

TIKHONOV REGULARIZATION FOR DUNKL MULTIPLIER OPERATORS

FETHI SOLTANI*

Abstract

We study some class of Dunkl multiplier operators $T_{k,m}$; and we give for them an application of the theory of reproducing kernels to the Tikhonov regularization, which gives the best approximation of the operators $T_{k,m}$ on the Dunkl-type Paley-Wiener spaces H_h .

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider \mathbf{R}^d with the Euclidean inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $|y| := \sqrt{\langle y, y \rangle}$. For $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, let σ_α be the reflection in the hyperplane $H_\alpha \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ orthogonal to α :

$$\sigma_\alpha x := x - \frac{2\langle \alpha, x \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha.$$

A finite set $\mathfrak{R} \subset \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ is called a root system, if $\mathfrak{R} \cap \mathbf{R}\alpha = \{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ and $\sigma_\alpha \mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}$. We assume that it is normalized by $|\alpha|^2 = 2$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}$. For a root system \mathfrak{R} , the reflections σ_α , $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}$, generate a finite group G . The Coxeter group G is a subgroup of the orthogonal group $O(d)$. All reflections in G , correspond to suitable pairs of roots. For a given $\beta \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} H_\alpha$, we fix the positive subsystem $\mathfrak{R}_+ := \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R} : \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle > 0\}$. Then for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}$ either $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_+$ or $-\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_+$.

Let $k : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a multiplicity function on \mathfrak{R} (a function which are constant on the orbits under the action of G). As an abbreviation, we introduce the index $\gamma_k := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_+} k(\alpha)$.

Throughout this paper, we will assume that $k(\alpha) \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}$. Moreover, let w_k denote the weight function $w_k(x) := \prod_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_+} |\langle \alpha, x \rangle|^{2k(\alpha)}$, for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$, which is G -invariant and homogeneous of degree $2\gamma_k$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B10; 42B15; 46E35.

Key words and phrases. Dunkl multiplier operators, Dunkl-type Paley-Wiener space, Tikhonov regularization.

* Author partially supported by the DGRST research project LR11ES11 and CMCU program 10G/1503.

Received February 10, 2015.

Let c_k be the Mehta-type constant given by

$$c_k := \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} e^{-|x|^2/2} w_k(x) \, dx \right)^{-1}.$$

We denote by μ_k the measure on \mathbf{R}^d given by $d\mu_k(x) := c_k w_k(x) \, dx$; and by $L^p(\mu_k)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the space of measurable functions f on \mathbf{R}^d , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^p(\mu_k)} &:= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |f(x)|^p \, d\mu_k(x) \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mu_k)} &:= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} |f(x)| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For $f \in L^1(\mu_k)$ the Dunkl transform is defined (see [3]) by

$$\mathcal{F}_k(f)(y) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} E_k(-ix, y) f(x) \, d\mu_k(x), \quad y \in \mathbf{R}^d,$$

where $E_k(-ix, y)$ denotes the Dunkl kernel (for more details, see the next section).

Let m be a function in $L^\infty(\mu_k)$. The Dunkl multiplier operators $T_{k,m}$, are defined for $f \in L^2(\mu_k)$ by

$$T_{k,m}f(x) := \mathcal{F}_k^{-1}(m\mathcal{F}_k(f))(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

These operators are studied in [15, 16] where the author established some applications (Calderón’s reproducing formulas, best approximation formulas, extremal functions...).

Building on the ideas of Matsuura et al. [6], Saitoh [11, 13] and Yamada et al. [19], and using the theory of reproducing kernels [1, 10], we give best approximation of the operator $T_{k,m}$ on the Dunkl-type Paley-Wiener space H_h . More precisely, for all $\eta > 0$, $g \in L^2(\mu_k)$, the infimum

$$\inf_{f \in H_h} \{ \eta \|f\|_{H_h}^2 + \|g - T_{k,m}f\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}^2 \},$$

is attained at one function $F_{\eta,g}^*$, called the extremal function, and given by

$$F_{\eta,g}^*(y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} E_k(iy, z) \frac{\chi_h(z) \overline{m(z)} \mathcal{F}_k(g)(z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2} \, d\mu_k(z).$$

Next we show for $F_{\eta,g}^*$ the following properties.

