

ON SINGULAR DIRECTION OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTION AND ITS DERIVATIVES

ZHAO-JUN WU

Abstract

In this paper, by using Ahlfors' theory of covering surface, we study singular direction of meromorphic functions and their derivatives, which is a continuous research of Yang Lo in J. London Math. Soc. 25 (1982) 2: 288–296.

1. Introduction and results

Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function defined on the whole complex plane. In this paper, the standard notations of Nevanlinna are used. The singular direction for f is one of main objects studied in the theory of value distribution for meromorphic function. There is a brief history of this research in [4] and the details can be found in book [3] or [10]. Hayman inequality (see [5]) states that $T(r, f)$ can be bounded by the counting functions of the zero points of $f(z)$ and 1 point of $f^{(k)}$ for any positive integer k . Based the inequality, in 1982 Yang lo [9] proved the following results. Suppose that $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function and satisfies the growth condition

$$(1) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{\log^3 r} = \infty,$$

then there exists a ray $\arg z = \theta$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and positive integer k , and any finite complex number a, b ($b \neq 0$), we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \{n(r, \theta_0, \varepsilon, f = a) + n(r, \theta_0, \varepsilon, f^{(k)} = b)\} = \infty.$$

where $n(r, \theta, \varepsilon, a)$ is the number of the solutions of $f(z) = a$ in $\{z : \theta - \varepsilon < \arg z < \theta + \varepsilon\} \cap \{|z| < r\}$, counting with multiplicities. After that, Chen Huaihui [1] prove a quantitative version of Yang Lo [9]. He proved that

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D35, 30D30.

Keywords: Hayman direction; covering surface; derivatives function.

Supported by NNSF of China (Grant No.: 10471048).

Received May 31, 2006.

THEOREM A (see [2]). Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in \mathbf{C} satisfies

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{\log^\lambda r} = \infty, \quad (\lambda \geq 3)$$

then there exists a ray $\arg z = \theta$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and positive integer k , and any a, b ($b \neq 0$), holds

$$(3) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, \theta_0, \varepsilon, f = a) + n(r, \theta_0, \varepsilon, f^{(k)} = b)}{\log^{\lambda-1} r} = \infty.$$

There is a difficult question to be asked: “When $\lambda = 2$, does Theorem A hold?” The main purpose of this paper is to answer the question and prove the following theorems

THEOREM 1. Suppose that $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function defined on the whole complex plane, and satisfies the growth condition

$$(4) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{\log^\lambda r} = \infty, \quad \lambda \geq 2.$$

Then there exists a ray $\arg z = \theta$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and positive integer k , and any finite complex number a, b ($b \neq 0$), we have

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, \theta_0, \varepsilon, f = \infty) + n(r, \theta_0, \varepsilon, f = a) + n(r, \theta_0, \varepsilon, f^{(k)} = b)}{\log^{\lambda-1} r} = \infty.$$

From Theorem 1, we can derive the following theorem easily.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that $f(z)$ is an entire function, then Theorem A holds for $\lambda \geq 2$.

2. The proof of theorem

We shall prove the Theorem by using Ahlfors' theory of covering surface. First of all, we recall his definition as following (see [8]). Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in an angular domain $\Omega(\theta, \delta) = \{z : |\arg z - \theta| \leq \delta\}$, where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Let $\Omega(r)$ be the part of $\Omega(\theta, \delta)$, which is contained in $|z| \leq r$ and put

$$S(r, \Omega, f) = \frac{A(r)}{\pi} = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Omega(r)} \left(\frac{|f'(z)|}{(1 + |f(z)|^2)} \right)^2 r \, d\theta dr, \quad z = re^{i\theta}.$$

$$T(r, \Omega, f) = \int_0^r \frac{S(t, \Omega(\theta, \delta), f)}{t} dt.$$

Generally, suppose that E is a plane domain, put

$$S(E, f) = \frac{A(r)}{\pi} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_E \left(\frac{|f'(z)|}{(1 + |f(z)|^2)} \right)^2 r \, d\theta dr, \quad z = re^{i\theta},$$

and $n(E, a)$ is the number of the solution of $f(z) = a$ in E , counting with multiplicities.

In order to prove the Theorem, we shall need several lemmas.

LEMMA 1 (see [6]). *Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in $|z| < 1$, for any $a, b \in C$ and $b \neq 0$, put $N = n(1, f = \infty) + n(1, f = a) + n(1, f^{(k)} = b) + 2$, $D = \frac{1}{2}|a, \infty|^2 \min\left\{|b|, \frac{1}{|b|}\right\}$. Then for $0 < r < 1$, we have*

$$S(r, f) < \frac{c}{(1-r)^2} \left\{ N \log \frac{80e}{1-r} + \log \frac{1}{|D|} \right\}.$$

where c is a constant which at mostly depends on the k .

