trivial curve in  $G$  since  $\iota_*$  is injective. However, it is absurd because  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is not homotopic to a trivial curve in  $\tilde{G}$ . Thus, we see that  $\tilde{G}$  is simply connected in  $\Delta$ . Therefore, in any case  $\tilde{G}$  is simply connected.

Let  $f : \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow R$  be a continuous map which is holomorphic in  $\Delta$ . Suppose that  $\gamma := f(\partial\Delta) \subset G$ . We may take a lift  $F : \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta$  of  $f$  such that  $\tilde{\gamma} := F(\partial\Delta) \subset \tilde{G}$ . Since  $\tilde{G}$  is simply connected, the closed curve  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is homotopic to a trivial curve in  $\tilde{G}$ . Let  $\zeta$  be a point in  $\Delta \setminus \pi^{-1}(G)$ . Then, the winding number of  $\tilde{\gamma} =$

$F(\partial\Delta)$  for  $\zeta$  is zero for any lift  $F$  of  $f$ . Indeed, since  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is homotopic to a trivial curve, there exists a continuous map  $H : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  such that

(i)  $H(0, t) = \tilde{\gamma}(t)$  and  $H(1, t) \equiv \tilde{p}_0 \in \tilde{G}$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

(ii)  $H(s, 0) = H(s, 1)$  for every  $s \in [0, 1]$ .

Let  $\tilde{\gamma}_s$  be the closed curve given by  $H(s, \cdot) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ . Since  $\tilde{\gamma}_s \not\equiv \zeta$  for every  $s \in [0, 1]$ , the winding number of  $\tilde{\gamma}_s$  for  $\zeta$  depends continuously on  $s \in [0, 1]$  and it is a constant. Noting that  $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = \{\tilde{p}_0\}$ , we verify that the winding number of  $\tilde{\gamma}_0 = \tilde{\gamma}$  for  $\zeta$  is zero. From the argument principle, we see that there is no point  $z \in \Delta$  such that  $F(z) = \zeta$ . Hence, we conclude that  $F(\Delta) \subset \tilde{G}$  and  $f(\Delta) \subset G$ . Namely,  $G$  has the strong disk property in  $R$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Corollaries

In the first part of the proof of Theorem 3.1, we found a compact connected component  $\tilde{E}$  of  $\Delta \setminus \pi^{-1}(G)$  if  $\iota_*$  is not injective. Then,  $E := \pi(\tilde{E})$  is a connected component of  $R \setminus G$  and compact. This argument is an alternative proof of Proposition 2.2 when  $G$  is a domain.

**COROLLARY 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a domain in an open Riemann surface  $R$ . If  $G$  is a Runge domain, then  $G$  has the strong disk property in  $R$ .*

Theorem 3.1 also solves a problem posed in [3] as follows.

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Let  $R$  be an open Riemann surface. Then, the Runge property and the strong disk property are the same for any domain in  $R$  if and only if  $R$  is planar.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R$  is planar and  $G$  is a proper domain of  $R$ . We may assume that  $R$  is a domain in  $\mathbf{C}$ . If  $G$  is a Runge domain, then  $G$  has the strong disk property in  $R$  from Corollary 4.1. If  $G$  is not a Runge domain, then there exists a connected component  $E$  of  $R \setminus G$  which is compact in  $R$ . Here, we claim that there exists a simple closed curve  $\alpha$  in  $G$  such that the Jordan domain  $D_\alpha$  bounded by  $\alpha$  which contains  $E$  is in  $R$ .

Indeed, as an open Riemann surface,  $G$  admits a sequence of regular subregions  $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $\overline{G_n} \subset G_{n+1}$  and  $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n$  ([4, II. 12D Theorem]), where a subregion  $D$  of  $G$  is regular if it is a relatively compact region bounded by a finite number of simple closed analytic curves and every connected component of  $G \setminus D$  is not compact. Moreover, we may assume that each boundary component of  $G_n$  is a dividing curve (cf. [6, p. 184]).