- (i) $\|F_{\eta,g}^*\|_{H_h} \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta}} \|g\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}$.
- (ii) $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \|T_{k,m}F_{\eta,g}^* - g\|_{L^2(\mu_k)} = 0$.
- (iii) $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \|F_{\eta,T_{k,m}f}^* - f\|_{H_h} = 0$.

In the Dunkl setting, the extremal functions are studied in several directions [14, 15, 16, 17].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define and study the Dunkl multiplier operators $T_{k,m}$ on the Dunkl-type Paley-Wiener spaces H_h . The last section of this paper is devoted to give an application of the theory of reproducing kernels to the Tikhonov regularization, which gives the best approximation of the operators $T_{k,m}$ on H_h .

2. The Dunkl-type Paley-Wiener spaces

The Dunkl operators $\mathcal{D}_j; j = 1, \dots, d$, on \mathbf{R}^d associated with the finite reflection group G and multiplicity function k are given, for a function f of class C^1 on \mathbf{R}^d , by

$$\mathcal{D}_j f(x) := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_+} k(\alpha) \alpha_j \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_\alpha x)}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle}.$$

For $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$, the initial problem $\mathcal{D}_j u(\cdot, y)(x) = y_j u(x, y), j = 1, \dots, d$, with $u(0, y) = 1$ admits a unique analytic solution on \mathbf{R}^d , which will be denoted by $E_k(x, y)$ and called Dunkl kernel [2, 4]. This kernel has a unique analytic extension to $\mathbf{C}^d \times \mathbf{C}^d$ (see [8]). In our case (see [2, 3]),

$$(2.1) \quad |E_k(ix, y)| \leq 1, \quad x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

The Dunkl kernel gives rise to an integral transform, which is called Dunkl transform on \mathbf{R}^d , and was introduced by Dunkl in [3], where already many basic properties were established. Dunkl’s results were completed and extended later by De Jeu [4]. The Dunkl transform of a function f in $L^1(\mu_k)$, is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_k(f)(y) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} E_k(-ix, y) f(x) \, d\mu_k(x), \quad y \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

We notice that \mathcal{F}_0 agrees with the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} that is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(y) := (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} e^{-i\langle x, y \rangle} f(x) \, dx, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

Some of the properties of Dunkl transform \mathcal{F}_k are collected bellow (see [3, 4]).

THEOREM 2.1. (i) $L^1 - L^\infty$ -boundedness. For all $f \in L^1(\mu_k), \mathcal{F}_k(f) \in L^\infty(\mu_k)$ and

$$\|\mathcal{F}_k(f)\|_{L^\infty(\mu_k)} \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\mu_k)}.$$

(ii) Inversion theorem. Let $f \in L^1(\mu_k)$, such that $\mathcal{F}_k(f) \in L^1(\mu_k)$. Then

$$f(x) = \mathcal{F}_k(\mathcal{F}_k(f))(-x), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

(iii) Plancherel theorem. *The Dunkl transform \mathcal{F}_k extends uniquely to an isometric isomorphism of $L^2(\mu_k)$ onto itself. In particular,*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_k(f)\|_{L^2(\mu_k)} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}.$$

Let $h > 0$ and χ_h the function defined by

$$\chi_h(z) := \prod_{i=1}^d \chi_{(-1/h, 1/h)}(z_i), \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbf{R}^d,$$

where $\chi_{(-1/h, 1/h)}$ is the characteristic function of the interval $(-1/h, 1/h)$.

We define the Paley-Wiener space H_h , as

$$H_h := \mathcal{F}_k^{-1}(\chi_h L^2(\mu_k)).$$

The space H_h satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad H_h \subset L^2(\mu_k), \quad \mathcal{F}_k(H_h) \subset L^1 \cap L^2(\mu_k).$$

We see that any element $f \in H_h$ is represented uniquely by a function $F \in L^2(\mu_k)$ in the form

$$f = \mathcal{F}_k^{-1}(\chi_h F).$$

The space H_h provided with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H_h} = \|F\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}.$$

Let m be a function in $L^\infty(\mu_k)$. The Dunkl multiplier operators $T_{k,m}$, are defined for $f \in L^2(\mu_k)$ by

$$(2.3) \quad T_{k,m}f := \mathcal{F}_k^{-1}(m \mathcal{F}_k(f)).$$

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $m \in L^\infty(\mu_k)$. The operators $T_{k,m}$ are bounded linear operators from H_h into $L^2(\mu_k)$, and*

$$\|T_{k,m}f\|_{L^2(\mu_k)} \leq \|m\|_{L^\infty(\mu_k)} \|f\|_{H_h}.$$

Proof. Let $m \in L^\infty(\mu_k)$. From Theorem 2.1 (iii) and (2.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{k,m}f\|_{L^2(\mu_k)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |m(z)|^2 |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(z)|^2 \, d\mu_k(z) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|m\|_{L^\infty(\mu_k)} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \chi_h(z) |F(z)|^2 \, d\mu_k(z) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|m\|_{L^\infty(\mu_k)} \|f\|_{H_h}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the result. □

As application, we give the following example.

Example 2.3. Let m be the function defined for $t > 0$ by

$$m(z) := e^{-t|z|^2}, \quad z \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

Then $T_{k,m}f = W_{k,t}(f)$, where $W_{k,t}$ is the Dunkl-type Weierstrass transform [9, 14].

Let $\eta > 0$. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\eta, H_h}$ the inner product defined on the space H_h by

$$(2.4) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{\eta, H_h} := \eta \langle f, g \rangle_{H_h} + \langle T_{k,m}f, T_{k,m}g \rangle_{L^2(\mu_k)},$$

and the norm $\|f\|_{\eta, H_h} := \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle_{\eta, H_h}}$.

On H_h the two norms $\|\cdot\|_{H_h}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\eta, H_h}$ are equivalent. This $(H_h, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\eta, H_h})$ is a Hilbert space with reproducing kernel given by the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $\eta > 0$ and $m \in L^\infty(\mu_k)$. The space $(H_h, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\eta, H_h})$ has the reproducing kernel*

$$(2.5) \quad K_h(x, y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\chi_h(z) E_k(ix, z) E_k(-iy, z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2} d\mu_k(z),$$

that is

- (i) For all $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$, the function $x \rightarrow K_h(x, y)$ belongs to H_h .
- (ii) The reproducing property: for all $f \in H_h$ and $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$,

$$\langle f, K_h(\cdot, y) \rangle_{\eta, H_h} = f(y).$$

Proof. (i) Let $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$. From (2.1), the function $z \rightarrow \frac{\chi_h(z) E_k(-iy, z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2}$ belongs to $L^1 \cap L^2(\mu_k)$. Then, the function K_h is well defined and by Theorem 2.1 (ii), we have

$$(2.6) \quad K_h(x, y) = \mathcal{F}_k^{-1} \left(\frac{\chi_h(z) E_k(-iy, z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2} \right) (x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

Then by Theorem 2.1 (iii) and (2.1), we obtain

$$|\mathcal{F}_k(K_h(\cdot, y))(z)| \leq \frac{\chi_h(z)}{\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad \|K_h(\cdot, y)\|_{H_h}^2 \leq \frac{c_k 2^{\gamma_k+d} d^{\gamma_k}}{\eta^2 h^{2\gamma_k+d}}.$$

This proves that for all $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$ the function $K_h(\cdot, y)$ belongs to H_h .

- (ii) Let $f \in H_h$ and $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$. From (2.4) and (2.6), we have

$$\langle f, K_h(\cdot, y) \rangle_{\eta, H_h} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} E_k(iy, z) \mathcal{F}_k(f)(z) d\mu_k(z),$$

and from (2.2), we obtain the reproducing property:

$$\langle f, K_h(\cdot, y) \rangle_{\eta, H_h} = f(y).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

3. Extremal functions for the operators $T_{k,m}$

In this section, by using the theory of extremal function and reproducing kernel of Hilbert space [10, 11, 12, 13] we study the extremal function associated to the Dunkl multiplier operators $T_{k,m}$. In the particular case when $k = 0$ this function is studied in [7, 18]. The main result of this section can be stated as follows.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $m \in L^\infty(\mu_k)$. For any $g \in L^2(\mu_k)$ and for any $\eta > 0$, there exists a unique function $F_{\eta,g}^*$, where the infimum*

$$(3.1) \quad \inf_{f \in H_h} \{ \eta \|f\|_{H_h}^2 + \|g - T_{k,m}f\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}^2 \}$$

is attained. Moreover, the extremal function $F_{\eta,g}^$ is given by*

$$F_{\eta,g}^*(y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} g(x) Q_h(x, y) \, d\mu_k(x),$$

where

$$Q_h(x, y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\chi_h(z) \overline{m(z)} E_k(-ix, z) E_k(iy, z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2} \, d\mu_k(z).$$

Proof. The existence and unicity of the extremal function $F_{\eta,g}^*$ satisfying (3.1) is obtained in [5, 6, 12]. Especially, $F_{\eta,g}^*$ is given by the reproducing kernel of H_h with $\|\cdot\|_{\eta, H_h}$ norm as

$$(3.2) \quad F_{\eta,g}^*(y) = \langle g, T_{k,m}(K_h(\cdot, y)) \rangle_{L^2(\mu_k)},$$

where K_h is the kernel given by (2.5).

But by Theorem 2.1 (ii) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k,m}(K_h(\cdot, y))(x) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} m(z) \mathcal{F}_k(K_h(\cdot, y))(z) E_k(ix, z) \, d\mu_k(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\chi_h(z) m(z) E_k(ix, z) E_k(-iy, z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2} \, d\mu_k(z). \end{aligned}$$

This clearly yields the result. □

As application, we give the following example.

Example 3.2. Let $\eta > 0$ and $g \in L^2(\mu_k)$. If $m(z) := e^{-t|z|^2}$, $t > 0$, then

$$F_{\eta,g}^*(y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} g(x) Q_h(x, y) \, d\mu_k(x),$$

where

$$Q_h(x, y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\chi_h(z) E_k(-ix, z) E_k(iy, z)}{\eta e^{t|z|^2} + e^{-t|z|^2}} \, d\mu_k(z).$$

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $\eta > 0$ and $g \in L^2(\mu_k)$. The extremal function $F_{\eta,g}^*$ satisfies:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & |F_{\eta,g}^*(y)| \leq \left(\frac{c_k 2^{\gamma_k+d} d^{\gamma_k}}{4\eta h^{2\gamma_k+d}} \right)^{1/2} \|g\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}. \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \|F_{\eta,g}^*\|_{L^2(\mu_k)} \leq \left(\frac{c_k 2^{\gamma_k+d} d^{\gamma_k}}{4\eta h^{2\gamma_k+d}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |g(x)|^2 e^{|\mathbf{x}|^2/2} d\mu_k(x) \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) From (3.2) and Theorem 2.1 (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{\eta,g}^*(y)| &\leq \|g\|_{L^2(\mu_k)} \|T_{k,m}(K_h(\cdot, y))\|_{L^2(\mu_k)} \\ &\leq \|g\|_{L^2(\mu_k)} \|m_{\mathcal{F}_k}(K_h(\cdot, y))\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (2.6) we deduce

$$(3.3) \quad |F_{\eta,g}^*(y)| \leq \|g\|_{L^2(\mu_k)} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\chi_h(z) |m(z)|^2 d\mu_k(z)}{[\eta + |m(z)|^2]^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Using the fact that

$$(3.4) \quad [\eta + |m(z)|^2]^2 \geq 4\eta |m(z)|^2,$$

we obtain the result.

(ii) We write

$$F_{\eta,g}^*(y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} e^{-|\mathbf{x}|^2/4} e^{|\mathbf{x}|^2/4} g(x) Q_h(x, y) d\mu_k(x).$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$|F_{\eta,g}^*(y)|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |g(x)|^2 e^{|\mathbf{x}|^2/2} |Q_h(x, y)|^2 d\mu_k(x).$$

Thus and from Fubini-Tonnelli's theorem, we get

$$(3.5) \quad \|F_{\eta,g}^*\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}^2 \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |g(x)|^2 e^{|\mathbf{x}|^2/2} \|Q_h(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}^2 d\mu_k(x).$$

The function $z \rightarrow \frac{\chi_h(z) \overline{m(z)} E_k(-ix, z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2}$ belongs to $L^1 \cap L^2(\mu_k)$, then by Theorem 2.1 (ii),

$$Q_h(x, y) = \mathcal{F}_k^{-1} \left(\frac{\chi_h(z) \overline{m(z)} E_k(-ix, z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2} \right) (y).$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.1 (iii) we deduce that

$$(3.6) \quad \|Q_h(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}^2 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}_k(Q_h(x, \cdot))(z)|^2 d\mu_k(z) \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\chi_h(z) |m(z)|^2 d\mu_k(z)}{[\eta + |m(z)|^2]^2}.$$

Then using the inequality (3.4), we obtain

$$\|Q_h(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mu_k)} \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \chi_h(z) \, d\mu_k(z) \right)^{1/2}.$$

From this inequality and (3.5) we deduce the result. □

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let $\eta > 0$. For every $g \in L^2(\mu_k)$, we have*

(i) $F_{\eta,g}^*(y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} E_k(iy, z) \frac{\chi_h(z) \overline{m(z)} \mathcal{F}_k(g)(z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2} \, d\mu_k(z).$

(ii) $\mathcal{F}_k(F_{\eta,g}^*)(z) = \frac{\chi_h(z) \overline{m(z)} \mathcal{F}_k(g)(z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2}.$

(iii) $\|F_{\eta,g}^*\|_{H_h} \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta}} \|g\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}.$

Proof. (i) follows from (3.2) by using Theorem 2.1 (iii) and (2.6).

(ii) The function $z \rightarrow \frac{\chi_h(z) \overline{m(z)} \mathcal{F}_k(g)(z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2}$ belongs to $L^1 \cap L^2(\mu_k)$. Then by Theorem 2.1 (ii), we have

$$F_{\eta,g}^*(y) = \mathcal{F}_k^{-1} \left(\frac{\chi_h(z) \overline{m(z)} \mathcal{F}_k(g)(z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2} \right) (y).$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.1 (iii), we obtain (ii).

(iii) By relation (ii) we have

$$\|F_{\eta,g}^*\|_{H_h}^2 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_k(f_{\eta,g}^*)(z)|^2}{\chi_h(z)} \, d\mu_k(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{|m(z)|^2 |\mathcal{F}_k(g)(z)|^2}{[\eta + |m(z)|^2]^2} \, d\mu_k(z).$$

Using the inequality (3.4), we obtain

$$\|F_{\eta,g}^*\|_{H_h}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4\eta} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}_k(g)(z)|^2 \, d\mu_k(z) = \frac{1}{4\eta} \|g\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}^2,$$

which ends the proof. □

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $\eta > 0$. For every $g \in L^2(\mu_k)$, we have*

(i) $T_{k,m} F_{\eta,g}^*(y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} E_k(iy, z) \frac{\chi_h(z) |m(z)|^2 \mathcal{F}_k(g)(z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2} \, d\mu_k(z).$

(ii) $\mathcal{F}_k(T_{k,m} F_{\eta,g}^*)(z) = \frac{\chi_h(z) |m(z)|^2 \mathcal{F}_k(g)(z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2}.$

(iii) $T_{k,m} F_{\eta,g}^*(y) = F_{\eta, T_{k,m}g}^*(y).$

(iv) $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \|T_{k,m} F_{\eta,g}^* - g\|_{L^2(\mu_k)} = 0.$

Proof. From (2.3) and Corollary 3.4 (ii), we have

$$T_{k,m} F_{\eta,g}^*(y) = \mathcal{F}_k^{-1} \left(\frac{\chi_h(z) |m(z)|^2 \mathcal{F}_k(g)(z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2} \right) (y).$$

