

## PARTIAL GENERALIZATIONS OF SOME CONJECTURES IN LORENTZIAN MANIFOLDS

ZHONGYANG SUN

### Abstract

In this paper, we mainly investigate complete or compact spacelike hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature or constant scalar curvature in Lorentzian manifolds  $L_1^{n+1}$ . We give a new estimate of the Laplacian  $\Delta S$  of the squared length  $S$  of the second fundamental form of such spacelike hypersurfaces. Finally, we give partial generalizations of some Conjectures in Lorentzian manifolds  $L_1^{n+1}$ .

### 1. Introduction

In 1981, S. Stumbles [19] pointed out that spacelike hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in arbitrary spacetimes are interesting in the relativity. Therefore, complete spacelike hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in a Lorentz space form  $\bar{M}_1^{n+1}(c)$  are studied by many geometers. For example, A. J. Goddard [8] proposed the following Conjecture:

CONJECTURE 1. *If  $M^n$  is a complete spacelike hypersurface of de Sitter space  $S_1^{n+1}(c)$  with constant mean curvature  $H$ , then is  $M^n$  totally umbilical?*

When  $H^2 \leq c$  if  $n = 2$  or when  $n^2 H^2 < 4(n-1)c$  if  $n \geq 3$ , K. Akutagawa [1] and J. Ramanathan [18] proved that Goddard's conjecture is true. S. Montiel [13] solved Goddard's problem without restriction over the range of  $H$  provided that  $M^n$  is compact. For further study in this direction, there are many results such as [10, 14].

In 2004, J. Ok Baek, Q. M. Cheng and Y. Jin Suh [15] studied complete spacelike hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in a locally symmetric Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  and obtained some rigidity theorems.

On the other hand, concerning the study of spacelike hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in a de Sitter space  $S_1^{n+1}(c)$ , H. Li proposed an interesting problem:

---

*Key words and phrases.* Lorentzian manifolds, Constant mean curvature, Constant scalar curvature, Spacelike hypersurfaces, Second fundamental form.

Received October 16, 2012; revised March 1, 2013.

CONJECTURE 2. *If  $M^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) is a complete spacelike hypersurface in de Sitter space  $S_1^{n+1}(1)$  with constant normalized scalar curvature  $R$  satisfying  $\frac{n-2}{n} \leq R \leq 1$ , then is  $M^n$  totally umbilical?*

Recently, F. E. C. Camargo et al. [4] proved that Li's question is true if  $M^n$  has bounded mean curvature  $H$ . For further study in this direction, there are many results such as [3, 5] and [9].

In 2010, J. C. Liu and Z. Y. Sun [12] studied complete or compact spacelike hypersurfaces with constant normalized scalar curvature  $R$  in a locally symmetric Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  and obtained some rigidity theorems.

It is natural to study complete or compact spacelike hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature or constant scalar curvature in Lorentzian manifolds  $L_1^{n+1}$ .

In Section 3, we give generalizations of [15, Theorem 1 (1)] and [1, Theorem] in Lorentzian manifolds  $L_1^{n+1}$ . Thus, we obtain Theorems 3.2 and 3.3.

In Section 4, we give generalizations of [12, Theorems 1.1–1.2(i)] in Lorentzian manifolds  $L_1^{n+1}$ . Thus, we get Theorems 4.4 and 4.5.

In order to prove our results, we need some basic facts and notations. First we recall that, for some constants  $c_1, c_2$  and  $c_3$ , Jin Ok Baek et al. [15] introduced the class of  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Lorentz spaces  $L_1^{n+1}$  which satisfy the following conditions:

(i) for any spacelike vector  $u$  and any timelike vector  $v$

$$(1.1) \quad K(u, v) = -\frac{c_1}{n},$$

(ii) for any spacelike vectors  $u$  and  $v$

$$(1.2) \quad K(u, v) \geq c_2,$$

(iii)

$$(1.3) \quad |\bar{\nabla} \bar{R}| \leq \frac{c_3}{n},$$

where  $\bar{\nabla}$ ,  $K$  and  $\bar{R}$  denote semi-Riemannian connection, sectional curvature and the curvature tensor on  $L_1^{n+1}$ , respectively.

When  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfies conditions (1.1) and (1.2), we will say that  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfies condition (\*).

When  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfies conditions (1.1) and (1.2) and (1.3), we will say that  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfies condition (\*\*).

*Remark 1.1.* It can be easily seen that  $c_3 = 0$ , then the Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  is *locally symmetric*.

*Remark 1.2.* The Lorentz space form  $\bar{M}_1^{n+1}(c) \in L_1^{n+1}$  satisfies the condition (\*), where  $c = -\frac{c_1}{n} = c_2$ .

This class of Lorentzian manifolds  $L_1^{n+1}$  contains several examples. For example, semi-Riemannian product manifold  $H_1^k(-c_1/n) \times N^{n+1-k}(c_2)$ ,  $c_1 > 0$ ,

and  $\mathbf{R}_1^k \times S^{n+1-k}(1)$ . Particularly,  $\mathbf{R}_1^1 \times S^n(1)$  is the so-called *Einstein Static Universe*. Of course, it is not a Lorentz space form. For more details, we refer the readers to [6, 15] and [20].

**2. Preliminaries**

In this section, we give a new estimate of the Laplacian  $\Delta S$  of the squared length  $S$  of the second fundamental form for spacelike hypersurfaces in Lorentzian manifolds  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfying (\*\*). We will use the following convention for the indices throughout this paper:  $1 \leq A, B, C, \dots \leq n+1; 1 \leq i, j, k, \dots \leq n$ .