LEMMA 2. *Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in $\Omega_0 : \{z : |\arg z - \theta| < \delta_0\}$, where $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. For any $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, put $\Omega : \{z : |\arg z - \theta| < \delta\}$ ($\Omega \subset \Omega_0$). Then for any two finite complex number a, b ($b \neq 0$), σ ($\sigma > 1$), positive integer m and sufficiently large r , we have*

$$S(r, \Omega, f) \leq A \{n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta, \delta_0, f = \infty) + n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta, \delta_0, f = a) + n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta, \delta_0, f^{(k)} = b)\} + B \log r,$$

where $A = \frac{m+1}{m} \frac{c}{(1-\kappa)^2} \log \frac{80e}{1-\kappa}$, $B = \left[2 \frac{c}{(1-\kappa)^2} \log \frac{80e}{1-\kappa} + \log \frac{1}{|D|} \right] / m \log \sigma + 1$.

Proof. Put $r_i = \sigma^{mi}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $r_{ij} = \sigma^{mi+j}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. Then $r_{j0} = r_i$, $r_{im} = r_{i+1}$. Suppose that E is a plane domain, put $N(E) = n(E, f = \infty) + n(E, f = a) + n(E, f^{(k)} = b)$. For any t , $t \geq r_1$, there exists a positive integer l , such that $r_l \leq t \leq r_{l+1}$. It's easy to verify that there exists a positive integer j_0 , $0 \leq j_0 \leq m-1$, such that $\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} N(\{z : r_{i,j_0} < |z| < r_{i,j_0+1}\} \cap \Omega_0) \leq \frac{1}{m} N(\{z : |z| < r_{k+2}\} \cap \Omega_0)$.

By using the same method of [7] or [11], let $\zeta = \frac{z}{r_{i+1,j_0+1}}$ ($0 \leq i \leq k$), then the domain becomes $\Omega_0 \cap \{z : r_{i,j_0} < |z| < r_{i+1,j_0+1}\}$ becomes the domain $\Omega_0 \cap \left\{ \zeta : \frac{1}{\sigma^{m+1}} < |\zeta| < 1 \right\}$ on the ζ -plane and $\Omega \cap \{z : r'_i < |z| < r'_{i+1}\}$ becomes $\Omega \cap \left\{ \zeta : \frac{1}{\sigma^{m+1/2}} < |\zeta| < \frac{1}{\sigma^{1/2}} \right\}$, and the point $\sqrt{r_{i,j_0} r_{i+1,j_0+1}}$ becomes $\sigma^{-(m+1)/2}$ at the same time, where

$$r'_i = \sqrt{r_{i,j_0} r_{i,j_0+1}}, \quad r'_{i+1} = \sqrt{r_{i+1,j_0} r_{i+1,j_0+1}}.$$

Note that the image domain is independent of i . If we map $\Omega_0 \cap \left\{ \zeta : \frac{1}{\sigma^{m+1}} < |\zeta| < 1 \right\}$ conformally on $|\xi| < 1$, such that $\sigma^{-(m+1)/2}$ becomes $\xi = 0$,

then the image of $\Omega \cap \left\{ \zeta : \frac{1}{\sigma^{m+1/2}} < |\zeta| < \frac{1}{\sigma^{1/2}} \right\}$ is contained in $|\zeta| < \kappa < 1$, where κ is a constant, which is only dependent of $m, \sigma, \delta, \delta_0$ and independent of t . By the Lemma 1, the following inequality

$$S(\Omega \cap \{z : r'_i < |z| < r'_{i+1}\}, f) \leq \frac{c}{(1-\kappa)^2} \left\{ [N(\Omega_0 \cap \{z : r_{i,j_0} < |z| < r_{i+1,j_0+1}\}) + 2] \log \frac{80e}{1-\kappa} + \log \frac{1}{|D|} \right\},$$

holds for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$, then

$$\sum_{i=0}^k S(\Omega \cap \{z : r'_i < |z| < r'_{i+1}\}, f) \leq \sum_{i=0}^k \left\{ \frac{c}{(1-\kappa)^2} \left[(N(\Omega_0 \cap \{z : r_{i,j_0} < |z| < r_{i+1,j_0+1}\}) + 2) \log \frac{80e}{1-\kappa} + \log \frac{1}{|D|} \right] \right\}$$

Since $r_k \leq r \leq r_{k+1}$ and $r_{k+2} \leq r\sigma^{2m}$,

$$S(r, \Omega, f) \leq \frac{m+1}{m} A_1 N(\{z : |z| < r\sigma^{2m}\} \cap \Omega_0) + B_1 \log r + S(\sigma^{2m}, \Omega, f)$$

where $A_1 = \frac{c}{(1-\kappa)^2} \log \frac{80e}{1-\kappa}$, $B_1 = \left[2 \frac{c}{(1-\kappa)^2} \log \frac{80e}{1-\kappa} + \log \frac{1}{|D|} \right] / m \log \sigma$.

Therefore when r is large enough, we have

$$S(r, \Omega, f) \leq A \{ n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta, \delta_0, f = \infty) + n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta, \delta_0, f = a) + n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta, \delta_0, f^{(k)} = b) \} + B \log r,$$

where $A = \frac{m+1}{m} \frac{c}{(1-\kappa)^2} \log \frac{80e}{1-\kappa}$, $B = \left[2 \frac{c}{(1-\kappa)^2} \log \frac{80e}{1-\kappa} + \log \frac{1}{|D|} \right] / m \log \sigma + 1$.

Now, we are in the position to prove the Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that the Theorem 1 does not hold. Then for any $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, we have a $0 < \beta_\theta < \pi/2$ and two finite complex number a_θ, b_θ ($b_\theta \neq 0$) such that

$$(5) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r, \theta, \beta_\theta, f = \infty) + n(r, \theta, \beta_\theta, f = a_\theta) + n(r, \theta, \beta_\theta, f^{(k)} = b_\theta)}{\log^{\lambda-1} r} \leq K_\theta < \infty.$$

Because $[0, 2\pi]$ is compact and $[0, 2\pi] \subset \bigcup \left\{ \left(\theta - \frac{\beta_\theta}{2}, \theta + \frac{\beta_\theta}{2} \right), \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \right\}$,

then we can choose finitely many $\left(\theta_i - \frac{\beta_{\theta_i}}{2}, \theta_i + \frac{\beta_{\theta_i}}{2}\right)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, T$), such that $[0, 2\pi] \subset \bigcup \left\{ \left(\theta - \frac{\beta_{\theta}}{2}, \theta + \frac{\beta_{\theta}}{2}\right), i = 1, 2, \dots, T \right\}$. For any $\Omega(\theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i})$, put $\Omega_i = \Omega\left(\theta_i, \frac{\beta_{\theta_i}}{2}\right)$. By Lemma 2, we have

$$S(r, \Omega_i, f) \leq A_{\theta_i} \{n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i}, f = \infty) + n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i}, f = a) + n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i}, f^{(k)} = b)\} + B_{\theta_i} \log r,$$

Put $A = \max_{1 \leq i \leq T} \{A_{\theta_i}\}$ and $B = \max_{1 \leq i \leq T} \{B_{\theta_i}\}$. The above expression sum from $i = 1$ to T , we have

$$S(r, f) \leq A \sum_{i=1}^T \{n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i}, f = \infty) + n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i}, f = a) + n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i}, f^{(k)} = b)\} + BT \log r,$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &= \int_0^r \frac{S(t, f)}{t} dt \\ &\leq \int_1^r \frac{A \sum_{i=1}^T \{n(t\sigma^{2m}, \theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i}, f = \infty) + n(t\sigma^{2m}, \theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i}, f = a) + n(t\sigma^{2m}, \theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i}, f^{(k)} = b)\}}{t} dt \\ &\quad + \int_1^r \frac{BT \log t}{t} dt + \int_0^1 \frac{S(t, f)}{t} dt, \\ &\leq A \sum_{i=1}^T \{n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i}, f = \infty) + n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i}, f = a) \\ &\quad + n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i}, f^{(k)} = b)\} \log r\sigma^{2m} + BT \log^2 r + T(1, f) \end{aligned}$$

So, by using (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{\log^\lambda r} &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A \sum_{i=1}^T \{n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i}, f = \infty) + n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i}, f = a) \\ &\quad + n(r\sigma^{2m}, \theta_i, \beta_{\theta_i}, f^{(k)} = b)\} \log r\sigma^{2m}}{\log^\lambda r} \\ &\leq A \sum_{i=1}^T K_{\theta_i} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (4) and the Theorem follows.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. CHEN, The singular directions of a meromorphic functions of order zero corresponding to Hayman's inequality, *Acta Math. Sinica* **30** (1987), 234–237.
- [2] T. Y. CHERN, A note on Hayman direction for meromorphic functions of finite logarithmic order, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics* **24** (2000), 521–523.
- [3] C. T. CHUANG, Singular directions of meromorphic functions, Science Press, Beijing, 1982.
- [4] H. GUO, J. ZHENG AND T. W. NG, On a new singular direction of meromorphic functions, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **69** (2004), 277–287.
- [5] W. HAYMAN, Meromorphic functions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [6] P. HU, A generalization of Q. D. Chang and Le Yang's theorem, *Acta Sci. Natur. Univ. Shandong* **25** (1990), 137–147.
- [7] Y. LU AND G. ZHANG, On Nevanlinna direction of meromorphic function, *Science in China, Ser. A.* **3** (1983), 215–224.
- [8] M. TSUJI, Potential theory in modern function theory, Maruzen Co. LTD, Tokyo, 1959.
- [9] L. YANG, Meromorphic functions and their derivatives, *J. London Math. Soc.* **25** (1982), 288–296.
- [10] L. YANG, Value distribution theory, Science Press, Beijing, 1982 (in Chinese), Springer-Verlag, 1993 (in English).
- [11] Y. YANG AND M. LIU, On the Borel direction of K -quasimeromorphic mappings, *Acta Math. Scientia, Ser. B.* **24** (2004), 75–82.

Zhao-Jun Wu
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY
GUANGZHOU, 510631
P.R. CHINA