The function $z \rightarrow \frac{\chi_h(z)|m(z)|^2 \mathcal{F}_k(g)(z)}{\eta + |m(z)|^2}$ belongs to $L^1 \cap L^2(\mu_k)$. Then by Theorem 2.1 (ii), we obtain (i), and by Theorem 2.1 (iii) we obtain (ii).
 (iii) follows from (i) and Corollary 3.4 (i).
 (iv) From (ii) we have

$$\mathcal{F}_k(T_{k,m}F_{\eta,g}^* - g)(z) = \frac{-\eta \overline{\mathcal{F}_k(g)}(z)}{\eta + \chi_h(z)|m(z)|^2}.$$

Thus,

$$\|T_{k,m}F_{\eta,g}^* - g\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}^2 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\eta^2 |\mathcal{F}_k(g)(z)|^2}{[\eta + \chi_h(z)|m(z)|^2]^2} d\mu_k(z).$$

Using the dominated convergence theorem and the fact that

$$\frac{\eta^2 |\mathcal{F}_k(g)(z)|^2}{[\eta + \chi_h(z)|m(z)|^2]^2} \leq |\mathcal{F}_k(g)(z)|^2,$$

we deduce (iv). □

THEOREM 3.6. *Let $\eta > 0$. For every $f \in H_h$, we have*

- (i) $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \|F_{\eta, T_{k,m}f}^* - f\|_{L^\infty(\mu_k)} = 0$.
- (ii) $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \|F_{\eta, T_{k,m}f}^* - f\|_{H_h} = 0$.

Proof. (i) From (2.2), the function $\mathcal{F}_k(f) \in L^1 \cap L^2(\mu_k)$. Then by Corollary 3.4 (i) and Theorem 2.1 (ii),

$$(3.7) \quad F_{\eta, T_{k,m}f}^*(y) - f(y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{-\eta \overline{\mathcal{F}_k(f)}(z)}{\eta + \chi_h(z)|m(z)|^2} E_k(iy, z) d\mu_k(z).$$

So

$$\|F_{\eta, T_{k,m}f}^* - f\|_{L^\infty(\mu_k)} \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\eta |\overline{\mathcal{F}_k(f)}(z)|}{\eta + \chi_h(z)|m(z)|^2} d\mu_k(z).$$

Again, by dominated convergence theorem and the fact that

$$\frac{\eta |\overline{\mathcal{F}_k(f)}(z)|}{\eta + \chi_h(z)|m(z)|^2} \leq |\overline{\mathcal{F}_k(f)}(z)|,$$

we deduce (i).

- (ii) From (3.7) we have

$$\mathcal{F}_k(F_{\eta, T_{k,m}f}^* - f)(z) = \frac{-\eta \overline{\mathcal{F}_k(f)}(z)}{\eta + \chi_h(z)|m(z)|^2}.$$

Consequently,

$$\|F_{\eta, T_{k,m}f}^* - f\|_{H_h}^2 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\eta^2 |\overline{\mathcal{F}_k(f)}(z)|^2}{\chi_h(z)[\eta + \chi_h(z)|m(z)|^2]^2} d\mu_k(z).$$

Using the dominated convergence theorem and the fact that

$$\frac{\eta^2 |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(z)|^2}{\chi_h(z) [\eta + \chi_h(z) |m(z)|^2]^2} \leq \frac{|\mathcal{F}_k(f)(z)|^2}{\chi_h(z)},$$

we deduce (ii). □

Remark 3.7. ($\eta = 0$). Let $m \in L^\infty(\mu_k)$ with $m \neq 0$; and let $g \in L^2(\mu_k)$.