Let  $E_n$  be the connected component of  $\mathbf{C} \setminus G_n$  containing  $E$ . Then,  $E' := \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty E_n$  is connected in  $\mathbf{C} \setminus G$  which contains  $E$ . Since  $E$  is compact in  $R$ , we see that  $E' \subset R$  and  $E' = E$ . Hence,  $E_N \subset R$  if  $N$  is sufficiently large. From our assumption, each  $E_n$  is simply connected domain bounded by a simple closed analytic curve. Thus, we see that  $\partial E_N$  becomes our desired curve  $\alpha$  of the claim.

Let  $f : \Delta \rightarrow D_\alpha$  be a Riemann map onto  $D_\alpha$ . The map  $f$  admits a homeomorphic extension  $f : \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \bar{D}_\alpha$ . Hence  $f(\partial\Delta) = \alpha \subset G$ . On the other hand,

$f(\Delta) = D_\alpha \subset R$  but  $f(\Delta) \not\subset G$  because  $f(\Delta) \supset E$ . Thus, we verify that  $G$  does not have the strong disk property in  $R$ . Therefore, we conclude that the Runge property and the strong disk property are the same in  $R$ .

Next, we suppose that  $R$  is not planar. Then, there exists a non-trivial simple closed curve  $\alpha$  in  $R$  such that  $\alpha$  satisfies the following conditions.

- (1)  $R \setminus \alpha$  consists of two components  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ .
- (2)  $R_1$  is relatively compact in  $R$  (and  $\alpha$  is homologous to zero).

Let  $G$  be an annular neighborhood of  $\alpha$ . It follows from (2) that  $G$  is not Runge domain. On the other hand,  $G$  has the strong disk property in  $R$  because  $\alpha$  is non-trivial and  $I_* : \pi_1(G, p_0) \cong \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(R, p_0)$  is injective. Hence, we have a domain which is not Runge but has the strong disk property for a non-planar surface  $R$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. ABE, Polynomial convexity and strong disk property, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **321** (2006), 32–36.
- [2] M. ABE, M. FURUSHIMA AND M. TSUJI, Equicontinuity domain and disk property, *Complex Var. Theory Appl.* **39** (1999), 19–25.
- [3] M. ABE AND G. NAKAMURA, Strong disk property for domains in open Riemann surfaces, *Filomat* **30** (2016), 1711–1716.
- [4] L. V. AHLFORS AND L. SARIO, *Riemann surfaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1974.
- [5] H. BEHNKE AND K. STEIN, Entwicklung analytischer Funktionen auf Riemannschen Flächen, *Math. Ann.* **120** (1949), 430–461.
- [6] Y. KUSUNOKI, *Function theory: Riemann surfaces and conformal mappings*, Asakura Shoten, Tokyo, 1973 (in Japanese).
- [7] N. MIHALACHE, The Runge theorem on 1-dimensional Stein spaces, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **33** (1988), 601–611.

Makoto Abe  
 NATURAL SCIENCES RESEARCH DIVISION  
 SCHOOL OF INTEGRATED ARTS AND SCIENCES  
 HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY  
 1-7-1 KAGAMIYAMA  
 HIGASHI-HIROSHIMA 739-8521  
 JAPAN  
 E-mail: abem@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

Gou Nakamura  
 SCIENCE DIVISION  
 CENTER FOR GENERAL EDUCATION  
 AICHI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
 1247 YACHIGUSA, YAKUSA  
 TOYOTA 470-0392  
 JAPAN  
 E-mail: gou@aitech.ac.jp

Hiroshige Shiga  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE  
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
O-OKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU  
TOKYO 152-8551  
JAPAN

CURRENT ADDRESS:  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KYOTO SANGYO UNIVERSITY  
MOTOYAMA, KAMIGAMO, KITA-KU  
KYOTO 603-8555  
JAPAN  
E-mail: [shiga@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp](mailto:shiga@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp)