

A NOTE ON THE INVERSE PROBLEM FOR THE COHOMOLOGY VANISHING THEOREM

BY SHUN-ICHI ETO

In the previous paper [2], we considered some properties of strongly q -convex spaces with positive vector bundles. The cohomology vanishing theorem played an important role there.¹⁾ An inverse problem now arises; whether the cohomology vanishing theorem characterize the strongly 1-convexity. In this paper, we prove partially affirmative answers for it.

In this paper, a complex space X is assumed to be Hausdorff and reduced. We say a complex space X is *strongly 1-convex* if there exists a C^∞ function ψ on X and a compact subset K of X such that ψ is strongly pseudoconvex in $X-K$ and $\{x \in X; \psi(x) < c\}$ is relatively compact in X for any $c \in \mathbf{R}$ (Andreotti-Grauert [1]).

THEOREM 1. *If on a complex space X there is a compact holomorphic divisor D such that for any coherent analytic sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , we have*

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}([-D]^k)) = 0 \quad i \geq 1$$

for sufficiently large k , then, X is a strongly 1-convex space. Here $[-D]$ denotes the line bundle induced from the divisor $-D$.

Proof. We assume $D = \{U_j, f_j\}$ where $\{U_j\}$ is an open covering of X and $f_j \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{O}_X)$ with $f_i f_j^{-1} \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a discrete infinite sequence in X and \mathcal{I} be the ideal sheaf of $\{x_n\}$. By the assumption, for sufficiently large k the following sequence is exact.

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}([-D]^k)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{O}([-D]^k)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now, for any $s = \{s_i\} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}([-D]^k))$, $s_i = (f_j/f_i)^k s_j$ in $U_i \cap U_j$, so we define $\hat{s} = f_i^k s_i$ in every U_i , which is a well-defined holomorphic function of X . We put $\|s(x)\| := |\hat{s}(x)|$. By the above exact sequence there exists $s_0 \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}([-D]^k))$ such that $\|s_0(x_n)\| \rightarrow \infty$ hence $|\hat{s}_0(x_n)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore X is holomorphically convex.

Received Oct. 21, 1975.

¹⁾ Theorem II in [2] is improved by the same method of Hartshorne [3] as follows: X, E and \mathcal{F} are the same as in Theorem II in [2], then there exists a positive integer k_0 such that $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(E^k))$ generates $\mathcal{F}_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_x(E^k)$ for every $x \in X$ and every positive integer $k \geq k_0$.

Next, take any two points x, y from $X-D$, $x \neq y$. We can find $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$ in a similar way as above. Let $\tau: X \rightarrow Y$ be the Remmert reduction of X . Then $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$. Since $f(x) \neq f(y)$, $\tau(x) \neq \tau(y)$. So $\tau: X-D \rightarrow Y-\tau(D)$ is biholomorphic. Now we take a strongly 1-complete function ϕ on Y then $\phi \circ \tau$ is strongly pseudoconvex on $X-D$, hence X is strongly 1-convex. Q.E.D.

If X is a subvariety of $\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{P}^m$, the cohomology vanishing theorem characterize strongly 1-convexity.

LEMMA. Let V be a subvariety of \mathbf{P}^m with positive dimension and \mathbf{H} be the hyperplane bundle over V . Then, for suitable integers i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) and k ,

$$\dim H^i(V, \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}^k)) > 0.$$

Proof. If \mathcal{F} is a coherent sheaf on V there is a following exact sequence on V . (cf. Serre [4])

$$(*) \quad 0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}^{k_m}))^{p_m} \xrightarrow{h_m} \dots \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}^{k_1}))^{p_1} \xrightarrow{h_1} (\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}^{k_0}))^{p_0} \xrightarrow{h_0} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0$$

Assume that $H^i(V, \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}^k)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and k . From (*), there is a short exact sequence.

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}^{k_m}))^{p_m} \xrightarrow{h_m} (\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{H}^{k_{m-1}}))^{p_{m-1}} \longrightarrow \text{Im}(h_{m-1}) \longrightarrow 0$$

So $H^i(V, \text{Im}(h_{m-1})) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. By the iteration of this way we obtain $H^i(V, \text{Im}(h_0)) = H^i(V, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Hence V is a Stein space, which is contrary to our assumption. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 2. Let X be a subvariety of $\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{P}^m$, and B be a line bundle over X which is the pull-back bundle of the hyperplane bundle \mathbf{H} of \mathbf{P}^m . If, for any coherent analytic sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , there exists an integer k_0 such that

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(B^k)) = 0$$

for every $k \geq k_0$, then X is a strongly 1-convex space.

Proof. Since X is holomorphically convex, it has the Remmert reduction $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$. For any $x \in Y$ the fibre $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is a subvariety of \mathbf{P}^m . Let $\{x_n\}$ be a discrete infinite sequence in Y . First, we shall show $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \pi^{-1}(x_n) = 0$ for all sufficiently large n .

Otherwise, we can take a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \pi^{-1}(x_{n_k}) > 0$ for all x_{n_k} . By Lemma there is a subsequence $\{x_\alpha\}$ such that for some i , $1 \leq i \leq m$ and k_α $H^i(\pi^{-1}(x_\alpha), \mathcal{O}(B^{k_\alpha})) \neq 0$ for all α . Now, we put

$$\mathcal{S} := \bigoplus_{\alpha} \hat{\mathcal{O}}(B^{k_\alpha - \alpha} |_{\pi^{-1}(x_\alpha)})$$

which is a coherent analytic sheaf over X and $\text{supp}(\mathcal{S}) = \bigcup_{\alpha} \pi^{-1}(x_\alpha)$. Here $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ denotes the trivial extension of \mathcal{O} to X . Then for any integer s ,

$$\dim_c H^1(X, S \otimes \mathcal{O}(B^s)) = \sum_{\alpha} \dim_c H^1(\pi^{-1}(x_{\alpha}), \mathcal{O}(B^{k_{\alpha} - \alpha + s})) \neq 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus, there exists a compact set K in Y such that for every $x \in Y - X$ $\dim_c \pi^{-1}(x) = 0$. Now if we take a strongly 1-complete function ϕ on Y , $\phi \circ \pi$ is strongly pseudoconvex in $X - \pi^{-1}(K)$ where $\pi^{-1}(K)$ is compact since π is proper. It follows that X is strongly 1-convex. Q.E.D.

The author doesn't know whether Theorem 2 is valid without the assumption that X is a subvariety of $\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{P}^m$.

REFERENCES

- [1] ANDREOTTI, A. et H. GRAUERT, Théorèmes de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes, Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 193-259.
- [2] ETO, S., H. KAZAMA AND K. WATANABE, On strongly q -pseudoconvex spaces with positive vector bundles, Mem. Sci Kyushu Univ. **28** (1974), 135-146.
- [3] HARTSHORNE, R., Ample vector bundles, I.H.E.S. Publ. Math. **29** (1966), 63-94.
- [4] SERRE, J.-P., Faisceaux algébriques cohérents, Ann. Math. **61** (1955), 197-278.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED SCIENCE, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING,
KYUSHU UNIVERSITY.