We assume that  $M^n$  is a spacelike hypersurface in Lorentzian manifolds  $L_1^{n+1}$ . Choose a local field of pseudo-Riemannian orthonormal frames  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$  in  $L_1^{n+1}$  such that, restricted to  $M^n$ ,  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  are tangent to  $M^n$  and  $e_{n+1}$  is normal to  $M^n$ . That is,  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  are spacelike vectors and  $e_{n+1}$  is a timelike vector. Let  $\{\omega_A\}$  and  $\{\omega_{AB}\}$  be the fields of dual frames and the connection forms of  $L_1^{n+1}$ , respectively. Let  $\varepsilon_i = 1, \varepsilon_{n+1} = -1$ , then the structure equations of  $L_1^{n+1}$  are given by

$$d\omega_A = - \sum_B \varepsilon_A \omega_{AB} \wedge \omega_B, \quad \omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0,$$

$$d\omega_{AB} = - \sum_C \varepsilon_C \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{C,D} \varepsilon_C \varepsilon_D \bar{R}_{ABCD} \omega_C \wedge \omega_D.$$

Here the components  $\bar{R}_{CD}$  of the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature  $\bar{R}$  of Lorentzian manifolds  $L_1^{n+1}$  are given, respectively, by

$$\bar{R}_{CD} = \sum_B \varepsilon_B \bar{R}_{BCDB}, \quad \bar{R} = \sum_A \varepsilon_A \bar{R}_{AA}.$$

Let  $\bar{R}_{CD}$  be the components of the Ricci tensor of  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfying (\*), then the scalar curvautre  $\bar{R}$  of  $L_1^{n+1}$  is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{R} = \sum_{A=1}^{n+1} \varepsilon_A \bar{R}_{AA} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{R}_{(n+1)ii(n+1)} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \bar{R}_{ijji} = 2c_1 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \bar{R}_{ijji}.$$

It is well known that  $\bar{R}$  is constant when the Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  is locally symmetric. Together with (2.1), we know that  $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \bar{R}_{ijji}$  is constant. Hence, when  $M^n$  is a spacelike hypersurface in locally symmetric Lorentzian manifolds  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfying (\*), we conclude from (2.5) in Section 2 that the normalized scalar curvature  $R$  of  $M^n$  is constant if and only if  $P$  is constant.

The components  $\bar{R}_{ABCD;E}$  of the covariant derivative of the Riemannian curvature tensor  $\bar{R}$  are defined by

$$\sum_E \varepsilon_E \bar{R}_{ABCD;E} \omega_E$$

$$= d\bar{R}_{ABCD} - \sum_E \varepsilon_E (\bar{R}_{EBCD} \omega_{EA} + \bar{R}_{AECD} \omega_{EB} + \bar{R}_{ABED} \omega_{EC} + \bar{R}_{ABCE} \omega_{ED}).$$

We restrict these forms to  $M^n$  in  $L_1^{n+1}$ , then  $\omega_{n+1} = 0$ . Hence, we have  $\sum_i \omega_{(n+1)i} \wedge \omega_i = 0$ . Using Cartan's lemma, we know that there are  $h_{ij}$  such that  $\omega_{(n+1)i} = \sum_j h_{ij} \omega_j$  and  $h_{ij} = h_{ji}$ , where the  $h_{ij}$  are the coefficients of the second fundamental form of  $M^n$ . This gives the second fundamental form of  $M^n$ ,  $h = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j$ .

The Gauss equation, components  $R_{ij}$  of the Ricci tensor and the normalized scalar curvature  $R$  of  $M^n$  are given, respectively, by

$$(2.2) \quad R_{ijkl} = \bar{R}_{ijkl} - (h_{il}h_{jk} - h_{ik}h_{jl}),$$

$$(2.3) \quad R_{ij} = \sum_k \bar{R}_{kijk} - nHh_{ij} + \sum_k h_{ik}h_{kj},$$

$$(2.4) \quad n(n-1)R = \sum_{i,j} \bar{R}_{ijji} - n^2H^2 + S,$$

where  $H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_j h_{jj}$  and  $S = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2$  are the mean curvature and the squared length of the second fundamental form of  $M^n$ , respectively.

From (2.4), we can define a  $P$  such that

$$(2.5) \quad n(n-1)P = n^2H^2 - S = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \bar{R}_{ijji} - n(n-1)R.$$

Let  $h_{ijk}$ ,  $h_{ijkl}$  denote the first and the second covariant derivatives of  $h_{ij}$ , respectively, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k h_{ijk} \omega_k &= dh_{ij} - \sum_k h_{ik} \omega_{kj} - \sum_k h_{kj} \omega_{ki}, \\ \sum_l h_{ijkl} \omega_l &= dh_{ijk} - \sum_l h_{ljk} \omega_{li} - \sum_l h_{ilk} \omega_{lj} - \sum_l h_{ijl} \omega_{lk}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the Codazzi equation and the Ricci identity

$$(2.6) \quad h_{ijk} - h_{ikj} = \bar{R}_{(n+1)ijk},$$

$$(2.7) \quad h_{ijkl} - h_{ijlk} = - \sum_m h_{im} R_{mjkl} - \sum_m h_{jm} R_{mikl}.$$

Let  $\bar{R}_{ABCD;E}$  be the covariant derivative of  $\bar{R}_{ABCD}$ . Thus, restricted on  $M^n$ ,  $\bar{R}_{(n+1)ijk;l}$  is given by

$$(2.8) \quad \bar{R}_{(n+1)ijk;l} = \bar{R}_{(n+1)ijkl} + \bar{R}_{(n+1)i(n+1)k} h_{jl} + \bar{R}_{(n+1)ij(n+1)} h_{kl} + \sum_m \bar{R}_{mijk} h_{ml},$$

where  $\bar{R}_{(n+1)ijk;l}$  denotes the covariant derivative of  $\bar{R}_{(n+1)ijk}$  as a tensor on  $M^n$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_l \bar{R}_{(n+1)ijk;l} \omega_l &= d\bar{R}_{(n+1)ijk} - \sum_l \bar{R}_{(n+1)ljk} \omega_{li} \\ &\quad - \sum_l \bar{R}_{(n+1)ilk} \omega_{lj} - \sum_l \bar{R}_{(n+1)ijl} \omega_{lk}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we compute the Laplacian  $\Delta h_{ij} = \sum_k h_{ijkk}$ . From (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta h_{ij} &= \sum_k h_{ikjk} + \bar{R}_{(n+1)ijk;k} \\ &= \sum_k \left( h_{kikj} - \sum_l (h_{kl} R_{lij} + h_{il} R_{lkj}) + \bar{R}_{(n+1)ijk;k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From  $h_{kij} = h_{kkij} + \bar{R}_{(n+1)kik;j}$ , we get

$$(2.9) \quad \Delta h_{ij} = (nH)_{ij} + \sum_k (\bar{R}_{(n+1)ijk;k} + \bar{R}_{(n+1)kik;j}) - \sum_{k,l} (h_{kl} R_{lij} + h_{il} R_{lkj}).$$

From (2.2) and (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta h_{ij} &= (nH)_{ij} + \sum_k (\bar{R}_{(n+1)ijk;k} + \bar{R}_{(n+1)kik;j}) \\ &\quad - \sum_k (h_{kk} \bar{R}_{(n+1)ij(n+1)} + h_{ij} \bar{R}_{(n+1)k(n+1)k}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k,l} (2h_{kl} \bar{R}_{lij} + h_{jl} \bar{R}_{lik} + h_{il} \bar{R}_{lkj}) - nH \sum_l h_{il} h_{lj} + Sh_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

According to the above equation, the Laplacian of the squared length  $S$  of the second fundamental form  $h_{ij}$  of  $M^n$  is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta S &= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 + \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \Delta h_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 + \sum_{i,j} (nH)_{ij} h_{ij} + \sum_{i,j,k} (\bar{R}_{(n+1)ijk;k} + \bar{R}_{(n+1)kik;j}) h_{ij} \\ &\quad - \left( \sum_{i,j} nH h_{ij} \bar{R}_{(n+1)ij(n+1)} + S \sum_k \bar{R}_{(n+1)k(n+1)k} \right) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{i,j,k,l} (h_{kl} h_{ij} \bar{R}_{lij} + h_{il} h_{ij} \bar{R}_{lkj}) - nH \sum_{i,j,l} h_{il} h_{lj} + S^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will estimate the right-hand side of (2.10) by using the curvature conditions (\*\*). We choose a local orthonormal frame field  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  such

that  $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ , where  $\lambda_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , are principal curvatures of  $M^n$ . By definition, we see

$$\lambda_i^2 \leq S = \sum_i \lambda_i^2$$

and hence we have

$$(2.11) \quad -\sqrt{S} \leq \lambda_i \leq \sqrt{S}.$$

First of all, we treat with the third term of (2.10). It is seen that we have

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{i,j,k} (\bar{R}_{(n+1)ijk;k} + \bar{R}_{(n+1)kik;j}) h_{ij} = \sum_{j,k} \lambda_j (\bar{R}_{(n+1)jjk;k} + \bar{R}_{(n+1)kjk;j}) \\ \geq - \sum_{j,k} |\lambda_j| (|\bar{R}_{(n+1)jjk;k}| + |\bar{R}_{(n+1)kjk;j}|).$$

From (1.3) and (2.12), we have

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{i,j,k} (\bar{R}_{(n+1)ijk;k} + \bar{R}_{(n+1)kik;j}) h_{ij} \geq -2c_3 \sqrt{S}.$$

Next, we consider the fourth term of (2.10). From (1.1) and  $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ , we have

$$(2.14) \quad - \left( nH \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \bar{R}_{(n+1)ij(n+1)} + S \sum_k \bar{R}_{(n+1)k(n+1)k} \right) \\ = - \left( nH \sum_k \lambda_k \bar{R}_{(n+1)kk(n+1)} - S \sum_k \bar{R}_{(n+1)kk(n+1)} \right) \\ = \sum_k (S - nH \lambda_k) \frac{c_1}{n} \\ = c_1 (S - nH^2).$$

Finally, we deal with the fifth term of (2.10). Notice that  $S - nH^2 = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j,k} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2$  (see Eq. (2.17)). Using (1.2), we also have

$$(2.15) \quad -2 \sum_{i,j,k,l} (h_{kl} h_{ij} \bar{R}_{lij} + h_{il} h_{ij} \bar{R}_{lkj}) = -2 \sum_{j,k} (\lambda_j \lambda_k - \lambda_k^2) \bar{R}_{kjjk} \\ \geq c_2 \sum_{j,k} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 \\ = 2c_2 (nS - n^2 H^2).$$

Now, inserting (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15) into (2.10), we obtain

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta S \geq \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 + \sum_i \lambda_i (nH)_{ii} - 2c_3 \sqrt{S} \\ + nc(S - nH^2) + \left( S^2 - nH \sum_i \lambda_i^3 \right),$$

where  $c = 2c_2 + \frac{c_1}{n}$  and  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  are given as in (\*\*).

Since we would like to compute  $\Delta S$  for spacelike hypersurfaces in Lorentzian manifolds satisfying (\*\*), we need the following algebraic Lemma.

LEMMA 2.1 ([2, 16]). *Let  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  be real numbers such that  $\sum_i \mu_i = 0$  and  $\sum_i \mu_i^2 = B^2$ , where  $B \geq 0$  is constant. Then*

$$\left| \sum_i \mu_i^3 \right| \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} B^3$$

and equality holds if and only if at least  $n-1$  of the  $\mu_i$ 's are equal.

Let  $\phi = \sum_{i,j} \phi_{ij} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j$  be a symmetric tensor defined on  $M^n$ , where  $\phi_{ij} = h_{ij} - H\delta_{ij}$ . It is easy to check that  $\phi$  is traceless. Choose a local orthonormal frame field  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  such that  $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$  and  $\phi_{ij} = \mu_i \delta_{ij}$ . Let  $|\phi|^2 = \sum_i \mu_i^2$ . A direct computation gets

$$(2.17) \quad |\phi|^2 = S - nH^2 = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i,j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2.$$

Hence,  $|\phi|^2 = 0$  if and only if  $M^n$  is totally umbilical. We also get

$$\sum_i \lambda_i^3 = nH^3 + 3H \sum_i \mu_i^2 + \sum_i \mu_i^3.$$

By applying Lemma 2.1 to the real numbers  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ , we obtain

$$(2.18) \quad -nH \sum_i \lambda_i^3 = -n^2 H^4 - 3nH^2 \sum_i \mu_i^2 - nH \sum_i \mu_i^3 \\ \geq 2n^2 H^4 - 3nSH^2 - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} |H| (S - nH^2)^{3/2}.$$

Substituting (2.17) and (2.18) into (2.16), we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $M^n$  be a spacelike hypersurface in an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfying (\*\*), then*

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta S \geq \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 + \sum_i \lambda_i (nH)_{ii} - 2c_3 \sqrt{S} + |\phi|^2 L_{|H|}(|\phi|),$$

where  $|\phi|^2 = S - nH^2$  and  $L_{|H|}(|\phi|) = |\phi|^2 - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} |H| |\phi| + nc - nH^2$ .

In the proof of main Theorems, we need the well known generalized *Maximum Principle* due to H. Omori [17].

LEMMA 2.3 ([17]). *Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold whose sectional curvature is bounded from below and  $F : M^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a smooth function which is bounded from above on  $M^n$ . Then there exists a sequence of points  $\{x_k\} \in M^n$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(x_k) &= \sup F, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla F(x_k)| &= 0, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \max\{(\nabla^2 F(x_k))(X, X) : |X| = 1\} &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Spacelike hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in Lorentzian manifolds $L_1^{n+1}$ satisfying (\*\*)

In 2004, J. Ok Baek, Q. M. Cheng and Y. Jin Suh [15] studied a complete spacelike hypersurface with constant mean curvature in locally symmetric Lorentzian manifolds and proved the following result.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $M^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) be a complete spacelike hypersurface with constant mean curvature  $H$  in an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional locally symmetric Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfying (\*). If  $n^2 H^2 < 4(n - 1)c$ ,  $c = 2c_2 + \frac{c_1}{n}$ , where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are given as in (\*), then  $c > 0$  and  $M^n$  is totally umbilical.*

In this Section, first we give a generalization of Theorem 3.1 and prove the following result.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let  $M^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) be a complete spacelike hypersurface with constant mean curvature  $H$  in an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfying (\*\*). If  $n^2 H^2 < 4(n - 1)c$ ,  $c = 2c_2 + \frac{c_1}{n}$  and  $c_3 \geq 0$ , where  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$*



are given as in (\*\*), then  $c > 0$  and the squared length  $S$  of the second fundamental form of  $M^n$  satisfies

$$nH^2 \leq \sup S \leq \left[ \frac{4(n-1)c_3 + \sqrt{16(n-1)^2c_3^2 + n^3H^2[4(n-1)c - n^2H^2]^2}}{n[4(n-1)c - n^2H^2]} \right]^2.$$

On the other hand, when  $n = 2$ , we obtain the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $M^2$  be a complete spacelike hypersurface with constant mean curvature  $H$  in a 3-dimensional Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^3$  satisfying (\*\*).*

(i) *If  $H^2 < c$ ,  $c = 2c_2 + \frac{c_1}{2}$  and  $c_3 \geq 0$ , where  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  are given as in (\*\*), then*

$$2H^2 \leq \sup S \leq \left[ \frac{c_3 + \sqrt{c_3^2 + 8H^2(c - H^2)^2}}{2(c - H^2)} \right]^2.$$

(ii) *If  $H^2 = c$ ,  $c = 2c_2 + \frac{c_1}{2}$  and  $c_3 \geq 0$ , where  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  are given as in (\*\*), then*

$$(\sup S - 2H^2)^2 \leq 2c_3\sqrt{\sup S}.$$

*Remark 3.4.* When  $c_3 = 0$  in Theorem 3.2, we know that the Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  is locally symmetric and  $\sup S = nH^2$ . Together with (2.17), we know that  $\sup|\phi|^2 = 0$  which shows  $M^n$  is totally umbilical. Hence, Theorem 3.2 is a generalization of Theorem 3.1. Furthermore, if  $L_1^{n+1}$  is the de Sitter space  $S_1^{n+1}(c)$  in Theorem 3.2, then  $-\frac{c_1}{n} = c_2 = c$  and  $c_3 = 0$ . Therefore, Theorem 3.2 is also a generalization of the result due to K. Akutagawa [1, Theorem (ii)], saying that a complete spacelike hypersurface  $M^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) in a de Sitter space  $S_1^{n+1}(c)$  with constant mean curvature  $H$  satisfying  $n^2H^2 < 4(n-1)c$  must be totally umbilical.

On the other hand, S. Montiel [13] exhibited examples of complete spacelike hypersurfaces in  $S_1^{n+1}(1)$  with constant mean curvature  $H$  satisfying  $n^2H^2 \geq 4(n-1)$  and being non-totally umbilical, the so-called hyperbolic cylinders (cf. [1] and [10]), which are isometric to the Riemannian product  $\mathbf{H}^1(\sinh r) \times S^{n-1}(\cosh r)$  of a hyperbolic line and an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere of constant sectional curvatures  $1 - \coth^2 r$  and  $1 - \tanh^2 r$ , respectively. Hence, when  $n \geq 3$ , the assumption  $n^2H^2 < 4(n-1)c$  in Theorem 3.2 is essential.

*Remark 3.5.* When  $c_3 = 0$  in Theorem 3.3, we know that the Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^3$  is locally symmetric and  $\sup S = 2H^2$ . Together with (2.17), we know that  $\sup|\phi|^2 = 0$  which shows  $M^n$  is totally umbilical. Furthermore, if  $L_1^3$  is the de Sitter space  $S_1^3(c)$  in Theorem 3.3, then  $-\frac{c_1}{2} = c_2 = c$  and  $c_3 = 0$ . Therefore, theorem 3.3 is a generalization of [1, Theorem (i)].

On the other hand, K. Akutagawa [1] constructed, for any constant  $H$  satisfying  $H^2 > c$ , complete, noncompact embedded spacelike surfaces in  $\mathbf{S}_1^3(c)$ , which have constant mean curvature  $H$  and which are not totally umbilical. Hence, when  $n = 2$ , the assumption  $H^2 \leq c$  in Theorem 3.3 is essential.

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* Since  $H$  is constant, it follows from (2.19) that

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\phi|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta S \geq -2c_3 \sqrt{S} + |\phi|^2 \left( |\phi|^2 - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} |H| |\phi| + nc - nH^2 \right).$$

Choose a local orthonormal frame field  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  such that  $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ . By a similar reasoning as in the proof of [15, Theorem 1], we obtain that there is a sequence of points  $\{x_k\} \in M^n$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\phi|^2(x_k) &= \sup |\phi|^2, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S(x_k) &= \sup S, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup(\Delta |\phi|^2)(x_k) &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating (3.1) at the points  $x_k$  of the sequence, taking the limit and using (2.17) and (3.2), we obtain that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\phi|^2 \right)(x_k) \\ &\geq -2c_3 \sqrt{\sup S} + (\sup S - nH^2) L_{|H|}(\sup S), \end{aligned}$$

where  $L_{|H|}(\sup S) = \sup S - 2nH^2 - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} |H| \sqrt{\sup S - nH^2} + nc$ .  
Since

$$\left( \sqrt{\frac{n(n-2)}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}}} |H| - \sqrt{\frac{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}}{n(n-2)}} \sqrt{S - nH^2} \right)^2 \geq 0,$$

we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} -|H| \sqrt{S - nH^2} &= -\sqrt{\frac{n(n-2)}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}}} |H| \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}}{n(n-2)}} \sqrt{S - nH^2} \\ &\geq -\frac{\frac{n(n-2)}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H^2 + \frac{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}}{n(n-2)} (S - nH^2)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \geq \frac{n[4(n-1)c - n^2H^2]}{4(n-1)} \sup S - 2c_3 \sqrt{\sup S} - \frac{n^2H^2[4(n-1)c - n^2H^2]}{4(n-1)}.$$

Since  $n^2H^2 < 4(n-1)c$ , it follows from (3.5) and (2.17) that

$$nH^2 \leq \sup S \leq \left[ \frac{4(n-1)c_3 + \sqrt{16(n-1)^2c_3^2 + n^3H^2[4(n-1)c - n^2H^2]^2}}{n[4(n-1)c - n^2H^2]} \right]^2$$

and  $c > 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.* (i) When  $n = 2$ , it follows from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad 0 &\geq -2c_3\sqrt{\sup S} + (\sup S - 2H^2)(\sup S - 2H^2 + 2c - 2H^2) \\ &\geq -2c_3\sqrt{\sup S} + 2(\sup S - 2H^2)(c - H^2) \\ &= 2(c - H^2) \sup S - 2c_3\sqrt{\sup S} - 4H^2(c - H^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $H^2 < c$ , it follows from (3.6) and (2.17) that

$$2H^2 \leq \sup S \leq \left[ \frac{c_3 + \sqrt{c_3^2 + 8H^2(c - H^2)^2}}{2(c - H^2)} \right]^2$$

and  $c > 0$ .

(ii) When  $n = 2$  and  $H^2 = c$ , it follows from (3.3) that

$$(\sup S - 2H^2)^2 \leq 2c_3\sqrt{\sup S}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

**4. Spacelike hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in Lorentzian manifolds  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfying (\*\*)**

According to Cheng and Yau’s definition in [7], we introduce the self-adjoint operator  $\square$  acting on any  $C^2$ -function  $f$  by

$$(4.1) \quad \square(f) = \sum_{i,j} (nH\delta_{ij} - h_{ij})f_{ij}.$$

In this Section, in order to prove Theorems 4.4–4.5, first we give a new estimate of  $\square(nH)$  for spacelike hypersurfaces in Lorentzian manifolds  $L_1^{n+1}$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *Let  $M^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) be a spacelike hypersurface with constant  $P$  defined by (2.5) in an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfying (\*\*). Suppose that the constant  $P \geq 0$ . Then*

$$(4.2) \quad \square(nH) \geq -2c_3\sqrt{S} + |\phi|^2 L_{|H|}(|\phi|),$$

where  $|\phi|^2 = S - nH^2$  and  $L_{|H|}(|\phi|) = |\phi|^2 - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|H||\phi| + nc - nH^2$ .

*Proof.* Choose a local orthonormal frame field  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  such that  $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ . In view of (4.1),  $\square(nH)$  is given by

$$(4.3) \quad \square(nH) = nH\Delta(nH) - \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}(nH)_{ij}.$$

Notice that

$$(4.4) \quad nH\Delta(nH) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta(nH)^2 - n^2|\nabla H|^2.$$

Thus, it follows from (4.3) and (4.4) that

$$(4.5) \quad \square(nH) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta(nH)^2 - n^2|\nabla H|^2 - \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}(nH)_{ij}.$$

Moreover, as  $P$  is constant, by (2.5), we have  $\Delta S = \Delta(nH)^2$ . Therefore, it follows from (2.19) and (4.5) that

$$(4.6) \quad \square(nH) \geq \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - n^2|\nabla H|^2 - 2c_3\sqrt{S} + |\phi|^2 L_{|\phi|}(|\phi|).$$

Next, we claim that

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 \geq n^2|\nabla H|^2.$$

Indeed, since  $P$  is a constant, differentiating formula (2.5) exteriorly yields  $n^2 HH_k = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} h_{ijk}$ , then by using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\sum_k n^4 H^2 (H_k)^2 = \sum_k \left( \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} h_{ijk} \right)^2 \leq \left( \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 \right).$$

That is,  $n^4 H^2 |\nabla H|^2 \leq S \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2$ . Together with the fact  $n^2 H^2 - S \geq 0$  since  $P \geq 0$ , we obtain that  $\sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 \geq n^2 |\nabla H|^2$  which proves our claim. Consequently, (4.2) follows from (4.6) and (4.7). Finally, Proposition 4.1 is proved.  $\square$

In 2010, J. C. Liu and Z. Y. Sun [12] studied a complete or compact spacelike hypersurface with constant normalized scalar curvature  $R$  in a locally symmetric Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  and proved Theorems 4.2–4.3.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $M^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) be a complete spacelike hypersurface with constant normalized scalar curvature  $R$  in an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional locally symmetric Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfying (\*). Suppose that  $M^n$  has bounded mean curvature  $H$ . If  $0 \leq P \leq \frac{2c}{n}$  and  $c > 0$ , where the constant  $P$  defined by (2.5),  $c = 2c_2 + \frac{c_1}{n}$  and  $c_1, c_2$  are given as in (\*), then  $M^n$  is totally umbilical.*

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $M^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) be a compact spacelike hypersurface with constant normalized scalar curvature  $R$  in an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional locally symmetric Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfying (\*). If  $0 \leq P \leq \frac{2c}{n}$  and  $c > 0$ , where the constant  $P$  defined by (2.5),  $c = 2c_2 + \frac{c_1}{n}$  and  $c_1, c_2$  are given as in (\*), then  $M^n$  is totally umbilical.*

In this Section, we give generalizations of Theorems 4.2–4.3 and obtain the following results.

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $M^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) be a complete spacelike hypersurface with constant  $P$  defined by (2.5) in an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfying (\*\*). Suppose that  $M^n$  has bounded mean curvature  $H$ .*

(i) *If  $0 \leq P < \frac{2c}{n}$ ,  $c = 2c_2 + \frac{c_1}{n}$  and  $c_3 \geq 0$ , where  $c_1, c_2$  and  $c_3$  are given as in (\*\*), then  $c > 0$  and the squared length  $S$  of the second fundamental form of  $M^n$  satisfies*

$$nP \leq \sup S \leq \left[ \frac{c_3 + \sqrt{c_3^2 + nP(n-1)^2 \left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right)^2}}{(n-1) \left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right)} \right]^2.$$

(ii) *If  $P = \frac{2c}{n}$ ,  $c = 2c_2 + \frac{c_1}{n} > 0$  and  $c_3 \geq 0$ , where  $c_1, c_2$  and  $c_3$  are given as in (\*\*), then*

$$\frac{n-1}{n}(\sup S - 2c)L(\sup S) \leq 2c_3\sqrt{\sup S} \quad \text{and} \quad L(\sup S) > 0,$$

where

$$L(\sup S) = \frac{n-2}{n}[(n-2)c + \sup S - \sqrt{(2(n-1)c + \sup S)(\sup S - 2c)}].$$

**THEOREM 4.5.** *Let  $M^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) be a compact spacelike hypersurface with constant  $P$  defined by (2.5) in an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  satisfying (\*\*).*

(i) *Suppose that*

$$S \geq \left[ \frac{c_3 + \sqrt{c_3^2 + nP(n-1)^2 \left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right)^2}}{(n-1) \left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right)} \right]^2.$$

If  $0 \leq P < \frac{2c}{n}$ ,  $c = 2c_2 + \frac{c_1}{n}$  and  $c_3 \geq 0$ , where  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  are given as in (\*\*), then  $c > 0$  and

$$S = \left[ \frac{c_3 + \sqrt{c_3^2 + nP(n-1)^2 \left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right)^2}}{(n-1) \left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right)} \right]^2.$$

(ii) Suppose that

$$\frac{n-1}{n}(S-2c)L(S) \geq 2c_3\sqrt{S}.$$

If  $P = \frac{2c}{n}$ ,  $c = 2c_2 + \frac{c_1}{n} > 0$  and  $c_3 \geq 0$ , where  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  are given as in (\*\*), then

$$\frac{n-1}{n}(S-2c)L(S) = 2c_3\sqrt{S} \quad \text{and} \quad L(S) > 0,$$

where

$$L(S) = \frac{n-2}{n}[(n-2)c + S - \sqrt{(2(n-1)c + S)(S-2c)}].$$

*Remark 4.6.* When  $c_3 = 0$  in Theorem 4.4, we know that the Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  is locally symmetric and  $\sup S = nP$ . Together with (4.9), we know that  $\sup|\phi|^2 = 0$  which shows  $M^n$  is totally umbilical provided that  $M^n$  has bounded mean curvature  $H$ . Hence, Theorem 4.4 is a generalization of Theorem 4.1. Furthermore, if  $L_1^{n+1}$  is the de Sitter space  $\mathbf{S}_1^{n+1}(c)$  in Theorem 4.4, then  $-\frac{c_1}{n} = c_2 = c$ ,  $c_3 = 0$  and  $P = c - R$  following from (2.5). At the same time, the assumption  $0 \leq P \leq \frac{2c}{n}$  in Theorem 4.4 becomes  $\frac{n-2}{n}c \leq R \leq c$ . Hence, Theorem 4.4 is also a generalization of the result due to F. E. C. Camargo et al. in [4], saying that a complete spacelike hypersurface  $M^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) in the de Sitter space  $\mathbf{S}_1^{n+1}(c)$  with constant normalized scalar curvature  $R$  satisfying  $\frac{n-2}{n}c \leq R \leq c$  must be totally umbilical provided that  $M^n$  has bounded mean curvature  $H$ .

On the other hand, consider the spacelike hypersurface immersed into  $\mathbf{S}_1^{n+1}(1)$  defined by  $T_{k,r} = \{x \in \mathbf{S}_1^{n+1}(1) \mid -x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_k^2 = -\sinh^2 r\}$ , where  $r$  is a positive real number and  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ .  $T_{k,r}$  is complete and isometric to the Riemannian product  $\mathbf{H}^k(1 - \coth^2 r) \times \mathbf{S}^{n-k}(1 - \tanh^2 r)$  of a  $k$ -dimensional hyperbolic space and an  $(n-k)$ -dimensional sphere of constant sectional curvatures  $1 - \coth^2 r$  and  $1 - \tanh^2 r$ , respectively. It follows from [9] that if  $k = 1$ ,

then  $R$  satisfies  $0 < R = \frac{n-2}{n}(1 - \tanh^2 r) < \frac{n-2}{n}$ ; similarly, if  $k = n - 1 \geq 2$ , we see that  $R = \frac{n-2}{n}(1 - \coth^2 r) < 0$ . Thus, for any  $R$  satisfying  $0 < R < \frac{n-2}{n}$  and for any  $R < 0$ , we can choose  $r$  such that the hypersurfaces  $T_{1,r}$  and  $T_{n-1,r}$ , respectively, are complete, non-totally umbilical and have constant normalized scalar curvature  $R$ . Hence, the assumption  $0 \leq P \leq \frac{2c}{n}$  in Theorem 4.4 is essential.

*Remark 4.7.* When  $c_3 = 0$  in Theorem 4.5, we know that the Lorentzian manifold  $L_1^{n+1}$  is locally symmetric and  $S = nP$ . Together with (4.9), we know that  $|\phi|^2 = 0$  which shows  $M^n$  is totally umbilical. Hence, Theorem 4.5 is a generalization of Theorem 4.2. Theorem 4.5 reduces to Li's result [11, Theorem 4.3].

*Proof of Theorem 4.4.* (i) By using Lemma 2.3 and taking the similar method as in the proof of [12, Lemma 2.4 (ii)], we obtain that there is a sequence of points  $\{x_k\} \in M^n$  such that

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} nH(x_k) &= \sup(nH), \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla(nH)(x_k)| &= 0, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup(\square(nH)(x_k)) &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.5) and (2.17), we have

$$(4.9) \quad |\phi|^2 = n(n-1)(H^2 - P) = \frac{n-1}{n}(S - nP).$$

Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (nH)(x_k) = \sup(nH)$  and  $P$  is a constant, it follows from (4.9) that

$$(4.10) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\phi|^2(x_k) = \sup|\phi|^2, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S(x_k) = \sup S.$$

Evaluating (4.2) at the points  $x_k$  of the sequence, taking the limit and using (4.8) and (4.9) and (4.10), we obtain that

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup(\square(nH)(x_k)) \\ &\geq -2c_3 \sqrt{\sup S} \\ &\quad + \sup|\phi|^2 \left( \sup|\phi|^2 - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \sup|H| \sup|\phi| + nc - n \sup H^2 \right) \\ &= -2c_3 \sqrt{\sup S} + \frac{n-1}{n} (\sup S - nP) L_P(\sup S), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L_P(\sup S) = nc - 2(n-1)P + \frac{n-2}{n} \sup S - \frac{n-2}{n} \sqrt{(n(n-1)P + \sup S)(\sup S - nP)}.$$

Since

$$(\sqrt{n(n-1)P + S} - \sqrt{S - nP})^2 \geq 0,$$

we have

$$(4.12) \quad -\sqrt{(n(n-1)P + S)(S - nP)} \geq -\frac{n(n-1)P + S + S - nP}{2}.$$

From (4.11) and (4.12), we have

$$(4.13) \quad 0 \geq (n-1) \left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right) \sup S - 2c_3 \sqrt{\sup S} - nP(n-1) \left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right).$$

Since  $0 \leq P < \frac{2c}{n}$ , it follows from (4.13) and (4.9) that

$$nP \leq \sup S \leq \left[ \frac{c_3 + \sqrt{c_3^2 + nP(n-1)^2 \left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right)^2}}{(n-1) \left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right)} \right]^2$$

and  $c > 0$ .

(ii) Since  $P = \frac{2c}{n}$ , it follows from (4.11) that

$$\frac{n-1}{n} (\sup S - 2c)L(\sup S) \leq 2c_3 \sqrt{\sup S},$$

where

$$L(\sup S) = \frac{n-2}{n} [(n-2)c + \sup S - \sqrt{(2(n-1)c + \sup S)(\sup S - 2c)}].$$

As  $c > 0$ , a direct computation gets

$$L(\sup S) > 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

*Proof of theorem 4.5.* (i) From (4.2) and (4.9), we have

$$(4.14) \quad \square(nH) \geq -2c_3 \sqrt{S} + \frac{n-1}{n} (S - nP)L_P(S),$$



where

$$L_P(S) = nc - 2(n-1)P + \frac{n-2}{n}S - \frac{n-2}{n}\sqrt{(n(n-1)P+S)(S-nP)}.$$

Since

$$(\sqrt{n(n-1)P+S} - \sqrt{S-nP})^2 \geq 0,$$

we have

$$(4.15) \quad -\sqrt{(n(n-1)P+S)(S-nP)} \geq -\frac{n(n-1)P+S+S-nP}{2}.$$

From (4.14) and (4.15), we have

$$(4.16) \quad \square(nH) \geq (n-1)\left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right)S - 2c_3\sqrt{S} - nP(n-1)\left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right).$$

Since  $M^n$  is compact and  $\square$  is self-adjoint operator, we have

$$(4.17) \quad \int_{M^n} \square(nH) dv_{M^n} = 0.$$

Thus, it follows from (4.16) and (4.17) that

$$(4.18) \quad 0 \geq \int_{M^n} \left( (n-1)\left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right)S - 2c_3\sqrt{S} - nP(n-1)\left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right) \right) dv_{M^n}.$$

Since  $S \geq \frac{c_3 + \sqrt{c_3^2 + nP(n-1)^2\left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right)^2}}{(n-1)\left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right)}$  and  $0 \leq P < \frac{2c}{n}$ , we obtain that

$$(4.19) \quad (n-1)\left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right)S - 2c_3\sqrt{S} - nP(n-1)\left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right) \geq 0.$$

Hence, it follows from (4.18) and (4.19) that

$$S = \left[ \frac{c_3 + \sqrt{c_3^2 + nP(n-1)^2\left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right)^2}}{(n-1)\left(c - \frac{n}{2}P\right)} \right]^2.$$

(ii) Since  $P = \frac{2c}{n}$ , it follows from (4.14) and (4.17) that

$$(4.20) \quad 0 \geq \int_{M^n} \left( -2c_3\sqrt{S} + \frac{n-1}{n}(S-2c)L(S) \right) dv_{M^n},$$

where

$$L(S) = \frac{n-2}{n} [(n-2)c + S - \sqrt{(2(n-1)c + S)(S-2c)}].$$

Since  $\frac{n-1}{n}(S-2c)L(S) \geq 2c_3\sqrt{S}$ , it follows from (4.20) that

$$\frac{n-1}{n}(S-2c)L(S) = 2c_3\sqrt{S}.$$

As  $c > 0$ , a direct computation gets  $L(S) > 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 4.5.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. AKUTAGAWA, On spacelike hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in the de Sitter space, *Math. Z.* **196** (1987), 13–19.
- [2] H. ALENCAR AND M. DO CARMO, Hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in spheres, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (1994), 1223–1229.
- [3] A. BRASIL JR., A. G. COLARES AND O. PALMAS, A gap theorem for complete constant scalar curvature hypersurfaces in the De Sitter space, *J. Geom. Phys.* **37** (2001), 237–250.
- [4] F. E. C. CAMARGO, R. M. B. CHAVES AND L. A. M. SOUSA JR., Rigidity theorems for complete spacelike hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in de Sitter space, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **26** (2008), 592–599.
- [5] Q. M. CHENG AND S. ISHIKAWA, Spacelike hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature, *Manuscripta Math.* **95** (1998), 499–505.
- [6] S. M. CHOI, S. M. LYU AND Y. J. SUH, Complete space-like hypersurfaces in a Lorentz manifold, *Math. J. Toyama Univ.* **22** (1999), 53–76.
- [7] S. Y. CHENG AND S. T. YAU, Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature, *Math. Ann.* **225** (1977), 195–204.
- [8] A. J. GODDARD, Some remarks on the existence of spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **82** (1977), 489–495.
- [9] Z. HU, M. SCHERFNER AND S. ZHAI, On spacelike hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in the de Sitter space, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **25** (2007), 594–611.
- [10] U. H. KI, H. J. KIM AND H. NAKAGAWA, On space-like hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature of a Lorentz space form, *Tokyo J. Math.* **14** (1991), 205–216.
- [11] H. LI, Global rigidity theorems of hypersurfaces, *Ark. Mat.* **35** (1997), 327–351.
- [12] J. C. LIU AND Z. Y. SUN, On spacelike hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in locally symmetric Lorentz spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **364** (2010), 195–203.
- [13] S. MONTIEL, An integral inequality for compact spacelike hypersurfaces in de Sitter space and applications to the case of constant mean curvature, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **37** (1988), 909–917.
- [14] V. OLIKER, A priori estimates of the principal curvatures of spacelike hypersurfaces in the de Sitter space with applications to hypersurfaces in hyperbolic space, *Am. J. Math.* **114** (1992), 605–626.
- [15] J. OK BAEK, Q. M. CHENG AND Y. JIN SUH, Complete space-like hypersurfaces in locally symmetric Lorentz spaces, *J. Geom. Phys.* **49** (2004), 231–247.
- [16] M. OKUMURA, Hypersurfaces and a pinching problem on the second fundamental tensor, *Amer. J. Math.* **96** (1974), 207–213.

- [17] H. OMORI, Isometric immersions of Riemannian manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan.* **19** (1967), 205–214.
- [18] J. RAMANATHAN, Complete space-like hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in de Sitter space, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **36** (1987), 349–359.
- [19] S. STUMBLES, Hypersurfaces of constant mean extrinsic curvature, *Ann. Phys.* **133** (1981), 28–56.
- [20] Y. J. SUH, Y. S. CHOI AND H. Y. YANG, On space-like hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in a Lorentz manifold, *Houston J. Math.* **28** (2002), 47–70.

Zhongyang Sun  
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
CAPITAL NORMAL UNIVERSITY  
BEIJING 100048  
CHINA  
E-mail: sunzhongyang12@163.com