(i) From (3.3) we have

$$|F_{0,g}^*(y)| \leq \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\chi_h(z)}{|m(z)|^2} d\mu_k(z) \right)^{1/2} \|g\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}.$$

If we take $g = T_{k,m}f$ when $f \in H_h$, then by Theorem 3.6 (i), we get

$$|f(y)| \leq \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\chi_h(z)}{|m(z)|^2} d\mu_k(z) \right)^{1/2} \|T_{k,m}f\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}.$$

(ii) From (3.6) we have

$$\|Q_h(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mu_k)}^2 \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\chi_h(z)}{|m(z)|^2} d\mu_k(z).$$

Then by (3.5) we obtain

$$\|F_{0,g}^*\|_{L^2(\mu_k)} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\chi_h(z)}{|m(z)|^2} d\mu_k(z) \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |g(x)|^2 e^{|\mathbf{x}|^2/2} d\mu_k(x) \right)^{1/2}.$$

By Theorem 3.5 (iii) and (iv), we deduce that

$$\|g\|_{L^2(\mu_k)} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\chi_h(z)}{|m(z)|^2} d\mu_k(z) \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |T_{k,m}g(x)|^2 e^{|\mathbf{x}|^2/2} d\mu_k(x) \right)^{1/2}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] N. ARONSZAJN, Theory of reproducing kernels, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **68** (1948), 337–404.
- [2] C. F. DUNKL, Integral kernels with reflection group invariance, *Canad. J. Math.* **43** (1991), 1213–1227.
- [3] C. F. DUNKL, Hankel transforms associated to finite reflection groups, *Contemp. Math.* **138** (1992), 123–138.
- [4] M. F. E. DE JEU, The Dunkl transform, *Invent. Math.* **113** (1993), 147–162.
- [5] G. S. KIMELDORF AND G. WAHBA, Some results on Tchebycheffian spline functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **33** (1971), 82–95.
- [6] T. MATSUURA, S. SAITOH AND D. D. TRONG, Inversion formulas in heat conduction multi-dimensional spaces, *J. Inv. Ill-posed Problems* **13** (2005), 479–493.
- [7] T. MATSUURA AND S. SAITOH, Analytical and numerical inversion formulas in the Gaussian convolution by using the Paley-Wiener spaces, *Appl. Anal.* **85** (2006), 901–915.
- [8] E. M. OPDAM, Dunkl operators, Bessel functions and the discriminant of a finite Coxeter group, *Compositio Math.* **85** (1993), 333–373.

- [9] M. RÖSLER AND M. VOIT, Markov processes related with Dunkl operators, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **21** (1998), 575–643.
- [10] S. SAITOH, Hilbert spaces induced by Hilbert space valued functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **89** (1983), 74–78.
- [11] S. SAITOH, The Weierstrass transform and an isometry in the heat equation, *Appl. Anal.* **16** (1983), 1–6.
- [12] S. SAITOH, Approximate real inversion formulas of the Gaussian convolution, *Appl. Anal.* **83** (2004), 727–733.
- [13] S. SAITOH, Best approximation, Tikhonov regularization and reproducing kernels, *Kodai Math. J.* **28** (2005), 359–367.
- [14] F. SOLTANI, Inversion formulas in the Dunkl-type heat conduction on \mathbf{R}^d , *Appl. Anal.* **84** (2005), 541–553.
- [15] F. SOLTANI, Best approximation formulas for the Dunkl L^2 -multiplier operators on \mathbf{R}^d , *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **42** (2012), 305–328.
- [16] F. SOLTANI, Multiplier operators and extremal functions related to the dual Dunkl-Sonine operator, *Acta Math. Sci.* **33B** (2013), 430–442.
- [17] F. SOLTANI, Inversion formulas for the Dunkl-type Segal-Bargmann transform, *Int. Trans. Spec. Funct.* **26** (2015), 325–339.
- [18] F. SOLTANI AND A. NEMRI, Analytical and numerical approximation formulas for the Fourier multiplier operators, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **9** (2015), 121–138.
- [19] M. YAMADA, T. MATSUURA AND S. SAITOH, Representations of inverse functions by the integral transform with the sign kernel, *Frac. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **2** (2007), 161–168.

Fethi Soltani
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
JAZAN UNIVERSITY
P.O. Box 277
JAZAN 45142
SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail: fethisoltani10@yahoo.com